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Abstract  In this paper we consider two connected closed Haken manifolds denoted by $M^3$ and $N^3$, with the same Gromov simplicial volume. We give a simple homological criterion to decide when a given map $f : M^3 \to N^3$ between $M^3$ and $N^3$ can be changed by a homotopy to a homeomorphism. We then give a convenient process for constructing maps between $M^3$ and $N^3$ satisfying the homological hypothesis of the map $f$.
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1 Introduction

1.1 The main result

Let $N^3$ be an orientable connected, compact three-manifold without boundary. We denote by $kN^3$ the Gromov simplicial volume (or Gromov Invariant) of $N^3$, see Gromov [7, paragraph 0.2] and Thurston [23, paragraph 6.1] for definitions. Then, our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1  Let $M^3$ and $N^3$ be two closed Haken manifolds with the same Gromov simplicial volume. Let $f : M^3 \to N^3$ be a map such that for any finite covering $\mathcal{N}$ of $N^3$ (regular or not) the induced map $f^\# : \tilde{M} \to \tilde{N}$ is a homology equivalence (with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$). Then $f$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Note that the homological hypothesis on the map $f$ required by the Theorem 1.1 is usually not easy to check. The following result, [17, Proposition 0.2 and Lemma 0.6], gives a convenient process which allows us to construct such a map between $M^3$ and $N^3$. 
**Proposition 1.2** Let $M^3$, $N^3$ be two closed Haken manifolds and assume that there is a cobordism $W^4$ between $M^3$ and $N^3$ such that:

(i) the map $i_1(N^3) ! i_1(W^4)$ is an epimorphism,

(ii) $W^4$ is obtained from $N^3$ adding handles of index $2$,

(iii) the inclusions $M^3 ! W^4$ and $N^3 ! W^4$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-homological equivalences.

Then there exists a map $f : M^3 \to N^3$ satisfying the homological hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 and thus if $kM^3 = kN^3$ then $f$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

### 1.2 The motivation

The aim of Theorem 1.1 is to extend a main result of B. Perron and P. Shalen which gives a homological criterion for deciding when a given map between two closed, irreducible, graph manifolds, with infinite fundamental group, can be homotoped to a homeomorphism (see [17, Proposition 0.1]). Thus, in this paper we want to find a larger class of three-manifolds for which Proposition 0.1 of B. Perron and P. Shalen holds. Obviously their result does not hold for any closed three-manifold. Consider for example a (closed) $\mathbb{Z}$-homology sphere $M^3$ such that $kM^3 = 0$ and $M^3 \not\approx S^3$. Then it is easy to construct a map $f : M^3 \to S^3$ which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. In order to generalize the result of B. Perron and P. Shalen, a "good" class of closed three-manifolds seems to be the Haken manifolds. This class allows us to avoid the above type of obvious counter-example and strictly contains the class of irreducible graph manifolds with infinite fundamental group considered by B. Perron and P. Shalen. Indeed, it follows from Thurston [23] and [11, paragraph IV.11] that irreducible graph manifolds with infinite fundamental group correspond exactly to Haken manifolds with zero Gromov Invariant. Thus when the given manifolds $M^3$ and $N^3$ have their Gromov Invariant equal to zero (i.e. if $kM^3k = kN^3k = 0$) then Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to [17, Proposition 0.1]. Therefore, the result of [17] allows us, from now on, to assume that the given manifolds satisfy $kM^3k = kN^3k \neq 0$.

Finally note that the hypothesis on the Gromov Invariant of the given manifolds is necessary in Theorem 1.1. Indeed in [2], M. Boileau and S. Wang construct two closed Haken manifolds $M^3$ and $N^3$ satisfying $kM^3k > kN^3k$ and a map $f : M^3 \to N^3$ satisfying the homological hypothesis of Theorem 1.1.
1.3 Preliminaries and notations

We first state the following terminology which will be convenient. Let $T$ be a 2-manifold whose components are all tori and let $m$ be a positive integer. A covering space $\tilde{T}$ of $T$ will be termed $m$-characteristic if each component of $\tilde{T}$ is equivalent to the covering space of some component $T$ of $T$ associated to the characteristic subgroup $H_m$ of index $m^2$ in $\mathbb{Z}(T)$ (if we identify $\mathbb{Z}(T)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$, we have $H_m = m\mathbb{Z} \cap m\mathbb{Z}$).

Recall that for a closed Haken manifold $M^3$, the torus decomposition of Jaco-Shalen and Johannson ([12] and [13]) together with the uniformization Theorem of Thurston ([22]) say that there is a collection of incompressible tori $W_M$ unique up to ambient isotopy, which cuts $M$ into Seifert fibered manifolds and hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume. Denote the regular neighborhood of $W_M$ by $W_M([-1;1])$ with $W_M \cap 0g = W_M$. We write $M \cap W_M (-1;1) = H_M \cap S_M$, where $H_M$ is the union of the finite volume hyperbolic manifold components and $S_M$ is the union of the Seifert fibered manifold components. Note that since we assume that $kM k \in \mathbb{N}$ we always have $H_M \in \mathbb{N}$.

The hypothesis on the Gromov simplicial volume of the given manifolds allows us to apply the following rigidity Theorem of Soma:

**Theorem 1.3** [20, Theorem 1] Let $f: M \to N$ be a proper, continuous map of strictly positive degree between two Haken manifolds with (possibly empty) toral boundary. Then $f$ is properly homotopic to a map $g$ such that $g(H_M) \to H_N$ and $g|_{H_M}: H_M \to H_N$ is a $\deg(f)$-fold covering if and only if $kM k = \deg(f) kN k$.

In our case this result implies that the map $f: M \to N$ is homotopic to a map $g$ which induces a homeomorphism between $H_M$ and $H_N$. But this result does not say anything about the behavior of $f$ on the Seifert components $S_M$ of $M$. Even if we knew that $f(S_M) \to S_N$ we cannot have a reduction to the Perron-Shalen case with boundaries" (which is not anyway treated in their article). This comes from the fact that one does not know how to extend a finite covering of $S_N$ to the whole manifold $N$, see [9, Lemma 4.1]. More precisely, in [9], J. Hempel shows that if $S$ is a 3-manifold with non-empty boundary which admits either a Seifert fibration or a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume then for all but finitely many primes $q$ there is a finite covering $p: \tilde{S} \to S$ such that for each component $T$ of $\tilde{S}$ and for each component $\tilde{P}$ of $p^{-1}(T)$ the induced map $p|_{\tilde{P}}: \tilde{P} \to T$ is the $q$-characteristic covering of $T$. In particular, we can show that Hempel's Lemma

is true for any prime $q$ in the case of Seifert fibered spaces without exceptional fiber and with orientable base whose boundary contains at least two boundary components (i.e. $S^1 \times F \times S^1$ where $F$ is an orientable compact surface with at least two boundary components). This fact is crucially used in [17] (see proofs of Propositions 0.3 and 0.4) to construct their finite coverings. But in the hyperbolic manifolds case we must exclude a finite collection of primes, thus we cannot extend the coverings of [17] in our case. So we have to develop some other techniques to avoid these main difficulties.

1.4 Main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and statement of the intermediate results

It follows from Waldhausen, see [24, Corollary 6.5], that to prove Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to show that the map $f$ induces an isomorphism $f: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$. Note that since $f$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology equivalence then it is a degree one map so it is sufficient to see that $f: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ is injective. On the other hand it follows from the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 that to prove Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to find a finite covering $e_N$ of $N$ such that the induced map $e_f: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(e_N)$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism (i.e. is $1$-injective). Hence, we can replace $M$, $N$ and $f$ by $\tilde{M}$, $\tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{f}$ (for an appropriate choice of the finite sheeted covering of $N$).

First step: Simplification of $N^3$ The first step consists in finding some finite covering $e_N$ of $N$ such that the induced map $e_f: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(e_N)$ is homemorphic to a product of type $F \times S^1$ where $F$ is an orientable surface of genus $3$.

Remark 1 In view of the above paragraph we assume now that $N^3$ always satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4.

Second step: The obstruction This step will show that to prove Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to see that the canonical tori of $M$ do not degenerate (i.e. the map $f|W_M: W_M \to N$ is $1$-injective). More precisely we state here the following result which will be proved in Section 3.
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**Theorem 1.5** Let \( f : M^3 \rightarrow N^3 \) be a map between two closed Haken manifolds with the same Gromov Invariant and such that for any finite covering \( \mathcal{N} \) of \( N \) the induced map \( \mathcal{N} f : \mathcal{N} M \rightarrow \mathcal{N} N \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-homology equivalence. Then \( f \) is homotopic to a homeomorphism if and only if the induced map \( f \) is \( 1 \)-injective.

**Third step: A factorization theorem** It follows from Theorem 1.5 that to show our homeomorphism criterion it is sufficient to see that the canonical tori do not degenerate under the map \( f \). So in the following we will suppose the contrary. The purpose of this step is to understand the behavior (up to homotopy) of the map \( f \) in the case of degenerate tori. To do this we recall the definition of degenerate maps of Jaco-Shalen.

**Definition 1.6** Let \( S \) be a Seifert fibered space and let \( N \) be a closed Haken manifold. A map \( f : S \rightarrow N \) is said to be degenerate if either:

1. the group \( \text{Im}(f : 1(S) ! 1(N)) = f!g \), or
2. the group \( \text{Im}(f : 1(S) ! 1(N)) \) is cyclic, or
3. the map \( f \gamma \) is homotopic in \( N \) to a constant map for some fiber \( \gamma \) of \( S \).

So we first state the following result which explains how certain submanifolds of \( M^3 \) can degenerate.

**Theorem 1.7** Let \( f : M \rightarrow N \) be a map between two closed Haken manifolds satisfying hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 and suppose that \( N \) satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4. Let \( T \) be a canonical torus in \( M \) which degenerates under the map \( f \). Then \( T \) separates \( M \) in two submanifolds \( A, B \), one and only one (say \( A \)) satisfies the following properties:

1. \( H_1(A ; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \) and each Seifert component of \( A \) admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is a surface of genus 0,
2. each Seifert component of \( A \) degenerates under the map \( f \), \( A \) is a graph manifold and the group \( f ( 1(A)) \) is either trivial or infinite cyclic.

With this result we may write the following definitions.

**Definition 1.8** Let \( M^3 \) and \( N^3 \) be two closed, connected, Haken manifolds and let \( f : M^3 \rightarrow N^3 \) be a map satisfying hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. We say that a codimension 0 submanifold \( A \) of \( M \) is a maximal end of \( M \) if \( A \) satisfies the following three properties:

(i) \( @A \) is a single incompressible torus, \( H_1(A; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \) and \( f(\_1(A)) = \mathbb{Z} \).

(ii) if \( p: \tilde{M} \to M \) is any finite covering induced by \( f \) from some finite covering \( \tilde{N} \) of \( N \) then each component of \( p^{-1}(A) \) satisfies (i).

(iii) if \( C \) is a submanifold of \( M \) which contains \( A \) and satisfying (i) and (ii) then \( A = C \).

To describe precisely the behavior of the map \( f \) (up to homotopy) we still need the following definition:

**Definition 1.9** Let \( M \) be a closed, connected, compact 3-manifold and let \( A \) be a compact, connected codimension 0 submanifold of \( M \) whose boundary is a torus in \( M \). We say that \( M \) collapses along \( A \) if there exists a homeomorphism \( : \@D^2 \to S^1 ! \@A = \@M \cap A \) and a map \( : M \to (M \cap A) \cap D^2 \cap S^1 \) such that \( jM \cap A = id \) and \( (A) = D^2 \cap S^1 \).

So using Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7 we obtain the following factorization Theorem which will be used to get a good description of the behavior of the map \( f \).

**Theorem 1.10** Let \( M^3 \) and \( N^3 \) be two closed, connected, Haken manifolds satisfying hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 and assume that \( N \) satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4. Then there exists a finite family \( fA_1; \ldots; fA_n \) (eventually empty) of disjoint maximal ends of \( M \), a Haken manifold \( M_1 \) obtained from \( M \) by collapsing \( M \) along the family \( fA_1; \ldots; fA_n \) and a homeomorphism \( f_1: M_1 ! N \) such that \( f \) is homotopic to the map \( f_1 \), where \( f \) denotes the collapsing map \( : M ! M_1 \).

Note that Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 remain true if we simply assume that the given manifolds \( M^3 \), \( N^3 \) and the map \( f: M^3 ! N^3 \) satisfy hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. But it is more convenient for our purpose to suppose that \( N^3 \) satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4.

**Fourth step** The purpose of this step is to show that the hypothesis which says that certain canonical tori degenerate is naturally absurd. To do this, we will show that if \( A \) is a maximal end of \( M \) then we can construct a finite covering \( p: \tilde{M} \to M \) induced by \( f \) from some finite covering of \( N \), such that the connected components of \( p^{-1}(A) \) are not maximal ends, which contradicts Definition 1.8. But to construct such a covering, it is first necessary to have good informations about the behavior of the induced map \( f|A: A ! N \) up to homotopy. To do this we state the following result whose proof depends crucially on Theorem 1.10 (see Section 5.2):
Proposition 1.11 Let $f: M \to N$ be a map between two closed Haken manifolds with the same Gromov invariant satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 and assume that $N$ satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4. If $A$ denotes a maximal end of $M$ then there exists a Seifert piece $S$ in $A$, whose orbit space is a disk such that $f\left(\pi_1(S)\right) \cong \pi_1 S^2$ in $N$ such that $f(S) \cong f(A)$ and $f\left(\pi_1(S)\right) \cong \pi_1 S^2$ in $N$. If $f$ denotes the homotopy class of the fiber in $B$.

The aim of this result is to replace the Mapping Theorem (see [12, Chapter III]) which says that if a map between a Seifert fibered space and a Haken manifold satisfies certain good properties of non-degeneration then it can be changed by a homotopy in such a way that its whole image is contained in a Seifert fibered space. But when such a map degenerates (which is the case for $f|A$) its behavior can be very complicated a priori.

The above result shows that the map $f|A$ is homotopically very simple. We next construct a finite covering $p: \tilde{M} \to M$, induced by $f$ from some finite covering of $N$ such that the component of $\pi^{-1}(S)$ admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is a surface of genus $> 0$. Then using [17, Lemma 3.2] we show that the components of $\pi^{-1}(A)$ are not maximal ends which gives a contradiction. The construction of our finite covering depends crucially on the following result which completes the proof of the fourth step and whose proof is based on the Thurston Deformation Theory of complete finite volume hyperbolic structures and will be proved in Section 6.3.

Proposition 1.12 Let $N^3$ be a closed Haken manifold with non-trivial Gromov simplicial volume. Then there exists a finite covering $\pi$ of $N$ satisfying the following property: for every integer $n_0 > 0$ there exists an integer $> 0$ and a finite covering $p: \tilde{N} \to N$ such that for each Seifert piece $S$ of $\pi^{-1}(S)$ the map $p|\tilde{S}: \tilde{S} \to S$ is fiber preserving and induces the $n_0$-index covering on the fibers of $\tilde{S}$.

Note that this result plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Indeed, this Proposition 1.12 allows us to avoid the main difficulty stated in paragraph 1.3.

2 Preliminary results on Haken manifolds

In this section we state some general results on Haken manifolds and their finite coverings which will be useful in the following of this article. On the
other hand we will always suppose in the following that the given manifold $N$
has non trivial Gromov simplicial volume which implies in particular that $N$
has no finite cover which is fibered over the circle by tori.

2.1 Outline of proof of Proposition 1.4

In this section we outline the proof of Proposition 1.4 which extends in the
Haken manifolds case the result of [15] which concerns graph manifolds. For a
complete proof of this result see [4, Proposition 1.2.1].

First note that since $N$ is a non geometric Haken manifold then $N$ is not a
Seifert fibered space (in particular $N$ has a non empty torus decomposition)
and has no finite cover that fibers as a torus bundle over the circle. By [14,
Theorem 2.6] we may assume, after passing possibly to a finite cover, that
each component of $N \setminus W_N$ either has hyperbolic interior or is Seifert fibered
over an orientable surface whose base 2-orbifold has strictly negative Euler
characteristic.

By applying either [14, Theorem 2.4] or [14, Theorem 3.2] to each piece $Q$ of
$N \setminus W_N$ (according to whether the piece is Seifert fibered or hyperbolic, resp.)
there is a prime $q$, such that for every $Q$ in $N \setminus W_N$ there is a finite, connected,
regular cover $p_Q : Q ! F$ where, if $T$ is a component of $\partial Q$, then $(p_Q)^{-1}(T)$
consists of more than one component; furthermore, if $\mathcal{P}$ is a component of
$(p_Q)^{-1}(T)$, then $p_Q|\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{P} ! Q$ is the $q$-characteristic covering. This allows
us to glue the covers of the pieces of $N \setminus W_N$ together to get a covering $\mathcal{P}$ of
$N$ in which each piece of $\mathcal{P} \setminus W_N$ has at least two boundary components. By
repeating this process, we may assume, after passing to a finite cover, that each
component of $N \setminus W_N$ has at least three boundary components.

Let $S$ be a Seifert piece of $N$ and let $F$ be the orbit space of $S$. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_p$
($p \geq 3$) be the components of $\partial S$, $D_1, \ldots, D_p$ those of $\partial F$ and set $d_i = [D_i, 2]$
$\pi_1(F)$ (for a choice of base point). With these notations we have $\pi_1(T_i) = H_i$
where $h$ denotes the regular fiber in $S$. Since $S$ has at least three boundary components then using the presentation of $\pi_1(S)$ one can show that
for all but finitely many primes $q$ there exists an epimorphism $': \pi_1(S) \to Z$ such that:

(i) $'(d_j) \mathcal{B} h$ if for $j = 1, \ldots, p$,
(ii) $\ker(' \pi_1(T_j))$ is the $q$-characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(T_j)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, p$.

Let $: \mathcal{S} \to S$ be the finite covering of $S$ corresponding to $'$ and let $F : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{F}$
be the finite (branched) covering induced by $'$ between the orbit spaces of
Then using (i) and (ii) combined with the Riemann-Hurwitz formula \[18, \text{pp. 133}\] one can show that \(e > g\) where \(g\) (resp. \(e\)) denotes the genus of \(F\) (resp. of \(F\)). Thus, by applying this result combined with [14, Theorem 3.2] to each piece \(Q\) of \(N\) \(nW_N\) (according to whether the piece is Seifert fibred or hyperbolic, resp.) there is a prime \(q\), such that for every \(Q\) in \(N\) \(nW_N\) there is a finite, connected, regular cover \(p_Q: Q \to Q\) where, if \(T\) is a component of \(\partial Q\) and if \(\mathcal{P}\) is a component of \((p_0)^{-1}(T)\), then \(p_0|\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{P} \to T\) is the \(q\)-characteristic covering. This allows us to glue the covers of the pieces of \(N\) \(nW_N\) together to get a covering \(\mathcal{P}\) of \(N\). Furthermore, if \(Q\) is a Seifert piece of \(N\) whose orbit space is a surface of genus \(g\) then \(Q\) is a Seifert piece of \(\mathcal{P}\) whose orbit space is a surface of genus \(e > g\).

It remains to see that \(N\) is finitely covered by a Haken manifold in which each Seifert piece is a trivial circle bundle. Since the Euler characteristic of the orbit space of the Seifert pieces of \(N\) is non-positive then by Selberg Lemma each orbit space is finitely covered by an orientable surface. This covering induces a finite covering (trivial when restricted on the boundary) of the Seifert piece by a circle bundle over an orientable surface, which is trivial because the boundary is not empty. Now we can (trivially) glue these coverings together to get the desired covering of \(N\).

### 2.2 A technical result for Haken manifolds

**Proposition 2.1** Let \(N^3\) be a closed Haken manifold satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 1.4 and let \(B\) be a Seifert piece of \(N\). Let \(g\) and \(h\) be elements of \(\pi_1(B)\) \(\pi_1(N)\) such that either \([g; h] \neq 1\) or the group \(\langle g; h \rangle\) is the free abelian group of rank two. Then there exists a finite group \(H\) and a homomorphism \(\lambda: \pi_1(N) \to H\) such that \(\lambda(g) \neq H(h)\).

The proof of this result depends on the following lemma which allows to extend to the whole manifolds \(N\) certain \"good\" coverings of a given Seifert piece in \(N\).

**Lemma 2.2** Let \(N\) be a closed Haken manifold such that each Seifert piece is a product and has more than one boundary component, and let \(B_0\) be a Seifert piece in \(N\). Then there exists a prime \(q_0\) satisfying the following property: for every finite covering \(\mathcal{B}_0\) of \(B_0\) which induces the \(q\)-characteristic covering on the boundary components of \(B_0\) with \(q\) \(q_0\) prime and \(r \geq 2\) \(\mathbb{Z}\), there exists a finite covering \(\mathcal{P}! N\) such that...
(i) the covering $\mathcal{C}$ induces the $q^r$-characteristic covering on each of the canonical tori of $N$.

(ii) each component of the covering of $B_0$ induces by $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_0$.

The proof of this result depends on the following Lemma which is a slight generalization of Hempel’s Lemma, [9, Lemma 4.2] and whose proof may be found in [4, Lemma 1.2.3].

**Lemma 2.3** Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and let $\rho : G \to \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ be a discrete and faithful representation of $G$. Let $1; \ldots; n$ be elements of $G$ such that $1 \not\in 1_G$ and $\text{tr}(\rho(1)) = 2$. Then for all but finitely many primes $q$ and for all integers $r$ there exists a finite ring $\mathbb{A}_{q^r}$ over $\mathbb{Z}/q^r\mathbb{Z}$ and a representation $\rho : G \to \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{A}_{q^r})$ such that for each element $g \in G$ satisfying $\text{tr}(\rho(g)) = 2$ the element $\rho(g)$ is of order $q^r$, with $r_g = r$ in $\text{SL}(2; \mathbb{A}_{q^r})$ and the elements $\rho(1)$ are of order $q^r$ in $\text{SL}(2; \mathbb{A}_{q^r})$.

