



On Some Conjectures about Arithmetic Partial Differential Equations

Ram Krishna Pandey and Rohit Saxena
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee
Roorkee - 247667
India

ramkpandey@gmail.com
saxenarrohit@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we study the arithmetic partial differential equations $x'_p = ax^n$ and $x'_p = a$. We solve a conjecture of Haukkanen, Merikoski, and Tossavainen (HMT, in short) about the number of solutions (conjectured to be finite) of the equation $x'_p = ax^n$ and improve a theorem of HMT about finding the solutions of the same equation. Furthermore, we also improve another theorem of HMT about the solutions of the equation $x'_p = a$ and discuss one more conjecture of HMT about the number of solutions of $x'_p = a$.

1 Introduction

Let the symbols \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , and \mathbb{R} have their usual meaning. We follow the notation used by Haukkanen, Merikoski, and Tossavainen [1] (HMT, in short), except for \mathbb{N} , which here denotes the set of positive integers $\{1, 2, \dots\}$. We use $\mathbb{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$ for the set of all prime numbers. Let $a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. Then there are unique $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a = Mp^L$ and $p \nmid M$. The *arithmetic partial derivative* of $a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, denoted by a'_p , is defined by HMT [1] as follows:

$$a'_p = MLp^{L-1}.$$

A comprehensive list of references is given in [1] for the readers about the history of the arithmetic derivatives and their several generalizations.

In this paper, we study the arithmetic partial differential equations $x'_p = ax^n$, and $x'_p = a$. In Section 2, we resolve Conjecture 29 of HMT [1] about the finiteness of the number of solutions of the equation $x'_p = ax^n$, and give an efficient algorithm (Theorem 2) to find the solutions of the same equation in Section 3. In Section 4, we improve [1, Theorem 1] concerning the solutions of the equation $x'_p = a$, and give some necessary and sufficient conditions for certain nontrivial solutions in Theorems 3 and 4, respectively. Further, we discuss HMT's Conjecture 27 about the number of solutions of $x'_p = a$ and, based on our findings, we hypothesize that this conjecture is false.

2 Number of solutions of $x'_p = ax^n$

Theorem 1. *The solution set of the equation $x'_p = ax^n$, $a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, is finite.*

Proof. If we look at the equation, we observe that an obvious solution to the equation is at $x = 0$, provided $n > 0$, for each prime number p . We ignore this solution as a trivial solution and consider only non-zero solutions for the equation. Express x as $x = \beta p^\alpha$, $p \nmid \beta$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, p being a prime number. Then $x'_p = \beta \alpha p^{\alpha-1}$. As we have $x'_p = ax^n$, we get $\beta \alpha p^{\alpha-1} = a \beta^n p^{n\alpha}$, which implies

$$\left(\frac{\beta^{n-1} a}{\alpha} \right) (p^{(n-1)\alpha+1}) = 1.$$

Write $a = Mp^L$, $M \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, $p \nmid M$, $L \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha = \alpha_0 p^R$, $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $R \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \nmid \alpha_0$. Then, we get

$$\left(\frac{Mp^L \beta^{n-1}}{\alpha_0 p^R} \right) (p^{(n-1)\alpha+1}) = 1$$

or

$$\left(\frac{M\beta^{n-1}}{\alpha_0} \right) (p^{(n-1)\alpha+1+L-R}) = 1.$$

Since $p \nmid \left(\frac{M\beta^{n-1}}{\alpha_0} \right)$, we have

$$(n-1)\alpha + 1 + L - R = 0, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\frac{M\beta^{n-1}}{\alpha_0} = 1. \tag{2}$$

Substituting $\alpha = \alpha_0 p^R$ in (1), we get

$$(n-1)\alpha_0 p^R + 1 + L - R = 0. \tag{3}$$

Equation (2) plays an important role in determining the solution set and proving its finiteness. We first concentrate on the term $\alpha = \alpha_0 p^R$ in the solution $x = \beta p^\alpha$, and prove that only a finite number of values of R are possible for which α forms the solution x of the equation. Then, through equation (2), we conclude that the number of corresponding values of β is also finite, as M is a constant. We consider two separate cases for $R = 0$, and for $R \neq 0$.

