

A REMARK ABOUT THE CONNES FUSION TENSOR PRODUCT

ANDREAS THOM

ABSTRACT. We analyze the algebraic structure of the Connes fusion tensor product (CFTP) in the case of bi-finite Hilbert modules over a von Neumann algebra M . It turns out that all complications in its definition disappear if one uses the closely related bi-modules of bounded vectors. We construct an equivalence of monoidal categories with duality between a category of Hilbert bi-modules over M with CFTP and some natural category of bi-modules over M with the usual relative algebraic tensor product.

1. Introduction

First of all, we want to stress that this article does not contain any substantially new results about the Connes fusion tensor product (CFTP). We do not claim any originality, most of the calculations are straight-forward. It is the accumulation and presentation of the results that might be useful to those, who want to learn about the CFTP. We hope that the presented results will have applications towards a better understanding of the properties of algebraic quantum field theories, as constructed in [7]. In particular, we hope that the algebraization of the CFTP will give a suitable framework to develop a concrete model for elliptic cohomology, a process which was started in [5].

In this note we will explain some facts about the Connes fusion tensor product (CFTP) of bi-finite Hilbert bi-modules over von Neumann algebras. We stick to the case of bi-modules which are left-modules and right-modules over the same algebra M . The more general case can be treated similarly. We denote the relative algebraic tensor product by \otimes_M . The CFTP will be denoted by $\hat{\otimes}_M$ (see Section 5 for a definition).

The organization of the article is as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries and definitions which are needed for the exposition. In Section 3 we recall the notion of conjugate and dualizable bi-module. Section 4 contains Theorem 4.14, which subsumes the main results. It states that the category of bi-finite dualizable Hilbert bi-modules is equivalent to the category of bi-finitely generated projective bi-modules over M , respecting the monoidal structure and duality. Parts of the proof are carried out in Section 5, which also recalls the definition of the CFTP. Finally, Section 6 contains some concluding remarks.

Received by the editors 2010-09-02 and, in revised form, 2011-01-20.

Transmitted by Ieke Moerdijk. Published on 2011-02-08.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46LXX, 16DXX.

Key words and phrases: Connes fusion tensor product, von Neumann algebras.

© Andreas Thom, 2011. Permission to copy for private use granted.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. HILBERT MODULES AND HILBERT BI-MODULES Throughout the article, let M be a von Neumann algebra with separable pre-dual. We denote by M^{op} the von Neumann algebra, which is defined to be M as complex vector space, but with the opposite multiplication. A Hilbert space H , which is at the same time a left-module over M , is called a *Hilbert left-module* over M , if the module action is induced by a normal, $*$ -preserving, and unital homomorphism $M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$. A Hilbert right-module is defined similarly with M^{op} in place of M . A *Hilbert bi-module* over M is a Hilbert space H which is at the same time a Hilbert left-module and a Hilbert right-module over M , such that the actions of M and M^{op} commute.

Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a faithful and normal state. The assignment $(x, y) \mapsto \phi(y^*x)$ makes M into a pre-Hilbert space, whose completion we denote by $L_\phi^2(M)$. We denote the image of an element $x \in M$ under the natural inclusion $M \subset L_\phi^2(M)$ by $\hat{x} \in L_\phi^2(M)$. Furthermore, we use the convention $\Omega = \hat{1}$.

The Hilbert space $L_\phi^2(M)$ is naturally a Hilbert left-module, since

$$\|y \triangleright \hat{x}\|_{L_\phi^2(M)}^2 = \|y\hat{x}\|_{L_\phi^2(M)}^2 = \phi(x^*y^*yx) \leq \|y\|^2\phi(x^*x) = \|y\|^2\|\hat{x}\|_{L_\phi^2(M)}^2,$$

so that left-multiplication by y extends to a bounded operator on the completion.

In Tomita-Takesaki theory (see [6, Ch. VI-VIII]), an operator S is considered. It is defined as the closure of the conjugate linear assignment

$$\hat{b} \mapsto \hat{b}^*.$$

In general S is an unbounded operator. Its polar decomposition $S = J\Delta^{1/2}$ is such that J is a conjugate-linear isometry and $\Delta^{1/2}$ is an unbounded, positive operator.

We define a right-module structure by setting $\xi \triangleleft b = J(b^* \triangleright (J\xi))$. It follows from Tomita-Takesaki theory that the left and right-module structure are commutants of each other, so that in particular, they give $L_\phi^2(M)$ the structure of a Hilbert bi-module.