**Outline of proof of Lemma 2.2** We show that if $B$ denotes a component of $N \cap W_N$ such that $B \not\in B_0$ then for each $r \geq 0$ and for all but finitely many primes $q$ there exists a connected regular finite covering $\mathcal{E}$ of $B$ which induces the $q^r$-characteristic covering on each of the boundary component of $B$. Next we use similar arguments as in [14] using Lemma 2.3 (see [4, Lemma 1.2.2]).

**Proof of Proposition 2.1** Recall that $B$ can be identified to a product $F \times S^1$, where $F$ is an orientable surface of genus $1$ with at least two boundary components. Let $D_1; \ldots; D_n$ denote the components of $\partial F$ and set $d_i = [D_i]$, for $i = 1; \ldots; n$ (for a choice of base point).

**Case 1** If $[g; h] \not\in 1$, then since $\gamma(N)$ is a residually finite group (see [8, Theorem 1.1]) there is a finite group $H$ and an epimorphism $\gamma : \gamma(N) \to H$ such that $\gamma([g; h]) \not\in 1$ and so $\gamma(g) \not\in \gamma(h)$. $i$.

**Case 2** If $[g; h] = 1$ then we may write $g = (u; t)$ and $h = (u^0; t^0)$ with $u \in \gamma(F)$ and where $t$ is a generator of $\gamma(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$. Since $gh; h$ is the free abelian group of rank $2$ then $0^0 - 0^0 = y \not\in 0$ and $u \not\in 1$. We first show the following assertion:

For all but finitely many primes $p$ there exists an integer $r_0$ such that for each integer $r \geq r_0$ there is a finite group $K$ and a homomorphism $\gamma : \gamma(B) \to K$
inducing the \( p' \) \( p' \)-characteristic homomorphism on \( \pi_1(\mathfrak{N}) \) and such that \( (g) \mathfrak{h}(h)i \).

To prove this assertion we consider two cases.

**Case 2.1** Assume first that \( 0 \equiv 0 \). Choose a prime \( p \) such that \((p; 0) = 1\) and \((p; \gamma) = 1\). Then using Bezout's Lemma we may find an integer \( n_0 \) such that \(-n_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \). Then using the Key Lemma on surfaces of B. Perron and P. Shalen, [17, Key Lemma 6.2], by taking \( g = u \) we get a homomorphism \( \gamma : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow H_F \), where \( H_F \) is a \( p \)-group and satisfying \( (u) \equiv 1 \) and \((d_i)\) has order \( p' \) in \( H_F \). Let \( \mathfrak{Z} \) be the canonical epimorphism and consider the following homomorphism:

\[
\gamma : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow H_F \Rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} = p' \mathfrak{Z}
\]

It follows now easily from the above construction that \( (g) \mathfrak{h}(h)i \) and \( \ker(\gamma_d; t)i = h^{p} i ; h^{p} i \).

**Case 2.2** We now suppose that \( 0 \equiv 0 \). Thus we have \( g = (u; t) \) and \( h = (u; 1) \) with \( 0 \equiv 0 \). Recall that \( \pi_1(F) = \mathfrak{h}d_i \ldots \mathfrak{h}d_{n-1} \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{D} = \{D_i\} \), where \( D_1; \ldots; D_n \) denote the components of \( \mathfrak{G} \) and where \( \mathfrak{L} \) is a free group. Let \( \gamma : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} \) be an epimorphism such that \( \gamma_d(1) = 1 \) and \( \gamma_d(\mathfrak{L}) = 0 \). This implies that \( \gamma_d(1) = -(n-1) \). Choose a prime \( p \) satisfying \( (p; 1) = 1 \), \((p; n-1) = 1 \) and let \( \gamma : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} = p' \mathfrak{Z} \) be the canonical epimorphism. So consider the following homomorphism.

\[
\gamma : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} = p' \mathfrak{Z}
\]

We now check easily that \( (g) \mathfrak{h}(h)i \) and \( \ker(\gamma_d; t)i = h^{p} i ; h^{p} i \) which completes the proof of the above assertion.

Let \( \wedge : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \) be the covering corresponding to the above homomorphism. Since this covering induces the \( p' \) \( p' \)-covering on each component of \( \mathfrak{B} \) then using Lemma 2.2 there is a finite covering \( : N \rightarrow N \) of \( N \) such that each component of \( \pi_1^N(B) \) is equivalent to \( \wedge \). We identify \( \pi_1(\mathfrak{N}) \) as a subgroup of the finite index subgroup of \( \pi_1(N) \). Let \( \mathfrak{N} \) be a subgroup of \( \pi_1(\mathfrak{N}) \) such that is a finite index regular subgroup of \( \pi_1(N) \). Then the canonical epimorphism \( : \pi_1(\mathfrak{N}) \rightarrow \pi_1(N) \) satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 2.1.

\[\square\]

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section we always assume that the manifold \( \mathfrak{N} \) has non-trivial Gromov Invariant and satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4.
3.1 Main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.5

It follows from the Rigidity Theorem of Soma (see Theorem 1.3) that $f$ is properly homotopic to a map, still denoted by $f$, such that $f_j(H_M;@H_M) : (H_M;@H_M) \to (H_N;@H_N)$ is a homeomorphism. Then we will prove (see Lemma 3.5) that we may arrange $f$ by a homotopy $\text{xing } f_jH_M$ such that $f(S_M) \cap S_N$: this is the Mapping Theorem of W. Jaco and P. Shalen with some care. So our main purpose here is to find a finite covering $\mathcal{E}$ of $N$ such that for each component $\mathcal{E}'$ of $S_N$ there exists exactly one Seifert piece $\mathcal{A}$ of $S_M$ such that $f(\mathcal{A};\partial\mathcal{A}) \cong (\mathcal{E}'\cup\partial\mathcal{E}')$. We next prove that the induced map $f_j(\mathcal{A};\partial\mathcal{A})$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism. To do this the key step consists, for technical reasons, in finding a covering $\mathcal{M}_0$ of $M$, induced by $f$, such that for each Seifert piece $A_i$ of $S_{M_0}$, the induced covering $\mathcal{A}_i$ over $A_i$ is a Seifert fibered space whose orbit space is a surface of genus $3$. This step depends on Proposition 2.1. Indeed the construction of $\mathcal{M}_0$ will be splitted in two steps:

**First step** The first step is to prove that there exists a finite covering $\mathcal{M}_0$ of $M$ induced by $f$ from some finite covering $\mathcal{N}_0$ of $N$ in which each Seifert piece is either based on a surface of genus $3$ (type I) or based on an annulus (type II) (see Lemma 3.1). More precisely the result of Lemma 3.1 is the "best" that we may obtain using Proposition 2.1.

**Second step** The main purpose of this step is to prove, using specific arguments, that $\mathcal{M}_0$ contains no Seifert piece of type II. More precisely, if $A_i$ denotes a Seifert piece of type II in $\mathcal{M}_0$, then using [12, Characteristic Pair Theorem] we know that there is a Seifert piece $B_j$ in $N$ such that $f(A_i) \cap \text{int}(B_j)$ (up to homotopy). Then we construct a vertical torus $U$ in $B_j$ such that if $T$ is a component of $\partial A_i$ then $f$ may be changed by a homotopy $\text{xing } M_0 \cap A_i$ so that $f_jT : T ! U$ is a homeomorphism. We next use the structure of $\chi(B_j)$ to show that this implies that $A_i$ has no exceptional fiber (i.e. $A_i = S^1 \times S^1$) which contradicts the minimality of the Torus Decomposition of $\mathcal{M}_0$.

Finally we show that the results obtained in the above steps allows us to use arguments similar to those of [17, paragraphs 4.3.15 and 4.3.16] to complete the proof (see paragraph 3.5).

3.2 Proof of the first step

This section is devoted to the outline of proof of the following result (for a complete proof see [4, Lemma 3.2.1]).
Lemma 3.1 There exists a finite covering \( \hat{M}_0 \) of \( M \) induced by \( f \) from some finite covering \( N_0 \) of \( N \) in which each Seifert piece \( A \) is either based on a surface of genus \( 3 \) (Type I) or satisfies the following properties (Type II):

(i) the orbit space of \( A \) is an annulus,

(ii) the group \( \pi \left( \theta(A) \right) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \),

(iii) for each finite covering \( : M_0 \to \hat{M}_0 \) induced by \( f \) from some finite covering \( N_0 \) then each component of \( \pi^{-1}(A) \) satisfies points (i) and (ii).

The proof of this result depends on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 Let \( S \) be a Seifert piece in \( M \) whose orbit space is a surface of genus \( 0 \). Suppose that \( S \) contains at least three non-degenerate boundary components. Then there exists a finite covering \( \hat{S} \) of \( S \) satisfying the two followings properties:

(i) \( \hat{S} \) admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is a surface of genus \( 1 \),

(ii) \( \hat{S} \) is equivalent to a component of the covering induced from some finite covering of \( N \) by \( f \).

Proof Denote by \( F \) the orbit space of the Seifert piece \( S \). Let \( T_1;:\ldots;T_j, \ j \geq 3 \), be the non-degenerate tori in \( S \) and \( \pi(T_i) = \mathbb{Z}_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, j \), the corresponding fundamental groups. Since \( \text{Rk}(f'(d_i);f'(h)i) = 2 \) for \( i \), it follows from Proposition 1.4, that there exists a finite group \( \hat{H} \) and a homomorphism \( \pi' : \pi(N) \to \hat{H} \) such that \( f'(d_i) \hat{H} f'(h)i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, j \).

Let \( K \) be the group \( f'(\pi(S)) \) and denote by \( \hat{S} \) the finite covering corresponding to \( f : \pi(S) \to K \). Then \( \hat{S} \) inherits a Seifert fibration with some base \( F \). We denote by \( \hat{S} \) the order of \( K \), by \( t \) the order of \( f'(h) \) in \( K \) and by \( \pi(t) = \pi(c_i) \) where \( c_1;:\ldots;c_r \) denote the exceptional bers of \( S \) with index \( 1;:\ldots;1 \). The map \( f \) induces a covering \( : \hat{S} \to F \) on the orbit spaces of \( S \) and \( \hat{S} \) with degree \( = t \), ramified at the points \( c_1;:\ldots;c_r \) and corresponding to the exceptional ber \( c_i \) of \( S \). Let \( \text{Rk}(f'(d_i);f'(h)i) = 2 \) for each \( i \), where \( n_i \) is the index of the subgroup generated by \( f'(d_i) \) and \( f'(h)i \) in \( K \). Then by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula ([18, pp. 133], see also [17, Section 4.2.12]) we get:

\[
2g = 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{k_i p + 1}{n_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{k_i r}{n_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{1}{n_i} \right)
\]
where $g$ (resp. $g$) denotes the genus of $F$ (resp. of $F$, here $g = 0$), $p$ denotes the number of boundary components of $F$ and $(i; j)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $i$ and $j$. Remark that $n_i \geq 2$ for $l \geq j$. Indeed if $n_i = 1$ then $jK : h f (h); j f (d) = r_i = 1 = t = jK ; h f (h) i j$. Hence $h f (h); j f (d) i = h f (h) i$ which is impossible since $f (d) \not\subset h f (h) i$. In particular we have $2$.

**Case 1** If $j = 4$ then $n_i = 2$ for $l = 1; \ldots; j$ and so $g > (p - p + 4 - 2 - 2) = 2$. Thus $g = 1$.

**Case 2** If $j = 3$ we have $2g + 2 + (1 - \frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_3})$ with $n_1; n_2; n_3 = 2$. If $j > 2$ then either $n_i > 2$ for $l = 1; \ldots; 3$, and thus $g = 1$ or there is an element $l$ in $f l; \ldots; 3g$ such that $n_i = 2$. Since $= n_i r_i$ we have $r_i = 2$ and thus $S$ contains at least four boundary components which are non-degenerate and we have a reduction to Case 1. This proves the Lemma. □

**Outline of proof of Lemma 3.1** Let $A$ be a Seifert piece of $M$ whose orbit space is a surface of genus $g = 2$ (resp. $g = 1$). We prove here that such a Seifert piece is necessarily of type I. It follows from the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5 that $f \cdot A : A ! N$ is a non-degenerate map thus using [12, Mapping Theorem] we can change $f$ in such a way that $f (A)$ is contained in a (product) Seifert piece $B$ of $N$. Then combining the fact that $f \cdot A$ is non-degenerate and Proposition 2.1 we may easily construct a finite (regular) covering of $M$ induced by $f$ from a finite covering of $N$ in which each component of the pre-image of $A$ is a Seifert piece whose orbit space is a surface of genus $g = 3$ (resp. $g = 2$).

Suppose now that the orbit space $F$ of $A$ is a surface of genus 0. It is easily checked that $F$ has at least two boundary components. If $A$ has at least three boundary components then it follows easily from Lemma 3.2 that there is a finite covering of $M$ induces by $f$ from a finite covering of $N$ in which the lifting of $A$ is a Seifert piece of type I. Thus we may assume that $A$ has exactly two boundary components (and then $F \not\subset S^1 \cdot 1$).

If $f (\_1(A))$ is non-abelian then we check that $A$ has at least three boundary components and thus we have a reduction to the Type II case. So suppose now that $f (\_1(A))$ is abelian. Since $f$ is a non-degenerate map and since $f (\_1(A))$ is a subgroup of a torsion free three-manifold group it is a free abelian group of rank 2 or 3 (see [12, Theorem V.1 and paragraph V.III]). If $f (\_1(A)) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ then $A$ has at least three boundary components and we have a reduction to
the type I case. So we may assume that \( f(\pi_1(A)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \). If there is a finite covering \( p \) of \( M \) induced by \( f \) from some finite covering of \( N \) such that some component of \( p^{-1}(A) \) does not satisfy (i) or (ii) of Lemma 3.1 then using the above argument we show that \( A \) is a component of type I, up to finite covering.

If (i) and (ii) of Lemma 3.1 are always checked for any finite covering then \( A \) is a component of type II. \( \square \)

### 3.3 Preliminaries for the proof of the second step

#### 3.3.1 Introduction

In the following we set \( fA_i; i = 1; \ldots; s(M)g \) (resp. \( fB; i = 1; \ldots; s(N)g \)) the Seifert pieces of a minimal torus decomposition of \( M \) (resp. \( N \)). On the other hand we will denote by \( W^S_M \) (resp. \( W^S_N \)) the canonical tori of \( M \) (resp. \( N \)) which are adjacent on both sides to Seifert pieces of \( M \) (resp. \( N \)). We set \( A^0_i = A_i \cap W^S_M \) \([-1; 1]\), for \( i = 1; \ldots; s(M) \). Using hypothesis of Theorem 1.5 and applying the Characteristic Pair Theorem of [12] we may assume that for each \( i \) there is an \( i \) such that \( f(A^0_i) \cap (B_j) \). Thus if \( M \) (resp. \( N \)) denotes the union of the components of \( S_M \) (resp. \( S_N \)) with the components \( T_i \) \([-1; 1]\) of \( W^S_M \) \([-1; 1]\) (resp. \( W^S_N \) \([-1; 1]\)) such that \( T_i \cap f1g = \emptyset \) (resp. \( T_i \cap f1g \cap H_N \)) then \( f(M) \cap (N) \). Moreover, by identifying a regular neighborhood of \( W^S_M \) with \( W^S_M \) \([-1; 1]\) we may suppose, up to homotopy, that \( f^{-1}(W^S_N) \) is a collection of incompressible tori in \( W^S_M \) \([-1; 1]\). Indeed since for each \( i = 1; \ldots; s(M) \) we have \( f(A^0_i) \cap (B_j) \) then using standard cut and paste arguments we may suppose, after modifying \( f \) by a homotopy which is constant on \( [A^0_i] \cap H_M \) that \( f^{-1}(W^S_N) \) is a collection of incompressible surfaces in \( W^S_M \) \([-1; 1]\). Since each component of \( T_j \) of \( W^S_M \) is an incompressible torus then \( f^{-1}(W^S_N) \) is a collection of tori parallel to the \( T_j \). In the following the main purpose (in the second step) is to prove the following key result.

**Lemma 3.3** Let \( fA_i; i = 1; \ldots; s(\hat{M}_0)g \) (resp. \( fB; i = 1; \ldots; s(\hat{N}_0)g \)) be the Seifert pieces of \( S^{-\hat{M}_0} \) (resp. \( S^{-\hat{N}_0} \)). Then \( f \) is homotopic to a map \( g \) such that:

(i) \( g(H_{(M_0)}; \hat{\partial}M_0): (H_{N_0}; \hat{\partial}N_0) ! (H_{N_0}; \hat{\partial}N_0) \) is a homeomorphism,

(ii) for each \( i \) \( f1; \ldots; s(\hat{N}_0) \) there is a single \( i \) \( f1; \ldots; s(\hat{N}_0)g \) such that \( f(A_i; \hat{\partial}A_i) \) \((B; \hat{\partial}B) \). Moreover the induced maps \( f_i = f|A_i: A_i \cap B \) are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-homology equivalences and \( f|A_i: \hat{\partial}A_i \cap \hat{\partial}B \) is a homeomorphism.

The proof of this result will be given in paragraph 3.4 using Lemma 3.4 below. In the remainder of Section 3 we will always assume that \((M; N; f)\) is equal to \((\bar{M}_0; \bar{N}_0; \bar{f}_0)\) given by Lemma 3.1. The goal of this paragraph 3.3 is to prove the following Lemma which simplifies by a homotopy the given map \(f\).

**Lemma 3.4** There is a subfamily of canonical tori \(f T_j; j \geq 2\) in \(M\) which cuts \(M \cap H_M\) into graph manifolds \(f V_i; i = 1; \ldots; t(M)\) such that:

(i) for each \(i\), \(2f; \ldots; s(N)g\) there is a single \(i\) such that \(f\) is homotopic to a map \(g\) with \(g(V_i; @V_i) \rightarrow (B_i; @B_i)\). Moreover we have:

(ii) \((V_i; @V_i)\) contains at least one Seifert piece of type I,

(iii) \(g_j@V_i = @V_i\) is a homeomorphism,

(iv) \(g_i@V_i \rightarrow (V_i; @V_i) \rightarrow (B_i; @B_i)\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-homology equivalence.

### 3.3.2 Some useful lemmas

The proof of Lemma 3.4 depends on the following results. In particular Lemma 3.9 describes precisely the subfamily of canonical tori \(f T_j; j \geq 2\) in \(M\). Here hypothesis and notations are the same as in the above paragraph. The following result is a consequence of [20, Main Theorem] and [19, Lemma 2.11].

**Lemma 3.5** There is a homotopy \((f_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}\) such that \(f_0 = f : M \to N\), \(f_1 = f_j : M\) and such that \(f_1(H_M; @H_M) \to (H_M; @H_M)\) is a homeomorphism.

**Proof** Let \(T\) be a component of \(@H_N\). We first prove that, up to homotopy \(x\)ing \(f_j : M\), we may assume that each component of \(f^{-1}(T)\) is a torus which is parallel to a component of \(W_M\). Indeed since \(f(M) \cap (N) = f^{-1}(T)\) \(M = \ldots\). On the other hand since \(@ M\) is incompressible, then using standard cut and paste arguments (see [24]) we may suppose that, up to homotopy \(x\)ing \(f_j : M\), \(f\) is transversal to \(T\) and that \(f^{-1}(T)\) is a collection of incompressible surfaces in \(M \cap M\). The hypothesis of Theorem 1.5 together with Theorem 1.3 imply that \(H_M \neq H_N\). Hence we may use similar arguments as those of [19, Proof of Lemma 2.11] to show that each component of \(f^{-1}(T)\) is a torus. Thus \(f^{-1}(T)\) is a collection of incompressible tori in \(H_M \cap (T) = [-1; 1]\). Since each incompressible torus in \(H_M\) is parallel then we may change \(f\) by a homotopy on a regular neighborhood of \(H_M\) to push these tori in \(H_M\). Finally \(f^{-1}(@H_N)\) is made of tori parallel to some components of \(W_M\). Each
component \( E_i \) of \( f^{-1}(H_N) \) is a component of \( M \) cut off along \( f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_N) \).

Since \( f(M) \setminus H_N = \cdot \) then \( f^{-1}(H_N) \cap M = H_M \cap T_j \). Then each component \( E_i \) is either a component of \( H_M \) or a component \( T_j \). For each component \( H \) of \( H_N \) we have \( \text{deg} f^{-1}(H) = 1 \). Since a map \( T \) \([-1, 1] \) has degree zero then \( f^{-1}(H) \) must contain a component of \( H_M \). Since \( H_M \cap H_N \) then \( f^{-1}(H) \) contains exactly one component \( H^0 \) of \( H_M \) which is sent by \( f \) with degree equal to 1. So it follows from \([22, \text{Lemma } 1.6]\) that after modifying \( f \) by a homotopy on a regular neighborhood of \( H^0 \) then \( f \) sends \( H^0 \) homeomorphically on \( H \). We do this for each component of \( H_N \). This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.5. \( \square \)

We next prove the following result.

Lemma 3.6 Let \( A \) be a Type II Seifert piece in \( M \) given by Lemma 3.1 (recall that we have replaced \( \mathcal{M}_0 \) by \( M \)). Then we have the following properties:

(i) \( A \) is not adjacent to a hyperbolic piece in \( M \),

(ii) let \( S \) be a Seifert piece adjacent to \( A \) and let \( B \) be the Seifert piece in \( N \) such that \( f(S) \cap \text{int}(B) \) then necessarily \( f(A) \cap \text{int}(B) \).

The proof of this lemma depends on the following result whose proof is straightforward.

