

FIXED POINTS IN TWO METRIC SPACES

Y. J. Cho¹, S. M. Kang¹, S. S. Kim²

Abstract. We give some fixed point theorems in two complete metric spaces. Thus we improve and extend some results due to D. Delbosco, B. Fisher and V. Popa.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Key Words and Phrases: Delbosco's set, common fixed point

In [4], to give a unified approach for contraction mappings, D. Delbosco considered the set \mathcal{F} of all continuous functions $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(g-1) \quad g(1, 1, 1) = h < 1,$$

(g-2) If $u, v \in [0, +\infty)$ are such that $u \leq g(v, v, u)$ or $u \leq g(u, v, v)$ or $u \leq g(v, u, v)$, then $u \leq hv$,

and proved the following:

Theorem A. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If S and T are two mappings from X into itself, satisfying the following conditions:

$$(A) \quad d(Sx, Ty) \leq g(d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $g \in \mathcal{F}$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Some authors proved many kinds of fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings and expansive mappings by using Delbosco's set ([1]-[3], [7], [8], [10]). On the other hand, in [5] and [6], B. Fisher proved some fixed point theorems in two complete metric spaces as follows:

Theorem B. Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X , satisfying the following conditions:

$$(B) \quad e(Tx, TSy) \leq c \cdot \max\{d(x, Sy), e(y, Tx), e(y, TSy)\},$$

$$(C) \quad d(Sy, STx) \leq c \cdot \max\{e(y, Tx), d(x, Sy), e(x, STx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 \leq c < 1$, then ST have a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

¹Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea

²Department of Mathematics, Donggeui University, Pusan 614-714, Korea

Recently, in [9], V. Popa extended and improved the results of B. Fisher and Theorem A. In this paper, motivated by Delbosco's set and V. Popa's result, we introduce a new class \mathcal{G} of all functions $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying some conditions and prove some fixed point theorems in two complete metric spaces by using our class. Our results also extend and improve the results of B. Fisher [5], [6] and V. Popa [9].

Let \mathcal{G} be the set of all continuous functions $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(g'-1) \quad g(0, 0, 0) = 0,$$

(g'-2) If $u, v \in [0, +\infty)$ be such that $u^2 \leq g(uv, 0, 0)$ or $u^2 \leq g(0, uv, 0)$ or $u^2 \leq g(0, 0, uv)$, then $u \leq cv$ for some $0 \leq c < 1$.

Example 1. (1) If we define a function $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(u, v, w) = c \cdot \max\{u, v, w\}$$

for all $u, v, w \in [0, +\infty)$, where $0 \leq c < 1$, then $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

(2) If we define a function $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(u, v, w) = c \cdot \max\{uv, uw, vw\}$$

for all $u, v, w \in [0, +\infty)$, where $0 \leq c < 1$, then $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

(3) If we define a function $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(u, v, w) = auv + buw + cvw$$

for all $u, v, w \in [0, +\infty)$, where $a, b, c \in [0, +\infty)$, then $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

(4) If we define a functions $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(u, v, w) = (au^k + bv^k + cw^k)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

for all $u, v, w \in [0, +\infty)$, where $k > 1$, $0 \leq a, b, c < 1$, then $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Now, we give our theorems as follows:

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be two complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions:

$$(D) \quad e^2(Tx, TSy) \leq g(d(x, Sy)e(y, Tx), d(x, Sy)e(y, TSy), e(y, Tx)e(y, TSy)),$$

$$(E) \quad d^2(Sy, STx) \leq g(e(y, Tx)d(x, Sy), e(y, Tx)d(x, STx), d(x, Sy)d(x, STx))$$

for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, where $g \in \mathcal{G}$, then ST has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and TS has a unique fixed point $w \in Y$. Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Define two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y , respectively, as follows:

$$x_n = (ST)^n x_0, \quad y_n = T(ST)^{n-1} x_0$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By (D), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d^2((ST)^n x_0, (ST)^{n+1} x_0) \\ &= d^2(S(T(ST)^{n-1} x_0), (ST)(ST)^n x_0) \\ &= d^2(Sy_n, STx_n) \\ &\leq g(e(y_n, Tx_n)d(x_n, Sy_n), e(y_n, Tx_n)d(x_n, STy_n), \\ &\quad d(x_n, Sy_n)d(x_n, STx_n)) \\ &= g(e(y_n, y_{n+1})d(x_n, x_n), e(y_n, y_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad d(x_n, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= g(0, e(y_n, y_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}), 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (g'-1), we have

$$(F) \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq c_1 e(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

