

## SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

### On Projective Functions with Bad Measurability Properties

Alexander Kharazishvili\*

*I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University*  
*I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics*  
*2 University St., 0186, Tbilisi, Georgia*

(Received March 28, 2019; Revised May 20, 2019; Accepted June 11, 2019)

Under Martin's Axiom, we show the consistency of the existence of a projective function acting from  $\mathbf{R}$  into itself, which has an extremely bad measurability property with respect to a wide class of measures on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**Keywords:** Martin's axiom, Projective set, Absolutely nonmeasurable function, Well-ordering.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 28A05, 28A20, 28D05.

One of Ulam's problems posed by him in the Sottish Book [6] is formulated as follows:

Does there exist a measure on the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ , extending the classical Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  and containing in its domain the family of all projective subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ ?

Nowadays it is clear that this problem cannot be resolved within the framework of the standard **ZFC** set theory. Indeed, assuming the Axiom of Projective Determinacy (see, for instance, [1]), one immediately gets that the algebra of all projective subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  is entirely contained in the domain of  $\lambda$ .

On the other hand, in [5] the following assumption (H) was considered:

There exists a well-ordering of  $\mathbf{R}$  which is isomorphic to the standard well-ordering of  $\omega_1$  and, simultaneously, is a projective subset of the Euclidean plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Notice that the assumption (H) trivially holds in Gödel's Constructible Universe (see [1]).

It was shown in [5] that if (H) is fulfilled, then no extension of  $\lambda$  can make all projective sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  be measurable.

Let us say a few words about the method presented in [5] for solving Ulam's above-mentioned problem. This method essentially relies on the two facts which are consequences of the assumption (H):

- (1) the cardinality of  $\mathbf{R}$  is equal to  $\omega_1$  (i.e., the Continuum Hypothesis is valid);
- (2) every projective set  $Z \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  all vertical sections of which are at most count-

---

\* Email: kharaz2@yahoo.com

able admits a representation in the form

$$Z = \cup\{f_i : i \in I\},$$

where  $\{f_i : i \in I\}$  is a countable family of some functions whose graphs are projective subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Actually, (1) and (2) imply that the method of [5] cannot be directly generalized to the case when the cardinality continuum  $\mathbf{c}$  is strictly greater than  $\omega_1$ .

In [2] a much stronger result was obtained. In order to formulate it, let us introduce several notions.

A measure  $\mu$  defined on some  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  is called diffused (continuous) if each singleton in  $\mathbf{R}$  belongs to  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  and  $\mu$  vanishes at all of them.

Let  $E$  be an uncountable ground (base) set and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a class of measures on  $E$  (in general, those measures are defined on different  $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets of  $E$ ).

We shall say that a function  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $f$  is nonmeasurable with respect to every measure from  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{M}(E)$  the class of all nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite diffused measures on  $E$ .

**Remark 1:** Obviously, the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$  is substantially wider than the class of all those measures on  $\mathbf{R}$  which extend  $\lambda$ . In this context, it makes sense to recall that there exist many measures on  $\mathbf{R}$  which extend  $\lambda$  and, simultaneously, are invariant under the group of all translations of  $\mathbf{R}$  (see, e.g., [3], [9]). Moreover, as was demonstrated by Kakutani and Oxtoby in 1950, there exist nonseparable translation invariant measures on  $\mathbf{R}$  which are extensions of  $\lambda$ .

In [2] it was proved that, under the same hypothesis (H), there exists a function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$  and whose graph is a projective subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

It follows from this result that there exists a countable family  $\{P_i : i \in I\}$  of projective sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  such that no measure  $\mu$  from  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies the relation

$$\{P_i : i \in I\} \subset \text{dom}(\mu).$$

Moreover, all sets  $P_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) belong to the projective class  $\Sigma_k^1(\mathbf{R})$ , where  $k$  only depends on the index of the projective class of a well-ordering of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**Remark 2:** It should be noted that if  $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is any function absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ , the graph  $\text{Gr}(g)$  of  $g$  is an absolute null subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . The latter means that there exists no nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite diffused Borel measure on  $\text{Gr}(g)$ .

