

## Extremal values of Dirichlet $L$ -functions in the half-plane of absolute convergence

par JÖRN STEUDING

RÉSUMÉ. On démontre que, pour tout  $\theta$  réel, il existe une infinité de  $s = \sigma + it$  avec  $\sigma \rightarrow 1+$  et  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  tel que

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \log L(s, \chi) \} \geq \log \frac{\log \log \log t}{\log \log \log \log t} + O(1).$$

La démonstration est basée sur une version effective du théorème de Kronecker sur les approximations diophantiennes.

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any real  $\theta$  there are infinitely many values of  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma \rightarrow 1+$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \log L(s, \chi) \} \geq \log \frac{\log \log \log t}{\log \log \log \log t} + O(1).$$

The proof relies on an effective version of Kronecker's approximation theorem.

### 1. Extremal values

Extremal values of the Riemann zeta-function in the half-plane of absolute convergence were first studied by H. Bohr and Landau [1]. Their results rely essentially on the diophantine approximation theorems of Dirichlet and Kronecker. Whereas everything easily extends to Dirichlet series with real coefficients of one sign (see [7], §9.32) the question of general Dirichlet series is more delicate. In this paper we shall establish quantitative results for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.

Let  $q$  be a positive integer and let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character mod  $q$ . As usual, denote by  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}, i^2 = -1$ , a complex variable. Then the Dirichlet  $L$ -function associated to the character  $\chi$  is given by

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

where the product is taken over all primes  $p$ ; the Dirichlet series, and so the Euler product, converge absolutely in the half-plane  $\sigma > 1$ . Denote by

$\chi_0$  the principal character mod  $q$ , i.e.,  $\chi_0(n) = 1$  for all  $n$  coprime with  $q$ . Then

$$(1) \quad L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right).$$

Thus we may interpret the well-known Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  as the Dirichlet  $L$ -function to the principal character  $\chi_0$  mod 1. Furthermore, it follows that  $L(s, \chi_0)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 1$  with residue 1. On the other side, any  $L(s, \chi)$  with  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  is regular at  $s = 1$  with  $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$  (by Dirichlet's analytic class number-formula). Since  $L(s, \chi)$  is non-vanishing in  $\sigma > 1$ , we may define the logarithm (by choosing any one of the values of the logarithm). It is easily shown that for  $\sigma > 1$

$$(2) \quad \log L(s, \chi) = \sum_p \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\chi(p)^k}{kp^{ks}} = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + O(1).$$

Obviously,  $|\log L(s, \chi)| \leq L(\sigma, \chi_0)$  for  $\sigma > 1$ . However

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any real  $\theta$  there exists a sequence of  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma > 1$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \log L(s, \chi) \} \geq (1 - \epsilon) \log L(\sigma, \chi_0) + O(1).$$

*In particular,*

$$\liminf_{\sigma > 1, t \geq 1} |L(s, \chi)| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{\sigma > 1, t \geq 1} |L(s, \chi)| = \infty.$$

In spite of the non-vanishing of  $L(s, \chi)$  the absolute value takes arbitrarily small values in the half-plane  $\sigma > 1$ !

The proof follows the ideas of H. Bohr and Landau [1] (resp. [8], §8.6) with which they obtained similar results for the Riemann zeta-function (answering a question of Hilbert). However, they argued with Dirichlet's *homogeneous* approximation theorem for growth estimates of  $|\zeta(s)|$  and with Kronecker's *inhomogeneous* approximation theorem for its reciprocal. We will unify both approaches.