Case 1: ($R = 0$). From (3) we have that $(n - 1)\alpha_0 + 1 + L = 0$, which implies

$$\alpha_0 = -\left(\frac{1 + L}{n - 1}\right).$$

As α_0 is an integer, we get $(n - 1)|(1 + L)$. We remark here that if $(n - 1) \nmid (1 + L)$, then we do not get any solution in this case.

Case 2: ($R \neq 0$). We rewrite equation (3) as

$$(n - 1)\alpha_0 = \frac{R - 1 - L}{p^R}. \quad (4)$$

Since $n, \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $(n - 1)\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, as $R \neq 0$, so $R \in \mathbb{N}$. We further divide this case into the following two subcases.

Case 2.1: ($R = 1 + L$). From equation (4), we get $(n - 1)\alpha_0 = 0$. Since $n \neq 1$, hence $\alpha_0 = 0$ implies that $\alpha = 0$. Thus, the only possible value of α is 0.

Case 2.2: ($R \neq 1 + L$). Clearly, if R is not bounded, then there exists an $R_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the right-hand side expression of (4) becomes a fraction for $R \geq R_0$, which is not possible. Hence, R can attain only a finite number of values. So, a necessary condition on R for a solution is $(n - 1) \mid \left(\frac{R - 1 - L}{p^R}\right)$.

We get a value of $\alpha_0 = \frac{R - 1 - L}{(n - 1)p^R}$ corresponding to every value of R , which satisfies the above condition. We thus obtain finite number of pairs (α_0, R) giving finite number of values of $\alpha = \alpha_0 p^R$ at which the solution is possible.

So far, we have analyzed all possible values of R and have come to the conclusion that only finite number of values of R are possible which may form the solution $x = \beta p^\alpha$ with $\alpha = \alpha_0 p^R$. Now, we need to prove that the corresponding values of β also form a finite set.

Clearly, by (2), we can write $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. Hence, we conclude that for a given value of α_0 , at most two values of β are possible. As $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, the quantity $\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ must be a rational number of the form $\left(\frac{E}{F}\right)$, $F \neq 0$, $E, F \in \mathbb{Z}$. So this acts as a filtering condition on α_0 to further qualify for the solution set. So, we get a final condition on α to be satisfied so that α_0 and the corresponding value of R can give us a solution of the equation. This proves that there exist only a finite number of values of β corresponding to every value of α_0 or α , which themselves have finite possible values for the solution set. Hence, $x = \beta p^\alpha$ has only finitely many solutions. \square

3 Solutions of $x'_p = ax^n$

In this section, we find all solutions of the equation $x'_p = ax^n$, $a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. The derivation of the solutions following the notation of Section 2 is given below.

Let us recall equation (3) and consider again two separate cases for $R = 0$, and $R \neq 0$.

Case 1: ($R = 0$). We get a solution if $(n - 1) \mid (1 + L)$, by the argument used in Theorem 1.

Case 2: ($R \neq 0$). The basic approach for the derivation is to consider the cases for the values of α_0 such that either $(n - 1)\alpha_0 > 0$ or $(n - 1)\alpha_0 < 0$ or $(n - 1)\alpha_0 = 0$, where n is a constant and the sign of α_0 depends upon the sign of $(n - 1)$. The upper and lower bounds for the possible values of R have been derived in all the cases through which we can get corresponding β and can form the solution. The necessary condition to be satisfied by R is that on substituting it in equation (3), α_0 must come out to be an integer. If not, then that value is ignored and we proceed to a next value in the range. This condition acts as a filtering condition for the values of R .

From equation (3), it is clear that $1 + L - R \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $1 + L$ is a constant, we have $1 + L \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies that $R \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and $1 + L \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies that $R \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We can further reduce the solution ranges derived for each cases by examining the above two cases. So, we discuss below each subcase one by one.

Case 2.1: ($(n - 1)\alpha_0 > 0$). Clearly, $(n - 1)\alpha_0 p^R > 0$ for all R and p . We have $p^R > R$ for all $R \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $(n - 1)\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, $(n - 1)\alpha_0 p^R - R > 0$ for all R . By (3),

$$(n - 1)\alpha_0 p^R - R = -1 - L. \quad (5)$$

We get $-1 - L > 0$ or $L < -1$. At $L \geq -1$, this case does not give any solution. Now, there are two possibilities.

