

# On the co-completeness of the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces

SERAFÍN BAUTISTA  
JANUARIO VARELA

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá

**ABSTRACT.** A construction of colimits in the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces is carried out by means of a family of pseudometrics. Since this is not a topological category, its co-completeness can not be ensured by the standard procedures. A careful revision of the usual arguments is then required to this end.

*Key words and phrases.* Hausdorff uniform spaces, colimits, co-completeness.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18A30. Secondary 18B99.

## 1. Uniformities in terms of pseudometrics

For many purposes, the appropriate generalization of the concept of a metric space is that of a *Hausdorff* uniform space, and not merely that of a uniform space. The latter could rather be viewed as a generalization of the notion of a pseudometric space. We focus our attention in this paper on the category  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  of Hausdorff uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps, to establish the existence of colimits. Although all topological categories are known to be co-complete, and the category  $Unif$  of uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps is such while  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  is not, the construction of colimits in this latter category deviates somewhat from the standard procedures followed for the former. First we examine some basic and preliminary facts.

A collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of pseudometrics on a set  $X$  (with values in the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ ) defines a uniformity on  $X$  by means of the subbasis of entourages  $\{V_d^\varepsilon : d \in$

$\mathcal{D}, \varepsilon > 0\}$ , where  $V_d^\varepsilon = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ . This uniformity is known to be the coarsest on  $X$  such that each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  is uniformly continuous for the product uniformity on  $X \times X$  and the usual additive uniformity on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**1.1. Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space. The collection  $\mathcal{C}_X$  of all finite uniformly continuous pseudometrics on  $X \times X$  is called the *total caliber* of  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ .

The following two well known results can be found in various textbooks on General Topology. For instance, in [6] pages 183 and 188.

**1.2. Theorem.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space and  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a finite pseudometric. Then  $d$  is uniformly continuous if and only if  $V_d^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**1.3. Theorem.** Every uniformity on  $X$  is generated by its total caliber.

The next preliminary result is due to R. De Castro, see [4].

**1.4. Theorem.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  be uniform spaces with respective total calibers  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y$ , and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is uniformly continuous.
- (ii) There exists a unique function  $\ell: \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $d \mapsto d_\ell$ , such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) = d_\ell(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- (iii) There exists a function  $k: \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $d \mapsto d_k$ , such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_k(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Assume that  $f$  is uniformly continuous and let  $d$  be a pseudometric in  $\mathcal{C}_Y$ . Then the map  $d': X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $(x, y) \mapsto d'(x, y) = d(f(x), f(y))$  is readily seen to be a pseudometric, and since  $f$  is uniformly continuous, for a given  $V_d^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}$  there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $(x, y) \in U$  implies  $(f(x), f(y)) \in V_d^\varepsilon$ . Thus  $U \subseteq V_{d'}^\varepsilon$ , and then  $V_{d'}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}$ . Theorem 1.2 then implies that  $d'$  is uniformly continuous, and consequently an element of the total caliber  $\mathcal{C}_X$ . The conclusion in item (ii) is then obtained by taking  $d_\ell = d'$  for each  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii) If  $\ell$  is as specified in (ii), the choice  $k = \ell$  also satisfies (iii).

(iii)  $\implies$  (i) Assume  $k: \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$  is as specified in item (iii) in the statement of the theorem. Given  $V_d^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}$ , take  $V_{d_k}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $(x, y) \in V_{d_k}^\varepsilon$  implies  $(f(x), f(y)) \in V_d^\varepsilon$ , then  $f$  is uniformly continuous.  $\checkmark$

## 2. A construction

**2.1. Definition.** Given a uniform space  $(Z, \mathcal{W})$ , an arbitrary set  $X$  and a surjective map  $h: Z \rightarrow X$ , the *quotient uniformity* on  $X$  by  $h$  is the uniformity  $\{U \subseteq X \times X : (h \times h)^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{W}\}$ .

**2.2. Definition.** Let  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of disjoint uniform spaces, and for each  $i \in I$ , call  $\mathcal{U}_i$  the uniformity of  $X_i$ . The *sum uniformity* on  $Z = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$  is the uniformity  $\{V \subseteq Z \times Z : \text{for each } i \in I, V \cap (X_i \times X_i) \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ .

*2.3. Remark.* As a point of reference and comparison, recall that the colimit of the direct system  $((X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1})$  in  $Unif$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a directed set and  $\Lambda_1$  is the set  $\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda : \alpha \leq \beta\}$ , is defined in a similar manner as to that in the category  $Set$ . That is, as the uniform space  $(Z/R, \mathcal{U})$ , where  $Z$  is the disjoint union of the spaces  $X_\alpha$  equipped with the sum uniformity,  $R$  is the equivalence relation given by  $x_\alpha R x_\beta \iff \exists \gamma \geq \alpha, \beta$  such that  $f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha) = f_{\beta\gamma}(x_\beta)$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the quotient uniformity on  $Z/R$  by the canonical map  $\phi: Z \rightarrow Z/R, x_\alpha \mapsto \bar{x}_\alpha$ . Nevertheless, in the case of the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces the construction has to be modified somewhat, as shown below.

Denote by  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  the category of Hausdorff uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps, and by  $((X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1})$ , a direct system in  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  denote the total caliber of the uniform space  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ , and for a given uniformly continuous function  $f_{\alpha\beta}: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ , let the unique map  $\ell: \mathcal{C}_\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  such that  $d_\beta(f_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha), f_{\alpha\beta}(y_\alpha)) = (d_\beta)_\ell(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$  be denoted by  $\ell_{\alpha\beta}$ .

**2.4. Theorem.** *The direct system  $((X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1})$  in  $Unif_{\mathcal{H}}$  has a colimit.*

*Proof.* The construction is carried on in the next four steps.

(1) Let  $Z = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$  be the disjoint union of the family  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \varprojlim \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  be the projective limit of the system  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  with maps  $\ell_{\alpha\beta}$ ; that is, let

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \mathbf{d} = (d_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_\alpha : (d_\beta)_{\ell_{\alpha\beta}} = d_\alpha \text{ for each } (\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1 \right\}.$$

Consider on  $Z$  a collection of subbasic entourages  $\mathcal{B} = \{W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0, \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}\}$ , where

$$W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon = \{(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \in Z \times Z : \exists \gamma \in \Lambda \text{ s. t. } \gamma \geq \alpha, \beta \ \& \ (f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta)) \in V_{d_\gamma}^\varepsilon\}.$$

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $\Delta_Z \subseteq W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon$ ,  $W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/2} \circ W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/2} \subseteq W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon$  and  $(W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon)^{-1} = W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subbasis for a uniformity  $\mathcal{W}$  on  $Z$  such that all the canonical injections  $j_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Z$  are uniformly continuous. Furthermore,

the uniformity  $\mathcal{W}$  on  $Z$  is coarser than the sum uniformity. In fact,  $V_{d_\alpha}^\varepsilon \subseteq W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon \cap (X_\alpha \times X_\alpha)$ , and  $V_{d_\alpha}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

(2) Define on  $Z$  the equivalence relation

$$x_\alpha R y_\beta \iff (\forall \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C})(\forall \varepsilon > 0)((x_\alpha, y_\beta) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon).$$

(3) Let  $X = Z/R$  and  $\phi: Z \rightarrow X$ ,  $x_\alpha \mapsto \bar{x}_\alpha$ , be the quotient map. The collection  $\{(\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon) : W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a subbasis of entourages for a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$ . It is apparent that the map  $\phi$  is uniformly continuous with respect to the uniformities  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  above.

The uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  is in general strictly coarser than the quotient uniformity on  $X$  by  $\phi$ . In fact, if  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  then  $\bigcap_{j \in J} W_{\mathbf{d}_j}^\varepsilon \subseteq W$  for some collection in  $\mathcal{C}$  indexed by a finite set  $J$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . But  $(\phi \times \phi)(\bigcap_{j \in J} W_{\mathbf{d}_j}^\varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} (\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}_j}^\varepsilon)$ , and it may well happen that these two sets are different. Then, it can not be guaranteed that  $(\phi \times \phi)(W)$  contains a finite intersection of sets of the form  $(\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon)$ .

We claim that  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is a Hausdorff space. Suppose that  $(\bar{x}_\alpha, \bar{y}_\beta) \in (\phi \times \phi)(W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3})$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Now, since for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $(u_\theta, v_\xi) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3}$  such that  $\bar{x}_\alpha = \bar{u}_\theta$ ,  $\bar{y}_\beta = \bar{v}_\xi$ , so that  $(x_\alpha, u_\theta) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3}$ ,  $(y_\beta, v_\xi) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^{\varepsilon/3}$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{C}$  we have that  $(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \in W_{\mathbf{d}}^\varepsilon$ , and therefore  $\bar{x}_\alpha = \bar{y}_\beta$ .