*Proof.* Using (2) we have for  $x \geq 2$

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \log L(s, \chi) \} \\ \geq \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\chi_0(p)}{p^\sigma} \operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \chi(p) p^{-it} \} - \sum_{p > x} \frac{\chi_0(p)}{p^\sigma} + O(1).$$

Denote by  $\varphi(q)$  the number of prime residue classes mod  $q$ . Since the values  $\chi(p)$  are  $\varphi(q)$ -th roots of unity if  $p$  does not divide  $q$ , and equal to zero otherwise, there exist integers  $\lambda_p$  (uniquely determined mod  $\varphi(q)$ ) with

$$\chi(p) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{\lambda_p}{\varphi(q)}\right) & \text{if } p \nmid q, \\ 0 & \text{if } p|q. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\exp(i\theta)\chi(p)p^{-it}\} = \cos\left(t \log p - 2\pi \frac{\lambda_p}{\varphi(q)} - \theta\right).$$

In view of the unique prime factorization of the integers the logarithms of the prime numbers are linearly independent. Thus, Kronecker's approximation theorem (see [8], §8.3, resp. Theorem 3.2 below) implies that for any given integer  $\omega$  and any  $x$  there exist a real number  $\tau > 0$  and integers  $h_p$  such that

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \log p - \frac{\lambda_p}{\varphi(q)} - \frac{\theta}{2\pi} - h_p \right| < \frac{1}{\omega} \quad \text{for all } p \leq x.$$

Obviously, with  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$  we get infinitely many  $\tau$  with this property. It follows that

$$(5) \quad \cos\left(\tau \log p - 2\pi \frac{\lambda_p}{\varphi(q)} - \theta\right) \geq \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) \quad \text{for all } p \leq x,$$

provided that  $\omega \geq 4$ . Therefore, we deduce from (3)

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\exp(i\theta) \log L(\sigma + i\tau, \chi)\} \geq \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\chi_0(p)}{p^\sigma} - \sum_{p > x} \frac{\chi_0(p)}{p^\sigma} + O(1),$$

resp.

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re}\{\exp(i\theta) \log L(\sigma + i\tau, \chi)\} \geq \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) \log L(\sigma, \chi_0) - 2 \sum_{p > x} \frac{1}{p^\sigma} + O(1)$$

in view of (2). Obviously, the appearing series converges. Thus, sending  $\omega$  and  $x$  to infinity gives the inequality of Theorem 1.1. By (1) we have

$$(7) \quad \log L(\sigma, \chi_0) = \log\left(\frac{1}{\sigma - 1} + O(1)\right) = \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} + o(1)$$

for  $\sigma \rightarrow 1+$ . Therefore, with  $\theta = 0$ , resp.  $\theta = \pi$ , and  $\sigma \rightarrow 1+$  the further assertions of the theorem follow.  $\square$

The same method applies to other Dirichlet series as well. For example, one can show that the Lerch zeta-function is unbounded in the half-plane

of absolute convergence:

$$\limsup_{\sigma > 1, t \geq 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(2\pi i \lambda n)}{(n + \alpha)^s} = +\infty$$

if  $\alpha > 0$  is transcendental; note that in the case of transcendental  $\alpha$  the Lerch zeta-function has zeros in  $\sigma > 1$  (see [3] and [4]).

In view of Theorem 1.1 we have to ask for quantitative estimates. Let  $\pi(x)$  count the prime numbers  $p \leq x$ . By partial summation,

$$\sum_{x < p \leq y} \frac{1}{p^\sigma} = \frac{\pi(y)}{y^\sigma} - \frac{\pi(x)}{x^\sigma} + \sigma \int_x^y \frac{\pi(u)}{u^{\sigma+1}} du.$$

The prime number theorem implies for  $x \geq 2$

$$\sum_{x < p \leq y} \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \sim \left( \frac{y^{1-\sigma}}{\log y} - \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{\log x} \right) + \sigma \int_x^y \frac{du}{u^\sigma \log u}.$$

By the second mean-value theorem,

$$\int_x^y \frac{du}{u^\sigma \log u} = \frac{1}{\log \xi} \int_x^y \frac{du}{u^\sigma} = \frac{x^{1-\sigma} - y^{1-\sigma}}{(\sigma - 1) \log \xi}$$

for some  $\xi \in (x, y)$ . Thus, substituting  $\xi$  by  $x$  and sending  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the estimate

$$\sum_{x < p} \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{(\sigma - 1) \log x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . This gives in (6)