for some $0 \leq c_1 < 1$. Similarly, by (D),

$$\begin{aligned} e^2(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= e^2(T(ST)^{n-1} x_0, T(ST)^n x_0) \\ &= e^2(T(ST)^{n-1} x_0, TS(T(ST)^{n-1} x_0)) \\ &= e^2(Tx_{n-1}, TSy_n) \\ &\leq g(d(x_n, Sy_n)e(y_n, Tx_{n-1}), e(x_{n-1}, Sy_n)e(y_n, TSy_n), \\ &\quad d(y_n, Tx_{n-1})e(y_n, TSy_n)) \\ &= g(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)e(y_n, y_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)e(y_n, y_{n+1}), \\ &\quad d(y_n, y_n)e(y_n, y_{n+1})) \\ &= g(0, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)e(y_n, y_{n+1}), 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (g'-2), we have

$$(G) \quad e(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq c_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

for some $0 \leq c_2 < 1$. Therefore, by (F) and (G),

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq c_1 e(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq c_1 c_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq (c_1 c_2)^n d(x_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) since $0 < c_1 c_2 < 1$ and so, since (X, d) is complete, it converges to a point z in X . Similarly, the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in (Y, e) with the limit w . By (D)

again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (H) \quad e^2(Tz, y_{n+1}) &= e^2(Tz, TSy_n) \\
 &\leq g(d(z, Sy_n)e(y_n, Tz), d(z, Sy_n)e(y_n, TSy_n), \\
 &\quad e(y_n, Tz)e(y_n, TSy_n)) \\
 &= g(d(z, x_n)e(y_n, Tz), d(z, x_n)e(y_n, y_{n+1}), \\
 &\quad e(y_n, Tz)e(y_n, y_{n+1})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (H), by (g'-1), it follows that

$$e^2(Tz, w) \leq g(0, 0, 0) = 0$$

and so, $e(Tz, w) = 0$, i.e., $Tz = w$. On the other hand, by (E) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (I) \quad d^2(Sw, x_{n+1}) &= d^2(Sw, (ST)^{n+1}x_0) \\
 &= d^2(Sw, STx_n) \\
 &\leq g(e(w, Tx_n)d(x_n, Sw), e(w, Tx_n)d(x_n, STx_n), \\
 &\quad d(x_n, Sw)d(x_n, STx_n)) \\
 &= g(e(w, y_{n+1})d(x_n, Sw), e(w, y_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \\
 &\quad d(x_n, Sw)d(x_n, x_{n+1})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (I), by (g'-1), we have

$$d^2(Sw, z) \leq g(0, 0, 0) = 0$$

and so, $d(Sw, z) = 0$, i.e., $Sw = z$. Therefore, we have $STz = Sw = z$ and $TSw = Tz = w$, which means that the point z is a fixed point of ST and the point w is a fixed point of TS .

To prove the uniqueness of the fixed point z , let z' be the second fixed point of ST . By (D), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^2(z, z') &= d^2(STz', STz) \\
 &\leq g(e(Tz', Tz)d(z, STz'), \\
 &\quad e(Tz', Tz)d(z, STz), d(z, STz')d(z, STz)) \\
 &= g(e(Tz', Tz)d(z, z'), 0, 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by (g'-2), implies that

$$(J) \quad d(z', z) \leq c_3 e(Tz', Tz)$$

for some $0 \leq c_3 < 1$. Similarly, by (D), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^2(Tz, Tz') &= e^2(Tz', TSTz) \\
 &\leq g(d(z', STz)e(Tz, Tz'), d(z', STz)e(Tz, TSTz), \\
 &\quad e(Tz, Tz')e(Tz, TSTz)) \\
 &= g(d(z', z)e(Tz, Tz'), 0, 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (g'-2), it follows that

$$(K) \quad e(Tz, Tz') \leq c_4 d(z', z)$$

for some $0 \leq c_4 < 1$. Therefore, by (J) and (K),

$$d(z, z') \leq c_3 e(Tz, Tz') \leq c_3 c_4 d(z, z'),$$

which implies that $d(z, z') = 0$, i.e., $z = z'$, since $0 \leq c_3 c_4 < 1$ and so the uniqueness of the fixed point z of ST follows. Similarly, the point w is also a unique fixed point of TS . On the other hand, if there exists a positive integer n such that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ or $e(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$, then the theorem is evident. This completes the proof. \square

As immediate consequences of Theorem 1, we have the following:

Corollary 2. [9] *Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be two complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(L) \quad e^2(Tx, TSy) \leq c_1 \cdot \max\{d(x, Sy)e(y, Tx), d(x, Sy)e(y, TSy), \\ e(y, Tx)e(y, TSy)\},$$

$$(M) \quad d^2(Sy, STx) \leq c_2 \cdot \max\{e(y, Tx)d(x, Sy), e(y, Tx)d(x, STx), \\ d(x, Sy)d(x, STx)\}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, where $0 \leq c_1, c_2 < 1$, then ST has a unique fixed point in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. Define a function $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(u, v, w) = c \cdot \max\{uv, uw, vw\}$$

for all $u, v, w \in [0, +\infty)$, where $0 < c < 1$. Then, from Example 1 (2) follows that $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and, by Theorem 1, the corollary follows. \square