The method of [2] essentially relies on properties of so-called Luzin subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ . An extensive information on Luzin sets can be found in many sources (see, e.g., [4], [7], [8]).

In this note, assuming Martin's Axiom (**MA**) instead of the Continuum Hypothesis (**CH**), we would like to present a certain analogue of the just formulated result.

Recall that a set  $X \subset \mathbf{R}$  is a generalized Luzin set if  $\text{card}(X) = \mathbf{c}$  and, for every first category subset  $Y$  of  $\mathbf{R}$ , the inequality  $\text{card}(Y \cap X) < \mathbf{c}$  is valid.

Under Martin's Axiom, there exist generalized Luzin sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  and all of them are absolute null. It follows from this fact that, under the same axiom, there exist

injective functions acting from  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  which are absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all those subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  whose cardinalities are strictly less than  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

Also, denote by  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$  the class of all those nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  which satisfy the relation

$$(\forall Z \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R}))(\mu_*(Z) = 0),$$

where  $\mu_*(Z)$  stands, as usual, for the inner  $\mu$ -measure of  $Z$ .

Clearly, under Martin's Axiom,  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$  properly contains the class of all those measures on  $\mathbf{R}$  which extend  $\lambda$ .

**Remark 3:** At present, models of **ZFC** theory are known in which there exists a measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  extending  $\lambda$  and such that  $\nu(\mathbf{R} \setminus Z) = 0$  for some set  $Z \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$ . Moreover, the existence of such a measure  $\nu$  can be deduced from the assumption that the cardinal  $\mathfrak{c}$  is real-valued measurable.

**Remark 4:** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure from the class  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$ . It is not hard to show that there exists a measure  $\mu'$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that:

- (a)  $\mu'$  extends  $\mu$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R}) \subset \text{dom}(\mu')$  and  $\mu'(Z) = 0$  for any set  $Z \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$ .

**Lemma 1:** *Suppose that there exists a well-ordering of  $\mathbf{R}$  which is a projective subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .*

*Then, for every projective set  $Z \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ , there is a projective uniformization of  $Z$ , i.e., there exists a function*

$$h : \text{pr}_1(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

*whose graph  $\text{Gr}(h)$  is contained in  $Z$  and is also a projective subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .*

This lemma is well known and its proof is not difficult (cf. [5]).

**Lemma 2:** *Assume Martin's Axiom and let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function having the following two properties:*

- (1) *the range  $\text{ran}(f)$  of  $f$  is a generalized Luzin set in  $\mathbf{R}$ ;*
- (2) *for each point  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , the cardinality of the set  $f^{-1}(t)$  is strictly less than the cardinality of the continuum.*

*Then  $f$  is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$ .*

The proof of this lemma is completely analogous to the proof of Theorem 1 from Chapter 5 in [3].

Now, we are able to formulate and prove the main result of this note.

**Theorem 1:** *Assume Martin's Axiom and suppose also that there is a projective well-ordering  $\preceq$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  isomorphic to the well-ordering of the least ordinal number of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ .*

*Then there exists a function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$  and whose graph is a projective subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .*

**Proof:** We follow the method of [2]. Obviously, it suffices to demonstrate the existence of function

$$\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

which is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the analogous class  $\mathcal{M}_0([0, 1])$  and whose graph is a projective subset of the square  $[0, 1]^2$ .

Let  $\preceq$  be a projective well-ordering  $\preceq$  of  $[0, 1]$  isomorphic to the well-ordering of the least ordinal number of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

First, denote by  $E$  the compact metric space consisting of all nonempty closed subsets of  $[0, 1]$ , and consider its subspace  $E'$  consisting of all nonempty nowhere dense closed subsets of  $[0, 1]$ . Observe that this  $E'$  is of type  $G_\delta$  in  $E$ , so  $E'$  can be treated as a Polish topological space (see [4]).