Lemma 3.7 Let \( A \) be a codimension 0 graph submanifold of \( M \) whose boundary is made of a single canonical torus \( T \subset M \) and such that \( \text{Rk}(H_1(A; \mathbb{Z})) = 1 \). If each canonical torus in \( A \) separates \( M \) then \( A \) contains a component which admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is the disk \( D^2 \).

Proof of Lemma 3.6 We first prove (i). Let \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) be the boundary components of \( A \). Suppose that there is a hyperbolic piece \( H \) in \( M \) which is adjacent to \( A \) along \( T_1 \). Up to homotopy we know that \( f(A) \cap \text{int}(B) \) where \( B \) is a Seifert piece in \( N \) and \( f(H) \cap \text{int}(B) \). Since \( f(H) \cap \text{int}(B) \) then \( f(H) \cap B \) contains necessarily one component of \( \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{H} \) and so \( f \) induces a map \( f_1: (A; T_1) \to (B; \mathcal{M}) \).

Since \( f_1(H; \mathcal{H}) \cap (B; \mathcal{M}) \) is a homeomorphism we have found a canonical torus \( U \) in \( \mathcal{M} \) such that \( f_1(T_1) = U \). Suppose \( U \) is a homeomorphism. Recall that \( 1(A) \) has a presentation:

\[
hd_1; d_2; q_1; \cdots; q_i; h: [h; q_i] = [h; q] = 1; \quad q_i = h^{q_i} ; \quad d_1d_2q_1\cdots q_i = h^q
\]
Before continuing the proof of Lemma 3.6 we state the following result.

Lemma 3.8 Let \( M, N \) be two Haken manifolds and let \( f : M \to N \) be a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-homology equivalence. Moreover we assume that \( M \) and \( N \) satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 3.1. If \( T \) is a separating canonical torus which is a boundary of a type II Seifert piece in \( M \) then there exists a finite covering \( p \) of \( M \) induced by \( f \) from a finite covering of \( N \) such that some component of \( p^{-1}(T) \) is non-separating.

Proof Let \( T \) be a separating torus in \( M \) and let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be the components of \( M \setminus T \). We rst prove that \( H_1(X_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) and \( H_1(X_2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). Suppose the contrary. Thus we may assume that \( H_1(X_1; \mathbb{Z}) \ncong \mathbb{Z} \). It follows from (i) of Lemma 3.6, from Lemma 3.1 and from [17, Lemma 3.2] that \( X_1 \) is made of Seifert pieces of Type II. Since \( T = @X_1 \) is a separating torus in \( M \) then each canonical torus in \( X_1 \) separates \( M \). Indeed to see this it is sufcient to prove that if \( A \) is a Seifert piece of \( X_1 \) (of type II) whose a boundary component, say \( T_1 \) is separating in \( M \) then so is the second component of \( @A \), say \( T_2 \). This fact follows easily from the homological exact sequence of the pair \( (A; @A) \). Thus we may apply Lemma 3.7 to \( X_1 \) which gives a contradiction with the fact that \( M \) contains no Seifert piece whose orbit space is a disk. Hence we get \( H_1(X_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). The same argument shows that \( H_1(X_2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). So to complete the proof it is sufcient to apply arguments of [17] in paragraph 4.1.4.

End of proof of Lemma 3.6 We now prove (ii) of Lemma 3.6. Let \( S \) be a Seifert piece adjacent to \( A \) along \( T_1 \). Let \( B_S \) and \( B_A \) be the Seifert pieces in \( N \) such that \( f(A) \cap \text{int}(B_A), f(S) \cap \text{int}(B_S) \) and let \( T_1, T_2 \) be the @ components of \( A \). If \( B_A \not\in B_S \), then by identifying a regular neighborhood \( W(T_1) \) of \( T_1 \) with \( T_1 \to [-1; 1] \) in such a way that \( f(T_1 \cap f^{-1}g) \cap \text{int}(B_A) \)
and \( f(T_1 \ f+1) \ \text{int}(B_S) \) we see, using paragraph 3.3.1, that \( f(W(T_1)) \) must contain a component \( U \) of \( \mathcal{B}_A \). Thus, modifying \( f \) by a homotopy supported on a regular neighborhood of \( T_1 \), we may assume that \( f \) induces a map \( f : (A;T_1)! (B_A;U) \).

**Case 1** Suppose rst that \( T_1 \) is non-separating in \( M \). We may choose a simple closed curve \( \gamma \) in \( M \) such that \( \gamma \) cuts \( T_1 \) in a single point. Since \( f \) is a \( Z \)-homology equivalence it must preserve intersection number and then we get:

\[
[T_1];[\gamma] = \deg(f|_{T_1} : T_1 ! U) \quad [U];[f(\gamma)] = 1
\]

Hence \( \deg(f|_{T_1} : T_1 ! U) = 1 \) and then \( f|_{T_1} : T_1 ! U \) induces an isomorphism \( f|_{T_1} : T_1 ! 1(U) \). Thus we get a contradiction as in the proof of (i) using the fact that \( f \) \((1(A))\) is abelian.

**Case 2** Suppose now that \( T_1 \) separates \( M \) and denote by \( X_S \) the component of \( M \cap T_1 \) which contains \( S \) and by \( X_A \) the component of \( M \cap T_1 \) which contains \( A \). Let \( p:\tilde{M} \rightarrow M \) be the finite covering of \( M \) given by Lemma 3.8 with \( T_1 \). There is a component \( \mathcal{F} \) of \( p^{-1}(T_1) \) which is non-separating in \( \tilde{M} \). Let \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S} \) be the Seifert components of \( \tilde{M} \) adjacent to both sides of \( \mathcal{F} \). Recall that \( \mathcal{A} \) is necessarily a Seifert piece of type II such that \( f \) \((\mathcal{A})\) is abelian (see Lemma 3.1). Let \( B_A \) (resp. \( B_S \)) be the Seifert pieces of \( \mathcal{F} \) such that

\[
f(\mathcal{A}); \text{int}(B_A) \quad f(\mathcal{S}); \text{int}(B_S)
\]

Since \( B_A \in B_S \) then \( B_A \in B_S \), and thus there is a component \( \mathcal{G} \) in \( \mathcal{B}_A \) such that \( f \) induces a map \( f(\mathcal{G}); (\mathcal{A};\mathcal{F})! (B_A;\mathcal{G}) \). Since \( \mathcal{F} \) is non-separating we have a reduction to case 1. This proves Lemma 3.6.

**Lemma 3.9** There is a homotopy \((f_t)_{0 \rightarrow 1} \) with \( f_0 = f \) and \( f_j(H_M;@H_M) = f_j(H_M;@H_M) \) and a collection of canonical tori \( f T_j ; j \geq 2 \) \( g W_M^S \) such that:

(i) \( f_1 \) is transversal to \( W_N^S \),

(ii) \( f_1^{-1}(W_N^S) = S \)

(iii) the family \( f T_j ; j \geq 2 \) \( g \) corresponds exactly to tori of \( W_M^S \) which are adjacent on both sides to Seifert pieces of type I.

**Proof** The proof of (i) and (ii) are similar to paragraphs 4.3.3 and 4.3.6 of [17]. Thus we only prove (iii). Let \( T \) be a component of \( W_M^S \) which is adjacent to Seifert pieces of type I denoted by \( A_i, A_j \) in \( M \). Using the same arguments.
as in paragraph 4.3.7 of [17] we prove that T \([-1;1]\) contains exactly one component of \(f^{-1}(W_0^0)\).

On the other hand if T is the boundary component of a Seifert piece of type II denoted by \(A_i\) we denote by \(A_j\) the other Seifert piece adjacent to T. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that \(B_i = B_j\). Thus we get \(f(A_i) \cap (T \cap [-1;1]) [A_j]\) \(\text{int}(B_i)\), and hence \(T \cap [-1;1]\) contains no component of \(f^{-1}(W_0^0)\). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.9.

\[\square\]

### 3.3.3 End of proof of lemma 3.4

Let \(V_1; \ldots; V_{i(M)}\) be the components of \((M \times \mathbb{H}_M) \cap ([j ; l] T_j)\) where \(f T_j; j \in J\) is the family of canonical tori given by Lemma 3.9. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that \(f\) induces a map \(f_1 : (V_i; @V_i) ! (B_i; @B_i)\). Since \(\text{deg}(f) = 1\), then the correspondence \(f_1 ; \ldots ; f(M) g 3 i \not= j \not= 2 f_1 ; \ldots ; s(N) g\) is surjective.

(a) The fact that the graph manifolds \(V_1; \ldots; V_{i(M)}\) contain some Seifert piece of type I comes from the construction of the \(V_i\) and from Lemma 3.6. Remark that the construction implies that if \(A_i\) is a Seifert piece in \(V_i\) such that \(@V_i \cap @V_i\) is bijective. Since \(f\) is a degree one map then to see this it is sufficient to prove that this map is injective. Suppose the contrary. Hence we may choose two pieces \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) which are sent in the same Seifert piece \(B_i\) in \(N\). If \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) are adjacent we denote by \(T\) a common boundary component and by \(A_1\) \(V_1\) and \(A_2\) \(V_2\) the Seifert pieces (necessarily of type I) adjacent to \(T\). Thus by [17, Lemma 4.3.4] we have a contradiction. Thus we may assume that \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) are non-adjacent. Since \(\text{deg}(f) = 1\) we may assume, after re-indexing, that \(f_1 : (V_1; @V_1) ! (B_1; @B_1)\) has non-zero degree and that \(f_2 : (V_2; @V_2) ! (B_2; @B_2)\) with \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) non-adjacent. Moreover, if \(A_i\) (resp. \(V_i\)) denotes the space obtained from \(A_i\) (resp. \(V_i\)) by identifying each component of \(@V_i\) (resp. \(@V_i\)) to a point, we have: \(\text{Rk}(H_1(A_i; Q)) = \text{Rk}(H_1(V_i; Q))\). Since \(A_i\) is of Type I, using [17, Lemma 3.2], we get \(\text{Rk}(H_1(A_i; Q)) = 4\) and thus \(\text{Rk}(H_1(V_i; Q)) = 4\). Thus to obtain a contradiction we apply the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.3.9 of [17] to \(V_1\) and \(V_2\). This proves point (i) of Lemma 3.4.

We now show that we can arrange \(f\) so that \(f j @V_i : @V_i ! @B_i\) is a homeomorphism for all \(i\). The above paragraph implies that \(f\) induces maps \(f_i : (V_i; @V_i) ! (B_i; @B_i)\) such that \(\text{deg}(f_i) = \text{deg}(f) = 1\) for all \(i\). Thus we need only to show that \(f_i\) induces a one-to-one map from the set of components.
A criterion for homeomorphism between closed Haken manifolds

of \( @V \) to the set of components of \( @B \). To see this we apply arguments of paragraph 4.3.12 of [17].

Since \( f \) is a \( Z \)-homology equivalence and since \( f_i \) is a degree one map and restricts to a homeomorphism on the boundary, by a Mayer-Vietoris argument we see that \( f_i \) is a \( Z \)-homology equivalence for every \( i \). This achieves the proof of Lemma 3.4.

3.4 Proof of Lemma 3.3

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that to prove Lemma 3.3 it is sufficient to show that any graph manifold \( V = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_t \cup (M) \) contains exactly one Seifert piece (necessarily of Type I). In fact it is sufficient to prove that \( V \) does not contain type II components. Indeed, in this case, if there were two adjacent pieces of type I, they could not be sent into the same Seifert piece in \( N \), by an argument made in paragraph 3.3.3. So we suppose that \( V \) contains pieces of type II. Then we can find a finite chain \( (A_1; \cdots; A_n) \) of Seifert pieces of type II in \( V \) such that:

(i) \( A_i \) \( \text{int}(V) \) for \( i \) \( \neq 1 \); \( \cdots; n \),

(ii) \( A_1 \) is adjacent in \( V \) to a Seifert piece of type I, denoted by \( S_1 \), along a canonical torus \( T_1 \) of \( W_M \) and \( A_n \) is adjacent to a Seifert piece of type I, denoted by \( S_n \) in \( V \) along a canonical torus \( T_n \),

(iii) for each \( i \) \( \neq 1 \); \( \cdots; n-1 \) the space \( A_i \) is adjacent to \( A_{i+1} \) along a single canonical torus in \( M \).

This means that each Seifert piece of type II in \( M \) can be included in a maximal chain of Seifert pieces of type II. In the following we will denote by \( X \) the connected space \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i \) corresponding to a maximal chain of Seifert pieces of type II in \( V \) and by \( B = F \times S^1 \) the Seifert piece of \( N \) such that \( f(V; @V) = (B; @B) \).

Remark 2 In the following we can always assume, using Lemma 3.8, up to finite covering, that \( M \cup X \) is connected (i.e. \( T_1 \) is non-separating in \( M \)).

In the proof of lemma 3.3 it will be convenient to separate the two following (exclusive) situations:

Case 1 We assume that \( T_1 \) is a non-separating torus in \( V \) (i.e. \( V \cup X \) is connected),
Case 2  We assume that $T_1$ is a separating torus in $V$ (i.e. $V \cap X$ is disconnected).

We first prove that Case 1 is impossible (see section 3.4.1). We next show (see section 3.4.2) that in Case 2 there is a finite covering $p: \tilde{M} \to M$ induced by $f$ from some finite covering of $N$ such that for each component $\Psi$ of $p^{-1}(V)$ the component $\Phi$ of $p^{-1}(X)$ which is included in $\Psi$ is non-separating in $\Psi$, which gives a reduction to Case 1. This will imply that the family $X$ of components of type II in $V$ is empty and then the proof of Lemma 3.3 will be complete. Before the beginning of the proof we state the following result (notations and hypothesis are the same as in the above paragraph).

**Lemma 3.10** Let $V$ be a graph piece in $M$ corresponding to the decomposition given by Lemma 3.4 and let $X$ be a maximal chain of Seifert pieces of type II in $V$. Then the homomorphism $(i_X)_*: H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$, induced by the inclusion $\partial X \to X$ is surjective.

**Proof** Let $G$ be the space $M \cap X$ (connected by Remark 2). Since $G$ contains at least one Seifert piece of type I, then using [17, Lemma 3.2], we get $\text{Rk}(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})) \neq 0$. Thus the homomorphism $(i_G)_*: H_1(\partial G; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the inclusion $i_G: \partial G \to G$ is not surjective. Thus there exists a non-trivial torsion group $L_G$ and a surjective homomorphism $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \to L_G$ such that $(i_G)_* = 0$. On the other hand if we assume that $(i_X)_*: H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is not surjective, then there is a non-trivial torsion group $L_X$ and a surjective homomorphism $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \to L_X$ such that $(i_X)_* = 0$, where $i_X$ is the inclusion $\partial X \to X$. Thus using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of the decomposition $M = X \cup G$, we get:

$$H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

which allows us to construct a surjective homomorphism

$$H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to L_X \oplus L_G$$

such that $(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})) \neq 0$, $(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})) \neq 0$ and $(H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})) = 0$. Let $p: \tilde{M} \to M$ be the finite covering corresponding to $G$. Then $p^{-1}(\partial X)$ has $j|L_G|$ components and each component of $p^{-1}(G)$ (resp. of $p^{-1}(X)$) contains $2|L_X j > 2$ (resp. $2|L_G j > 2$) boundary components. This implies that for each component of $p^{-1}(X)$ the number of boundary components over $T_1$ is $j|L_G j > 1$, which implies the each component of $p^{-1}(X)$ contains some Seifert piece which
are not of type II. Moreover since \( p \) is an abelian covering and since \( f \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-homology equivalence then \( \tilde{M} \) is induced by \( f \) from a finite covering of \( N \). Since \( X \) is made of Seifert pieces of type II this contradicts Lemma 3.1 and proves Lemma 3.10.

3.4.1 The "non-separating" case

In this section we prove that if \( V \cap X \) is connected then we get a contradiction. This result depends on the following Lemma:

**Lemma 3.11** Let \( W(T_1) \) be a regular neighborhood of \( T_1 \). Then there exists an incompressible vertical torus \( U = \Gamma \times S^1 \) in \( B' \setminus F \), \( S^1 \) where \( \Gamma \) is a simple closed curve and a homotopy \((f_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}\) such that:

(i) \( f_0 = f \), the homotopy \((f_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}\) is equal to \( f \) when restricted to \( M \cap W(T_1) \) and \( f_1(T_1) = U \),

(ii) \( f_1(U; x) = h_u; t_B \) with \( x \) \( f_1(T_1) \), \( u \) is represented by the curve \( \Gamma \) in \( F \) and \( t_B \) is represented by the fiber of \( 1(B; x) \).

**Proof** Denote by \( X_1 \) the space \( f(T_1) \). Since \( T_1 \) is a non-separating torus in \( V \) we can choose a simple closed curve \( \gamma \) in \( \text{int}(V) \) such that:

(i) \( \gamma \) cuts each component of \( \partial A_i \), \( i = 1; \ldots; n \) transversally in a single point and the other canonical tori of \( \text{int}(V) \) transversally,

(ii) \( \gamma \) represents a generator of \( H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = T(M) \) where \( T(M) \) is the torsion submodule of \( H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \).

![Figure 1](image-url)
Let $? \in T_1$ such that $\gamma \cap T_1 = f(?)$ and set $x = f(?)$. Let $h$ be the homotopy class of the regular fibre of $A_1$ and let $d_1$ be an element in $\pi_1(A_1; ?)$ such that $\bar{h}d_1; h = \pi_1(T_1; ?)$. We now choose a basis of $H_1 (M; Z) = \mathbb{T}(M) = \mathbb{T}(M)$ of type $f[y]; e_2; \ldots; e_n$. Since $f$ is a $Z$-homology equivalence then the family $f(f(y)); f(e_2); \ldots; f(e_n)$ is a basis of $H_1(N; Z) = \mathbb{T}(N)$. We want to construct an epimorphism $p_1 : H_1(N; Z) \rightarrow Z$ such that $p_1(f([y]))$ is a generator of $Z$ and such that $p_2(f([h])) = 0$.

To do this we choose a basis $f[y]; e_2; \ldots; e_n$ of $H_1(M; Z) = \mathbb{T}(M)$ so that $[T_1]e_i = 0$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Denote by $i$ the inclusion $T_1 \rightarrow M$. Since $[T_1]i(h) = [T_1]i(d_1) = 0$ then $i(h)$ and $i(d_1)$ are in the subspace $K$ of $H_1(M; Z) = \mathbb{T}(M)$ generated by $e_2; \ldots; e_n$. So it is sufficient to choose $p_1$ equal to the projection of $H_1(N; Z)$ on $Zf([y])$ with respect to $f(K)$. Denote by $\gamma$ the following homomorphism:

$$\gamma : 1(N; x) \xrightarrow{f} H_1(N, Z) \xrightarrow{p_1} Z$$

Thus we get an epimorphism $\gamma : 1(N; x) \rightarrow Z$ such that $\gamma(f([y])) = z^1$ where $z$ is a generator of $Z$ and $x = f(?)$. Since $1(B; x)$ is a subgroup of $1(N; x)$ and since $f([y])$ is represented by $f(y)$ in $B$ then $\gamma$ induces an epimorphism $\gamma : 1(B; x) \rightarrow 1(S^1)$ with $\gamma(f([y])) = z^1$ and $\gamma(1(X_1; x)) = 0$ in $Z$. Since $B$ and $S^1$ are both $K(1; 1)$, it follows from Obstruction theory (see [8]) that there is a continuous map $\gamma : (B;x) \rightarrow (S^1,y)$ which induces the above homomorphism and such that $\gamma = (x)$.

The end of proof of Lemma 3.11 depends on the following result. Notations and hypothesis are the same as in the above paragraph.

**Lemma 3.12** There is a homotopy $(\gamma)_0 \sim \gamma$ such that:

(i) $\gamma(X_1) = \gamma(f(T_1)) = y$,

(ii) $\gamma^{-1}(y)$ is a collection of incompressible surfaces in $B$.

**Proof** Since $(\gamma(X_1; x)) = 0$ in $1(S^1; y)$ then the homomorphism $(\gamma X_1) : 1(X_1; x) \rightarrow 1(S^1; y)$ factors through $1(z)$ where $z$ is a $0$-simplex. Then there exist two maps $1(X_1; x) \rightarrow 1(z)$ and $1(z) \rightarrow 1(S^1; y)$ such that $(\gamma X_1) = 0$. Since $z$ and $S^1$ are both $K(1; 1)$ then the homomorphisms on $1$ are induced by maps $1(X_1; x) \rightarrow 1(S^1; y)$ and $\gamma X_1$ is homotopic to $\gamma$. Thus we extend this homotopy to $B$ and we denote by $\gamma$ the resulting map. Then the map $\gamma : (B; x) \rightarrow (S^1; y)$ is homotopic to $\gamma$ and $\gamma(X_1) = y$. This proves point (i) of the Lemma.
Using [8, Lemma 6.4], we may suppose that each component of $0^{-1}(y)$ is a surface in $B$. To complete the proof of the lemma it is sufficient to show that after changing $0$ by a homotopy xing $9X_1$, then each component of $0^{-1}(y)$ is incompressible in $B$. In [8, pp. 60-61], J. Hempel proves this point using chirurgical arguments on the map $0$ to get a simplicial map $1$ homotopic to $0$ such that $1$ is "simpler" than $0$, (this means that $c(1) < c(0)$ where $c()$ is the complexity of ) and inducts on the complexity of $0$. But these chirurgical arguments can a priori modify the behavior of $9X_1$. So we will use some other arguments. Let $U$ be the component of $0^{-1}(y)$ which contains $f(T_1) = X_1$. Then since $f|T_1 : T_1 \to N$ is non-degenerate the map $f : (T_1; ?) \to (U; x)$ induces an injective homomorphism $(f|T_1) : 1(T_1; ?) \to 1(U; x)$. Since $1(U; x)$ is a surface group then $1(U; x)$ has one of the following forms:

(i) a free abelian group of rank 2 or,
(ii) a non-abelian free group (when $@U \neq ;$ ) or,
(iii) a free product with amalgamation of two non-abelian free groups.