The Hilbert space $L_\phi^2(M)^{\oplus n}$ is at the same time a Hilbert left-module over M and a Hilbert right-module over $M_n(M)$. Again, these actions are commutants of each other. A similar remark applies to the obvious left-module structure over $M_n(M)$ and the diagonal action of M on the right. We will freely use these actions without further notational complication.

A Hilbert left-module H is called *finitely generated*, if there is a bounded surjection of left-modules from $L_\phi^2(M)^{\oplus n}$ to H , for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By polar decomposition, we can assume that the surjection is a partial isometry. A similar definition applies to right-modules. A Hilbert bi-module is called *bi-finite*, if it is finitely generated as left-module and as right-module.

By definition, all finitely generated Hilbert left-modules over M are unitarily equivalent to $L_\phi^2(M)^{\oplus n} \triangleleft p$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some projection $p \in M_n(M)$.

The algebra M is itself a bi-module over M , but usually not a Hilbert space. However, it is finitely generated projective as left and as right module. Recall: a module is projective, if it is a direct summand in a free module. In the sequel, we will encounter finitely

generated projective modules over M , which are associated to bi-finite Hilbert modules over M .

Let H and L be Hilbert left-modules (resp. right-modules). We denote by

$$\text{hom}_{M-}(H, L) \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \text{hom}_{-M}(H, L))$$

the vector space of bounded left-module maps (resp. right module maps).

Let H and L be either (Hilbert) left-modules, right-modules or bi-modules over M . The algebraic direct sum of the vectorspaces H and L carries obvious module structures, such that $H \oplus L$ is categorical sum in the categories of (Hilbert) left-modules, right-modules and bi-modules over M , respectively.

2.2. MODULES OF HOMOMORPHISMS Let H and L be Hilbert bi-modules. We consider $\text{hom}_{-M}(H, L)$ and $\text{hom}_{M-}(H, L)$. There are natural bi-module structures on these vector spaces. For $x \in \text{hom}_{-M}(H, L)$, $b \in M$ and $\xi \in H$, we define

$$(b \triangleright x)(\xi) = b \triangleright x(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad (x \triangleleft b)(\xi) = x(b \triangleright \xi).$$

Similarly, for $x \in \text{hom}_{M-}(H, L)$, $b \in M$ and $\xi \in H$, we define

$$(b \triangleright x)(\xi) = x(\xi \triangleleft b) \quad \text{and} \quad (x \triangleleft b)(\xi) = x(\xi) \triangleleft b.$$

It is an easy check, that these formula indeed define bi-module structures. The adjoint of $x \in \text{hom}_{-M}(H, L)$ (resp. $x \in \text{hom}_{M-}(H, L)$) is denoted by $x^* \in \text{hom}_{-M}(L, H)$ (resp. $x^* \in \text{hom}_{M-}(L, H)$). It satisfies $(x(\xi), \eta)_L = (\xi, x^*(\eta))_H$. Concerning the module structure, we have (in either case)

$$(b \triangleright x)^* = x^* \triangleleft b^* \quad \text{and} \quad (x \triangleleft b)^* = b^* \triangleright x^*. \quad (1)$$

Note that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\alpha : \text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), L_\phi^2(M)) \rightarrow M$$

of von Neumann algebras and bi-modules over M . We have $\alpha(x) \triangleright \xi = x(\xi)$, for $x \in \text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), L_\phi^2(M))$. Similarly, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\alpha' : \text{hom}_{M-}(L_\phi^2(M), L_\phi^2(M)) \rightarrow M,$$

of bi-modules which satisfies $x(\xi) = \xi \triangleleft \alpha'(x)$.

2.3. DEFINITION. *Let H be a Hilbert bi-module. We define the bi-module of left-bounded vectors by $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) = \text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H)$.*

There is a similar definition for *right-bounded vectors* in terms of left-module maps. In the case of dualizable modules, we will show in Theorem 3.6, that the left-bounded and right-bounded vectors are naturally isomorphic as bi-modules over M .

3. Duality

3.1. CONJUGATE BI-MODULES Let H be a bi-module over M . We denote by \overline{H} the *conjugate bi-module*. It is defined to be H as a real linear space, but equipped with the conjugate complex structure. Denote the image of $\xi \in H$ (under the real linear identification) in \overline{H} by $\overline{\xi}$. We define a bi-module structure over M by $b \triangleright \overline{\xi} = \overline{\xi \triangleleft b^*}$ and $\overline{\xi} \triangleleft b = \overline{b^* \triangleright \xi}$. If H is a Hilbert bi-module, then \overline{H} is naturally a Hilbert bi-module, taking $(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\eta})_{\overline{H}} = \overline{(\xi, \eta)_H}$. Note that \overline{H} is bi-finite if and only if H is bi-finite.