Case 2.1.1: ($(n - 1)\alpha_0 = 1$). Clearly, $(n - 1)\alpha_0 = 1$ implies that $n = 2$, and $\alpha_0 = 1$, as $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Introducing a new variable $K = -1 - L$ and combining it with the equation (5), we get $R + K = p^R$, which implies

$$R = \log_p(R + K). \quad (6)$$

This equation gives us a relation, which also gives a filtering condition on R that

$$R + K \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (7)$$

We can rewrite equation (6) in the following two ways:

$$R = \log_p R + \log_p(1 + K/R). \quad (8)$$

$$R = \log_p K + \log_p(1 + R/K). \quad (9)$$

Now, we consider three cases for the values of R and examine in each case the possibility and range for the solution.

Case 2.1.1.1: ($R > K$). $R > K$ implies that $\log_p(1 + K/R) < 1$. So, $R = \log_p R + \log_p(1 + K/R) \Rightarrow R < \log_p R + 1$, which implies that $p^{R-1} < R$. Clearly, this does not hold for any values of p and R . So, we cannot get any solution in this case.

Case 2.1.1.2: ($R = K$). Substituting $R = K$ in (8) or in (9), we get $R = \log_p K + \log_p 2$ or $R = \log_p(2R)$, which implies $p^R = 2R$. This relation is possible only for $p = 2$ and $R = 1$. So, for $R = K$, we can expect a solution only if $p = 2$ and $R = 1$. In this case, $K + R \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is always satisfied. So, this case may yield a solution when $p = 2$.

Case 2.1.1.3: ($R < K$). Clearly, $R < K$ implies that $\log_p(1 + R/K) < 1$. So, $R = \log_p K + \log_p(1 + R/K) \Rightarrow R < \log_p K + 1$, which gives $R \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p K \rceil\}$. So, the feasible values of R at which we may get the solution must lie in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p K \rceil\}$. Further, $R + K \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ must be satisfied. So, we take only those values of R which are in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p K \rceil\}$ and satisfy $R + K \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Case 2.1.2: ($(n-1)\alpha_0 \neq 1$). Rewrite equation (5) as $(n-1)\alpha_0 p^R = R + K$. Clearly, $(n-1)\alpha_0 > 1$ implies that $R + K > p^R$, which implies $R < \log_p(R + K)$.

We can rewrite the above inequality in the following two ways:

$$R < \log_p R + \log_p(1 + K/R), \quad (10)$$

$$R < \log_p K + \log_p(1 + R/K). \quad (11)$$

Again proceeding in the same way as in the last case, we take the following three cases:

Case 2.1.2.1: ($R > K$). Clearly, $R > K$ implies that $\log_p(1 + K/R) < 1$. So, $R < \log_p R + \log_p(1 + K/R) \Rightarrow R < \log_p R + 1$, which implies that $p^{R-1} < R$, which is not possible. So, we do not get any solution in this case.

Case 2.1.2.2: ($R < K$). Clearly, $R < K$ implies that $\log_p(1 + R/K) < 1$. So, $R < \log_p K + \log_p(1 + R/K) \Rightarrow R < \log_p K + 1$. That is, $R \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p K \rceil\}$. Further, $R + K \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ must be satisfied. So, we only take those values of R which are in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p K \rceil\}$ and satisfy $R + K \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Case 2.1.2.3: ($R = K$). Substituting $R = K$ in (10) or in (11), we get $R < \log_p K + \log_p 2$ or $R < \log_p(2R)$, which implies $p^R < 2R$. This inequality cannot be satisfied for any values of R and p in their respective domains.

Thus, we see that if $(n-1)\alpha_0 > 0$, then we get solutions only for $R < K$ and $R = K$ (provided $p = 2$, and $R = 1$).

Case 2.2: $((n-1)\alpha_0 < 0)$. Rewrite equation (5) as

$$(n-1)\alpha_0 p^R = R + K. \quad (12)$$

Then $R - (n-1)\alpha_0 p^R > 0$, because $(n-1)\alpha_0 < 0$. This implies $K < 0$ or $L > -1$. As $(n-1)\alpha_0 < 0$, we have $(n-1)\alpha_0 p^R < 0$. So, we get $R + K < 0$ or $L > R - 1$. Thus, we get two conditions: $L > -1$, and $R < 1 + L$ for the feasibility of this case.