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \log L(\sigma + i\tau, \chi) \} \\ & \geq \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right) \log L(\sigma, \chi_0) - (2 + o(1)) \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{(\sigma - 1) \log x} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (7) in formula (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \log L(\sigma + i\tau, \chi) \} \\ & \geq (1 + O(\omega^{-2})) \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} - (2 + o(1)) \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{(\sigma - 1) \log x} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$x = \exp \left( \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} \right),$$

then  $x$  tends to infinity as  $\sigma \rightarrow 1+$ . We obtain for  $x$  sufficiently large

$$(9) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(i\theta) \log L(\sigma + i\tau, \chi) \} \geq (1 + O(\omega^{-2})) \log \frac{\log x}{\log \log x} + O(1).$$

The question is how the quantities  $\omega, x$  and  $\tau$  depend on each other.

### 2. Effective approximation

H. Bohr and Landau [2] (resp. [8], §8.8) proved the existence of a  $\tau$  with  $0 \leq \tau \leq \exp(N^6)$  such that

$$\cos(\tau \log p_\nu) < -1 + \frac{1}{N} \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $p_\nu$  denotes the  $\nu$ -th prime number. This can be seen as a first effective version of Kronecker's approximation theorem, with a bound for  $\tau$  (similar to the one in Dirichlet's approximation theorem). In view of (5) this yields, in addition with the easier case of bounding  $|\zeta(s)|$  from below, the existence of infinite sequences  $s_\pm = \sigma_\pm + it_\pm$  with  $\sigma_\pm \rightarrow 1+$  and  $t_\pm \rightarrow +\infty$  for which

$$(10) \quad |\zeta(s_+)| \geq A \log \log t_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|\zeta(s_-)|} \geq A \log \log t_-,$$

where  $A > 0$  is an absolute constant. However, for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions we need a more general effective version of Kronecker's approximation theorem. Using the idea of Bohr and Landau in addition with Baker's estimate for linear forms, Rieger [6] proved the remarkable

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\nu, N \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq \omega, U \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $p_1 < \dots < p_N$  be prime numbers (not necessarily consecutive) and*

$$u_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 < |u_\nu| \leq U, \quad \beta_\nu \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, \dots, N.$$

*Then there exist  $h_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq \nu \leq N$ , and an effectively computable number  $C = C(N, p_N) > 0$ , depending on  $N$  and  $p_N$  only, with*

$$(11) \quad \left| h_0 \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu \right| < \frac{1}{\omega} \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, \dots, N$$

*and  $b \leq h_0 \leq b + (2Uv\omega)^C$ .*

We need  $C$  explicitly. Therefore we shall give a sketch of Rieger's proof and add in the crucial step a result on an explicit lower bound for linear forms in logarithms due to Waldschmidt [9].

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree  $D$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and denote by  $L_{\mathbb{K}}$  the set of logarithms of the elements of  $\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ , i.e.,

$$L_{\mathbb{K}} = \{\ell \in \mathbb{C} : \exp(\ell) \in \mathbb{K}\}.$$

If  $a$  is an algebraic number with minimal polynomial  $P(X)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then define the absolute logarithmic height of  $a$  by

$$h(a) = \frac{1}{D} \int_0^1 \log |P(\exp(2\pi i\phi))| d\phi;$$

note that  $h(a) = \log a$  for integers  $a \geq 2$ . Waldschmidt proved

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\ell_\nu \in L_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $\beta_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}$  for  $\nu = 1, \dots, N$ , not all equal zero. Define  $a_\nu = \exp(\ell_\nu)$  for  $\nu = 1, \dots, N$  and

$$\Lambda = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log a_1 + \dots + \beta_N \log a_N.$$