Since $1(U; x)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $Z \times Z$ then $1(U; x)$ isomorphic to $Z \times Z$ and hence $U$ is an incompressible torus in $B$. Note that we necessarily have $f(T_1) = U$. Indeed if there were a point $? 2 U$ such that $f(T_1) = U - f?g$ then the two generators free group $1(U - f?g)$ would contain the group $f (1(T_1)) = Z \times Z$, which is impossible.

**End of proof of Lemma 3.11** We show here that $U$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.11. Since $( f( (g)) = z$ then the intersection number (counted with sign) of $f(y)$ with $U$ is an odd number and then $U$ is a non-separating incompressible torus in $B$. Let $t_{S_1}$ be an element of $1(S_1; ?)$ represented by a regular ber in $S_1$ and let $t_{B} 2 1(B; x)$ be represented by the ber in $B$. Since $S_1$ is a Seifert piece of Type I, we get $f(t_{S_1}) = t_{B}$. Indeed, the image of $t_{S_1}$ in $1(S_1; ?)$ is central, hence the centralizer of $f(t_{S_1})$ in $1(B; x)$ contains $(f|S_1) (1(S_1; ?))$ and since $S_1$ is of type I, by the second assertion of [17, Lemma 4.2.1] the latter group is non abelian, which implies, using [12, addendum to Theorem VI.1.6] that $f(t_{S_1}) \not\subset i$. Thus $1(U; x) \not\subset i$. i.e. $1(U; x)$ contains an in finite subgroup which is central in $1(B; x)$ and $1(U; x) \not\subset i$. Then using [11, Theorem VI.3.4] we know that $U$ is a saturated torus in $B$, then $1(U; x) = h; u; t_{B} i$ where $u$ is represented by a simple closed curve in $F$. This ends the proof of Lemma 3.11.

**End of proof of case 1** It follows from the above paragraph that $[T_1]; [y] = [U]; [f( (g))] = 1$ and thus $f|T_1 : T_1 \to U$ is a degree one map. So $f$ :
(A_1; T_1; ?) ! (B; U; x) induces an isomorphism f : 1(T_1; ?) ! 1(U; x). Recall that 1(A_1; ?) has a presentation:

h d_1; d_2; q_1; \ldots; q_r : [h; d_1] = [h; q_1] = h\gamma_1; d_1 d_2 = q_1 \cdots q_r h_1

where d_1 is chosen in such a way that 1(T_1; ?) = h d_1; h 1. Hence there are two integers \(i_1\) and \(i_2\) such that \(f(h) = (u; t_1)\) and \((\ ; \ ) = 1\). Since \(f(1(A_1; ?))\) is an abelian group we have \(f(c) = (u_1; t_1')\) where \(c_i\) denotes the homotopy class of an exceptional fiber in \(A_1\). Since \(c_1 = h\) then \(i_1(\ ; \ )\): This is a contradiction.

\[\Box\]

\[3.4.2\ \text{The \"separating\" case}\]

We suppose here that \(T_1\) is a separating torus in V. We set \(X = S_{1 i n} A_i\). Moreover it follows from Remark 2, that the space \(M_{nX}\) is connected. Let \(G\) denote the space \(M_{nX}\) and let \(T_1, T_n\) be the canonical tori of \(M\) such that \(T_1 \cap T_n = @X = @G\). Consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_1(S_1; \mathbb{Z}) \\
\downarrow k & & \downarrow k \\
H_1(T_1; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_1(S_1; \mathbb{Z})
\end{array}
\]

Since \(S_1\) and \(S_n\) are Seifert pieces of type I then \(\text{Rk}(H_1(S_1; \mathbb{Z}) ! H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})) = 6\) and \(\text{Rk}(H_1(S_n; \mathbb{Z}) ! H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})) = 6\) (see [17, Lemma 3.2]).

So there exists a non-trivial torsion group \(L_G\) and an epimorphism:

\[G : H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) ! L_G\]
such that $i_G(1_G) = 0$, $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{G}(H_1(S_1; Z))) \neq 0$ and $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{G}(H_1(S_1; Z))) \neq 0$, where $i_G$ denotes the inclusion of $\mathcal{G}$ in $G$ $(i_G = (j) \ (i))$. It follows from Lemma 3.10 that the homomorphism $(i_X) : H_1(\mathcal{G}; Z) \to H_1(X; Z)$ is surjective. Then by the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of $M = X \setminus G$ we get an epimorphism:
\[ H_1(M; Z) \to L_G; \]
such that $1 = 0$ and $(i_X) = 0$ where $i : \mathcal{G} \to M$ and $i_X : X \setminus M$ denote the inclusion and $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{G}(H_1(S_1; Z))) \neq 0$, $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{G}(H_1(S_1; Z))) \neq 0$.

Let $p : \bar{M} \to M$ be the finite covering induced by $\mathcal{G}$. Since it is an abelian covering and since $f$ is a homology equivalence this covering is induced from a finite covering $\bar{N}$ of $N$. Moreover it follows from the above contraction that $p^{-1}(X)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(G)$) has $j_G(f) > 1$ (resp. $j_G(f)$) components and if $\mathcal{S}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_n$) denotes a component of $p^{-1}(S_1)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(S_n)$) then $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}_1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}_n)$) contains at least two components of $p^{-1}(T_1)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(T_n)$). Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a component of $p^{-1}(V)$ in $\bar{M}$ and let $\mathcal{S}_1^1; \cdots; \mathcal{S}_1^n$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_n^1; \cdots; \mathcal{S}_n^n$) denote the components of $p^{-1}(S_1)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(S_n)$) which are in $\mathcal{V}$.

It follows from the construction of $p$ that each component of $\mathcal{S}_i$ (for $i = 1; n$ and $j, k, l \neq 1$) has at least two boundary components and the components $\bar{X}_1; \cdots; \bar{X}_f$ of $p^{-1}(X) \setminus \mathcal{V}$ are all homeomorphic to $X$ (i.e. the covering is trivial over $X$ because of the surjectivity of $H_1(\mathcal{G}; Z) \to H_1(X; Z)$). Let $A$ denote the submanifold $\mathcal{V}$ equal to $\cup_i \mathcal{S}_1^i \cup \cup_j \bar{X}_1^j \cup \cup_k \mathcal{S}_1^k$ where we have glued the boundary components of the $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ with the boundary components of the corresponding spaces $\mathcal{S}_i$.

Hence it follows from the construction that there is a submanifold $\mathcal{X}_i$ with a boundary component, say $T_1$, which is non-separating in $A$ (and thus in $\mathcal{V}$). Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the Seifert piece of $\mathcal{X}_i$ such that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$. So we can choose a simple closed curve $\gamma$ in $A$ such that $\gamma$ cuts transversally the canonical tori of $A$ in at most one point, such that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{B}$. Thus we have a reduction to the non-separating case. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

\section{3.5 Proof of the third step}

We complete here the proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $B_i$ be a Seifert piece of the decomposition of $N$ given by Lemma 3.3 and let $A_i$ be the Seifert piece in $M$ such that $f(A_i; G \cup A_i)(B_i; G \cup A_i)$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that the induced map $f_i = f(j(A_i; G \cup A_i)) : (A_i; G \cup A_i) \to (B_i; G \cup A_i)$
is a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology equivalence and the map $f_i : \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{B}_i$ is a homeomorphism. So to complete the proof it is sufficient to show that we can change $f_i$ by a homotopy (rel. $\partial \mathcal{A}_i$) to a homeomorphism. To see this we first prove that $f_i$ induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups and we next use [24, Corollary 6.5] to conclude. To prove that maps $f_i$ induce an isomorphism $(f_i) : \pi_1(\mathcal{A}_i) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{B}_i)$ we apply arguments of [17, Paragraphs 4.3.15 and 4.3.16]. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

4 Study of the degenerate canonical tori

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.7. Recall that the Haken manifold $N^3$ has large first Betti number ($\chi(N^3) = 3$) and that each Seifert piece in $N^3$ is homeomorphic to a product $F \times S^1$ where $F$ is an orientable surface with at least two boundary components.

4.1 A key lemma for Theorem 1.7

This section is devoted to the proof of the following result.
Lemma 4.1. Let $f : M \to N$ be a map satisfying hypothesis of Theorem 1.7. If $T$ denotes a degenerate canonical torus in $M$ then $T$ separates $M$ into two submanifolds and there is a component (and only one), say $A$, of $M \setminus T$, such that:

(i) $H_1(A; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z},$

(ii) for any finite covering $p$ of $M$ induced by $f$ from some finite covering of $N$ the components of $p^{-1}(A)$ have connected boundary,

(iii) for any finite covering $p$ of $M$ induced by $f$ from some finite covering of $N$ then each component $\mathcal{E}$ of $p^{-1}(A)$ satisfies $H_1(\mathcal{E}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.$

Proof. It follows from [17, paragraph 4.1.3] that if $T$ is a degenerate canonical torus in $M$ then $T$ separates $M$ into two submanifolds $A$ and $B$ such that $H_1(A; \mathbb{Z})$ or $H_1(B; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. Fix notations in such a way that $H_1(A; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Note that since $\chi(N) = 3$ then it follows from the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of the decomposition $M = A \cup B$ that $\chi(B) = 3$. So to complete the proof of Lemma 4.1 it is sufficient to prove (ii) and (iii).

We first prove (ii) for regular coverings. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a regular finite covering of $N$ and denote by $\hat{M}$ the induced finite covering over $M$. Since $p : \hat{M} \to M$ is regular we can denote by $k$ (resp. $k^0$) the number of connected components of $p^{-1}(A)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(B)$) and by $p$ (resp. $p'$) the number of boundary components of each component of $p^{-1}(A)$ (resp. of $p^{-1}(B)$).

Let $\mathcal{E}_1; \ldots; \mathcal{E}_k$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_1; \ldots; \mathcal{B}_{k^0}$) denote the components of $p^{-1}(A)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(B)$). For each $i = 1; \ldots; k$ ($j = 1; \ldots; k^0$) choose a base point $a_i$ (resp. $b_j$) in the interior of each space $\mathcal{E}_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_j$) and choose a base point $Q_i$ in each component of $p^{-1}(T)$ (for $l = 1; \ldots; \text{Card}(p^{-1}(T)))$. For each $\mathcal{E}_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_j$) and each component $\mathcal{E}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_j \in \mathcal{B}_j$) we choose an embedded path $\mathcal{P}_i$ in $\mathcal{E}_i$ joining $a_i$ to $Q_i$ (resp. a path $\mathcal{P}_j$ in $\mathcal{B}_j$ joining $b_j$ to $Q_m$); we choose these paths in such a way that they don't meet in their interior. Their union is a connected graph denoted by $\Gamma$.

Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is a free group with $1 - (\Gamma)$ generators. In particular $H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ is the free abelian group of rank $1 - (\Gamma)$ where $(\Gamma)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of $\Gamma$. Thus we have:

$$\pi_1(\Gamma) = pk + k + k^0 \quad \text{with} \quad pk = p^0.$$  

So suppose that $p$ and $p^0 = 2$. Then we get:

$$\pi_1(\Gamma) = k - k^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(\Gamma) = k^0 - k.$$
Thus we get $(\Gamma)$ 0 and $\text{Rk}(H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})) = 1$. Then there exists at least one 1-cycle in $\Gamma$, and thus we can find a component of $p^{-1}(T)$ which is a non-separating torus in $\hat{M}$. So it follows from [17, paragraph 4.1.3] that there exists a canonical torus $\mathcal{T}$ in $p^{-1}(T)$ such that $\mathcal{f}|\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{T}!$. $\mathcal{T}$ is a non-degenerate map. Since $f|T: T \Rightarrow N$ is a degenerate map, we have a contradiction.

So we can suppose that $p$ or $p^0$ is equal to 1. So suppose that $p > 1$. Hence we have $p^0 = 1$, $p^{-1}(A)$ is connected with $p$ boundary components and $p^{-1}(B)$ has $p$ components $\mathcal{B}_1; \ldots; \mathcal{B}_p$ and each of them have connected boundary. Note that since $1(\mathcal{B}) = 3$ then it follows from [17, Lemma 3.4] that $1(\mathcal{B}_i) = 3$ for $i = 1; \ldots; p$. Set $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{p-1} = p^{-1}(A) \cap \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2 \ldots \cap \mathcal{T}_{p-1} \mathcal{B}_{p-1}$. It follows easily by a Mayer-Vietoris argument that $1(\mathcal{R}_{p-1}) = 2$. So we get a contradiction with the first step of the lemma since $\hat{M} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \cap \mathcal{B}_p$ and since

A criterion for homeomorphism between closed Haken manifolds

To complete the proof of (ii) it is sufficient to consider the case of a finite covering \( q: N \rightarrow \tilde{N} \). Then there exists a finite covering \( q_1: N' \rightarrow \tilde{N} \) such that \( N = q_1: N' \rightarrow N \) is regular. Denote by \( p \) (resp. \( q_1 \), resp. \( N \)) the covering induced by \( f \) which comes from \( q \) (resp. \( q_1 \), resp. \( N \)). It follows from the above paragraph that each component of \( p^{-1}(A) = q_1^{-1}(q^{-1}(A)) \) has connected boundary. So each component of \( p^{-1}(A) \) has connected boundary too, which completes the proof of (ii).

We now prove (iii). So suppose that there is a finite covering \( p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M \), induced by \( f \) from some finite covering of \( N \) such that a component \( eA \) of \( p^{-1}(A) \) satisfies \( H_1(eA; \mathbb{Z}) \neq \mathbb{Z} \). Then as in [17, paragraph 4.1.4] we can construct a finite abelian covering \( q: M' \rightarrow \tilde{M} \) in such a way that the components of \( q^{-1}(A) \) have at least two boundary components which contradicts (ii). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.7

In the following we denote by \( T \) a canonical torus in \( M \) which degenerates under the map \( f: M \rightarrow N \), by \( A \) the component of \( M \setminus T \) (given by Lemma 4.1) satisfying \( H_1(A; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \) and we set \( B = M \setminus A \) with \( 1(B) = 2 \). We will show that the piece \( A \) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.7.

4.2.1 Characterization of the non-degenerate components of \( A \)

To prove Theorem 1.7 we will show that each Seifert piece in \( A \) degenerates under the map \( f \). Suppose the contrary. The purpose of this section is to prove the following result which describes the (eventually) non-degenerate Seifert pieces of \( A \).

Lemma 4.2 Let \( T \) be a degenerate canonical torus in \( M \) and let \( A \) be the component of \( M \setminus T \) such that \( H_1(A; \mathbb{Z}) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( S \) be a Seifert piece in \( A \) (using [17, Lemma 3.2] we know that \( S \) admits a base of genus 0) such that \( fjS : S \rightarrow N \) is non-degenerate. Then we get the following properties:

(i) there exist exactly two components \( T_1; T_2 \) of \( @S \) such that the map \( fjT_i : T_i \rightarrow N \) is non-degenerate,

(ii) \( f(\_1(S)) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \),

(iii) if \( p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M \) denotes a finite covering of \( M \) induced by \( f \) from some finite covering of \( N \) then each component of \( p^{-1}(S) \) satisfies (i) and (ii).
This result will be used in the paragraph 4.2.2 to get a contradiction. The proof of Lemma 4.2 depends on the following result.

**Lemma 4.3** Let $S$ be a Seifert piece in $M$ whose orbit space is surface of genus 0. Suppose that $fjS : S ! N$ is a non-degenerate map. Then there exist at least two components $T_1$ and $T_2$ in $\mathcal{S}$ such that $fjT_i : T_i ! N$ is non-degenerate.

**Proof** Let us recall that the group $\pi_1(S)$ has a presentation (a):

$$h; d_1; \ldots ; d_p; h; q_1; \ldots ; q_i : [h; d_i] = 1; q^j = h^{d_i} ; d_1; d_2; \ldots ; d_p = h^{d_i}$$

Since $fjS : S ! N$ is a non-degenerate map, then using [12, Mapping Theorem] we may suppose, after modifying $f$ by a homotopy, that $f(S)$ is contained in a Seifert piece $B \xrightarrow{f} S^1$ in $N$.

1. We first show that if the map $fjS : S ! N$ is non-degenerate then $S$ contains at least one boundary component which is non-degenerate under $f$. To see this, we suppose the contrary: we will show that if each boundary component of $S$ degenerates under $f$ then: $f(\pi_1(S)) \to Z$ which gives a contradiction with the definition of non-degenerate maps (see [12]).

Since $fjS : S ! N$ is non-degenerate, we have $f(h) \in 1$ and then $f(hd_1; hi)'Z$. Thus there exist two integers $i$ and $i'$ such that

$$f^i(d_i) = f^i(h) \text{ and } f^i(q_i) = f^i(h)(?)$$

**Case 1.1** We suppose that the group $f(\pi_1(S))$ is abelian (remember that the group $f(\pi_1(S))$ is torsion free). Thus it follows from equalities (?) and from the presentation (a) above that $f(\pi_1(S))$ is necessarily isomorphic to the free abelian group of rank 1.

**Case 1.2** We suppose that the group $f(\pi_1(S))$ is non-abelian. Since $h$ is central in $\pi_1(S)$, the centralizer $(fjS)(h)$ in $\pi_1(B)$ contains $f(\pi_1(S))$. Since the latter group is non-abelian, it follows from [12, addendum to Theorem VI 1.6] that $f(h)$ is an injective map and that $f(jhd_1; hi)'Z$ which is a contradiction.

2. We show now that if $fjS : S ! N$ is a non-degenerate map then $S$ contains at least two boundary components which are non-degenerate under $f$. To do this we suppose the contrary. This means that we can assume that $f(jhd_1; hi)'Z$. Thus using the presentation (a) we get $f(\pi_1(S)) \to Z$ which is a contradiction.
Case 2.1 We suppose that the group $f(1(S))$ is abelian. Thus since

$$d_1 \cdots d_p q_1 \cdots q_r = h^b$$

we get $\text{Rk}(hf(d_1); f(h)i) = 1$. This is a contradiction.

Case 2.2 We suppose that the group $f(1(S))$ is non-abelian. Since $h$ is central in $1(S)$, then by the same argument as in Case 1.2 we get $f(h)$ 2 lifi where $t$ the homotopy class of the regular fiber in $B$. Thus $f(qj)$ 2 lifi for $i = 1; \cdots; r$ and $f(dj)$ 2 lifi for $j = 2; \cdots; p$. Then using (??) we get $\text{Rk}(hf(dj); f(h)i) = 1$. This is contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Proof of Lemma 4.2 Since $S$ is non-degenerate, we denote by $B' : F S^1$ the Seifert piece of $N$ such that $f(S) \rightarrow B'$ and by $t$ the (regular) fiber in $B$. Suppose that $S$ contains at least three injective tori in $@S$. Denote by $N$ the finite covering of $N$ given by Lemma 3.2. $N$ admits a finite covering $(N; p)$ which is regular over $N$. Then each component of the covering over $S$ induced from $N$ by $f$ admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is a surface of genus 1 and then, by regularity, each component of $p^{-1}(A)$ contains a Seifert piece whose orbit space is a surface of genus 1.

Let $A_1; \cdots; A_p$ be the components of $p^{-1}(A)$ and set $B = p^{-1}(B)$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $B'$ is connected and each component $A_i$, $i = 1; \cdots; p$ has a connected boundary. Since $1(A_i)$ 2 using [17, Lemma 3.2] and $1(B) = 3$, we get a contradiction with Lemma 4.1. This proves (i).

Suppose now that the group $f(1(S))$ is non-abelian. Since $S$ admits a Seifert fibration over a surface of genus 0 then $1(S)$ admits a presentation as in (a) (see the proof of Lemma 4.3). Using (i) of Lemma 4.2 we may assume that $hd_1; hi; hd_2; hi$ are injective tori and that $hd_j; hi$, $i = 3; \cdots; p$ are degenerate. Then we know that the elements $f(dj)$ and $f(qj)$ are in $hti$, (for $i = 3$ and $j = 1; \cdots; r$), and then it follows from (??) that:

$$f(dj)f(dj) 2 lifi$$

(1)

Since $B'$ is a product, we may write: $f(dj) = (u;t^1)$ and $f(dj) = (v;t^2)$.

Thus it follows from (1) that $v = u^{-1}$, and then $f(1(S))$ is an abelian group. This is a contradiction. So $f(1(S))$ is abelian. Since $fjS: S \rightarrow N$ is a non-degenerate map and since $1(N)$ is a torsion free group, $f(1(S))$ is a finitely generated abelian free subgroup of $1(N)$. Using [11, Theorem V.6] we know that there exists a compact 3-manifold $V$ and an immersion $g: V \rightarrow N$ such that $g(1(V) = 1(N)$ is an isomorphism onto $f(1(S))$. Finally $f(1(S))$...
is a free abelian group of rank at least two which is the fundamental group of a 3-manifold. Then using [11, exemple V.8] we get that \( f ( \_ (S)) \) is a free abelian group of rank 2 or 3.