By introducing two new variables F and W , both of them are positive and such that $(n-1)\alpha_0 = -F$, and $K = -W$, we rewrite equation (12) as

$$R + Fp^R = W, \quad (13)$$

where all of W, F , and R are greater than zero.

Clearly, since $W > Fp^R$, we have $W > p^R$ or $R < \log_p W$ or $R < \log_p(-K)$ or equivalently, $R < \log_p(1 + L)$.

Thus we get an upper bound for the possible values of R , in the given case $R \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p K \rceil\}$. Further, $R + K \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ must be satisfied. So, we take only those values of R which are in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p K \rceil\}$ and satisfy $R + K \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Case 2.3: $((n-1)\alpha_0 = 0)$. Clearly, we have $\alpha_0 = 0$ as $n \neq 1$. So $\alpha = 0$ in this case.

Now that we have the final ranges for the values of R in each case, so, we can find the possible values of $\alpha = \alpha_0 p^R$. First, we find the value of α_0 corresponding to each R . We accept only those values of R which are inside the range and giving an integral value of α_0 , otherwise, reject it. This way, we get the possible values of α_0 and R , which are then used to find corresponding α . Then, substituting the value of α_0 in equation (2), we can find the corresponding value of β . If β comes out to be rational, this means solution exists for the given α_0 and $x = \beta p^\alpha$ is the solution of the equation $x'_p = ax^n$. Otherwise, we test the next value of α_0 . This is how the algorithm works.

We summarize above discussion in the following:

Theorem 2. *The equation $x'_p = ax^n$, where $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ with $a = Mp^L$, $M \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, $p \nmid M$, $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ has a nontrivial solution $(0 \neq)x = \beta p^\alpha$, $p \nmid \beta$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\alpha = \alpha_0 p^R$, $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $R \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \nmid \alpha_0$ if and only if any one of the following conditions hold*

1. $(n-1) \mid (1+L)$, $\alpha = -\frac{1+L}{n-1}$, and $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \in \mathbb{Q}$.
2. $(-2 \neq)L < -1$, $R \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p(-1-L) \rceil\}$ with $R-1-L \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ such that $\alpha_0 = \frac{R-1-L}{(n-1)p^R} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \in \mathbb{Q}$.
3. $L = -2$, $p = 2$, $R = 1$, $\alpha_0(n-1) = 1$, $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \in \mathbb{Q}$.
4. $L > -1$, $R \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p(1+L) \rceil\}$ with $R-1-L \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ such that $\alpha_0 = \frac{R-1-L}{(n-1)p^R} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Furthermore, all solutions are found in this way.

4 Solutions of $x'_p = a$

In this section, we discuss the solutions of $x'_p = a$. Let us express a in the form Mp^L with $p \nmid M$, $M \in \mathbb{Q}$, $L \in \mathbb{Z}$. We improve Theorem 1 of [1] and give a better bound for the solution range. An “alternate step” approach has been introduced to reach the solution even faster.

Let $y = x/M$, so that $y'_p = p^L$. Following Theorem 1 of [1], we start with the sets I_0 and I exactly same as in Theorem 1 of [1] depending on whether $L > 0$, $L < 0$ or $L = 0$. We then improve the set I_0 and hence improve the set I , which is the candidate for the solutions.

Case 1: ($L > 0$). Let $I_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, L - 1\}$, and $I = \{i \in I_0 : p^{i+1} \mid (L - i)\}$. Then Theorem 1 of [1] implies that $y = \frac{p^{L+1}}{L-i}$ is a solution of the equation $y'_p = p^L$ for each $i \in I$. Besides this, there is one more possibility of a solution at when $p \nmid (L + 1)$, giving $y = \frac{p^{L+1}}{L+1}$ as a solution. We concentrate only on positive values of i in I_0 . We can test separately for the possibilities at $i = 0$ and at when $p \nmid (L + 1)$.

We first derive a necessary condition for the existence of at least one solution of the equation for the positive values of i . Suppose that there exists a solution at i and $p^{i+1} \mid (L - i)$. Let us write $L - i = Cp^{i+1}$, where $p \nmid C$, $C \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$i + Cp^{i+1} = L. \quad (14)$$

Since $C \geq 1$, and $i > 0$, we have $L > Cp^{i+1} > p^{i+1}$. This implies that $i + 1 < \log_p L$ or $i < \log_p L - 1$.