Let  $E, W$  and  $V_\nu, 1 \leq \nu \leq N$ , be positive real numbers, satisfying

$$W \geq \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq N} \{h(\beta_\nu)\},$$

$$\frac{1}{D} \leq V_1 \leq \dots \leq V_N,$$

$$V_\nu \geq \max \left\{ h(a_\nu), \frac{|\log a_\nu|}{D} \right\} \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, \dots, N$$

and

$$1 < E \leq \min \left\{ \exp(V_1), \min_{1 \leq \nu \leq N} \left\{ \frac{4DV_\nu}{|\log a_\nu|} \right\} \right\}.$$

Finally, define  $V_\nu^+ = \max\{V_\nu, 1\}$  for  $\nu = N$  and  $\nu = N - 1$ , with  $V_1^+ = 1$  in the case  $N = 1$ . If  $\Lambda \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda| > \exp \left( -c(N)D^{N+2}(W + \log(EDV_N^+)) \log(EDV_{N-1}^+) \times \right. \\ \left. \times (\log E)^{-N-1} \prod_{\nu=1}^N V_\nu \right) \end{aligned}$$

with  $c(N) \leq 2^{8N+51}N^{2N}$ .

This leads to

**Theorem 2.3.** With the notation of Theorem 2.1 and under its assumptions there exists an integer  $h_0$  such that (11) holds and

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad b \leq h_0 \leq b + 2 + ((3\omega U(N + 2) \log p_N)^4 + 2)^{N+2} \times \\ \times \exp \left( 2^{8N+51}N^{2N}(1 + 2 \log p_N)(1 + \log p_{N-1}) \prod_{\nu=2}^N \log p_\nu \right); \end{aligned}$$

if  $p_N$  is the  $N$ -th prime number, then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $N$  sufficiently large,

$$(13) \quad b \leq h_0 \leq b + (\omega U)^{(4+\epsilon)N} \exp \left( N^{(2+\epsilon)N} \right).$$

*Proof.* For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  define

$$f(t) = 1 + \exp(t) + \sum_{\nu=1}^N \exp \left( 2\pi i \left( t \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu - \beta_\nu \right) \right).$$

With  $\gamma_{-1} := 0, \beta_{-1} := 0, \gamma_0 := 1, \beta_0 := 0$  and  $\gamma_\nu := \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu, 1 \leq \nu \leq N$ , we have

$$(14) \quad f(t) = \sum_{\nu=-1}^N \exp(2\pi i(t\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)).$$

By the multinomial theorem,

$$f(t)^k = \sum_{\substack{j_\nu \geq 0 \\ j_{-1} + \dots + j_N = k}} \frac{k!}{j_{-1}! \cdots j_N!} \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{\nu=-1}^N j_\nu(t\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)\right).$$

Hence, for  $0 < B \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \int_b^{b+B} |f(t)|^{2k} dt \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_\nu \geq 0 \\ j_{-1} + \dots + j_N = k}} \frac{k!}{j_{-1}! \cdots j_N!} \sum_{\substack{j'_\nu \geq 0 \\ j'_{-1} + \dots + j'_N = k}} \frac{k!}{j'_{-1}! \cdots j'_N!} \\ &\quad \int_b^{b+B} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\gamma_\nu t - \sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\beta_\nu\right)\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the theorem of Lindemann

$$\sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\gamma_\nu$$

vanishes if and only if  $j_\nu = j'_\nu$  for  $\nu = -1, 0, \dots, N$ . Thus, integration gives

$$\int_b^{b+B} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\gamma_\nu t - \sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\beta_\nu\right)\right) dt = B$$

if  $j_\nu = j'_\nu, \nu = -1, 0, \dots, N$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_b^{b+B} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\gamma_\nu t - \sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\beta_\nu\right)\right) dt \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\gamma_\nu \right|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

if  $j_\nu \neq j'_\nu$  for some  $\nu \in \{-1, 0, \dots, N\}$ . In the latter case there exists by Baker's estimate for linear forms an effectively computable constant  $A$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\gamma_\nu \right|^{-1} < A.$$