3.2. PROPOSITION. *There is a natural unitary isomorphism of bi-modules over M*

$$\beta : L_\phi^2(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{L_\phi^2(M)}.$$

PROOF. The map β is given by

$$\hat{m} \mapsto \overline{\Omega \triangleleft m^*} = \overline{J(\hat{m})}.$$

It is unitary since J is a conjugate-linear isometry. ■

In the sequel we provide some isomorphisms between modules of homomorphisms.

3.3. PROPOSITION. *Let H and L be Hilbert bi-modules over M . We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{hom}_{-M}(K, H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{hom}_{-M}(H, K)}$$

of bi-modules over M . Similarly, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{hom}_{M-}(K, H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{hom}_{M-}(H, K)}$$

of bi-modules over M .

PROOF. The map is given by the assignment $x \mapsto \overline{x^*}$. Using Equations 1, it is easily checked that this is map of bi-modules. ■

3.4. PROPOSITION. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{hom}_{M-}(H, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{hom}_{-M}(\overline{H}, \overline{K})},$$

of bi-modules over M .

PROOF. The map is given by $x \mapsto \overline{x^\dagger}$, where $x^\dagger(\overline{\xi}) = \overline{x(\xi)}$.

The next computations show, that it is indeed an isomorphism of bi-modules. We have

$$(b \triangleright x)^\dagger(\overline{\xi}) = \overline{b \triangleright x(\xi)} = \overline{x(\xi)} \triangleleft b^*,$$

so that

$$\overline{(b \triangleright x)^\dagger} = \overline{x^\dagger \triangleleft b^*} = b \triangleright \overline{x^\dagger}.$$

Similarly,

$$(x \triangleleft b)^\dagger(\overline{\xi}) = \overline{x \triangleleft b(\xi)} = \overline{x(b \triangleright \xi)} = \overline{x(\overline{\xi} \triangleleft b^*)},$$

so that

$$\overline{(x \triangleleft b)^\dagger} = \overline{b^* \triangleright x^\dagger} = \overline{x^\dagger} \triangleleft b.$$

■

Putting Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 together, we get a natural isomorphism of bi-modules as follows.

$$\mathrm{hom}_{-M}(L, H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{hom}_{M-}(\overline{H}, \overline{L}). \quad (2)$$

A case of special interest is the case $L = L_\phi^2(M)$. Composing with the inverse of the isomorphism $\beta : L_\phi^2(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{L_\phi^2(M)}$ yields an identification of bi-modules over M as follows:

$$\mathrm{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{hom}_{M-}(\overline{H}, L_\phi^2(M)). \quad (3)$$

Making everything explicit, the image of $x \in \mathrm{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H)$ is denoted by x' and $x'(\overline{\xi}) = Jx^*(\xi)$.

3.5. DUAL MODULES In order to speak about duality, we need a monoidal category (for definitions see [3, Ch. VII]). The category of Hilbert bi-modules over M is equipped with the Connes fusion tensor product (CFTP) which makes it into a monoidal category. We will give a definition of the CFTP and a proof of the preceding assertion in Section 5 and freely use its existence in this section. Section 5 is independent of the results obtained in this section.

Let H be a Hilbert bi-module. A dual of H is a Hilbert module H' , together with morphisms $\eta_H : H \hat{\otimes}_M H' \rightarrow L_\phi^2(M)$ and $\varepsilon_H : L_\phi^2(M) \rightarrow H' \hat{\otimes}_M H$, which satisfy the usual adjointness relations, i.e.

$$(\mathrm{id}_H \hat{\otimes}_M \varepsilon) \circ (\eta_H \hat{\otimes}_M \mathrm{id}_{H'}) = \mathrm{id}_H \quad \text{and} \quad (\varepsilon \hat{\otimes}_M \mathrm{id}_{H'}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{H'} \hat{\otimes}_M \eta_H) = \mathrm{id}_{H'}.$$

We call a Hilbert bi-module H *dualizable*, if \overline{H} is a dual of H . (A similar definition applies to bi-modules over M with respect to the relative algebraic tensor product.)

The notion of duality is self-dual. Thus, if H is dualizable, then so is \overline{H} , we have $\varepsilon_{\overline{H}} = \eta_H^*$ and $\eta_{\overline{H}} = \varepsilon_H^*$.

The duality gives a natural identification of bi-modules

$$\mathrm{hom}_{M-}(L_\phi^2(M), H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{hom}_{M-}(\overline{H}, L_\phi^2(M)) \quad (4)$$

via the assignment $\varphi \mapsto \eta_H \circ (\varphi \hat{\otimes}_M \mathrm{id}_{\overline{H}})$. This yields the following theorem.