So, we get a new upper limit for the value of i in I_0 , which is $\lceil \log_p L \rceil - 2$. So, now we replace I_0 by a much smaller set $\{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p L \rceil - 2\}$. The new upper bound is of logarithmic order of L and thus it will be much easier to work with. Also a necessary condition for the existence of a solution for given p and L is

$$L \geq 1 + p^2,$$

which follows from equation (14). If $L < 1 + p^2$, then we do not get any solution for $i > 0$. So, we can have at most two solutions for the given equation: one for $i = 0$, and another in the case $p \nmid (L + 1)$.

Beginning with $i = 1$, we start testing whether it is included in the set I . Let $i = i_0$ be some value of i that satisfies the condition for inclusion in the set I . Now, we derive the condition for the possibility of getting an alternate solution for a value of i , higher than that of i_0 and the step size from the initial value i_0 at which we can get another i , so that we do not have to traverse each and every value of $i \in I$ till $\lceil \log_p L \rceil - 2$. Sometimes, even $\log_p L$ may be large. In such cases, the step size method described below helps in reducing the work greatly.

Since we get a solution at $i = i_0$, we have

$$i_0 + C_0p^{i_0+1} = L, \quad (15)$$

where $p \nmid C_0$. Let the alternate solution exist at $i = i_1$. So, we have

$$i_1 + C_1 p^{i_1+1} = L, \quad (16)$$

where $p \nmid C_1, i_1 > i_0$. From equation (15), we have

$$C_0 < \frac{L}{p^{i_0+1}}. \quad (17)$$

From equations (15) and (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 + C_0 p^{i_0+1} &= i_1 + C_1 p^{i_1+1} \\ \Rightarrow i_1 &= i_0 + p^{i_0+1}(C_0 - C_1 p^{i_1-i_0}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We have $p \mid C_1 p^{i_1-i_0}, p \nmid C_0$. Hence, $p \nmid (C_0 - C_1 p^{i_1-i_0})$. Let $K = C_0 - C_1 p^{i_1-i_0}$. Then

$$i_1 = i_0 + K p^{i_0+1}, \quad p \nmid K. \quad (19)$$

So, we conclude that the candidate of $i \in I$ for the alternate solution is in the form of (19). The step size is $K p^{i_0+1}$, where $K > 0$ and not divisible by p .

From equation (19), $i_1 - i_0 = K p^{i_0+1}$. Now, since $i_1 > i_0$, $i_1 - i_0 > 0$, we have $K > 0$ or $C_0 - C_1 p^{i_1-i_0} > 0$. This gives $C_1 < \frac{C_0}{p^{i_1-i_0}}$. Hence, $C_1 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \frac{C_0}{p^{i_1-i_0}} > 1$, which implies

$$i_1 - i_0 < \log_p C_0. \quad (20)$$

Combining (17), (19), and (20), we get

$$K < \frac{1}{p^{i_0+1}} \log_p \left(\frac{L}{p^{i_0+1}} \right). \quad (21)$$

We get an upper bound for the number of steps in terms of $K p^{i_0+1}$, within which we can expect an alternate solution of the equation, once we get an initial solution. Starting from $K = 1$, we traverse till the upper bound in (21). As $p \nmid K$, we also exclude all those values which are divisible by p . Here, we introduce a new set called *Alternate Step Range Set* or *ASR*, in short, containing the possible values of K for a given i_0 . Let $U = \frac{1}{p^{i_0+1}} \log_p \left(\frac{L}{p^{i_0+1}} \right)$. Then

$$ASR = \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor U \rfloor\} \setminus \{p, 2p, \dots\}.$$

By iterating through the ASR set, we can get the alternate solution of the equation within very few steps. Once we reach the alternate solution, say at $i = i_1$, we repeat the same steps and form the ASR range using $i = i_1$, which will then be used to get next higher value of i . We stop this process when we do not get an alternate solution. Under computational limits, this method is highly efficient in reaching all the solutions.