Setting  $\beta_0 = j_0 - j'_0$ ,  $\beta_\nu = \frac{u_\nu}{v}(j_\nu - j'_\nu)$  and  $a_\nu = p_\nu$  for  $\nu = 1, \dots, N$ , we have, with the notation of Theorem 2.2,

$$\Lambda = \sum_{\nu=-1}^N (j_\nu - j'_\nu) \gamma_\nu.$$

We may take  $E = 1$ ,  $W = \log p_N$ ,  $V_1 = 1$  and  $V_\nu = \log p_\nu$  for  $\nu = 2, \dots, N$ . If  $N \geq 2$ , Theorem 2.2 gives

$$|\Lambda| > \exp \left( -2^{8N+51} N^{2N} (1 + 2 \log p_N) (1 + \log p_{N-1}) \prod_{\nu=2}^N \log p_\nu \right).$$

Thus we may take

$$(15) \quad A = \exp \left( 2^{8N+51} N^{2N} (1 + 2 \log p_N) (1 + \log p_{N-1}) \prod_{\nu=2}^N \log p_\nu \right).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(16) \quad J \geq B \sum_{\substack{j_\nu \geq 0 \\ j_{-1} + \dots + j_N = k}} \left( \frac{k!}{j_{-1}! \cdots j_N!} \right)^2 - \frac{A}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{j_\nu \geq 0 \\ j_{-1} + \dots + j_N = k}} \frac{k!}{j_{-1}! \cdots j_N!} \sum_{\substack{j'_\nu \geq 0 \\ j'_{-1} + \dots + j'_N = k}} \frac{k!}{j'_{-1}! \cdots j'_N!}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{j_\nu \geq 0 \\ j_{-1} + \dots + j_N = k}} 1 \leq (k+1)^{N+2},$$

application of the Cauchy Schwarz-inequality to the first multiple sum and of the multinomial theorem to the second multiple sum on the right hand side of (16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} J &\geq \left( \frac{B}{(k+1)^{N+2}} - \frac{A}{\pi} \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{j_\nu \geq 0 \\ j_{-1} + \dots + j_N = k}} \frac{k!}{j_{-1}! \cdots j_N!} \right)^2 \\ &\geq \left( \frac{B}{(k+1)^{N+2}} - \frac{A}{\pi} \right) (N+2)^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $B = A(k+1)^{N+2}$  and with  $\tau \in [b, b+B]$  defined by

$$|f(\tau)| = \max_{t \in [b, b+B]} |f(t)|,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{B(N+2)^{2k}}{2(k+1)^{N+2}} \leq J \leq B|f(\tau)|^{2k}.$$

This gives

$$(17) \quad |f(\tau)| > N + 2 - 2\mu, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu := \frac{(N + 2)^2 \log k}{3k};$$

note that  $\mu < 1$  for  $k \geq 11$ . By definition

$$f(t) = 1 + \exp(2\pi i(t\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)) + \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq \nu}}^N \exp(2\pi i(t\gamma_m - \beta_m)).$$

Therefore, using the triangle inequality,

$$|f(t)| \leq N + |1 + \exp(2\pi i(\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu))| \quad \text{for} \quad \nu = 0, \dots, N,$$

and arbitrary  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, in view of (17)

$$|1 + \exp(2\pi i(\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu))| > 2 - 2\mu \quad \text{for} \quad \nu = 0, \dots, N.$$

If  $h_\nu$  denotes the nearest integer to  $\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu$ , then

$$|\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu| < \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad \nu = 0, \dots, N.$$

For  $\nu = 0$  this implies  $|\tau - h_0| < \sqrt{\mu}$ . Replacing  $\tau$  by  $h_0$  yields

$$|h_0\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu| < \sqrt{\mu} \left(1 + \max_{\nu=1, \dots, N} |\gamma_\nu|\right) \quad \text{for} \quad \nu = 1, \dots, N.$$

Putting  $k = [(3\omega U(N + 2) \log p_N)^4] + 1$  we get

$$b - 1 \leq h_0 \leq b + 1 + B = b + 1 + A([(3\omega U(N + 2) \log p_N)^4] + 2)^{N+2}.$$