(4)  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is the sought colimit. In fact, define for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\tau_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X$ ,  $x_\alpha \mapsto \bar{x}_\alpha$ . The map  $\tau_\alpha$  is a morphism in  $Uni f_{\mathcal{H}}$ , because  $\tau_\alpha = \phi \circ j_\alpha$ . But since  $x_\alpha R f_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha)$ , it follows that  $\tau_\beta \circ f_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_\alpha$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

Given a second inductive cone for the given system, say for instance  $((Y, \mathcal{V}), (\sigma_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y)_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$ , we claim that  $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\bar{x}_\alpha \mapsto \sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ , is a morphism in  $Uni f_{\mathcal{H}}$  (necessarily unique) such that for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\psi \circ \tau_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha$ . Indeed:

(a)  $\psi$  is a well defined map. To see this, let  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  be the total caliber of  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$ . The uniform continuity of  $\sigma_\alpha$  and Theorem 1.4 above imply that for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  there exists  $q_\alpha: \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ ,  $d \mapsto d_{q_\alpha}$ , such that for each  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$  and for each  $x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ ,  $d(\sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha), \sigma_\alpha(y_\alpha)) = d_{q_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$ . Hence, it follows that for each  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ , each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1$  and each  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in X_\alpha \times X_\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{q_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) &= d(\sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha), \sigma_\alpha(y_\alpha)) = d(\sigma_\beta(f_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha)), \sigma_\beta(f_{\alpha\beta}(y_\alpha))) \\ &= d_{q_\beta}(f_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha), f_{\alpha\beta}(y_\alpha)) = (d_{q_\beta})_{\ell_{\alpha\beta}}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ ,  $d_{q_\alpha} = d_{\ell_{\alpha\beta} \circ q_\beta}$ ; that is,  $q_\alpha = \ell_{\alpha\beta} \circ q_\beta$  for each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1$ .

Taking into account that  $\mathcal{C} = \varprojlim \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ , there exists a unique map  $\varphi: \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $d \mapsto \varphi(d) = (d_{q_\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , such that, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\pi_\alpha \circ \varphi = q_\alpha$ .

Assume that  $\bar{x}_\alpha = \bar{y}_\beta$ . Given  $d \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ , take  $\mathbf{d} = \varphi(d)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  with  $\gamma \geq \alpha, \beta$  such that  $(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta)) \in V_{d_{q_\gamma}}^\varepsilon$ . Hence,

$$(\forall d \in \mathcal{C}_Y)(\forall \varepsilon > 0) \left( (\sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha), \sigma_\beta(y_\beta)) = (\sigma_\gamma \times \sigma_\gamma)(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta)) \in V_d^\varepsilon \right).$$

Now, since  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  is *Hausdorff* and  $\{V_d^\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0, d \in \mathcal{C}_Y\}$  is a basis of entourages of  $\mathcal{V}$ , it follows that  $\psi(\bar{x}_\alpha) = \sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha) = \sigma_\beta(y_\beta) = \psi(\bar{y}_\beta)$ . Thus,  $\psi$  is a well defined map, as claimed.

(b)  $\psi$  is a morphism of *Unif $\mathcal{H}$* . Let  $V_d^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi \times \psi)^{-1}(V_d^\varepsilon) \\ &= \{(\bar{x}_\alpha, \bar{y}_\beta) : (\psi \times \psi)(\bar{x}_\alpha, \bar{y}_\beta) \in V_d^\varepsilon\} \\ &= \{(\phi \times \phi)(x_\alpha, y_\beta) : (\sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha), \sigma_\beta(y_\beta)) \in V_d^\varepsilon\} \\ &= \{(\phi \times \phi)(x_\alpha, y_\beta) : (\exists \gamma \geq \alpha, \beta) [(\sigma_\gamma(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha)), \sigma_\gamma(f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta))) \in V_d^\varepsilon]\} \\ &= \{(\phi \times \phi)(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \in X \times X : (\exists \gamma \geq \alpha, \beta) [(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta)) \in V_{q_\gamma(d)}^\varepsilon]\} \\ &= \{\phi \times \phi(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \in X \times X : (x_\alpha, y_\beta) \in W_{\varphi(d)}^\varepsilon\} = (\phi \times \phi)(W_{\varphi(d)}^\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{U}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Unif $\mathcal{H}$*  has a closely related category in which the construction of colimits is straightforward. Let  $\mathcal{Pmet}_{\mathcal{H}}$  be the category whose objects are sets endowed with a family of pseudometrics  $(X, (d_i)_{i \in I})$  such that  $x = y$  if  $d_i(x, y) = 0$  for each  $i \in I$ , and, given a second object  $(Y, (d_j)_{j \in J})$ , a morphism is a pair of functions  $(f, k) : X \times J \rightarrow Y \times I$ ,  $(x, j) \mapsto (f(x), j_k)$ , such that  $d_j(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_{j_k}(x, y)$  for each  $x, y \in X$ .