Then we prove here that we necessarily have \( f ( \_ (S)) \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z \). We know that \( \text{Rk}(\text{if} \ (q); f (h)i) = 1 \) for \( j = 1; \ldots ; r \) and by (i) \( \text{Rk}(\text{if} \ (d_i); f (h)i) = 1 \) for \( i = 1; \ldots ; p \) and \( \text{Rk}(\text{if} \ (d_1); f (h)i) = \text{Rk}(\text{if} \ (d_2); f (h)i) = 2 \). Then using (??) and the fact that \( f ( \_ (S)) \) is an abelian group, we can find two integers \( h \) such that \( f (d_1) f (d_2) = f (h) \). This implies that \( f (d_2) \rightarrow f (d_2); f (h)i \) and then \( f ( \_ (S)) \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z \). This proves (ii). The proof of (iii) is a direct consequence of (i) and (ii).

\[ \square \]

4.2.2 End of proof of Theorem 1.7

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.7 it is sufficient to prove (ii). So we first prove that each Seifert piece of \( A \) degenerates and that \( A \) is a graph manifold. Denote by \( S_0 \) the component of \( A \) which is adjacent to \( T = \mathbb{A} \). It follows from [20, Lemma 2] that \( S_0 \) is necessarily a Seifert piece of \( A \). We prove that \( f |S_0 : S_0 \rightarrow N \) is a degenerate map. Suppose the contrary. Thus \( S_0 \) satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 4.2. Let \( T_1; T_2 \) be the non-degenerate components of \( S_0 \) and \( \_ (T_1) = h d_1; h i; \_ (T_2) = h d_2; h i \) the corresponding fundamental groups. Let \( \_ : \_ (N) \rightarrow H \) be the corresponding epimorphism given by Proposition 2.1, where \( H \) is a finite group such that \( f (d_1); f (d_2) \rightarrow h f (h)i \). Denote by \( H \) the (finite) covering given by \( \_ \), \( M \) (resp. \( S_0 \)) the covering of \( M \) (resp. of \( S_0 \)) induced by \( f \). Then formula of paragraph 3.2 applied to \( S_0 \) and \( S_0 \) becomes:

\[ 2g + p = 2 + p + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{(i; i)} = 2 \]

where \( p = \sum_{i=1}^{r} r_i \) (resp. \( p \)) is the number of boundary components of the finite covering \( S_0 \) of \( S_0 \) (resp. of \( S_0 \)) and \( g \) denotes the genus of the orbit space of \( S_0 \). We can write \( p = 2 + p_1 \), where \( p_1 \) denotes the number of degenerate boundary components of \( S_0 \) and \( p = 2 + p_2 \) (where \( p_2 \) denotes the number of degenerate boundary components of \( S_0 \)). It follows from Lemma 4.2 that we may assume that \( g = 0 \). Thus using (1), we get:

\[ p_2 = p_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{(i; i)} \]

Since \( (i; i) \rightarrow 1 \), we have \( p_2 \rightarrow p_1 \) and then \( p_2 = p_1 \). This implies that for each degenerate torus \( U \) in \( \mathbb{A} \) there are at least two (degenerate) tori
in $S_0$ which project onto $U$. Let us denote by $P : \hat{M} \to M$ the finite regular covering of $M$ corresponding to $f|_P$. Then each component of $P^{-1}(A)$ contains at least two components in its boundary. This contradicts Lemma 4.1 and so $f|_{S_0} : S_0 \to N$ is a degenerate map. This proves, using [20, Lemma 2] that each component of $A$ adjacent to $S_0$ is a Seifert manifold which allows to apply the above arguments to each of them and prove that they degenerate. Then we apply these arguments successively to each Seifert piece of $A$, which proves that $A$ is a graph manifold whose all Seifert pieces degenerate.

We now prove that the group $f(1(A))$ is either trivial or finite cyclic by induction on the number of Seifert components $c(A)$ of $A$. If $c(A) = 1$ then $A$ admits a Seifert fibration over the disk $D^2$. Then the group $1(A)$ has a presentation:

$$\pi_1;\gamma_1;\ldots;\gamma_k : [\gamma;\delta] = [\gamma;\delta] = 1; \gamma_i^j = \gamma_i^j; \delta_i = \gamma_i;\ldots;\gamma_i^j$$

We know that $f|A : A \to N$ is a degenerate map. Thus either $f(\gamma_i) = 1$ or $f(1(A))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_g$ or $\mathbb{Z}$. So it is sufficient to consider the case $f(\gamma_i) = 1$. Since $1(A)$ is a torsion free group then $f(\gamma_i) = \cdots = f(\gamma_k) = 1$ and thus $f(\delta_i) = f(\gamma_i)^i f(\gamma_k)^k f(\gamma_1)^1 = 1$. So we have $f(1(A)) = f|\mathbb{F}_g$.

Let us suppose now that $c(A) > 1$. Denote by $S_0$ the Seifert piece adjacent to $T$ in $A$ and by $T_1;\ldots;T_k$ its boundary components in $\text{int}(A)$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $A \cap S_0$ is composed of $k$ submanifolds $A_1;\ldots;A_k$ such that $A_i = T_i$ for $i = 1;\ldots;k$. Furthermore, again by Lemma 4.1, $H_1(A_1;\mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots \to H_1(A_k;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the induction hypothesis applies and implies that $f(1(A_i)) = f|\mathbb{F}_g$ or $f(1(A_i)) = \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1;\ldots;k$. Let $h_0$ denote the homotopy class of the regular fiber of $S_0$.

**Case 1** Suppose first that $f(h_0) \neq 0$. Since the map $f|S_0 : S_0 \to N$ is degenerate, it follows from the definition that the group $f(1(S_0))$ is abelian. Denote by $x_1;\ldots;x_k$ base points in $T_1;\ldots;T_k$. Since $f(1(A_i))$ is an abelian group, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{align*}
1(A_i;x_i) &\xrightarrow{i} 1(A_i;x_i) \xrightarrow{(f|\mathbb{F}_g)} 1(N;y_i) \\
H_1(A_i;\mathbb{Z}) &\xrightarrow{i} H_1(A_i;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} 1(N;y_i)
\end{align*}$$

Since $H_1(A_i;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and since $A_i = T_i$ is connected, then [17, Lemma 3.3(b)] implies that the homomorphism $H_1(A_i;\mathbb{Z})$! $H_2(A_i;\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective and then \[ f(1(A_i;x_i)) = f(1(T_i;x_i)) \]
Let $(i; j)$ be a base of $\pi_1(T_i; x_i) \times \pi_1(A_i; x_i)$. Recall that the group $\pi_1(S_0; x_i)$ has a presentation:

$$h_1; \ldots; h_k; d_0; q_1; \ldots; q_2 : [h_0; t] = [h_0; d] = [h_0; d] = 1;$$

where the element $d_i$ is chosen in such a way that $\pi_1(T_i; x_i) = h_i; h_0$ and $\pi_1(S_0; x_i)$ for $i = 1; \ldots; k$. Set $A^1 = S_0$ and $A^j = A^{j-1}$ for $j = 2; \ldots; k$ (with this notation we have $A^k = A$). Applying the Van-Kampen Theorem to these decompositions we get:

$$\pi_1(A; x_1) = \pi_1(S_0; x_1) \times \pi_1(T_i; x_i) \times \pi_1(A_1; x_1)$$

so we get

$$f(\pi_1(A^2; x_1)) = f(\pi_1(S_0; x_1)) \times f(\pi_1(T_i; x_i)) \times f(\pi_1(A_1; x_1))$$

On the other hand it follows from $(\mid)$ that the injection $f(\pi_1(T_i; x_1))$ is an epimorphism, which implies that the canonical injection $f(\pi_1(S_0)) \times f(\pi_1(T_i; x_i)) \times f(\pi_1(A_1; x_1))$ is an epimorphism. Thus $f(\pi_1(A^2; x_1)) = f(\pi_1(A^2; x_2))$, which implies that $f(\pi_1(A; x_1)) = f(\pi_1(A^2)) = f(\pi_1(A))$ or $\mathbf{Z}$. By repeating this method a finite number of times we get: $f(\pi_1(A)) = f(\pi_1(A^2)) = f(\pi_1(A^k)) = \mathbf{Z}$.

**Case 2** We suppose that $f(h_0) = 0$. Since $c_i^0 = c_0$ (where $c_i$ is any exceptional fiber of $S_0$) and since $\pi_1(N)$ is a torus free group, we conclude that $f(\gamma) = 1$ for every fiber of $S_0$. Let $F_0$ denote the orbit space of the Seifert fibered manifold $S_0$. Then the map $f : \pi_1(S_0)$ factors through $\pi_1(F_0) \times \pi_1(F_0)$ and $f(\gamma) = 1$. Then there exist two homomorphisms $f(\pi_1(F_0)) = \pi_1(F_0)$ and $f(\pi_1(F_0)) = \pi_1(F_0)$ such that $f(\pi_1(S_0)) = \pi_1(F_0)$.

We may suppose, after re-indexing, that there exists an integer $n_0 < n$. Let $F_0'$ be the 2-manifold obtained from $F_0$ by gluing a disk $D^2_i$ along $D_i$ for $i = 1; \ldots; n_0$. The homomorphism $f : \pi_1(F_0)$ factors through the group $\pi_1(F_0)$. Finally we get two homomorphisms $f(\pi_1(S_0)) = \pi_1(F_0)$ and $\pi_1(F_0)$ satisfying $(f_{S_0}) = (f_{F_0'}) = \pi_1(F_0)$. It follows from $(\mid)$ that
Theorem 1.10. Then the conclusion of Theorem 1.5 will complete the proof of
Theorem 1.10.

5 Proof of the Factorization Theorem and some consequences

This section splits in two parts. The first one (paragraph 5.1) is devoted to the
proof of Theorem 1.10 and the second one gives a consequence of this result
(see Proposition 1.11) which will be useful in the remainder of this paper.

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.10

The first step is to prove that there exists a finite collection
\( f (1(A_i)) = 1 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n_0 \) and \( f (1(A_j)) = Z \) for \( j = n_0 + 1, \ldots, k \).
Thus the homomorphism \( f(\varphi_{A_i}) : 1(A_i) \to 1(N) \) factors through \( 1(D_i^2) \),
where \( D_i^2 \) denotes a disk, for \( i = 1, \ldots, n_0 \) and the homomorphism \( f(\varphi_{A_j}) : 1(A_j) \to 1(N) \) factors through \( 1(S^1_j) \), where \( S^1_j \) denotes the circle, for
\( j = n_0 + 1, \ldots, k \). So we can find two homomorphisms \( 1(A) ! 1(F_0) \)
and \( g : 1(F_0) ! 1(N) \) such that \( (f \varphi_A) = g \) where \( 1(A) ! 1(F_0) \) is
an epimorphism. Then consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1(A) & \longrightarrow & 1(F_0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_1(A; Z) & \longrightarrow & H_1(F_0; Z)
\end{array}
\]

Since \( 1(A) ! 1(F_0) \) is an epimorphism, then so is \( H_1(A; Z) ! H_1(F_0; Z) \).
Moreover we know that \( H_1(A; Z) \approx Z \). Thus we get: \( H_1(F_0; Z) \approx H_1(A; Z) \approx Z \). Recall that \( 1(F_0) = h_{k_0+1} \cdots h_{k-1} \). Thus \( H_1(F_0; Z) \) is an abelian
free group of rank \( k-1-k_0 \) and thus we have: \( n_0 = n-2 \). Finally we have
proved that \( 1(F_0) \approx h_{k-1} \approx Z \) which implies that \( g (1(F_0)) \) is isomorphic
to \( Z \) and thus \( f (1(A)) \approx Z \). The proof of Theorem 1.10 is now complete.

5.1.1 First step

Let $f_T^0; \ldots; T_n^0 g = W_M^0$ be the canonical tori in $M$ which degenerate under $f : M \to N$. If $W_M^0 = 0$, by setting $A_1 = 0$, and $M = M_1$ then Theorem 1.10 is obvious by Theorem 1.5. So we may assume that $W_M^0 \neq 0$. It follows from [20, Lemma 2.1.2] that for each component $T_i$ of $\partial H_M$, the induced map $f_i : T \to N$ is 1-injective and thus $W_M^0 \neq W_M$. Then we can choose a degenerate canonical torus $T_1$ such that $T_1$ is a boundary component of a Seifert piece $C_1$ in $M$ which does not degenerate under $f$. It follows from Theorem 1.7 that $T_1$ is a separating torus in $M$. Using Theorem 1.7 there is a component $A_1$ of $M \setminus T_1$ such that:

(a) $A_1$ is a graph manifold, $H_1(A_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the group $f_1(A_1)$ is either trivial or infinite cyclic,

(b) each Seifert piece of $A_1$ degenerates under the map $f$,

(c) $A_1$ satisfies the hypothesis of a maximal end of $M$ (see Definition 1.8).

This implies that $\text{int}(A_1) \setminus \text{int}(C_1) = 0$ and $f_1(A_1) = \mathbb{Z}$ (if $f_1(A_1)$ was degenerate under $f$). Set $B_1 = M \setminus A_1$. If $W_B^0 = f_T^1; \ldots; T_n^1 g W_M^0$ denotes the family of degenerate canonical tori in $\text{int}(B_1)$ then $n_1 < n_0$. If $n_1 = 0$ we take $A_1 = fA_1 g$. So suppose that $n_1 > 1$; we may choose a canonical torus $T_2$ in $W_B^0$ in the same way as above. Let $C_2$ denote the non-degenerate Seifert piece in $M$ such that $T_2 \subset C_2$ and let $A_2$ be the component of $M \setminus T_2$ which does not meet $\text{int}(C_2)$. It follows from Theorem 1.7 that:

(1) $A_1 \setminus A_2 = 0$,

(2) $A_2$ satisfies the above properties (a), (b) and (c).

Thus by repeating these arguments a finite number of times we get a finite collection $fA_1; \ldots; A_{n_m} g$ of pairwise disjoint maximal ends of $M$ such that each canonical torus of $M \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_m} A_i$ is non-degenerate.

5.1.2 Second step

We next show that the map $f : M \to N$ factors through a manifold $M_1$ which is obtained from $M$ by collapsing $M$ along $A_1; \ldots; A_{n_m}$ (see Definition 1.9). To see this it is sufficient to consider the case of a single maximal end (i.e. $A = fA_1 g$). Let $T_1$ be the canonical torus $@A_1$ and let $C_1$ be the (non-degenerate) Seifert piece in $M$ adjacent to $A_1$ along $T_1$. Since $f_1(A_1) = \mathbb{Z}$, the homomorphism $f : H_1(A_1) \to H_1(N)$ factors through $\mathbb{Z}$. Then there
are two homomorphisms \((0) : \pi_1(A_1) \to \pi_1(V_1), (f_0) : \pi_1(V_1) \to \pi_1(N)\) such that \((f \cdot \pi_1 A_1) = (f_0) \cdot (0)\) (where \(V_1\) denotes a solid torus) and where \((0) : \pi_1(A_1) \to \pi_1(V_1)\) is an epimorphism. Since \(V_1\) and \(N\) are \(K(\pi, 1)\), it follows from Obstruction Theory [8] that these homomorphisms on \(\pi_1\) are induced by two maps \(0 : A_1 \to V_1\) and \(f_0 : V_1 \to N\). Moreover we can assume that \(f_0\) is an embedding. We show that we can choose \(0\) in its homotopy class in such a way that its behavior is sufficiently 'nice'. This means that we want that \(0\) satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) \(0 : (A_1; \pi_1 A_1) \to (V_1; \pi_1 V_1)\),

(ii) \(0\) induces a homomorphism \(0[\pi_1 A_1 : \pi_1 A_1 \to \pi_1 V_1]\).

Indeed since \(f : (1T_1) = Z\), then there is a basis \(\{;\}\) of \(1T_1\) such that \((0) (\cdot) = 1\) in \(\pi_1(V_1)\) and \(h(0) (\cdot) = 1\) in \(\pi_1(V_1)\). So we may suppose that \(0(1) = l_{V_1}(\text{resp. } 0(m) = m)\) where \(l_{V_1}\) is a parallel (resp. \(m\) is a meridian) of \(V_1\). So we have defined a map \(0 : \pi_1 A_1 \to \pi_1 V_1\) which induces an isomorphism \(0[\pi_1 A_1 : \pi_1 A_1 \to \pi_1 V_1]\). So we may assume that condition (ii) is checked. Thus it is sufficient to show that the map \(0[\pi_1 A_1 : \pi_1 A_1 \to \pi_1 V_1]\) can be extended to a map \(0 : A_1 \to V_1\). For this consider a handle presentation of \(A_1\) from \(T_1\):

\[
T_1 = (e_1^1 [ \ldots e_k^1 ; \ldots e_n^1], \ldots (e_1^2 [ \ldots e_k^2 ; \ldots e_n^2], \ldots (e_1^3 [ \ldots e_k^3 ; \ldots e_n^3])
\]

where \(f \cdot g\) are \(k\)-cells \((k = 1; 2; 3)\). Since \((0) \cdot (1(\pi_1 A_1)) = (0) \cdot (1(\pi_1 A_1))\), we can extend the map \(0\) defined on \(\pi_1 A_1\) to the 1-skeleton. Since \(V_1\) is a \(K(\pi, 1)\) space, we can extend \(0\) to \(A_1\). Thus, up to homotopy, we can suppose that the map \(f : M \to N\) is such that \(f \cdot \pi_1 A_1 = f_0 \cdot 0\), where \(0[\pi_1 A_1 : \pi_1 A_1 \to \pi_1 V_1]\) is a homeomorphism.

Set \(B_1 = M \times \pi_1 A_1\). Attach a solid torus \(V_1\) to \(B_1\) along \(T_1\) in such a way that the meridian of \(V_1\) is identified to \(1\) and the parallel \(l_{V_1}\) of \(V_1\) is identified to \(0\). Let \(\pi_1' : \pi_1 V_1\) denote the corresponding gluing homeomorphism \(\pi_1 : \pi_1 V_1\) and denote by \(B_1\) the resulting manifold. Let \(0 : B_1 \to B_1 \times \pi_1 V_1\) be the identity map. We define a map \(1 : M = A_1 [ B_1 \to M_1\) such that \(1A_1 = 0\) and \(1B_1 = \pi_1\) and \(M_1 = B_1\). Thus it follows from the above construction that \(1 : M \to M_1\) is a well-defined continuous map. Since the map \(1B_1 \times T_1 : B_1 \times T_1\) is equal to the identity, we can define the map \(f_1 : B_1 \times \pi_1 V_1\) by setting \(f_1B_1 \times \pi_1 V_1 = f \cdot (\pi_1)\) and \(f_1 : B_1 \times \pi_1 V_1 \to M_1\) as \(f_1 \cdot 0\). Thus we get a map \(f_1 : M_1 \to N\) such that \(f = f_1 \cdot 1\).

We now check that \(M_1\) is still a Haken manifold of finite volume. Let \(C_1\) be the space \(C_1 \times V_1\). Since \(M \times \pi_1 A_1 (C_1)\) is a Haken manifold, it is sufficient to prove that \(C_1\) admits a Seifert fibration. Since \(f \cdot C_1 : C_1 \to N\) is a non-degenerate map, then \(f \cdot (h_1) \in 1\), where \(h_1\) denotes the homotopy class of the
regular fibre in $C_1$. Therefore the curve is not a fibre in $C_1$. Thus the Seifert fibration of $C_1$ extends to a Seifert fibration in $\hat{C}_1$. On the other hand, since $f$ is homotopic to $f_1$, we have $\deg(f_1) = \deg(f) = 1$ and since $kN k = kM k$ then $kN k = kM_{\hat{1}} k$.

In the following, if $A$ denotes a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, let $T(A)$ (resp. $F(A)$) be the torsion submodule (resp. the free submodule) of $A$. To complete the proof of the second step we show that $f_1$ satisfies the homological hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. Let $q: N \to N$ be a finite cover of $N$, $p: M \to M$ the finite covering induced from $N$ by $f$ and $p_1: M_1 \to M_1$ the finite covering induced from $N$ by $f_1$. Denote by $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M} \to \tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{f}_1: \tilde{M}_1 \to \tilde{N}$ the induced maps. Fix base points: $x \in M$, $x_1 = f^{-1}(x)$, $y = f(x)$, $y = f(x)$, and $\tilde{x}_1$ such that $\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}_1) = y$. In the following diagram we first show that there is a map $e_1: (\tilde{M}; \tilde{x}) \to (\tilde{M}_1; \tilde{x}_1)$ such that diagrams (I) and (II) are consistent.

We know that:

$$(p_1) \ (1(\tilde{M}_1; \tilde{x}_1)) = (f_1)^{-1}(q \ (1(\tilde{N}; \tilde{y}))$$

and

$$p \ (1(\tilde{M}; \tilde{x})) = (f)^{-1}(q \ (1(\tilde{N}; \tilde{y}))$$

So we get:

$$(1) \ (p \ (1(\tilde{M}; \tilde{x}))) = (1) \ (f)^{-1}q \ (1(\tilde{N}; \tilde{y})) = (1) \ (1)^{-1}(f_1)^{-1}q \ (1(\tilde{N}; \tilde{y}))$$

and thus finally:

\[(p_1) (\phi_1^0) = f_1^{-1} q_1 (\phi_1^0) = (p_1) (\phi_1^0)\]

Thus it follows from the lifting criterion, that there is a map \(e_1\) such that the diagram (I) is consistent. Denote by \(\hat{e}\) the map \(\phi_1^0\) \(e_1\). We easily check that \(\hat{e} = e\) \(f\) \(p\) and thus we have \(\phi = \hat{e}\). We next show that the maps \(f_1, e_1\) and \(\phi_1\) induce isomorphisms on \(H_1\) (with coe cients \(Z\)). Since \(f\) (resp. \(\phi\)) is a \(Z\)-homology equivalence then \((f_1) : H_0(M; Z) \rightarrow H_0(M_1; Z)\) (resp. \(e_1) : H_0(M; Z) \rightarrow H_0(M_1; Z)\)) is injective and \(f_1) : H_0(M_1; Z) ! H_0(N; Z)\) (resp. \(e_1) : H_0(M_1; Z) ! H_0(N; Z)\)) is surjective (for \(q = 0, \ldots, 3\). Since \(\deg(f) = \deg(\phi) = 1\) then \(\deg(e_1) = \deg(\phi_1) = \deg(f_1) = 1\). Thus the homomorphism \((f_1) : H_1(M; Z) \rightarrow H_1(M_1; Z)\) (resp. \((e_1) : H_1(M_1; Z) \rightarrow H_1(M; Z)\)) is surjective and therefore is an isomorphism, which implies that \(\phi_1\) and \(f_1\) induce isomorphisms on \(H_1\).