We now derive a necessary condition for the existence of an alternate solution, given that a solution exists at $i = i_0$. If an alternate solution exists, the minimum value of K must be 1. So, we get

$$1 < \frac{1}{p^{i_0+1}} \log_p \left(\frac{L}{p^{i_0+1}} \right).$$

From the above relation we get a necessary condition for the existence of an alternate solution for i greater than the given initial value i_0 as

$$L > p^{(p^{i_0+1}+i_0+1)}. \quad (22)$$

Thus, we get a new condition for the existence of the alternate solution for $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. If inequality (22) is not satisfied, this means that there exists no solution for $i > i_0$. Moreover, $i_0 \geq 1$, so putting i_0 in (22), we conclude that if $L \leq p^{(p^2+2)}$, then we cannot have more than one solution for the positive values of i . In such a situation, we can get at most three solutions of the partial differential equation, one in this range and the other two for $i = 0$, and for $p \nmid (L + 1)$.

Case 2: ($L < 0$). Let $I_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and I is same as in Case 1. One can test separately at $i = 0$, and for $p \nmid (L + 1)$. So, we take only the positive values of i . Let $L = -Q$. Then $p^{i+1} \mid (L - i)$ or $p^{i+1} \mid (Q + i)$. Write

$$Q + i = Cp^{i+1}, \quad p \nmid C, \quad C > 0. \quad (23)$$

We now derive the condition for the existence of a solution for this range. Rewrite equation (23) as

$$\frac{Q}{p^{i+1}} + \frac{i}{p^{i+1}} = C. \quad (24)$$

Since $0 < \frac{i}{p^{i+1}} < 1$, we have $\frac{Q}{p^{i+1}} > C - 1$. This implies that $\frac{Q}{C-1} > p^{i+1}$.

Here, $(C - 1)$ is in the denominator, so, one can test separately at $C = 1$ and for the rest of the cases, we assume $C > 1$. At $C > 1$, $\frac{Q}{C-1} < Q$. So, we get $p^{i+1} < Q$, which gives

$$i < \log_p Q - 1. \quad (25)$$

Thus, we get an upper bound on the value of i , which is $\lceil \log_p Q \rceil - 2$. So, the infinite set I_0 has now been reduced to $I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p Q \rceil - 2\}$. Also, $Q > (C - 1)p^{i+1}$, so for the existence of a solution at $C > 1$, $Q > p^{i+1}$. The minimum value of i may be 1, so a necessary condition for the existence of a solution is $Q > p^2$ or $L < -p^2$.

Now, we examine the range where an alternate solution is possible and also derive the possibility of an alternate solution.

Let there exists a solution at $i = i_1$. Here, we consider i_1 to be the highest value of i at which solution is possible and consider the alternate solution at some smaller value of i , unlike the previous case, where we considered alternate solution for the higher value of i and started with a smaller value of i . So, let an alternate solution exist at $i = i_2$. Hence, we have the following two equations.

$$Q + i_1 = C_1 p^{i_1+1}, \quad p \nmid C_1,$$

and

$$Q + i_2 = C_2 p^{i_2+1}, \quad p \nmid C_2.$$

Hence,

$$i_1 - i_2 = p^{i_2+1}(p^{i_1-i_2}C_1 - C_2).$$

Put $K = p^{i_1-i_2}C_1 - C_2$ with $K \geq 1$. We get $i_1 - i_2 = Kp^{i_2+1}$, $p \nmid K$, which implies $Kp^{i_2+1} < i_1$, $K \geq 1$. Hence,

$$i_2 < \log_p i_1 - 1. \quad (26)$$

So, we get a relation that for a given i_1 , which forms the solution, an alternate solution to it exists somewhere between 0 and the upper bound $\log_p i_1 - 1$, which depends on the value of i_1 itself. Thus, this reduces the search for the alternate solution. We repeat the same algorithm for getting the next alternate solution and so on, till the range of i permits.

We also derive a necessary condition for the existence of an alternate solution once we have a solution at $i = i_1$, for $C > 1$. Considering the inequality (26), we put $i_2 = 1$, as this would be the minimum value of i_2 , in case it exists. So, we get $1 < \log_p i_1 - 1$ or $i_1 > p^2$.

So if $i \leq p^2$, we terminate the process as there will not be any alternate solution at a smaller value of i .