**2.5. Theorem.** *A direct system  $((X_\alpha, (d_{i_\alpha})_{i_\alpha \in I_\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (f_{\alpha\beta}, k_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1})$  in  $\mathcal{Pmet}_{\mathcal{H}}$  has a colimit*

*Proof.* Let  $Z = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$  be the disjoint union of the family  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . Let  $I$  be the limit of the inverse system  $((I_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (k_{\alpha\beta})_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_1})$  in the category *Set*. For each  $i \in I$  consider the pseudometric  $\delta_i : Z \times Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \mapsto \inf_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \{d_{i_\gamma}(f_{\alpha\gamma}(x_\alpha), f_{\beta\gamma}(y_\beta)) : \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma\}$ . Let  $R$  be the equivalence relation on  $Z$  defined by  $x_\alpha R y_\beta$  if  $\delta_i(x_\alpha, y_\beta) = 0$  for each  $i \in I$ .

If  $X = Z/R$  then  $\bar{\delta}_i : X \times X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $(\bar{x}_\alpha, \bar{y}_\beta) \mapsto \delta_i(x_\alpha, y_\beta)$  is a well defined pseudometric on  $X$  for each  $i \in I$ , as it can be easily verified. Consequently,  $(X, (\bar{\delta}_i)_{i \in I})$  is an object of  $\mathcal{Pmet}_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  define  $\tau_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X$  by  $x_\alpha \mapsto \bar{x}_\alpha$ . Then  $(\tau_\alpha, \pi_\alpha)$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{Pmet}_{\mathcal{H}}$ , and  $((X, (\bar{\delta}_i)_{i \in I}), ((\tau_\alpha, \pi_\alpha) : X_\alpha \times I \rightarrow X \times I_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  is an inductive cone which is the colimit of the given direct system. The separation property of the objects in  $\mathcal{Pmet}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is necessary to establish the universal property of this cone.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{Calib}$  be the category whose objects  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  are sets endowed with a family of pseudometrics coinciding with the total caliber of the underlying uniformity. Given a second object  $(Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$ , a morphism is a pair of functions  $(f, \ell) : X \times \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow Y \times \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $(x, d) \mapsto (f(x), d_\ell)$ , such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) = d_\ell(x, y)$  for each  $x, y \in X$ .

**2.6. Proposition.** *The category  $Unif$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{Calib}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F : Unif \rightarrow \mathcal{Calib}$  be the functor defined by  $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is the total caliber of the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ , and by  $F(f) = (f, \ell) : X \times \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow Y \times \mathcal{C}_X$ , where  $\ell$  is as in Theorem 1.4., if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a uniformly continuous map. Then  $F$  is readily seen to be full, faithful and isomorphism-dense. Hence, the asserted equivalence of categories (see [2]) follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] JIŘÍ ADÁMEK ET AL., *Abstract and Concrete Categories. The Joy of Cats*, Wiley & Sons, 1989.
- [2] SERAFÍN BAUTISTA, *Co-completiz de Categorías de Espacios Uniformes y Procesos de Localización*, Tesis de Maestría, Programa de Posgrado en Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional, Bogotá, 1995.
- [3] NICOLAS BOURBAKI, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, 1966.
- [4] RODRIGO DE CASTRO, *Localización en Campos de Espacios Uniformes*, Tesis de Maestría, Programa de Posgrado en Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional, Bogotá, 1985.
- [5] RODRIGO DE CASTRO & JANUARIO VARELA, *Localization in bundles of uniform spaces*, Rev. Colombiana Mat. **24** (1990), 103–112.
- [6] JOHN KELLY, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [7] SAUNDERS MAC LANE, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [8] STEPHEN WILLARD, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, 1970.

(Recibido en agosto de 1996; revisado en agosto de 1997)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA  
BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

*e-mail:* sebadi@matematicas.unal.edu.co

*e-mail:* jvarela@gaitana.interred.net.co