We now check that the maps \(\phi_1\) and \(e_1\) are \(Z\)-homology equivalences. Recall that \(M = A_1 \cup B_1\) and \(M_1 = V_1 \cup B_1\) where \(V_1\) is a solid torus and where \(j(B_1; \partial B_1) : (B_1; \partial B_1) \rightarrow (B_1; \partial B_1)\) is the identity map. On the other hand we see directly that the map \(j(A_1; \partial A_1) : (A_1; \partial A_1) \rightarrow (V_1; \partial V_1)\) is a \(Z\)-homology equivalence and \(\deg(p) = \deg(p_1) = \deg(q)\). Set \(\hat{B}_1 = p^{-1}(B_1)\) and \(\hat{B}_{1;1} = (p_1)^{-1}(B_1)\). Since \(V_1\) is a solid torus, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that:

(i) \(\hat{B}_1\) and \(\hat{B}_{1;1}\) are connected and have the same number \(k_1\) of boundary components,

(ii) \(p^{-1}(A_1)\) is composed of \(k_1\) connected components \(\hat{A}_1^1, \ldots, \hat{A}_1^{k_1}\); \(\hat{A}_1^j\) is connected; \(H_1(\hat{A}_1^j; Z) = Z\) and \((p_1)^{-1}(V_1)\) is composed of \(k_1\) connected components \(\hat{V}_1^{1}, \ldots, \hat{V}_1^{k_1}\) where the \(\hat{V}_1^j\) are solid tori,

(iii) the map \(e_1\) induces a map \(e_1^j : (\hat{A}_1^j; \partial \hat{A}_1^j) \rightarrow (\hat{V}_1^j; \partial \hat{V}_1^j)\).

Thus we get the two following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(B_1; \partial B_1) & \stackrel{-j}{\longrightarrow} & (B_{1;1}; \partial B_{1;1}) \\
p \downarrow & & p_1 \\
(B_1; \partial B_1) & \stackrel{-1}{\longrightarrow} & (B_1; \partial B_1)
\end{array}
\]

Since \(\deg(p) = \deg(p_1)\) then \(\deg(e_1^j \hat{B}_1) = 1\) and so the map \(e_1^j \hat{B}_1\) is homotopic to a homeomorphism and is a \(Z\)-homology equivalence. Consider
the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\hat{A}_1^j ; \hat{A}_1^j) & \xrightarrow{i} & (\hat{V}_1^j ; \hat{V}_1^j) \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\
(A_1^j ; A_1^j) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & (V_1^j ; V_1^j)
\end{array}
\]

Then we show that we have the following properties:

\[ H_1(\hat{A}_1^j ; Z) = Z \quad \text{and} \quad H_q(\hat{A}_1^j ; Z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q \geq 2 \]

The first identity comes directly from Lemma 4.1. On the other hand since \( \partial f A^j \neq \partial f A^j \), and since \( f A^j \) is a 3-manifold, the homology exact sequence of the pair \( (A_1^j ; A_1^j) \) implies that \( H_3(A_1^j ; Z) = 0 \). Using \([21, Corollary \ 4, p. \ 244]\) and combining this with Poincaré duality, we get:

\[ H_2(f A^j ; Z) = \pi_1(A_1^j ; A_1^j) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2(A_1^j ; A_1^j) = 0. \]

Moreover, \( F(H_1(A_1^j ; A_1^j ; Z)) = F(H_1(A_1^j ; A_1^j ; Z)) = 0 \). Using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of the decompositions \( M = \bigcup_{i \leq k_1} (B^1_i) \) and \( \hat{M}_1 = \bigcup_{i \leq k_1} (B^1_i) \) we check that the map \( e_1 \) and then \( f_1 \) are \( Z \)-homology equivalences. This proves that \( f_1 \) satisfies hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. Then using Theorem 1.5 the proof of Theorem 1.10 is now complete.

### 5.2 Some consequences of the Factorization Theorem

We assume here that the manifold \( M^3 \) contains some canonical tori which degenerate under the map \( f \). Then we take a maximal end \( A \) of \( M \), whose existence is given by Theorem 1.10. We state here a result which shows that the induced map \( \pi_1(A) \) can be homotoped to a very nice map. More precisely we prove here Proposition 1.11. The proof of this result splits in two lemmas.

**Lemma 5.1** If \( A \) denotes a maximal end of \( M \) then the space \( \pi_1(W_M) \) contains at least one component, denoted by \( S \), which admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is a disk \( D^2 \) in such a way that \( f (\pi_1(S)) \leq f_1g \).

**Proof** The fact that the maximal end \( A \) contains at least one Seifert piece whose orbit space is a disk (called an extremal component of \( A \)) comes directly from Lemma 3.7 since \( A \) is a graph submanifold of \( M \) whose Seifert pieces are...
based on a surface of genus zero and whose canonical tori are separating in $M$. To prove the second part of Lemma 5.1 we suppose the contrary. This means that we suppose, for each extremal component $S$ of $A$, that the induced map $f : S \to N$ is homotopic in $N$ to a constant map. Then we show, arguing inductively on the number of connected components of $A \cap W_M$, denoted by $k_A$, that this hypothesis implies that $f(A) = f \equiv g$ which gives a contradiction with Definition 1.8.

If $k_A = 1$, this result is obvious since the component $A$ is a Seifert space whose orbit space is a 2disk. Then we now suppose that $k_A > 1$. The induction hypothesis is the following:

If $\tilde{A}$ is a degenerate graph submanifold of $M$ made of $j < k_A$ Seifert pieces and if each Seifert piece $\tilde{S}$ of $\tilde{A}$ based on a disk satisfies $f(\tilde{S}) = f \equiv g$ then the group $f(A)$ is trivial.

Denote by $S_0$ the Seifert piece of $A$ which contains $\@A$, $T_1; \cdots; T_k$ the components of $S_0 \cap A$, and $A_1; \cdots; A_k$ the connected components of $\text{An int}(S_0)$ such that $\@A_i = T_i$ for $i = 1; \cdots; k$. So we may apply the induction hypothesis to the spaces $A_1; \cdots; A_k$ which implies that the groups $f(A_1); \cdots; f(A_k)$ are trivial. Recall that the group $f(S_0)$ has a presentation:

$$\langle d_1; \cdots; d_k; h; q_1; \cdots; q \mid [h; d] = [h; q] = 1; q^i = h^{i\gamma}; d_1; \cdots; d_k; q_1; \cdots; q = h^{b_i}\rangle$$

where the group $\langle d; h; q \rangle$ is conjugated to $\langle \gamma \rangle$ for $i = 1; \cdots; k$ and where $h$ is conjugated to $\gamma$ for $T = @A$. Since $h$ admits a representation in each component of $S_0$ and since $f(\gamma) = 1$ then $f(h) = 1$ and $f(d_k) = \cdots = f(q_k) = 1$. This implies that $f(q_i) = \cdots = f(q_k) = 1$ and since $d_1; \cdots; d_k; q_1; \cdots; q = h^{b_i}$ we get $f(d) = 1$, which proves that $f(S_0) = 1$. Since $A = S_0[\ A_1[ \cdots[ A_k$, then applying the Van Kampen Theorem to the decomposition of $A$, we get $f(A) = f \equiv g$ which completes the proof of Lemma 5.1.

\[\Box\]

**Lemma 5.2** Let $A$ be a maximal end of $M^3$. Let $S$ be a submanifold of $A$ which admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is a disk such that $f(\gamma(S)) \equiv f \equiv g$. Then there exists a Seifert piece $B$ of $N$ such that $f(\gamma(S)) \equiv \gamma$ where $\gamma$ denotes the homotopy class of the fiber in $B$.

**Proof** Applying Theorem 1.10 to the map $f : M \to N$, we know that $f$ is homotopic to the composition $f : M \to M_1$ where $M_1$ denotes the collapsing map of $M^3$ along its maximal ends and where $f_1 : M_1 \to N$ is a homomorphism. More precisely, if $C$ denotes a Seifert piece of $M^3$ adjacent to $A$ along $\@A$ then we know, by the proof of Theorem 1.10 that there is a solid torus $V$ in $M_1$ and a homeomorphism $\gamma : N \to \@V$ such that:

(i) the space $C_1 = C \cdot V$ is a Seifert piece in $M_1$,
(ii) $(A; \@A) = (V; \@V)$ and the map $jM_nA : M_nA ! M_1 n V$
is the identity.

Since the map $f_1$ is a homeomorphism from $M_1$ to $N$, then by the proof of Theorem 1.5, we know that there exists a Seifert bered space of $N$, denoted by $B_N$, such that $f_1$ sends $(C_1; \@C_1)$ to $(B_N; \@B_N)$ homeomorphically. Hence the map $f$ is homotopic to the map $f_1$, still denoted by $f$, such that $f(A) \text{ int}(B_N)$ where $B_N$ is a Seifert piece in $N n W_N$. In particular, we have $f(S) \text{ int}(B_N)$. On the other hand, since $H_1(A; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ then it follows from [17, lemma 5.3.1(b)], that the map $H_1(@A; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(A; \mathbb{Z})$, induced by inclusion, is surjective and since $f_1(A)$ is an abelian group (in fact isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$) we get $f_1(A) = f_1(@A)$. Since $f = f_1$ if $H_1$ denotes the homotopy class of the ber in $C$ represented in $@A$, then $f_1$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism. Moreover, since $f_1(A)$ is a homomorphism of rank 1 and since $B_N$ is homeomorphic to a product $F_n \times S^1$, then we get $f_1(A) = f_1(@A) = H_1(B_N) f_1(F_n) H_1$. Finally, since $f_1(S)$ is a subgroup of $f_1(A)$ we get $f_1(S) H_1$ which completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. The proof of Proposition 1.11 is now complete.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

6.1 Preliminary

6.1.1 Reduction of the general problem

It follows from the form of the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 that to prove this result it is sufficient to nd a nite cover $\mathcal{K}$ of $N$ such that the lifting $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{K} ! N$ of $f$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism. So we may always assume without loss of generality that the manifold $N$ satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 14. It follows from Theorem 1.5 that to prove Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to show that the canonical tori in $M$ do not degenerate under $f$. Thus suppose the contrary: using Theorem 1.10 this means that there is a nite collection $A = fA_1; \ldots; A_n$ of codimension-0 submanifolds of $M$ which degenerate under $f$ (the maximal ends). We denote by $M_1$ the Haken manifold obtained from $M$ by collapsing along the components of $A$, by $M_1 : M_1 N$ the collapsing projection and by $f_1 : M_1 ! N$ the homeomorphism such that $f \circ f_1$. Let $A = A_1$ be a maximal end in $A$ and let $S$ be a Seifert piece of $A$ whose orbit space is a disk, given by Proposition 11. Then the proof of Theorem 1.1 will depend on the following result:
Lemma 6.1 There exists a finite covering \( p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M \) induced by \( f \) from some finite covering of \( N \) such that each component of \( p^{-1}(S) \) admits a Seifert fibration whose orbit space is a surface of genus 1.

This result implies that the components of \( p^{-1}(A) \) are not maximal ends. Indeed since each component of \( p^{-1}(A) \) contains at least one Seifert piece whose orbit space is a surface of genus 1 then it follows from [17, Lemma 3.2] that their first homology group is an abelian group of rank 2 which contradicts Definition 1.8. This result gives the desired contradiction.

6.1.2 Proposition 1.12 implies Lemma 6.1.

In this paragraph we show that to prove Lemma 6.1 it is sufficient to prove Proposition 1.12.

Let \( f : M \rightarrow N \) be a map between two Haken manifolds satisfying hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. Let \( A \) be a maximal end of \( M \) and let \( S \) be the extremal Seifert piece of \( A \) given by Proposition 1.11 and we denote by \( B_N \) the Seifert piece of \( N \) such that \( f(A) \subseteq B_N \). Let \( h \) (resp. \( t \)) denote the homotopy class of the fiber in \( S \) (resp. in \( B_N \)). Then Proposition 1.11 implies that \( f(1(S)) = h^i \).

Recall that the group \( 1(S) \) has a presentation:

\[
1 \left< h, d_1, q_1, \ldots, q_r \right| h d_1 = h q_1 \cdots h q_r = 1 \right. 
\]

Let \( d_i \) be the integers such that \( f(c_i) = t^i \) where \( c_i \) denote the homotopy class of the exceptional fibers in \( S \). In particular we have \( f(h) = t^i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \). Since the canonical tori in \( M \) are incompressible, the manifold \( S \) contains at least two exceptional fibers \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) (otherwise \( S = D^2 \times S^1 \) which is impossible). Set \( n_0 = 1 \) if \( i \) denotes the index of the exceptional fiber \( c_i \). Then, we apply Proposition 1.12 to the manifold \( N^3 \) with the integer \( n_0 \) defined above. Let \( \mathfrak{H}_N \) be a component of \( p^{-1}(B_N) \) in \( \mathfrak{H} \), where \( p \) is the finite covering given by Proposition 1.12. Thus there exists an integer \( m \) such that the fiber preserving map \( p|_{\mathfrak{H}_N} : \mathfrak{H}_N \rightarrow B_N \) induces the \( m n_0 \)-index covering on the fibers \( \mathfrak{F} \) of \( \mathfrak{H}_N \). Let \( q \) denote the homomorphism corresponding to the covering induced on the fibers. Thus the covering induces, via \( f \), a regular finite covering \( q \) over \( S \) which corresponds to the following homomorphism:

\[
1(S)^{(f(S))} \rightarrow Z', \quad \frac{Z}{m n_0 Z} = \frac{H(t)}{H(m n_0 t)}
\]

Let \( \mathfrak{S} \) be a component of the covering of \( S \) corresponding to \( \mathfrak{H} \). Our goal here is to compute the genus of the orbit space, denoted by \( \mathfrak{F} \) of \( \mathfrak{S} \). For each
We denote by \( i \) the order of the element \((c_i) = i\) in \( \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \). Thus we get the following equalities:

\[
1 = m \quad 2 = m + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (1; 1) = 1 \quad (2; 2) = 2
\]

Let \( F : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \) denote the (branched) covering induced by \( q \) on the orbit spaces of \( S \) and \( S' \), and denote by \( \delta \) the degree of the map \( F \). It follows from Lemma 4.1 (applied to \( S' \)) that each component of \( q^{-1}(S) \) has connected boundary. Using paragraph 3.2 we know that the genus \( g \) of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is given by the following formula:

\[
2g = 2 + r - 1 - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{i}}
\]

Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is connected, then using the above equalities, the last one implies that:

\[
2g = 1 + 1 - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2} = 1
\]

which proves that Proposition 1.12 implies Lemma 6.1. Hence the remainder of this section will be devoted to the proof of Proposition 1.12.

### 6.2 Preliminaries for the proof of Proposition 1.12

We assume that \( N^3 \) satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4. In this section we begin by constructing a class of finite coverings for hyperbolic manifolds. This is the heart of the proof of Theorem 1.1: we use deep results of W. P. Thurston on the theory of deformation of hyperbolic structure. Next (in subsection 6.2.4) we construct special finite coverings of Seifert pieces, that can be glued to the previous coverings over the hyperbolic pieces, to get a covering of \( N^3 \) having the desired properties.

#### 6.2.1 A finite covering lemma for hyperbolic manifolds

In this paragraph we construct a special class of finite coverings for hyperbolic manifolds (see Lemma 6.2). To state this result precisely we need some notations. Throughout this paragraph we assume that the manifold \( N^3 \) satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 1.4.

In this section we deal with a class, denoted by \( H \) of three-manifolds with non-empty boundary made of pairwise disjoint tori whose interior is endowed with a complete, finite volume hyperbolic structure. Let \( H \) be an element of \( H \) and

let $T_1; \ldots; T_h$ be the components of $\partial H$. We consider $H$ as a submanifold of the Haken manifold $N$ and we cut $\partial H$ in two parts: the first one is made of the tori, denoted $T_1; \ldots; T_l$, which are adjacent to Seifert pieces in $N$ and the second one is made of tori, denoted $U_1; \ldots; U_r$, which are adjacent to hyperbolic manifolds along their two sides. For each $T_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, l$) in $\partial H$ we choose generators $(m_i; l_i)$ of $\Gamma(T_i)$ and we assume these generators are represented by simple smooth closed curves (denoted by $l_i$ and $m_i$ too) meeting transversally at one point and such that $T_i$ is dieomorphic to the open disk. The curves $(m_i; l_i)$ will be abusively called system of "longitude-meridian" (we use notation " as $T_i$ is not the standard torus but a subset of $N$).

On the other hand we denote by $P$ the set of all prime numbers in $N$ and for each integer $n_0$, we denote by $P_{n_0}$ the set:

$$P_{n_0} = \{n \in P \mid n = mn_0 + 1\}$$

It follows from the Dirichlet Theorem (see [10, Theorem 1, Chapter 16]) that for each integer $n_0$ the set $P_{n_0}$ is infinite. The goal of this paragraph is to prove the following result:

**Lemma 6.2** For each integer $n_0$ and for all but finitely many primes $q$ of the form $mn_0 + 1$, there exists a finite group $K$, a cyclic subgroup $G_n$ of $K$, an element $\gamma$ in $G_n$ of multiplicative order $mn_0$, elements $\gamma_i; \ldots; \gamma_l$ in $G_n$ and a homomorphism $\Gamma: \Gamma(H) \to K$ satisfying the following properties:

(i) for each $i = 1, \ldots, l$ there exists an element $g_i \in G_n$ such that $\Gamma((m_i)) = g_i\gamma g_i^{-1}$ and $\Gamma((l_i)) = g_i\gamma g_i$, 

(ii) for each $j = 1, \ldots, r$ the group $\Gamma((U_j))$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ or to $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$.

**6.2.2 Preparation of the proof of Lemma 6.2.**

We first recall some results on deformation of hyperbolic structures for three-manifolds. These results come from chapter 5 of [23]. Let $Q$ be a 3-manifold whose interior admits a complete finite volume hyperbolic structure and whose boundary is made of tori $T_1; \ldots; T_k$. This means that $Q$ is obtained as the orbit space of the action of a discrete, torsion free subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\Gamma(H^3)$ on $H^3$ (where $H^3$ denotes the Poincare half space) denoted by $\Gamma \backslash H^3$. Hence we may associate to the complete hyperbolic structure of $Q$ a discrete and faithful representation $\Gamma_0$ (called holonomy) of $\Gamma(Q)$ in $\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$. \[\text{Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 3 (2003)}\]
de ned up to conjugation by an element of $\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$. It follows from Proposition 3.1.1 of [3], that this representation lifts to a faithful representation denoted by $H_0 : 1(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C})$. Note that since $\mathbb{Q}$ has finite volume the representation $H_0$ is necessarily irreducible. Moreover, since $H_0$ is faithful, then for each component $T$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ and for each element $Q : 1(T)$, the matrix $H_0(Q)$ is conjugated to a matrix of the form:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{C}$.

We will show here that for each primitive element $Q : 1(T)$, there exists a neighborhood $W$ of $1$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that for all $z \in W$ there exists a representation $Q : 1(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ such that one of the eigenvalues of $(Q)$ is equal to $z$.

Denote by $R(1(\mathbb{Q}))$ the affine algebraic variety of representations of $1(\mathbb{Q})$ in $\text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ (i.e. $R(1(\mathbb{Q})) = f : 1(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C})$) and by $X(1(\mathbb{Q}))$ the space of characters of the representations of $1(\mathbb{Q})$. For each element $g \in 1(\mathbb{Q})$ we denote by $t$ the map defined by:

$$
t : R(1(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} 
$$

Let $T$ denote the ring generated by all the functions $g$ when $g \in 1(\mathbb{Q})$. Since $1(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated, then so is the ring $T$; so we can choose a finite number of elements $\gamma_1; \ldots; \gamma_m$ in $1(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $h_{\gamma_1}; \ldots; h_{\gamma_m} = T$. We may now the map $t$ in the following way:

$$
t : R(1(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

which allows to identify the space of characters $X(1(\mathbb{Q}))$ with $t(R(1(\mathbb{Q})))$. In particular, if $R_0$ denotes an irreducible component of $R(1(\mathbb{Q}))$ which contains $H_0$, then the space $X \subset R_0$ is an affine algebraic variety called deformation space of $\mathbb{Q}$ near the initial structure $H_0$. It follows from [23, Theorem 5.6], or [3, Proposition 3.2.1], that if $\mathbb{Q}$ has $k$ boundary components (all homeomorphic to a torus), then $\dim(X) = \dim(R_0) - 3 - k$. We now fix a basis of $\text{meridian-longitude}^\text{*} (m_1; l_1), 1 \leq i \leq k$, for each torus $T_1; \ldots; T_k$. This allows us to define a map:

$$
\text{tr} : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k
$$

in the following way: let $q$ be an element in $X(1(\mathbb{Q}))$. The above paragraph implies that there exists a representation $H_q$ such that $t(H_q) = q$; then we set $\text{tr}(q) = (\text{tr}(H_q(m_1)); \ldots; \text{tr}(H_q(m_k))) \in \mathbb{C}^k$. By construction this map is a well defined polynomial map between the affine algebraic varieties $X(1(\mathbb{Q}))$ and $\mathbb{C}^k$. Moreover, if $q_0$ denotes the element of $X_0$ equal to $t(H_0)$, then it follows from the Mostow Rigidity Theorem (see [1, Chapter C]) that the element $q_0$ is
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the only point in the inverse image of $\text{tr}(q)$. Using [16, Theorem 3.13] this implies that $\dim(X_0) = \dim(C^k) = k$. Next, applying the Fundamental Openness Principle (see [16, Theorem 3.10]) we know that there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $q$ in $X_0$ such that $\text{tr}(U)$ is a neighborhood of $\text{tr}(q) = (2; \ldots; 2)$ in $C^k$ denoted by $V$. Let $f$ be the map defined by $f(z_1; \ldots; z_k) = (z_1 + 1 - z_1; \ldots; z_k + 1 - z_k)$ and let $W$ be a neighborhood of $(1; \ldots; 1)$ in $C^k$ such that $f(W) = \text{tr}(U) = V$. This proves that for each $k$-tuple $(1; \ldots; k)$ of $W$ there exists a representation $H$ of $\Gamma(1)\Gamma(1)$ in $\text{SL}(2; C)$ such that for each $i \in \{1; \ldots; k\}$ the matrix $H(m_i)$ has an eigenvalue equal to $i$.