3.6. THEOREM. *If H is dualizable, then there is a natural isomorphism of bi-modules*

$$\mathrm{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{hom}_{M-}(L_\phi^2(M), H).$$

PROOF. This follows from the isomorphisms in formula 3 and 4. ■

4. Main theorem

4.1. FINITENESS There is a natural linear inclusion map $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \hookrightarrow H$ which is given by $x \mapsto x(\Omega)$. It is a map of left modules over M , but in general not a map of right modules.

If H is a Hilbert bi-module, finitely generated as a Hilbert right-module over M , it is isomorphic to $p \triangleright L_\phi^2(M)^{\oplus n}$ as a right module, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and projection $p \in M_n(M)$. We easily get that $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) = pM^{\oplus n}$ as right-modules. Indeed, this follows since $H \mapsto \text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H)$ (seen as a right-module) is functorial for right-module maps and preserves direct sums. Thus, $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$ is finitely generated projective as a right-module.

If H is dualizable, we find a similar argument showing that $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$ is finitely generated projective as a left-module. Indeed, this follows from Theorem 3.6.

4.2. PROPOSITION. *If H is a dualizable bi-finite Hilbert module over M , then $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$ is finitely generated projective as left-module and as right-module over M .*

4.3. DEFINITION. *A bi-module over M which is finitely generated projective as a right-module and as a left-module is called a bfgp bi-module over M .*

The term 'bfgp' encodes the words *bi-finitely generated projective*.

4.4. DEFINITION. *We denote by \mathcal{H}_M the category of Hilbert bi-modules over M and by \mathcal{H}_M^d the category of bi-finite dualizable Hilbert bi-modules over M . The morphisms in \mathcal{H}_M and \mathcal{H}_M^d are defined to be bounded maps of bi-modules.*

4.5. INNER PRODUCTS Let H be a bi-finite Hilbert bi-module over M . The bi-modules of the form $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$ have an additional feature, they carry a M -valued inner product, which is given by the formula

$$(x, y) = \alpha(y^*x).$$

In general, a M -valued inner product is a real linear map $H \times H \rightarrow M$, which is complex linear in the first variable and satisfies

$$(x, y) = (y, x)^* \quad \text{and} \quad (x, x) \geq 0,$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

A M -valued inner product of a bi-module H is called *complete* if the norm $x \mapsto \|(x, x)^{1/2}\|$ is complete. It is called *compatible* with the module actions, if

$$\begin{aligned} (b \triangleright x, y) &= (x, b^* \triangleright y) \\ (x \triangleleft b, y) &= (x, y)b. \end{aligned}$$

4.6. PROPOSITION. *Let M be a bfgp bi-module over M . There exists a complete and compatible M -valued inner product on M .*

PROOF. Let H be a bfgp bi-module over M . Again, H is isomorphic to $pM^{\oplus n}$ as right-module, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in M_n(M)$. We define an inner product on H by setting

$$((b_i)_{i=1}^n, (b'_i)_{i=1}^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i {}^* b_i.$$

One easily checks that this inner product is complete and compatible. However, a choice was involved. ■

4.7. PROPOSITION. *Let H be a bi-finite dualizable Hilbert bi-module. The bi-module $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$ is bfgp and the canonical M -valued inner product on $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$ is complete and compatible.*

PROOF. The first part is Proposition 4.2. Compatibility and completeness are easily checked. ■

If H and K are bi-modules over M , which carry an inner product, then there is a natural inner product on $H \otimes_M K$, given by the formula

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2)_{H \otimes_M K} = ((x_1, x_2)_H \triangleright y_1, y_2)_K.$$

4.8. PROPOSITION. *Every bfgp bi-module over M is dualizable as a bi-module.*

PROOF. First of all, note that the statement of the proposition is purely algebraic, i.e. the concept of duality is the usual duality of bi-modules w.r.t. to the relative algebraic tensor product.

The duality is induced by the inner product, but let us first start with a plain bfgp bi-module H over M and let us show that $\text{hom}_{-M}(H, M)$ is a dual of H . First of all, there is a map $\eta_H : \text{hom}_{-M}(H, M) \otimes_M H \rightarrow M$, given by $\phi \otimes h \mapsto \phi(h)$.