Now, we derive a necessary condition for the existence of at least two solutions for $C > 1$. Considering inequality (26), we put $i_2 = 1$, as this would be the minimum value of i_2 , in case it exists, and for i_1 , we substitute $i_1 < \log_p Q - 1$. We get

$$1 < \log_p i_1 - 1 \Rightarrow 2 < \log_p(\log_p Q - 1) \Rightarrow p^2 + 1 < \log_p Q \Rightarrow Q > p^{(p^2+1)}.$$

This gives a necessary condition to have at least two solutions for $C > 1$.

Case 3: ($L = 0$). Clearly, $y'_p = 1 \Rightarrow y = p$ is the only solution.

Now, we have the values of i for which we have the solution for $y_p = p^L$. We can get the corresponding solution of the equation $x'_p = a$, by multiplying M to the solution obtained through the above methods, since $y = \frac{x}{M} \Rightarrow x = My$, we have $x'_p = My'_p$.

Now we restate the improved version of [1, Theorem 1] and give another theorem (using the notation used in the discussion) about the nature of solutions of $x'_p = p^L$, which is the outcome of the above discussion.

Theorem 3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z}$. Further, let $I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p L \rceil - 2\}$ for $L > 0$, $I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \log_p(-L) \rceil - 2\}$ for $L < 0$, and $I_0 = \emptyset$ for $L = 0$. Let also $I = \{i \in I_0 : p^{i+1} \mid (L - i)\}$. Then $x = \frac{p^{L+1}}{L-i}$ is a solution of $x'_p = p^L$ for each $i \in I$. If $p \nmid (L+1)$, then also $x = \frac{p^{L+1}}{L+1}$ is a solution. All solutions are obtained in this way. The only solution of $x'_p = 1$ is $x = p$. The equation $x'_p = 0$ holds if and only if $p \nmid x$.*

Theorem 4. 1. Let $L > 0$.

- (i) A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution of $x'_p = p^L$ in the case $i > 0$, where $i \in I$, is $L \geq 1 + p^2$.
- (ii) A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of at least two solutions of $x'_p = p^L$ in the case $i > 0$, where $i \in I$, is $L > p^{p^{i_0+1}+i_0+1}$ provided the first solution is obtained at $i_0 \in I$.

2. Let $L < 0$.

- (i) A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution of $x'_p = p^L$ in the case $i > 0$, where $i \in I$, is $-L > p^2$.
- (ii) A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of at least two solutions of $x'_p = p^L$ in the case $i > 0$, where $i \in I$, is $-L > p^{p^2+1}$.

In the remark given below, we discuss about the possibilities of the number of solutions of $x'_p = a$. Through this discussion, we have a strong belief that Conjecture 27 of [1] is false.

Remark 5. The maximum number of possible solutions may be greater than four, as is evident from the algorithm that on increasing the value of L , we have a higher range with more number of testing steps in the alternating sequence range. Two solutions are possible at $i = 0$, and when $p \nmid (L + 1)$. Then, for the positive values of i , we have derived the minimum positive value or maximum negative value for L , so as to have at least one solution and an alternate solution. The possibility of two solutions exists for any value of L , except at $L = 0$, where only one solution is possible. At negative values of L , we have one more case, namely, $C = 1$. So, for negative L , we already get a possibility of existence of three solutions. We concentrate on the positive values of i for further possibilities.

For $p = 2$, the minimum value of L must be 5 in order for of three or more solutions to exist. If, L is negative, its maximum value must be -5 , in order for three or more solutions to exist. Further, $L > 2^{(2^2+2)} = 64$, for the possible existence of at least one alternate solution, given that $L > 0$, which will also form the fourth solution. New solutions are possible, if we further increase the value of L .

Similarly, for $p > 2$, we can easily test for first three solutions, but for the alternate solution, the minimum value of L is 3^{11} for $p = 3$, and 5^{26} for $p = 5$, and so on. Due to such a high value, it is difficult to investigate for further solutions at $p > 2$, but it is quite possible to get more than three solutions if we increase the limit drastically beyond the given values.

5 Acknowledgment

We are thankful to the anonymous referee for his (her) useful comments.

References

- [1] P. Haukkanen, J. K. Merikoski, and T. Tossavainen, On arithmetic partial differential equations, *J. Integer Seq.* **19** (2016), [Article 16.8.6](#).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A25; Secondary 11A51.
Keywords: arithmetic derivative, partial derivative.

(Concerned with sequences [A000040](#) and [A003415](#).)

Received January 31 2017; revised version received February 23 2017. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, March 26 2017.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).