Corollary 3. *Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be two complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(N) \quad e^2(Tx, TSy) \leq a_1 d(x, Sy)e(y, Tx) + b_1 d(x, Sy)e(y, TSx) \\ + c_1 e(y, Tx)e(y, TSy),$$

$$(O) \quad d^2(Sy, STx) \leq a_2 e(y, Tx)d(x, Sy) + b_2 d(x, STx)e(y, Tx) \\ + c_3 d(x, Sy)d(x, STx)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in [0, +\infty)$ with $(a_1 + b_1 + c_1)(a_2 + b_2 + c_2) < 1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. Define a function $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(u, v, w) = auv + buw + cvw$$

for all $u, v, w \in [0, +\infty)$, where $a, b, c \in [0, +\infty)$. Then, from Example 1 (3), follows that $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and, by Theorem 1, the corollary follows. \square

Corollary 4. *Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be two complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} (P) \quad e^2(Tx, TSy) &\leq a_1 d^2(x, Sy) + b_1 e^2(y, Tx) + c_1 e^2(y, TSy), \\ (Q) \quad d^2(Sy, STx) &\leq a_2 e^2(y, Tx) + b_2 d^2(x, Sy) + c_2 d^2(x, STx) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, where $0 \leq a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 < 1$. then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. Define a function $g : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(u, v, w) = au^2 + bv^2 + cw^2$$

for all $u, v, w \in [0, +\infty)$, where $0 < a, b, c < 1$. Then $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and, by Theorem 1, the corollary follows. \square

If (X, d) and (Y, e) are the same metric spaces, then by Theorem 1, we have the following:

Theorem 5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If S and T are mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} (R) \quad d^2(Tx, TSy) &\leq g(d(x, Sy)d(y, Tx), d(x, Sy)d(y, TSy), \\ &\quad d(y, Tx)d(y, TSy)), \\ (S) \quad d^2(Sy, STx) &\leq g(d(y, Tx)d(x, Sy), d(y, Tx)d(y, STx), \\ &\quad d(x, Sy)d(x, STx)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $g \in \mathcal{G}$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in X . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$ and, if $z = w$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Corollary 6. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If S and T are mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} (T) \quad d^2(Tx, TSy) &\leq c_1 \cdot \max\{d(x, Sy)d(y, Tx), d(x, Sy)d(y, TSy), \\ &\quad d(y, Tx)d(y, TSy)\}, \\ (U) \quad d^2(Sy, STx) &\leq c_2 \cdot \max\{d(y, Tx)d(x, Sy), d(y, Tx)d(y, STx), \\ &\quad d(x, Sy)d(x, STx)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 \leq c_1, c_2 < 1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in X . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$ and, if $z = w$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Acknowledgement. Authors are very grateful to Dr. B. Fisher for valuable comments yielding the improvement of this paper. The present studies was supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1997, Project No. BSRI-97-1405, and RIBS of Donggeui University, 1997.

References

- [1] Cho, Y.J., Fixed Points for compatible mappings of type (A), *Math. Japonica* 38(3) (1993), 497-508.
- [2] Constantin, A., Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings in 2-metric spaces, *Math. Japonica* 36(3) (1991), 507-514.
- [3] Constantin, A., On fixed points in noncomposite metric spaces, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 40(3-4) (1992), 297-302.
- [4] Delbosco, D., A unified approach for all contractive mappings, *Inst. Math. Univ. Torino*, Report No. 19, 1981
- [5] Fisher, B., Fixed point on two metric spaces, *Glasnik Mate.* 16(36) (1981), 333-337.
- [6] Fisher, B., Related fixed points on two metric spaces, *Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ.* 10 (1982), 17-26.
- [7] Khan, M.A., Khan, M.S., Sessa, S., Soure theorems on expansion mappings and their fixed point, *Demonstratio Math.* 19(3), 1986, 673-683.
- [8] Popa, V., Theorems of unique fixed point for expansion mappings, *Demonstratio Math.* 23(1) (1990), 213-218.
- [9] Popa, V., Fixed points on two complete metric spaces, *Review of Research, Faculty of Sci., Math. Series, Univ. of Novi Sad* 21(1), 1991, 83-93.
- [10] Popa, V., Common fixed points of complete mappings, *Demonstratio Math.* 26(3-4) (1993), 803-809.

Received by the editors July 12, 1997.