The other structure map of the duality $\varepsilon_M : M \rightarrow H \otimes_M \text{hom}_{-M}(H, M)$ is described by some central element in $H \otimes \text{hom}_{-M}(H, M)$. Note, that H embeds in $M^{\oplus n}$ as right-module, with projection $p \in M_n(M)$, such that

$$H \otimes_M \text{hom}_{-M}(H, M) \subset M^{\oplus n} \otimes_M \text{hom}_{-M}(M^{\oplus n}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{\oplus n^2}$$

Here, $H \otimes_M \text{hom}_{-M}(H, M)$ identifies, as a complex vector space, with $pM_n(M)p$ and the bi-module structure is given by *one* normal, unital and $*$ -preserving homomorphism $\gamma : M \rightarrow pM_n(M)p$. Clearly, $p \in pM_n(M)p$ is a central element, so that a morphism of bi-modules $\varepsilon_M : M \mapsto H \otimes_M \text{hom}_{-M}(H, M)$ can be defined. One easily checks, that the adjointness conditions are fulfilled, so that H is indeed a dual of $\text{hom}_{-M}(H, M)$.

Consider now a choice of a M -valued inner product on H which exists by Proposition 4.6. We get a natural map of bi-modules $\overline{H} \mapsto \text{hom}_{-M}(H, M)$, which is given by $\overline{k} \mapsto \{H \ni h \mapsto (h, k)_H \in M\}$. One easily shows that it is an isomorphism. Thus, H is a dual of \overline{H} , for any bfgp bimodule. This implies the assertion. ■

4.9. CATEGORIES OF BI-MODULES

4.10. DEFINITION. *The category of bi-modules over M is denoted by \mathcal{B}_M . Denote the category of bfgp bi-modules over M by \mathcal{F}_M . Furthermore, denote the category of bfgp bi-modules with a choice of a complete and compatible inner product by \mathcal{F}_M^* . In either case, morphisms are bi-module maps.*

It follows from Proposition 4.6, that the forgetful functor $\mathcal{F}_M^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_M$ is an equivalence of categories, although there is no canonical inverse equivalence.

The assignment $H \mapsto \mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$ extends to a functor $\mathcal{D}_\phi : \mathcal{H}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_M$ from the category of Hilbert bi-modules over M to the category of bi-modules over M . We have shown in Proposition 4.7 that this functor descends to a functor $\mathcal{D}_\phi : \mathcal{H}_M^d \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_M^*$, from the category of bi-finite Hilbert bi-modules over M to the category of bfgp bi-modules with complete and compatible inner products over M .

Let H be a bfgp bi-module over M with complete and compatible inner product. The relative tensor product $H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)$ is naturally a bi-finite Hilbert bi-module. Its inner product is computed by the equation

$$(h \otimes \xi, h' \otimes \eta) = ((h, h')_H \triangleright \xi, \eta)_{L_\phi^2(M)}.$$

At the moment we leave the assertion open, that this construction produces dualizable modules. It will become apparent after the definition of CFTP. We will assume the assertion for the rest of the section.

4.11. LEMMA. *There is a functor $L_\phi^2 : \mathcal{F}_M^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_M^d$, which sends $H \mapsto H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)$.*

We continue by proving two propositions that show that the functors L_ϕ^2 and \mathcal{D}_ϕ are actually equivalences of categories.

4.12. PROPOSITION. *Let H be a bi-finite Hilbert bi-module over M . There is a natural unitary equivalence*

$$\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H$$

of Hilbert bi-modules over M .

PROOF. First of all, we define a map $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L_\phi^2(M) \rightarrow H$ by sending

$$x \otimes \xi \mapsto x(\xi).$$

This clearly falls to a map from the relative tensor product over M . It is a map of left-modules, since $b \triangleright (x \otimes \xi) = (b \triangleright x) \otimes \xi \mapsto (b \triangleright x)(\xi) = b \triangleright (x(\xi))$.

Again, the map is a unitary equivalence if H is isomorphic to $L_\phi^2(M)^{\oplus n}$, and hence if H is any finitely generated Hilbert module. The assertion about the right-module structure follows similarly. ■

4.13. PROPOSITION. *Let H be a bfgp bi-module with complete and compatible inner product. We have a natural isomorphism*

$$H \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M))$$

of bfgp bi-modules with inner product.