Substituting (15) and replacing  $b - 1$  by  $b$ , the assertion of Theorem 2.1 follows with the estimate (12) of Theorem 2.3; (13) can be proved by standard estimates.  $\square$

### 3. Quantitative results

We continue with inequality (9). Let  $p_N$  be the  $N$ -th prime. Then, using Theorem 2.3 with  $N = \pi(x)$ ,  $v = u_\nu = 1$ , and

$$\beta_\nu = \frac{\lambda_{p_\nu}}{\varphi(q)} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \quad \text{for} \quad \nu = 1, \dots, N,$$

yields the existence of  $\tau = 2\pi h_0$  with

$$(18) \quad b \leq \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \leq b + \omega^{(4+\epsilon)N} \exp(N^{(2+\epsilon)N})$$

such that (4) holds, as  $N$  and  $x$  tend to infinity. We choose  $\omega = \log \log x$ , then the prime number theorem and (18) imply

$$\log x = \log N + O(\log \log N), \quad \log N \geq \log \log \log \tau + O(\log \log \log \log \tau).$$

Substituting this in (9) we obtain

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any real  $\theta$  there are infinitely many values of  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma \rightarrow 1+$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  such that*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\exp(i\theta) \log L(s, \chi)\} \geq \log \frac{\log \log \log t}{\log \log \log \log t} + O(1).$$

Using the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, it is even possible to get quantitative estimates on the abscissa of absolute convergence. We write  $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$  with a positive function  $g(x)$  if

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{g(x)} > 0;$$

hence,  $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$  is the negation of  $f(x) = o(g(x))$ . Then, by the same reasoning as in [8], §8.4, we deduce

$$L(1 + it, \chi) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log \log \log t}{\log \log \log \log t}\right),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{L(1 + it, \chi)} = \Omega\left(\frac{\log \log \log t}{\log \log \log \log t}\right).$$

However, the method of Ramachandra [5] yields better results. As for the Riemann zeta-function (10) it can be shown that

$$L(1 + it, \chi) = \Omega(\log \log t), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{L(1 + it, \chi)} = \Omega(\log \log t),$$

and further that, assuming Riemann's hypothesis, this is the right order (similar to [8], §14.8). Hence, it is natural to expect that also in the half-plane of absolute convergence for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions similar growth estimates as for the Riemann zeta-function (10) should hold. We give a heuristical argument. Weyl improved Kronecker's approximation theorem by

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$  be linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, and let  $\gamma$  be a subregion of the  $N$ -dimensional unit cube with Jordan volume  $\Gamma$ . Then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\{\tau \in (0, T) : (a_1 t, \dots, a_N t) \in \gamma \pmod{1}\} = \Gamma.$$

Since the limit does not depend on translations of the set  $\gamma$ , we do not expect any *deep* influence of the inhomogeneous part to our approximation problem (4) (though it is a question of the speed of convergence). Thus, we may conjecture that we can find a suitable  $\tau \leq \exp(N^c)$  with some positive constant  $c$  instead of (13), as in Dirichlet's *homogeneous* approximation theorem. This would lead to estimates similar to (10).

We conclude with some observations on the density of extremal values of  $\log L(s, \chi)$ . First of all note that if

$$|L(1 + i\tau, \chi)|^{\pm 1} \geq f(T)$$

holds for a subset of values  $\tau \in [T, 2T]$  of measure  $\mu T$ , where  $f(T)$  is any function which tends with  $T$  to infinity, then

$$\int_T^{2T} |L(1 + it, \chi)|^{\pm 2} dt \geq \mu T f(T)^2.$$

In view of well-known mean-value formulae we have  $\mu = 0$ , which implies

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{\tau \in [0, T] : |L(\sigma + i\tau)|^{\pm 1} \geq f(T)\} = 0.$$

This shows that the set on which extremal values are taken is rather thin.