Further, identify the Baire canonical space  $\omega^\omega$  with the set  $\mathbf{I}$  of all irrational numbers in  $[0, 1]$  and introduce a continuous surjection

$$\Phi : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow E'.$$

The existence of  $\Phi$  is well known (see again [4]). Then, for each point  $x \in [0, 1]$ , consider the set

$$Z(x) = \{y \in [0, 1] : x \preceq y \text{ \& } y \notin \cup\{\Phi(i) : i \in \mathbf{I} \text{ \& } i \preceq x\}\}$$

and define the subset  $Z$  of the plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$  by putting

$$Z = \cup\{\{x\} \times Z(x) : x \in [0, 1]\}.$$

It is not difficult to check that  $Z$  is a projective subset of the square  $[0, 1]^2$  and  $\text{pr}_1(Z) = [0, 1]$ .

Taking into account the fact that  $Z$  admits a projective uniformization (see Lemma 1), we come to the existence of a function

$$\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

whose graph is a projective subset of  $[0, 1]^2$  and is entirely contained in  $Z$ .

Now, a straightforward verification shows that the range  $\text{ran}(\phi)$  of  $\phi$  is a generalized Luzin set in  $[0, 1]$  which simultaneously is a projective subset of  $[0, 1]$ . In addition to this,

$$\text{card}(\phi^{-1}(t)) < \mathfrak{c}$$

for every point  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Keeping in mind Lemma 2, we can conclude that  $\phi$  is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class  $\mathcal{M}_0([0, 1])$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

As a consequence of the above theorem, we have the following statement.

**Theorem 2:** *Assume Martin's Axiom and suppose that there is a projective well-ordering  $\preceq$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  isomorphic to the well-ordering of the least ordinal number of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ .*

Then there exists a countable family  $\{P_j : j \in J\}$  of projective subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  such that no measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies the relation

$$\{P_j : j \in J\} \subset \text{dom}(\mu).$$

**Proof:** Let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function of Theorem 1, which is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$ . Take some countable base  $\{U_k : k < \omega\}$  of open sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  and some countable base  $\{V_k : k < \omega\}$  of open sets in the space  $\text{ran}(f)$ . Denote

$$\{P_j : j \in J\} = \{U_k : k < \omega\} \cup \{f^{-1}(V_k) : k < \omega\}.$$

By virtue of the absolute nonmeasurability of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R})$ , it is not hard to demonstrate that the family  $\{P_j : j \in J\}$  is as required.

Moreover, if  $\preceq$  belongs to the projective class  $\Sigma_n^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , then all sets  $P_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) belong to the projective class  $\Sigma_{m(n)}^1(\mathbf{R})$ , where the index  $m(n)$  depends on  $n$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5:** The following question naturally arises: under the assumptions of Theorem 1, does there exist a projective function  $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the whole class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ ? At present, we do not know the answer to this question.

**Acknowledgement.** This work was partially supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, Grant FR-18-6190.

## References

- [1] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2003
- [2] A. Kharazishvili, *To the existence of projective absolutely nonmeasurable functions*, Proceedings of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, **166** (2014), 95-102.
- [3] A. Kharazishvili, *Topics in Measure Theory and Real Analysis*, Atlantis Press, Amsterdam-Paris, 2009
- [4] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Academic Press, London-New York, **1** (1966)
- [5] R.D. Mauldin, *Projective well-orderings and extensions of Lebesgue measure*, Coll. Math., **XLVI**, 2 (1982), 185-188
- [6] R.D. Mauldin (editor), *The Scottish Book*, Birkhauser-Boston, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1982
- [7] J.C. Morgan II, *Point Set Theory*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., Basel-New York, 1990
- [8] J.C. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971
- [9] Sh.S. Pkhakadze, *The theory of Lebesgue measure* (Russian), Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Institute, **25** (1958), 3-272