PROOF. Let h be an element in H . We define a map $h' : L_\phi^2(M) \rightarrow H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)$ by sending $\xi \mapsto h \otimes \xi$. The assignment $h \mapsto h'$ is clearly a map of right-modules. Again, it is an isomorphism if $H = M^{\oplus n}$, as right-modules, and hence for any bfgp bi-module by functoriality of the above assignment for right-modules. We still have to give an argument that shows that the inner products match. First of all, we compute the adjoint of h' . We claim that $h'^*(k \otimes \eta) = (h, k)_M^* \triangleright \eta$, for $k \in H$ and $\eta \in L_\phi^2(M)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (h'(\xi), k \otimes \eta)_{H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)} &= (h \otimes \xi, k \otimes \eta)_{H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)} \\ &= ((h, k)_H \triangleright \xi, \eta)_{L_\phi^2(M)} \\ &= (\xi, (h, k)_H^* \triangleright \eta)_{L_\phi^2(M)} \\ &= (\xi, h'(k \otimes \eta))_{L_\phi^2(M)} \end{aligned}$$

But clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} (h'(\xi), k \otimes \eta)_{H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)} &= (\xi, h'^*(k \otimes \eta))_{L_\phi^2(M)} \\ &= (\xi, \alpha(k'^* h')^* \triangleright \eta)_{L_\phi^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\alpha(k'^* h') = (h, k)_M$ and the assertion follows. \blacksquare

The above propositions imply part of the following theorem.

4.14. THEOREM. *The functors $L_\phi^2 : \mathcal{F}_M^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_M^d$ and $\mathcal{D}_\phi : \mathcal{H}_M^d \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_M^*$ constitute an equivalence of monoidal categories with duality.*

We claim, that these functors extends to an equivalence of tensor categories. The above propositions show that these functors are at least equivalences of categories. In order to make the remaining assertion precise, we recall the definition and some facts about the CFTP. The proof Theorem 4.14 will be finished in Section 5.

The above result should be compared with Theorem 6.24 in [2]. Our methods allow to prove Theorem 6.24 in the context of not necessarily finite von Neumann algebras. However, since we stick to bi-modules Theorem 4.14 does not imply Theorem 6.24 in [2] and thus cannot be seen in complete analogy. Since we know of no interesting applications of left-modules (rather than bi-modules) over infinite von Neumann algebras, we leave this issue aside.

5. The Connes fusion tensor product

5.1. DEFINITION The CFTP makes makes the category of Hilbert bi-modules over M into a monoidal category. It is defined as follows. Let H and K be bi-finite Hilbert bi-modules over M . $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}(K, \phi)$ carries a pre-inner product, given by

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2) = \phi(\alpha(y_2^*(\alpha(x_2^*x_1) \triangleright y_1))).$$

The CFTP of H and K over M is defined to be, as a Hilbert space, the completion of $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}(K, \phi)$ with respect to the pre-inner product above. It is denoted by $H \hat{\otimes}_M K$.

The following computation shows that the *usual* algebraic tensor relations lie in the null-space of the inner product.

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_1 \triangleleft b) \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2) &= \phi(\alpha(y_2^*(\alpha(x_2^*(x_1 \triangleleft b)) \triangleright y_1))) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(y_2^*(\alpha(x_2^*x_1) \triangleright (b \triangleright y_1)))) \\ &= (x_1 \otimes (b \triangleright y_1), x_2 \otimes y_2) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the CFTP is actually the completion of $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_M \mathcal{D}(K, \phi)$. The module structure on $H \hat{\otimes}_M K$ still has to be specified. The left-module structure is the one that is extended by the completion. However, the right-module structure is the one coming from K , rather than $\mathcal{D}(K, \phi)$. The following alternative description of $H \hat{\otimes}_M K$ will make this apparent.

In the literature, there are several other definitions and formulas for the inner product which have caused some confusion, in particular to those who wanted to understand the CFTP from a purely algebraic point of view. We want to review the definition of Connes and Sauvageot [1, 4] and the definition of Wassermann [7].

5.2. THE DEFINITIONS OF CONNES AND WASSERMANN In [1, 4], A. Connes and later J.-L. Sauvageot considered an inner product on $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes K$. It is given by the formula

$$(x_1 \otimes \xi_1, x_2 \otimes \xi_2)' = (\alpha(x_2^*x_1) \triangleright \xi_1, \xi_2)_K.$$

On vectors ξ_i , which are of the form $y_i(\Omega)$, for some $y_i \in \mathcal{D}(K, \phi)$, this reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 \otimes y_1(\Omega), x_2 \otimes y_2(\Omega))' &= ((\alpha(x_2^*x_1) \triangleright y_1)(\Omega), y_2(\Omega))_K \\ &= ((y_2^*((\alpha(x_2^*x_1) \triangleright y_1)(\Omega), \Omega))_{L^2_{\phi}(M)}) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(y_2^*(\alpha(x_2^*x_1) \triangleright y_1))) \\ &= (x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2). \end{aligned}$$

We get that the inclusion $\mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}(K, \phi) \subset \mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K$ is isometric (with respect to the pre-inner products) and hence the completions are isometric.