The situation is different for fixed  $\sigma > 1$ . Let  $Q$  be the smallest prime  $p$  for which  $\chi_0(p) \neq 0$ . Then

$$|\log L(s, \chi)| \leq \log L(\sigma, \chi_0) = Q^{-\sigma} \left( 1 + O\left(\left(\frac{Q}{Q+1}\right)^\sigma\right) \right);$$

note that the right hand side tends to  $0+$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ , and that  $Q \leq q + 1$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then, for arbitrary  $\theta$  and fixed  $\sigma > 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M} \#\{m \leq M : (1 - \delta) \log L(\sigma, \chi_0) - \text{Re}\{\exp(i\theta) \log L(\sigma + 2\pi im, \chi)\}\} \\ \geq Q^{-2\sigma} \left( 1 + \frac{24}{\sigma} \right) \geq \delta^{2Q^2+8} (2Q)^{-8Q^2-32} \exp\left(-2^3 Q^{2+51} Q^{4Q^2+2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We omit the details. First, we may replace (2) by

$$\left| \log L(s, \chi) - \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right| \leq \sum_{p, k \geq 2} \frac{\chi_0(p)}{k p^{k\sigma}}.$$

This gives with regard to (8)

$$\text{Re}\{\exp(i\theta) \log L(\sigma + 2\pi im, \chi)\} \geq (1 - \delta) \log L(\sigma, \chi_0) - 2 \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{\sigma - 1} - 8 \frac{Q^{2-2\sigma}}{2^\sigma (\sigma - 1)}$$

for some integer  $h_0 = m$ , satisfying (12), where  $N = \pi(x)$  and  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{\omega} = 1 - \delta$ . Putting  $x = Q^2$ , proves (after some simple computation) the theorem.  $\square$

For example, if  $\chi$  is a character with odd modulus  $q$ , then the quantity of Theorem 3.3 is bounded below by

$$\geq \frac{\delta^{16}}{2^{128} \exp(2^{81})}.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Prof. A. Laurinćikas, Prof. G.J. Rieger and Prof. W. Schwarz for their constant interest and encouragement.

### References

- [1] H. BOHR, E. LANDAU, *Über das Verhalten von  $\zeta(s)$  und  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$  in der Nähe der Geraden  $\sigma = 1$* . Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys. Kl. (1910), 303–330.
- [2] H. BOHR, E. LANDAU, *Nachtrag zu unseren Abhandlungen aus den Jahren 1910 und 1923*. Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys. Kl. (1924), 168–172.
- [3] H. DAVENPORT, H. HEILBRONN, *On the zeros of certain Dirichlet series I, II*. J. London Math. Soc. **11** (1936), 181–185, 307–312.
- [4] R. GARUNKŠTIS, *On zeros of the Lerch zeta-function II*. Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics: Proceedings of the Seventh Vilnius Conf. (1998), B.Grigelionis et al. (Eds.), TEV/Vilnius, VSP/Utrecht, 1999, 267–276.
- [5] K. RAMACHANDRA, *On the frequency of Titchmarsh's phenomenon for  $\zeta(s)$  - VII*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae **14** (1989), 27–40.
- [6] G.J. RIEGER, *Effective simultaneous approximation of complex numbers by conjugate algebraic integers*. Acta Arith. **63** (1993), 325–334.
- [7] E.C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of functions*. Oxford University Press, 1939 2nd ed.
- [8] E.C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. Oxford University Press, 1986 2nd ed.
- [9] M. WALDSCHMIDT, *A lower bound for linear forms in logarithms*. Acta Arith. **37** (1980), 257–283.
- [10] H. WEYL, *Über ein Problem aus dem Gebiete der diophantischen Approximation*. Göttinger Nachrichten (1914), 234–244.

Jörn STEUDING  
Institut für Algebra und Geometrie  
Fachbereich Mathematik  
Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt  
Robert-Mayer-Str. 10  
60054 Frankfurt, Germany  
E-mail : [steuding@math.uni-frankfurt.de](mailto:steuding@math.uni-frankfurt.de)