6.2.3 Proof of Lemma 6.2

Let $H$ be a submanifold of $M^3$ which admits a finite volume hyperbolic structure $q$. We denote by $H_0$ the irreducible holonomy of $\Gamma(1; H)$ in $\text{SL}(2; C)$ associated to the complete structure of $H$, by $R_0$ an irreducible component of $R(\Gamma(1; H))$ which contains $H_0$ and by $X_0$ the component of $X(\Gamma(1; H))$ defined by $X_0 = \text{t}(R_0)$ (see paragraph 6.2.2 for definitions). Let $U_1; \ldots; U_r$ be the components of $\partial H$ which bound hyperbolic manifolds of $M^3$ along their both sides and let $T_1; \ldots; T_l$ be the components of $\partial H$ adjacent to Seifert pieces. For each $T_i$, $i = 1; \ldots; l$ (resp. $U_j$, $j = 1; \ldots; r$), we use a system of longitude-meridian $(m_i; l_i)$ (resp. $(j; j)$). Let $x$ be a transcendental number (over $Z$), near of $1$ in $C$ (this is possible since the set of algebraic number over $Z$ is countable). It follows from paragraph 6.2.2 that there is a representation $H_q$ of $\Gamma(1)\Gamma(1)$ in $\text{SL}(2; C)$ satisfying the following equalities:

\[
\text{vp}(H_q(j)) = \psi_l(q) = \text{vp}(H_q((j))) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \{1; \ldots; r\}, \quad \text{tg}
\]

where $\text{vp}(A)$ denotes one of the eigenvalues of the matrix $A \in \text{SL}(2; C)$. Thus we get the following equalities:

\[
H_q(m_i) = Q_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q_i^{-1} \quad H_q(l_i) = Q_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & (i-1)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} Q_i^{-1}
\]

for $i \in \{1; \ldots; l\}$ where $x$ is a transcendental number over $Z$ and where the matrix $Q_1; \ldots; Q_r$ are in $\text{SL}(2; C)$. On the other hand, since $\text{vp}(H_q(j)) = \psi_l(q) = \text{vp}(H_q((j))) = 1$ for $j = 1; \ldots; r$, the groups $H_q(\Gamma(U_j))$ are unipotent and isomorphic to $Z$. This implies that:

\[
Q_j H_q((j)) Q_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad Q_j H_q((j)) Q_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \{1; \ldots; r\}
\]
where $\gamma, \ldots, \tau$ are in $C \cap Q$ and where the $P_j$'s are in $\text{SL}(2; C)$. Moreover, since $1(\mathbb{H})$ is a finitely generated group, we may choose a finite subset $G$ which generates $I(\mathbb{H})$. Then consider the subring $A$ of $C$, generated over $Z[\gamma]$ by the following system:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
Z & \text{entries of the matrix } H_0(g) \text{ for } g \in G
\end{bmatrix}
$$

It follows from the above construction that $A$ is a finitely generated ring over $Z[\gamma]$, and $Z[\gamma]$ is isomorphic to $Z[X]$ since $\gamma$ is transcendental over $Z$. So using the Noether Normalization Lemma (see [6], Theorem 3.3) for the ring $A$ over $B_0 = Z[\gamma]$, we know that there exists a polynomial $P$ of $Z[X]$ and a finite algebraically free family $f x_1; \ldots; x_k g$ over $Z[\gamma]$ such that $A$ is integral over

$$B = Z[\gamma] \frac{1}{P} \{ x_1; \ldots; x_k \}$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 6.2 we need the following result.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let $n_0 > 0$ be a fixed integer. Let $A$ be a subring of $C$ integral over a ring $B$ isomorphic to $Z[\gamma]^i[1-P(\gamma)]\{x_1; \ldots; x_k\}$, where $\gamma$ is transcendental over $Z$. $P$ is a polynomial in $Z[\gamma]$ and $x_1; \ldots; x_k$ are algebraically free over $Z[\gamma]$. Let $\gamma_1; \ldots; \gamma_l$ be elements of $A$. Then for all $n_0 \geq N$ and for all but finitely many primes $q = m n_0 + 1$, there is a field $F_q$ of characteristic $q$, an element $\varphi$ in $(F_q) = F_q$ of multiplicative order $m n_0$, elements $\gamma_1; \ldots; \gamma_l$ in $(F_q)$ and a ring homomorphism $A ! F_q$ such that:

(i) $H^i(\gamma_1; \ldots; \gamma_l) F_q \cong A \gamma^i Z$ for $i = 1; \ldots; l$, where $H^i g h$ is the multiplicative subgroup of $A$ generated by $g \in h$ and where $n = j F_q - 1$,

(ii) $A(\gamma_1; \ldots; \gamma_l) = \varphi^i$ for $i = 1; \ldots; l$.

**Proof of Lemma 6.3.** We first prove that for all but finitely many primes $q > P_{n_0}$ there exists a ring homomorphism $A ! Z = q Z$ such that $A(\gamma_1; \ldots; \gamma_l) Z = q Z$ and using the fact that $A$ is integral over $B$. To this purpose we claim that for all but finitely many primes $q = m n_0 + 1$, there is a homomorphism

$$A ! Z[\gamma] \frac{1}{P} \{ \gamma \} ! Z = q Z$$

where $A(\gamma_1; \ldots; \gamma_l) Z = q Z$ and where $P = a_0 + a_1 X + \cdots + a_n X^N$, with integral coefficients. For all sufficiently large primes $q$ we may

assume that $(q; \alpha_0) = (q; \alpha_n) = 1$. Hence for $q$ sufficiently large the projection $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ associates to $P$ a non-trivial polynomial $P$ in $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $N$. On the other hand it is well known that $(\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z})$ is a cyclic group of order $q - 1$, when $q$ is a prime. Thus there exists $(q - 1) = (m\alpha_0)$ elements in $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ generating $(\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z})$, where $(q - 1)$ is the Euler function. Moreover it is easy to prove that $\lim_{n \to +1} (n) = +1$: Hence for a prime $q$ sufficiently large we get:

\[ \text{Card}(G((\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z})) = (q - 1) > N \quad \text{Card}(P^{-1}(0)) \] which allows us to choose an element $\tau$ in $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ generating $(\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z})$ and such that $P(\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}$, Hence for all but finitely many primes $q = m\alpha_0 + 1$, we may define a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ by setting $\tau = \tau$ where $\tau$ is a generator of $(\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z})$, which is possible since $\tau$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{P}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can extend it to a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[1 + P(\tau)]$ by setting $\tau = \tau$. Since the elements $x_1; \ldots; x_k$ are algebraically free over $\mathbb{Z}[1], we extend the above homomorphism to $B = \mathbb{Z}[1 + P(\tau)x_1; \ldots; x_k]$ by choosing arbitrary images for $x_1; \ldots; x_k$. We still denote by $\tau : B \to \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ this homomorphism. Let us remark that it follows from the above construction that $\tau$ is sent to an element of multiplicative order $q - 1 = m\alpha_0$.

We next show that we can extend $\tau$ to $A$. We first prove that there is an extension of $\tau$ to $B[1; \ldots; 1]$ in such a way that the $i$ are sent to non-trivial elements. We assume that there is an integer $0 < i < 1$ such that for all $j > f 0; \ldots; 1$ there is a finite field $F_{ij}$ of characteristic $q$ which is a finite degree extension of $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ and an extension of $\tau$ denoted by $\tau : B[1 + P(\tau)] \to F_{ij}$ such that $\tau^{(r)} = \tau$ for $r = 1; \ldots; j$. Since $A$ is integral over $B$, there is a polynomial $P_{r + 1} = a_0^{i+1} + \ldots + a_i^{i+1}X^i$ in $B[X]$ such that $P_{r+1}(x_i+1) = 0$. The homomorphism $\tau$'s associates to $P_{r+1}$ a polynomial $P_{r+1}$ which can be assumed to be irreducible in $F_{ij}[X]$, having a non-trivial root $x_{i+1}$ in some extension of $F_{ij}$. If $P_{r+1}$ has no root in $F_{ij}$ we take the $i$-th extension $F_{ij}^{i+1} = F_{ij}[X]/(P_{r+1})$ and we set $x_{i+1} = \infty$ where $\infty$ denotes the class of $X$ for the projection $F_{ij}[X] ! F_{ij}^{i+1}[X]/(P_{r+1})$. If $P_{r+1}$ has a non-trivial root $x_{i+1}$ in $F_{ij}$ we set $F_{ij}^{i+1} = F_{ij}$. This proves, by induction, that we can extend $\tau$ to $B[1; \ldots; 1]$. To extend $\tau$ to $A$ it is sufficient to $x$ images for its other generators. Since $A$ has a finite number of generators we use the same method as above (using the fact that $A$ is integral over $B$). Let $\tau$ be the homomorphism extended to $A$ and $F_{ij}$ be the extended $i$-th. Since $\tau^{(i)} = \tau$ for $i = 1; \ldots; j$ then $\tau_1 ; 2 \to F_{ij}$, $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ with $n = \text{Card}(F_{ij}) - 1$, which ends the proof of Lemma 6.3.

**End of proof of Lemma 6.2** Let $q$ be a prime satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 6.3. We denote by $\mathbb{A} = F_{ij}$ the homomorphism given by Lemma 6.3.

---
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This homomorphism combined with the holonomy $H_q$ of $\pi_1(H)$ in $\text{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ induces a homomorphism $\%$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(H) & \xrightarrow{H_q} & \text{SL}(2;\mathbb{C}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \% \\
\text{SL}(2;\mathbb{F}_q) & & \text{SL}(2;\mathbb{F}_q)
\end{array}
$$

where $\%$ is the restriction of the homomorphism $\%: \text{SL}(2;\mathbb{A}) \to \text{SL}(2;\mathbb{F}_q)$ defined by:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{bmatrix}
\mapsto
\begin{bmatrix}
(a) & (b) \\
(c) & (d)
\end{bmatrix}
$$

So we get the following identities:

$$
'\left(\begin{array}{c}m_i\end{array}\right) = \overline{Q}_i \begin{bmatrix}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{bmatrix} \quad '\left(\begin{array}{c}l_i\end{array}\right) = \overline{Q}_i \begin{bmatrix}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1,\ldots,\ell\}
$$

Let $G_n$ be the subgroup of $\text{SL}(2;\mathbb{F}_q)$ defined by:

$$
G_n = \begin{bmatrix}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{when } a \in \mathbb{F}_q
$$

Since $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a cyclic group of order $n$, so is $G_n$. To complete the proof of (i) it is sufficient to set $g = \overline{Q}_i$. To prove (ii), it is sufficient to use the fact that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a field of characteristic $q$ and the form of the elements $'\left(\begin{array}{c}j\end{array}\right)$ and $'\left(\begin{array}{c}j\end{array}\right)$ for $j = \{1,\ldots,r\}$. Indeed this proves that $'\left(\begin{array}{c}j\end{array}\right)$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ or to $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ depending on whether the elements $I$ and $'\left(\begin{array}{c}j\end{array}\right)$ are linearly dependent or not. This ends the proof of Lemma 6.2.

**Remark 3** Lemma 6.2 can be easily extended to the case of a finite number of complete finite volume hyperbolic manifolds. More precisely, if $H_1;\ldots;H\ldots \text{ denote hyperbolic submanifolds in } N^3$, we can write Lemma 6.2 simultaneously for the submanifolds by choosing the same prime $q > p_{n_0}$, the same group $K$, the same cyclic group $G_n$, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and the same element $c \in G_n$ of multiplicative order $mn_0$. Hence we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.4** Let $H_1;\ldots;H\ldots$ be submanifolds of $N^3$ whose interiors admit a complete finite volume hyperbolic structure. Then for any integer $n_0 = 1$ and for all but finitely many primes $q$ of the form $mn_0 + 1$, there exists a finite group $K$, a cyclic subgroup $G_n$, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of $K$, an element $c \in G_n$ of
multiplicative order \( mn_0 \), elements \( \gamma_j \) in \( G_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, j = 1, \ldots, l \), and group homomorphisms \( H_i : \pi_1(H_i) \to K_i, i = 1, \ldots \) satisfying the following properties:

(i) for each \( i \geq 1 \), \( g \in G_i \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, l \), there is an element \( g_j \) with \( K \) such that \( H_i(g_j)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z} \),

(ii) for each \( i \geq 1 \), \( g \in G_i \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, l \), we have the following equalities:

\[
H_i(m_j) = g_j \gamma_j^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad H_i(l_j) = g_j \gamma_j^{-1},
\]

(iii) for each \( i \geq 1 \), \( g \in G_i \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, l \), the group \( H_i(\pi_1(U_j)) \) is isomorphic to either \( \mathbb{Z} = q\mathbb{Z} \) or \( \mathbb{Z} = q\mathbb{Z} \).

6.2.4 A finite covering lemma for Seifert fibered manifolds

In this section we construct a class of finite coverings for Seifert fibered manifolds with non-empty boundary homeomorphic to a product which allows to extend the hyperbolic coverings given by Corollary 6.4. We show here that these coverings may be extended if some simple combinatorial conditions are checked and we will see that these combinatorial conditions can always be satisfied up to finite covering over \( \mathbb{N}^3 \). Throughout this paragraph we consider a Seifert piece \( S \) of \( \mathbb{N}^3 \), identified to a product \( F \times S^1 \), where \( F \) denotes an orientable surface of genus \( g \) with at least two boundary components. We take two integers \( n \) and \( c \) in \( \mathbb{Z} \) and denote by \( \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z} \) and \( \mathbb{Z} = c\mathbb{Z} \).

Lemma 6.5 Let \( S \) be a Seifert fibered space homeomorphic to \( F \times S^1 \). We denote by \( D^1, \ldots, D^l; G_1, \ldots, G_l \) the components of \( \mathbb{S} \) and we set \( d_j = [D_j^1, 2] \pi_1(F) \) and \( j = [G_i] \pi_1(F) \) (for a choice of base points). Let \( \gamma(S) = f \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l \) be a finite sequence of integers. Then there exists a finite covering \( \mathbb{S} : S \to F \) inducing the trivial covering on the boundary and satisfying the following property: there exists a group homomorphism \( \pi_1(S) \to \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z} \), where \( G \) denotes a finite abelian group such that:

(i) for each component \( T_i = D_j \times S^1 \) (where \( j = 1, \ldots, l \)), \( \pi_1(T_i) = -\pi_1(D_i) \), we have \( \pi_1(T_i) \to \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z} \) for \( g \) and in particular we have the equalities:

\[
\pi_1(U_i) = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l), \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(U_j) = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l),
\]

where \( \gamma_j = [D_j, 1] \pi_1(F) \) and where \( \gamma \) denotes the boundary of \( \mathbb{S} \),

(ii) for each component \( U_j \) of \( -\pi_1(G_j \times S^1) \) the group \( \ker(\pi_1(U_j)) \) is the characteristic subgroup in \( \pi_1(U_j) \).

Proof Let $N_0$ be the integer defined by $N_0 = \gamma^1 + \cdots + \gamma^l$. Then the proof of Lemma 6.5 is split into two cases.

Case 1 We first assume that $N_0 \neq 0(n)$. Then we show in this case that $S$ itself satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 6.5. Recall that with the notations of Lemma 6.5 the group $\pi_1(S)$ has a presentation:

$$[t; d_1] = [t; j] = [t; a_i] = [t; b_j] = 1; [a_i; b_j] d^{i_1} = 1$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and for $j = 1, \ldots, k = 1$.

Then consider the group $K$ defined by the following relations:

$$K = d_1; \ldots; d_l; t : [t; d] = [t; j] = [t; a_i] = [t; b_j] = 1; [a_i; b_j] d^{i_1} = 1$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Obtained from $\pi_1(S)$ by killing the generators $a_i; b_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, g$ and adding two relations. Denote by $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}_r$ the corresponding projection homomorphism. Then we define a homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_r$ by setting:

$$(d_1) = (\gamma^1; 0; \ldots; 0), (d_1^{-1}) = (\gamma^1; 0; \ldots; 0),$$

$$(t) = (0; 1; 0; \ldots; 0), (t^{-1}) = (0; 1; 0; \ldots; 0)$$

Since $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}_r$ is the canonical epimorphism, then the homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_r$ defined by the composition satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 6.5. This ends the proof of Lemma 6.5 in case 1.

Case 2 We now assume that $N_0 = \gamma^1 + \cdots + \gamma^l \neq 0(n)$. So there exists an integer $p > 1$ (that may be chosen minimal) such that: (??) $pN_0 = p\gamma^1 + \cdots + \gamma^l$. Then the proof of Lemma 6.5 is split into two cases.
Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be the finite covering of degree \( p \) of \( S \) corresponding to the following homomorphism:

\[
\iota(S)^{!h}_{A_1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A_1}} Z \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Z}^{p^2}}
\]

It follows from the above construction that this covering induces the trivial covering on \( \mathcal{S} \). So each component \( T \) of \( \mathcal{S} \) has \( p \) connected components in its pre-image by \( \iota \). With the same notations as in the above paragraph, the group \( \iota(\mathcal{S}) \) has a presentation:

\[
\mathbb{H}_{A_1} \colon \mathbb{D}_{i_1} \cdots ; \mathbb{D}_{i_p}; \mathbb{E}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{E}_r \colon
\]

\[
\gamma^0 \mathbb{Z} = 1
\]

\[
\gamma \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{W}
\]

\[
i_1 \mathbb{E}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbb{E}_{i_r}
\]

Then we show that we can construct a homomorphism \( \iota(\mathcal{S})^{!h}_A \quad Z = nZ \quad (Z = Z)^{r-1} \) such that \( \iota(h_i^A; \mathcal{E}) \quad Z = nZ \quad f \circ g \) and satisfying the following equalities:

\[
\iota(h_i^A; \mathcal{E}) \quad (\tau^i; 0) \quad \text{for every } j = 1, \ldots, p \quad \text{and } i = 1, \ldots, l,
\]

\[
\iota(h_i^A; \mathcal{E}) \quad (\tau^i; 0) \quad \text{and the group } \ker(\iota(h_i^A; \mathcal{E})) \quad \text{is the } \mathbb{K} \text{-characteristic subgroup of } H_i^A; \mathcal{E}
\]

Consider now the group \( K \) obtained from \( \iota(\mathcal{S}) \) by setting:

\[
K = \mathbb{H}_{A_1} \colon \mathbb{D}_{i_1} \cdots ; \mathbb{D}_{i_p}; \mathbb{E}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{E}_r; \mathcal{E}
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbb{E}_{i_r}
\]

Let \( \iota(\mathcal{S})^{!h}_A \quad K \quad (Z = Z)^{r-1} \) by setting:

\[
(d_i^0) = (\gamma^1; 0; \ldots; 0); \ldots; \quad (d_i^p) = (\gamma^1; 0; \ldots; 0); \ldots;
\]

\[
(d_j^i) = (\gamma^j; 0; \ldots; 0); \ldots; \quad (d_{i-p-1}^i) = (\gamma^j; 0; \ldots; 0);
\]

\[
(1) = (0; 1; 0; \ldots; 0); \ldots; \quad (r_{-1}) = (0; \ldots; 0; 1) \quad \text{and } \quad (t) = (c; 0; \ldots; 0).
\]

Since \( Q^i_{j} \mathbb{D}_i^j = 1 \) we get:

\[
(Q_{i}^i) = -(p \gamma^1 + \ldots + (p-1) \gamma^1) \quad \gamma^i \quad (n) \quad \text{and since}
\]

\[
Q_{i}^i = 1 \quad \text{we have: } \quad (r) = (0; 1; \ldots; 1). \quad \text{Finally if we denote by } \quad Z = nZ \quad (Z = Z)^{r-1} \quad \text{the canonical projection then the homomorphism } \quad \iota(\mathcal{S})^{!h}_A \quad \text{defined by the composition satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 6.5. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.5.}
\]

6.3 Proof of Proposition 1.12

Throughout this section \( N^3 \) will denote a closed Haken manifold with non-trivial Gromov simplicial volume, whose Seifert pieces are product. Let \( n_0 \geq 1 \) be a fixed integer. We denote by \( H_1; \ldots; H \) the hyperbolic components and by \( S_1; \ldots; S_t \) the Seifert pieces of \( N \) \( n \mathbb{W}_N \). We want to apply Corollary 6.4 to \( H_1; \ldots; H \) uniformly with respect to the integer \( n_0 \). To do this we first fix a system of \("\text{longitudine-meridian} \) on each boundary component of these manifolds. This choice will be determined in the following way: Let \( H \) be a hyperbolic manifold and let \( T \) be a component of \( @H \). If \( T \) is adjacent on both sides to hyperbolic manifolds we fix a system of \("\text{longitudine-meridian} \) arbitrarily. We now assume that \( T \) is adjacent to a Seifert piece in \( N \) denoted by \( S = \mathbb{F} \times S^1 \). We identify a regular neighborhood of \( T \) with \( T \times [-1; 1] \), where \( T = T \times f_0g \), \( T^- = T \times f - 1g \) and \( T^+ = T \times f + 1g \). We assume that \( T^+ \) is a component of \( @S \) and that \( T^- \) is a component of \( @H \) and we denote by \( h_T : T^+ \rightarrow T^- \) the corresponding gluing homeomorphism. Let \( t \) be the number of \( S \) represented in \( T^+ \) and let \( d \) be the homotopy class of the boundary component of the base \( F \) of \( S \) corresponding to \( T^+ \). Then the curves \( (t; d) \) represent a system of \("\text{longitudine-meridian} \) for \( 1(T^+) \) and allow us to associate to \( T^- \) a \("\text{longitudine-meridian} \) system by setting:

\[
m = h_T(t) \quad \text{and} \quad l = h_T(d)
\]

We now give some notations: for a hyperbolic manifold \( H \) of \( N \), we denote by \( T_1^1; \ldots; T_t^1 \) the components of \( @H \) adjacent to a Seifert piece and by \( U_t^1; \ldots; U_t^1 \), those which are adjacent on both sides to hyperbolic manifolds. For each \( T_t^1 \), we denote by \( (m_t^1; l_t^1) \) its \("\text{longitudine-meridian} \) system corresponding to the construction described above.

We now describe how the hyperbolic pieces of \( N \) allow us to associate, via Corollary 6.4, a sequence of integers \( \gamma(S) \) to each Seifert piece of \( N \), in the sense of Lemma 6.5. Let \( S \) be a Seifert piece in \( N \), we denote by \( H_1; \ldots; H_m \) the hyperbolic pieces adjacent to \( S \) along \( @S \) and we fix a torus \( T \) in \( @S \) adjacent to \( H_1 \) (say). It follows from Corollary 6.4 that there exists a homomorphism \( \gamma : \pi_1(H_1) \rightarrow K \) such that \( \gamma(g_1) = \gamma g \gamma^{-1} \), where \( G_1 \) is a cyclic subgroup of \( K \) and such that \( \gamma_0(m) = \gamma \tau g^{-1}, \gamma_1(l) = \gamma \tau g^{-1} \) where \( \tau \) and \( \tau_1 \) are elements of \( G_1 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \) and where \( (m; l) \) denotes the \("\text{longitudine-meridian} \) system of \( T \). Let \( \tau \) and \( \tau_1 \) be representatives in \( \mathbb{Z} \) of \( \tau \) and \( \tau_1 \). Then we set \( \gamma_1(S) = \gamma_1 \). Applying the same method for all tori of \( @S \) which are adjacent to hyperbolic components we get a sequence \( \gamma_1(S); \ldots; \gamma_n(S) g = \gamma(S) \) associated to \( S \), when \( S \) is a Seifert piece in \( N \). We choose a suitable prime \( q \) of the form...
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\[ mn_0 + 1 \text{ (i.e. we choose } q \text{ sufficiently large) and we apply Corollary 6.4 to the hyperbolic manifolds } H_1; \ldots; H. \text{ This means that for each } i, 2 \leq i \leq n \text{ there exists a homomorphism } \gamma_{H_i} : 1(H_i) \to K \text{ satisfying the conclusion of Corollary 6.4. This allows us to associate to each Seifert piece } S_1; \ldots; S_t \text{ an integer sequence } \gamma(S_1); \ldots; \gamma(S_t). \text{ So the proof of Proposition 1.12 will be split into two cases.} \]

6.3.1 Proof of Proposition 1.12: Case 1

We first assume that we can apply Lemma 6.5 for each Seifert piece of \( N \) and the associated integer sequence \( \gamma(S) \) (i.e. without using a finite covering). It follows from Lemma 6.5, that for each \( i, 2 \leq i \leq g \), there exists a group homomorphism \( \gamma_i : 1(S_i) \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \) satisfying properties (i) and (ii) of this lemma for the sequence \( \gamma(S_i) \) with \( q = q - 1 = mn_0 \).

It follows from [9, Lemma 4.1] or [14, Theorems 2.4 and 3.2] that for each \( i, 2 \leq i \leq g \) (resp. \( i, 2 \leq i \leq t \)), there exists a finite group \( H_i \) (resp. \( L_i \)) and a group homomorphism \( \gamma_i : 1(S_i) \to H_i \) (resp. \( \gamma_i : 1(S_i) \to L_i \)) which induces the \( q \)-characteristic covering on \( @H_i \) (resp. \( @S_i \)). For each \( i, 2 \leq i \leq g \) (resp. \( i, 2 \leq i \leq t \)) we consider the homomorphism \( H_i \) (resp. \( S_i \)) defined by the following formula:

\[
H_i = \gamma_{H_i} \quad \gamma_i : 1(S_i) \to K \quad H_i
\]

\[
S_i = \gamma_{S_i} \quad \gamma_i : 1(S_i) \to (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \ G_i) \quad L_i
\]

where \( \gamma_{H_i} \) is given by Corollary 6.4. The above homomorphisms allow us to associate to each Seifert piece \( S \) of \( N \) a finite covering \( p_S : S \to S \) when \( S \) describes the Seifert pieces of \( N \). Since for each Seifert piece \( S \) of \( N \) the homomorphism \( \gamma_S \) sends the homotopy class of the regular fiber of \( S \), denoted by \( t_S \), to an element of order \( qmn_0 \), then to prove Proposition 1.12 it is sufficient to show the following result.

Lemma 6.6 There exists a finite covering \( r : N \to N \) such that for each component \( S \) of \( N \) of \( n \) \( W_N \) and for each component \( S \) of \( r^{-1}(S) \), the induced covering \( rjS : S \to S \) is equivalent to the covering corresponding to \( S \) in the set \( R \).

In the proof of this result, it will be convenient to call a co-dimension 0 submanifold \( X_k \) of \( N \) a \( k \)-chain of \( N \) if \( X_k \) is a connected manifold made of exactly \( k \) components of \( N \) of \( n \) \( W_N \). Then we prove Lemma 6.6 by induction on \( k \).

Proof of Lemma 6.6  When $k=1$ this is an obvious consequence of Lemma 6.2, if the 1-chain $X_1$ is hyperbolic or of Lemma 6.5, if $X_1$ is a Seifert piece. Indeed it is sufficient to take the associated homomorphism of type $H$ or $S$. We now an integer $k \geq t+1$ and we set the following inductive hypothesis:

\[(H_{k-1}): \text{for each } j < k \text{ and for each } j \text{-chain } X_j \text{ of } N, \text{ there exists a finite covering } p : X_j \to X_j \text{ such that for each component } S \text{ of } X_j \cap W_N\]

and for each component $S$ of $p_j(S)$ the induced covering $p_j : S ! S$ of $p_j^{-1}(S)$ is equivalent to the covering $p_j$ corresponding to $S$ in the set $R$.

Let $X_k$ be a $k$-chain in $N$. We choose a $(k-1)$-chain denoted by $X_{k-1}$ in $X_k$ and we set $X_1$ the (connected) component of $X_k \cap X_{k-1}$.

Case 1.1 We first assume that $X_1$ is a Seifert piece of $N$, denoted by $S$. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be the hyperbolic pieces of $X_{k-1}$ adjacent to $S$ and let $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ be the Seifert pieces of $X_{k-1}$ adjacent to $S$. The hyperbolic manifold $H_i$ is adjacent to $S$ along tori $(T_1^i, \ldots, T_l^i)$ and $S_j$ is adjacent to $S$ along tori $(U_1^j, \ldots, U_l^j)$ of $S_j$. With these notations the fundamental group of $S$ has a presentation:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\pi_1(S) = \langle a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_l, b_l, d_1^1, \ldots, d_1^s, d_2^1, \ldots, d_2^s, \ldots, d_l^1, \ldots, d_l^s, i_1, \ldots, i_l, j_1, \ldots, j_l, r : \rangle \\
Y \quad \Y \quad \Y \quad \Y \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
h_1, b_1, \ldots, a_l, b_l, d_1^1, \ldots, d_1^s, d_2^1, \ldots, d_2^s, \ldots, d_l^1, \ldots, d_l^s, i_1, \ldots, i_l, j_1, \ldots, j_l, r : \rangle \\
\end{array}
\]

Where the group $h_1$ corresponds to $1(U_1^{i+})$, $h_l$ to $d_l^1$ and $d_l^i$ to $1(T_i^j)$.

We denote by $h_k^1 : T_k^1 \to T_k^1$ and by $h_k^j : U_k^1 \to U_k^1$ the gluing homeomorphism in $N$ (see figure 5). Let $p_{X_{k-1}} : X_{k-1} \to X_{k-1}$ be the covering given by the inductive hypothesis. In particular, for each hyperbolic piece $H_i$ (resp. Seifert piece $S_j$) of $X_{k-1}$ and for each component $H_i$ of $p_{X_{k-1}}^{-1}(H_i)$ (resp. $S_j$ of $p_{X_{k-1}}^{-1}(S_j)$) the covering $p_{X_{k-1}} \gamma_{H_i}$ (resp. $p_{X_{k-1}} \gamma_{S_j}$) is equivalent to $p_{H_i}$ (resp. $p_{S_j}$) in $R$. Denote by $H_i$ (resp. $S_j$) the homomorphisms corresponding to $p_{H_i}$ (resp. to $p_{S_j}$):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S_j = \gamma_{S_j} : 1(S_j) \to \langle Z = n Z, G_i \rangle \to L_i \\
H_i = \gamma_{H_i} : 1(H_i) \to K \to H \\
\end{array}
\]

where $K; H; G_i$ and $L_i$ are finite groups. In particular, we have the following properties ($P_j^{i-}$):
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We consider the integer sequence $\gamma$ of $p$.

It follows from the hypothesis of Case 1, that we can apply Lemma 6.5 to (b) the groups $\ker\left(\psi_{j}\left(U_{i}^{1}\right)\right)$ for $i = 1; \ldots; m$ and $j = 1; \ldots; n$.

We consider the integer sequence $\gamma_{H}(S) = \psi_{j} g_{j}$ of liftings in $Z$ of $\psi_{j} g_{j}$. It follows from the hypothesis of Case 1, that we can apply Lemma 6.5 to $S = F \times S^{1}$; this means that there exists a homomorphism $\psi_{j}: 1(S) \rightarrow Z = nZ$ satisfying the following equalities denoted by $(P_{S})$:

(c) the group $\ker\left(\psi_{j} h_{j} / t_{i} \right) = \ker\left(\psi_{j} \left(U_{i}^{1}\right)\right)$ is the characteristic subgroup of index $\gamma$ in $h_{j}^{1;+} / t_{i}$ for $i = 1; \ldots; t$ and $j = 1; \ldots; n$.

(d) $\psi_{j} \left(U_{i}^{1}\right) = \psi_{j} \left(U_{i}^{1}\right)$ satisfies the following equalities denoted by $(P_{S})$:

Let

\[ T_{1}^{1;+} = (d_{1}; t) \xrightarrow{h_{1}} T_{1}^{1;+} = (l_{1}; m_{1}) \]

\[ T_{2}^{1;+} = (d_{2}; t) \xrightarrow{h_{2}} T_{2}^{1;+} = (l_{2}; m_{2}) \]

\[ T_{3}^{1;+} = (d_{3}; t) \xrightarrow{h_{3}} T_{3}^{1;+} = (l_{3}; m_{3}) \]

\[ U_{1}^{1;+} = (\frac{1}{t}; t) \xrightarrow{\psi_{j}} U_{1}^{1;+} \]

\[ U_{2}^{1;+} = (\frac{1}{t}; t) \xrightarrow{\psi_{j}} U_{2}^{1;+} \]

Figure 5

Denote by $p_{S}: S \rightarrow S$ the finite covering corresponding to $\psi$, by $\gamma$ the degree of $p_{S}$ and by $\chi_{k-1}$ the degree of $p_{x_{k-1}}$. Let $T_{j}^{1;+}$ (resp. $T_{j}^{1;+}$) be

a torus in $\mathbb{S}$ (resp. in $\mathbb{H}_1$). It follows from the construction of the coverings $p_{X_{k-1}}$ and $p_S$ that $p_{X_{k-1}}$ (resp. $p_S$) is a covering of degree $j^+ = j_1 H_i(1(T_j^{i+})) = j_1 H_i(H_j^1; m_j^1 i^1)$ (resp. $j^+ = j_1 s_1 (1(T_j^{i+}))) = j_1 s_1 (H_j^1; t)$). If $j^+$ (resp. $j^-$) denotes the number of connected components of $p_{X_{k-1}}^{-1}(T_j^{i+})$ (resp. of $p_{X_{k-1}}^{-1}(T_j^{i-})$) we can write:

$$j^+ = s; \quad j^- = x_{k-1} \quad (1)$$

by properties $p_{X_{k-1}}^{j^-}$ and $p_{S_1}$. For each component $U_j^{i-}$ of $S_1$ (resp. $U_j^{i+}$ of $S$), the covering $p_{X_{k-1}}$ (resp. $p_S$) induces the $q \backslash q$-characteristic covering. If $j^+$ (resp. $j^-$) denotes the number of connected components of $p_{S_1}^{-1}(U_j^{i+})$ (resp. of $p_{X_{k-1}}^{-1}(U_j^{i+})$), we can write:

$$q^2 \begin{cases} \begin{array}{c} j^+ = s; \quad q^2 j^+ = x_{k-1} \quad (2) \end{array} \end{cases}$$

We want to show that there are two positive integers $x$ and $y$ independant of $i$ and $j$ satisfying the following equalities:

$$x_j^{i+} = y_j^{i-} \quad x_j^{i+} = y_j^{i-} \quad (3)$$

Using (1), it is sufficient to choose $x$ and $y$ in such a way that $x_j = y_j x_{k-1}$ which is possible. So we take $x$ copies $\mathbb{S}_1; \ldots; \mathbb{S}_x$ of $\mathbb{S}$ and $y$ copies $\mathbb{S}_{k-1}; \ldots; \mathbb{S}_{k-1}$ of $\mathbb{S}_{k-1}$ with the coverings $p_{S_1}^j : \mathbb{S}_j \rightarrow S$ (resp. $p_{S_{k-1}}^j : \mathbb{S}_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$) equivalent to $p_S$ (resp. $p_{S_{k-1}}^{-1}$). Then consider the space $X$ defined by:

$$X = \mathbb{S}_1 \mathbb{S}_x A \mathbb{S}_{k-1} A \mathbb{S}_{k-1}$$

Note that it follows from the above arguments that the spaces $\mathbb{S}_1 \mathbb{S}_x A \mathbb{S}_{k-1} A$ have the same number of boundary components. Thus it is sufficient to show that we can glue together the connected components of $X$ via those of $(p_{S_1})^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ and of $(p_{X_{k-1}})^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{k-1})$ (see figure 5). To do this, we $x$ a component $\mathbb{F}_j^{i+}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_j^{i-}$) of $p_{S_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_j^{i+})$ (resp. $p_{X_{k-1}}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_j^{i-})$) and we proceed as before with the components of $p_{S_1}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_j^{i+})$ (resp. $p_{X_{k-1}}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_j^{i-})$). Then it is sufficient to prove that there exist homeomorphisms $\mathbb{F}_j$ and $\mathbb{E}_j$ such that the following diagrams are consistent:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \downarrow \mathbb{F}_j^{i-} \quad \mathbb{E}_j^{i+} \downarrow \mathbb{E}_j^{i-}
\mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-} \mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-}
\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow
\mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-} \mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-}
\mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-} \mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-}
\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow
\mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-} \mathbb{F}_j^{i+} \mathbb{F}_j^{i-}
\end{array}$$
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Since the coverings $p_n \phi_i^{1+}$ and $p_{x_i-1} \phi_i^{1-}$ correspond to the characteristic subgroup of index $q$ in $\pi_1(U^{1+})$ and $\pi_1(U^{1-})$, it is straightforward that there exists a homeomorphism $'e_i'$ such that the diagram (5) is consistent (since for each integer $n$, the $n$-characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(U^{1-})$ is unique).

We now take a base point $x$ such that $x^-$ is homeomorphic to $x^-$ in $\pi_1(U^{1+})$ (resp. $\pi_1(U^{1-})$). So we have $\pi_1(U^{1+} ; x^+) = H_j^1 ; m_j^1$ and $\pi_1(U^{1-} ; x^-) = H_j^1 ; m_j^1$. By (d), we know that the covering $p_n \phi_i^{1+}$ corresponds to the homeomorphism $"$ defined by:

" ="_1 \gamma = s_j \gamma_i^1 ; \alpha = s_j \gamma_i^1 ; \alpha \gamma_s^1 \gamma_i^1 ; \alpha ! (Z=\gamma Z) (\gamma=\gamma Z) \gamma=\gamma Z

with equalities: $s_j(d'_i) = \alpha s_j(d'_j) \gamma_s \gamma_i^1 (d'_j) = ((\alpha^1 ; 0) ; \gamma s \gamma_i^1 (d'_j))$ (6)

and $s_j(t) = \alpha s_j(t) \gamma_s \gamma_i^1 (t) = ((\alpha ; 0) ; \gamma s \gamma_i^1 (t))$

It follows from (a) that the covering $p_n \phi_i^{1-}$ corresponds to the homomorphism $"^0$: $H_j^1 ; m_j^1 \alpha$ ! $(g G_n (g_i^1))^{-1}$ (Z=\gamma Z) (Z=\gamma Z) Z=\gamma Z

with equalities: $H_j^1 (d'_j) = \alpha H_j^1 (d'_j) \gamma^0 \gamma_i^1 (d'_j) = ((g^1 \gamma^1 (g_i^1)^{-1} ; 0) ; \gamma^0 \gamma_i^1 (d'_j))$ (7)

and $H_j^1 (t) = \alpha H_j^1 (t) (\gamma^0 \gamma_i^1 (t) = ((g^1 \gamma^1 (g_i^1)^{-1} ; 0) ; \gamma^0 \gamma_i^1 (t))$

where $G_n ^' \gamma Z=n Z$. To prove that the homomorphism $H_j^1$ lifts in the diagram (4) it is sufficient to see that: $(H_j^1) (\ker(")) = \ker("^0)$. It follows from the above arguments that $\ker("^0) = \ker("^1) \setminus \ker(")$ and $\ker("^0) = \ker("^1) \setminus \ker("^2)$. We first prove the following equality $(H_j^1) (\ker("^1)) = \ker("^2)$. Using (6) and (7) we know that:

"_1 : H_j^1 ; \alpha ! (Z=\gamma Z) with "_1(d'_j) = \gamma_j^1 and "(t) = \gamma ^0 : H_j^1 ; m_j^1 ! (g G_n (g_i^1))^{-1} (Z=\gamma Z)

with "_1(l'_j) = g^1 \gamma^1 (g_i^1)^{-1} and "(m'_j) = g^1 \gamma^1 (g_i^1)^{-1}

Moreover, since the elements $m_j^1$ and $l_j^1$ have been chosen such that $m_j^1 = h_j^1 (t)$ and $l_j^1 = h_j^1 (d'_j)$, the above arguments imply that $(H_j^1) (\ker("^1)) = \ker("^2)$. Hence it is sufficient to check that $(H_j^1) (\ker("^1)) = \ker("^2)$. Since $\ker("^0)$ (resp. $\ker("^2)$) is the $q$-$q$-characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(U^{1+})$ (resp. of $\pi_1(U^{1-})$) this latter equality is obvious. So the lifting criterion implies that there is a homeomorphism $\alpha_i^1$ such that diagram (4) commutes. Finally the space $\phi$ obtained by gluing together the connected components of $X$ via the homeomorphisms $'e_i'$ and $\alpha_i^1$ satisfies the induction hypothesis $(H_k)$. This proves Lemma 6.6 in Case 1.1.
Case 1.2. To complete the proof of Lemma 6.6 it remains to assume that the space $X_1$ is a hyperbolic submanifold of $N^3$. In this case the arguments are similar to those of Case 1.1. This ends the proof of Lemma 6.6. \hfill \square

6.3.2 Proof of Proposition 1.12: Case 2

We now assume that for some Seifert pieces $fS_i; i 2 f1;...;tg$ in $N$, in order to apply Lemma 6.5 we have to take a finite covering of order $p = 1$ inducing the trivial covering on the boundary. More precisely, for each $S_i$, $i 2 f1;...;tg$, we denote by $\gamma(S_i)$ the integer sequence which comes from the hyperbolic coverings via Corollary 6.4 and we denote by $i: S_i ! S_i$ the covering (trivial on the boundary) obtained by applying Lemma 6.5 to $S_i$ with $\gamma(S_i)$. Then we construct a finite covering $N ! N$ such that each component of $^{-1}(S_i)$ is equivalent to the covering $i: S_i ! S_i$ in the following way: for each $i 2 f1;...;tg$ we denote by $t_i$ the degree of $\gamma(S_i)$. We denote the integer $m_0$ by:

$$m_0 = \text{l.c.m}(t_1;...;t_t)$$

For each $i 2 f1;...;tg$, we take $t_i = m_0 = t_i$ copies of $S_i$ denoted by $S_i^1;...;S_i^{t_i}$ and $m_0$ copies of $H_j$ denoted by $H_j^1;...;H_j^{m_0}$ for $j 2 f1;...;mg$. Since the map $i$ induces the trivial covering on $@S_i$ we may glue together the connected components of the space:

$$X = \begin{array}{cccc|ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline
a & a & S_i^1 & a & a & H_j^1 \\
\hline
1 & t_1 & 1 & t_i & 1 & t_1 & m_0
\end{array}$$

via the (trivial) liftings of the gluing homeomorphism of the pieces $N n W_N$. This allows us to obtain a Haken manifold $N_1$ which has a finite covering of $N$ and which satisfies the hypothesis of Case 1 (see subsection 6.3.1). It is now sufficient to apply the arguments of subsection 6.3.1 for the induced map $f_1: M_1 ! N_1$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.12. By paragraph 6.1.1 and paragraph 6.1.2 this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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