In [7] A. Wassermann defines the CFTP of Hilbert bi-modules H and K over M as a completion of $\text{hom}_{-M}(L^2_{\phi}(M), H) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{hom}_{M-}(L^2_{\phi}(M), K)$ with respect to the inner product

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2)'' = (\alpha(x_2^* x_1) \triangleright \Omega \triangleleft \alpha'(y_2^* y_1), \Omega)_{L_\phi^2(M)},$$

which he calls a *four-point formula*.

The following computation implies that the completion of the natural morphism

$$\text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{hom}_{M-}(L_\phi^2(M), K) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K$$

is isometric with respect to the pre-inner products. Furthermore, it has dense image. Therefore, the completion is an isometric isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 \otimes y_1(\Omega), x_2 \otimes y_2(\Omega))' &= (\alpha(x_2^* x_1) \triangleright y_1(\Omega), y_2(\Omega))_{L_\phi^2(M)} \\ &= (y_2^*(\alpha(x_2^* x_1) \triangleright y_1(\Omega)), \Omega)_{L_\phi^2(M)} \\ &= (\alpha(x_2^* x_1) \triangleright y_2^* y_1(\Omega), \Omega)_{L_\phi^2(M)} \\ &= (x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2)'' \end{aligned}$$

Note, that in either case $H \hat{\otimes}_M K$ is *not* defined as a completion of the algebraic tensor product $H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K$.

5.3. SOME PROPERTIES From the definition above, it is already clear that

$$\begin{aligned} L_\phi^2(M) \hat{\otimes}_M H &\cong H \\ H \hat{\otimes}_M L_\phi^2(M) &\cong H, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (H \oplus K) \hat{\otimes}_M L &\cong (H \hat{\otimes}_M L) \oplus (K \hat{\otimes}_M L) \\ H \hat{\otimes}_M (K \oplus L) &\cong (H \hat{\otimes}_M K) \oplus (H \hat{\otimes}_M L) \end{aligned}$$

for all Hilbert bi-modules H, K and L over M .

5.4. PROPOSITION. *Let K and H be bi-finite Hilbert bi-modules. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}(K, \phi) \otimes_M \mathcal{D}(H, \phi) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), K \hat{\otimes}_M H) = \mathcal{D}(K \hat{\otimes}_M H, \phi) \quad (5)$$

of bi-modules over M .

PROOF. The map is given by completing the map $M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(K, \phi) \otimes_M \mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$, which is associated to an element in $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{D}(K, \phi) \otimes_M \mathcal{D}(H, \phi)$. It is described by

$$m \mapsto x \otimes (y \triangleleft m),$$

and extends to $L_\phi^2(M)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \|x \otimes (y \triangleleft m)\|^2 &= \phi(m^* \alpha(y^*(\alpha(x^* x) \triangleright y))m) \\ &\leq \|\alpha(y^*(\alpha(x^* x) \triangleright y))\| \phi(m^* m) \\ &= C \|\hat{m}\|_{L_\phi^2(M)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The arrow in Equation 5 is an isomorphism, if K is isomorphic, as a right-module, to $L_\phi^2(M)^{\oplus n}$, and hence if K is any bi-finite Hilbert bi-module over M , by functoriality for maps of Hilbert right-modules in the left variable. ■

Proposition 5.4 implies the associativity of the CFTP for bi-finite Hilbert bi-modules. Indeed, we can transport the associativity isomorphisms from the category \mathcal{F}^* . It is now obvious that the equivalence of categories between \mathcal{H}_M and \mathcal{F}_M^* is an equivalence of monoidal categories. Indeed, we have shown the existence of natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{D}_\phi(K) \otimes_M \mathcal{D}_\phi(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_\phi(K \hat{\otimes}_M H)$, compatible with the monoidal structure maps. It follows by abstract reasoning, that the functor L_ϕ^2 also preserves the monoidal structure. The next proposition makes this explicit.

5.5. PROPOSITION. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$K \otimes_M H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (K \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)) \hat{\otimes}_M (H \otimes_M L_\phi^2(M)),$$

compatible with the monoidal structure maps.

PROOF. The map is given by $k \otimes h \otimes \hat{m} \mapsto k \otimes (h \triangleleft m)$. Again, we can show that this map extends to an isomorphism of Hilbert bi-modules. ■

In the proof of Theorem 4.14, we left open the assertion, that the functors \mathcal{D}_ϕ and L_ϕ^2 preserve duality. We still have to show that the image of the functor L_ϕ^2 consists of dualizable modules.

Let H be a Hilbert bi-module and \overline{H} its dual. There is are natural isomorphisms of bi-modules over M

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{D}(H, \phi)} &\cong \overline{\text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), H)} \\ &\cong \text{hom}_{M-}(\overline{L_\phi^2(M)}, \overline{H}) \\ &\cong \text{hom}_{M-}(L_\phi^2(M), \overline{H}) \\ &\cong \text{hom}_{-M}(L_\phi^2(M), \overline{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used, Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.6.

This shows, that the functor \mathcal{D}_ϕ and hence L_ϕ^2 preserve conjugacy of modules, up to natural isomorphism. We showed in Proposition 5.4 that it preserves the monoidal structure up to natural isomorphism. This implies that L_ϕ^2 actually maps dualizable bi-modules to dualizable Hilbert bi-modules. Since all bfgp bi-modules are dualizable, the desired assertion is proven.

6. Conclusion

One might draw the conclusion that all monoidal categories appearing in the realm of von Neumann algebras and satisfying the usual finiteness assumptions, can be understood

from a completely algebraic point of view. This point is surely well-known to the experts in the field. We still believe that it will be helpful to those, that start working in conformal field theory, subfactors (especially of von Neumann factors of type III) etc.

There is no reason to be scared by the CFTP. This was maybe part of the confusion related to it.

References

- [1] A. Connes, *Non-commutative geometry*, Academic Press (1994)
- [2] W. Lück, *L^2 -Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K -theory*, Springer Verlag (2002)
- [3] S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer Verlag (1971)
- [4] J.-L. Sauvogeot, *Sur le produit tensoriel relatif d'espace d'Hilbert*, Journal of Operator Theory **9** (1983), 237-252
- [5] S. Stolz, P. Teichner, *What is an elliptic object?*, Topology, geometry and quantum field theory, LMS **308**, Cambridge University Press (2004), 247-343,
- [6] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator algebras II*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **125**, Springer Verlag, (2003)
- [7] A. Wassermann, *Operator algebras and conformal field theory III*, Inventiones Mathematicae **133** (1998), 467-538

Universität Leipzig, Germany

Email: thom@math.uni-leipzig.de

This article may be accessed at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/> or by anonymous ftp at <ftp://ftp.tac.mta.ca/pub/tac/html/volumes/25/2/25-02.{dvi,ps,pdf}>

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

Full text of the journal is freely available in .dvi, Postscript and PDF from the journal's server at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/> and by ftp. It is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, rrosebrugh@mta.ca.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS The typesetting language of the journal is \TeX , and $\text{\LaTeX}2\epsilon$ strongly encouraged. Articles should be submitted by e-mail directly to a Transmitting Editor. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>.

MANAGING EDITOR Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: rrosebrugh@mta.ca

\TeX EDITOR Michael Barr, McGill University: barr@math.mcgill.ca

ASSISTANT \TeX EDITOR Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne:
gavin_seal@fastmail.fm

TRANSMITTING EDITORS

Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, cberger@math.unice.fr

Richard Blute, Université d' Ottawa: rblute@uottawa.ca

Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: breen@math.univ-paris13.fr

Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: [ronnie.profbrown\(at\)btinternet.com](mailto:ronnie.profbrown(at)btinternet.com)

Aurelio Carboni, Università dell' Insubria: aurelio.carboni@uninsubria.it

Valeria de Paiva: valeria.depaiva@gmail.com

Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: [getzler\(at\)northwestern\(dot\)edu](mailto:getzler(at)northwestern(dot)edu)

Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: M.Hyland@dpms.cam.ac.uk

P. T. Johnstone, University of Cambridge: ptj@dpms.cam.ac.uk

Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: kock@imf.au.dk

Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: steve.lack@mq.edu.au

F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: wlawvere@buffalo.edu

Tom Leinster, University of Glasgow, Tom.Leinster@glasgow.ac.uk

Jean-Louis Loday, Université de Strasbourg: loday@math.u-strasbg.fr

Ieke Moerdijk, University of Utrecht: moerdijk@math.uu.nl

Susan Niefield, Union College: niefiels@union.edu

Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: pare@mathstat.dal.ca

Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: rosicky@math.muni.cz

Brooke Shipley, University of Illinois at Chicago: bshipley@math.uic.edu

James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: jds@math.unc.edu

Ross Street, Macquarie University: street@math.mq.edu.au

Walter Tholen, York University: tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca

Myles Tierney, Rutgers University: tierney@math.rutgers.edu

Robert F. C. Walters, University of Insubria: robert.walters@uninsubria.it

R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca