

Research Article

Convergence of Vectorial Continued Fractions Related to the Spectral Seminorm

M. Hemdaoui and M. Amzil

Laboratoire G.A.F.O, Département de Mathématiques & Informatique, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier, Oujda, Morocco

Correspondence should be addressed to M. Hemdaoui, mhemdaoui@yahoo.fr

Received 7 February 2008; Accepted 16 April 2008

Recommended by Charles Chidume

We show that the spectral seminorm is useful to study convergence or divergence of vectorial continued fractions in Banach algebras because such convergence or divergence is related to a spectral property.

Copyright © 2008 M. Hemdaoui and M. Amzil. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital complex Banach algebra. We denote by e the unit element of \mathcal{A} . $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm of \mathcal{A} . For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\sigma(a)$, and $\rho(a)$ denote, respectively, the spectrum and the spectral seminorm of a .

A formal vectorial continued fraction is an expression of the form

$$y_0 = b_0 + a_1 \cdot (b_1 + a_2 \cdot (b_2 + \dots)^{-1})^{-1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are two sequences of elements in \mathcal{A} .

In order to discuss convergence or divergence of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1), we associate a sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ (called sequence of n th approximants) defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= b_0, \\ s_1 &= b_0 + a_1 \cdot b_1^{-1}, \\ s_2 &= b_0 + a_1 \cdot (b_1 + a_2 \cdot b_2^{-1})^{-1}, \\ &\vdots \\ s_n &= b_0 + a_1 \cdot (b_1 + a_2 \cdot (b_2 + \dots + a_{n-1} \cdot (a_{n-1} + a_n \cdot b_n^{-1})^{-1})^{-1})^{-1}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

By induction, it can be shown that

$$s_n = b_0 + p_n \cdot q_n^{-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the expressions p_n and q_n are determined from recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= p_n \cdot b_{n+1} + p_{n-1} \cdot a_{n+1}, \\ q_{n+1} &= q_n \cdot b_{n+1} + q_{n-1} \cdot a_{n+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

with initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 0, & p_1 &= a_1. \\ q_0 &= e, & q_1 &= b_1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

p_n and q_n are respectively called n th numerator and n th denominator of (1.1).

Now, consider the following example.

Let a be a nonnull quasinilpotent element in \mathcal{A} . Consider the vectorial continued fraction defined by

$$\left[(e + a) + \left[\left(\frac{1}{4}e + a \right) + \left[\left(\frac{1}{9}e + a \right) + \cdots + \left[\left(\frac{1}{n^2}e + a \right) + \cdots \right]^{-1} \right]^{-1} \right]^{-1} \right]^{-1}, \quad (1.6)$$

where for each positive integer $n > 0$, we have

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot e + a. \quad (1.7)$$

So,

$$\|b_n\| = \left\| a + \frac{1}{n^2}e \right\| \geq \left| \|a\| - \frac{1}{n^2} \right|. \quad (1.8)$$

Therefore, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|b_n\|$ diverges.

By Fair [1, Theorem 2.2], we cannot ensure convergence or divergence of the vectorial continued fraction (1.6). But, if we apply the spectral seminorm to (1.7), we get

$$\rho(b_n) \leq \frac{1}{n^2} + \rho(a) = \frac{1}{n^2}. \quad (1.9)$$

So, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(b_n)$ converges. From Theorem 2.5 in Section 2 below, the vectorial continued fraction (1.6) diverges according to the spectral seminorm so it diverges also according to the norm because the spectral seminorm ρ satisfies

$$\rho(x) \leq \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (1.10)$$

In Section 3, we give another example of a vectorial continued fraction that converges according to the spectral seminorm and diverges according to the norm algebra.

From the simple and particular example above and the example in Section 3, we see that to study convergence or divergence of vectorial continued fractions we can use the spectral seminorm of the algebra to include a large class of vectorial continued fractions.

First, we start by determining necessary conditions upon a_n and b_n to ensure the convergence.

Next, we give sufficient conditions to have the convergence.

2. Convergence of vectorial continued fractions

In this section, we discuss some conditions upon the elements a_n and b_n of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) (with $b_0 = 0$) which are necessary to ensure the convergence.

Definition 2.1. The vectorial continued fraction (1.1) converges if q_n^{-1} exists starting from a certain rank N , and the sequence of n th approximants s_n converges. Otherwise, the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) diverges.

For future use, we record the following theorem due to P. Wynn.

Theorem 2.2 ([2]). *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+1} - s_n &= p_{n+1} \cdot q_{n+1}^{-1} - p_n \cdot q_n^{-1} \\ &= (-1)^n a_1 b_1^{-1} q_0 a_2 q_2^{-1} q_1 a_3 q_3^{-1} q_2 a_4 q_4^{-1} \cdots q_{n-2} a_n q_n^{-1} q_{n-1} a_{n+1} q_{n+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.3. In the commutative case, Theorem 2.2 above becomes as follows.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$s_{n+1} - s_n = (-1)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{i=n+1} a_i \right) \cdot q_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot q_n^{-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Since convergence or divergence of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) is not affected by the value of the additive term b_0 , we omit it from subsequent discussion (i.e., $b_0 = 0$).

Now, we give a proposition that extends a result due to Wall [3] in the case of scalar continued fractions.

Proposition 2.4. *The vectorial continued fraction (1.1) where its terms are commuting elements in \mathcal{A} diverges, if its odd partial denominators b_{2n+1} are all quasinilpotent elements in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. In fact, from relation (1.5) above, we have $q_1 = b_1$. So $\rho(q_1) = \rho(b_1) = 0$.

Since coefficients of (1.1) are commuting elements in \mathcal{A} , it is easy to show that for all positive integers n and m , we have

$$a_m \cdot q_n = q_n \cdot a_m; \quad b_m \cdot q_n = q_n \cdot b_m. \quad (2.3)$$

So,

$$\rho(a_m \cdot q_n) \leq \rho(a_m) \cdot \rho(q_n); \quad \rho(b_m \cdot q_n) \leq \rho(b_m) \cdot \rho(q_n). \quad (2.4)$$

Now, suppose that for $n \geq 1$, $\rho(q_{2n-1}) = 0$.

From relations (1.4) and (2.4), we have

$$\rho(q_{2n+1}) \leq \rho(q_{2n}) \cdot \rho(b_{2n+1}) + \rho(q_{2n-1}) \cdot \rho(a_{2n+1}). \quad (2.5)$$

Then, $\rho(q_{2n+1}) = 0$, consequently

$$\forall n \geq 0; \quad \rho(q_{2n+1}) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

So infinitely many denominators q_n are not invertible.

The vectorial continued fraction (1.1) diverges. \square

Theorem 2.5 below gives a necessary condition for convergence according to the spectral seminorm. This result is an extension of von Koch Theorem [4], concerning the scalar case. A similar theorem was given by Fair [1] for vectorial continued fractions according to the norm convergence.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $a_n = e$, for all $n \geq 1$, and b_n be a sequence of commuting elements in \mathcal{A} . If the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) converges according to spectral seminorm, then, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(b_n)$ diverges.*

Proof. Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(b_n)$ is a converging series, and there exists a positive integer N such that q_n^{-1} exists, for all $n \geq N$.

By an induction argument, it is easy to show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\rho(q_{2n} - e) \leq \exp(K_{2n}) - 1, \quad \rho(q_{2n+1}) \leq \exp(K_{2n+1}), \quad (2.7)$$

where $K_0 = 0$ and $K_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(b_k)$; for all $n \geq 1$.

Since for all positive integer n , $a_n = e$, and all b_n are commuting elements in \mathcal{A} , from Remark 2.3 above, we have

$$d_n = s_{2n+1} - s_{2n} = q_{2n+1}^{-1} \cdot q_{2n}^{-1}, \quad \forall n \geq E\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 1. \quad (2.8)$$

So,

$$\rho(d_n) \geq [\rho(d_n^{-1})]^{-1} = [\rho(q_{2n+1} \cdot q_{2n})]^{-1}, \quad \forall n \geq E\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Then,

$$\rho(d_n) \geq [\rho(q_{2n+1})]^{-1} \cdot [\rho(q_{2n})]^{-1}, \quad \forall n \geq E\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 1. \quad (2.10)$$

From this preceding,

$$\rho(d_n) \geq \frac{1}{\exp(K_{2n+1})} \cdot \frac{1}{\exp(K_{2n}) - 1} \geq \frac{1}{\exp(2K_{2n+1})} \geq \frac{1}{\exp(2K)} > 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where $K = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(b_n)$.

So, the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is not a ρ -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{A} . \square

Remark 2.6. In a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} if ρ denotes the spectral seminorm in \mathcal{A} it is not a multiplicative seminorm in general.

Consider the vectorial subspace of \mathcal{A} defined by $\text{Ker}(\rho) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid \rho(x) = 0\}$. The quotient vectorial space $\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker}(\rho)$ becomes a normed vectorial space with norm defined by $\dot{\rho}(\dot{x}) = \rho(x)$, $x \in \dot{x}$. " \dot{x} denotes the class of x modulo $\text{Ker}(\rho)$."

Generally, the normed vectorial space $\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker}(\rho)$ is not complete. Its complete normed vectorial space is $\widehat{\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker}(\rho)}$ which is a Banach space. So, ρ -Cauchy sequences in (\mathcal{A}, ρ) converge in the Banach space $\widehat{\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker}(\rho)}$.

Remark 2.7. Whenever \mathcal{A} is commutative, the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) diverges, if for one character ψ , the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} |\psi(b_n)|$ converges.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence of commuting elements in \mathcal{A} .*

If the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \rho(u_n)$ converges, then, there exists a positive integer $N \geq 1$ such that for every positive integer $k \geq 1$, the finite product $\prod_{p=1}^k (e + u_{N+p})$ is invertible and ρ -bounded and its inverse is also ρ -bounded.

Proof. Since the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \rho(u_n)$ converges, therefore, there exists a positive integer $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\rho(u_n) < 1; \quad \forall n \geq N. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence, for $k \geq 1$ the product $\prod_{p=1}^k (e + u_{N+p})$ is invertible as finite product of invertible elements.

We have

$$\rho\left(\prod_{p=1}^k (e + u_{N+p})\right) \leq \prod_{p=1}^k (1 + \rho(u_{N+p})) \leq \prod_{p=1}^{+\infty} (1 + \rho(u_{N+p})). \quad (2.13)$$

But

$$\left(\prod_{p=1}^k (e + u_{N+p})\right)^{-1} = \prod_{p=1}^k (e + u_{N+p})^{-1} = \prod_{p=1}^k \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n u_{N+p}^n. \quad (2.14)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho\left(\left(\prod_{p=1}^k (e + u_{N+p})\right)^{-1}\right) \\ & \leq \prod_{p=1}^k \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \rho^n(u_{N+p}) = \prod_{p=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - \rho(u_{N+p})} = \frac{1}{\prod_{p=1}^k (1 - \rho(u_{N+p}))} \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{p=1}^{+\infty} (1 - \rho(u_{N+p}))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

□

Theorem 2.9. *Let in the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) $a_n = e$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of commuting elements in \mathcal{A} . If both series*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \rho(b_{2p+1}), \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho(b_{2p+1}) \cdot \rho^2(b_{2p}) \quad (2.16)$$

converge, then, the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) diverges.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Since both series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \rho(b_{2p+1})$ and $\sum_{n \geq 0} \rho(b_{2p+1}) \cdot \rho^2(b_{2p})$ converge, it follows that the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \rho(b_{2p+1}) \cdot \rho(b_{2p})$ converges too.

Therefore, from Lemma 2.8 above, there exists a positive integer $N \geq 1$ such that for $k \geq 1$, the quantity $\theta_k = \prod_{p=1}^k (1 + b_{2N+2p+1} \cdot b_{2N+2p})$ is invertible.

Now, consider the vectorial continued fraction

$$(c_1 + (c_2 + (c_3 + \cdots)^{-1})^{-1})^{-1}, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$c_{2k} = b_{2N+2k+1} \cdot \theta_{k-1}^{-1} \cdot \theta_k^{-1}, \quad c_{2k-1} = -b_{2N+2k+1} \cdot b_{2N+2k}^2 \cdot \theta_{k-1} \cdot \theta_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.18)$$

We will suppose that q_n^{-1} exists for all $n \geq N$ (otherwise, from Definition 2.1, the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) diverges).

Before continuing the proof, we give the following lemma that will be used later.

Lemma 2.10. *For all positive integers $k \geq 1$, consider the quantities*

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2k} &= p_{2N+2k+1} \cdot \theta_k^{-1}, & V_{2k} &= q_{2N+2k+1} \cdot \theta_k^{-1}, \\ U_{2k+1} &= p_{2N+2k} \cdot \theta_k, & V_{2k+1} &= q_{2N+2k} \cdot \theta_k, \\ c_{2k} &= b_{2N+2k+1} \cdot \theta_{k-1}^{-1} \cdot \theta_k^{-1}, & c_{2k-1} &= -b_{2N+2k+1} \cdot b_{2N+2k}^2 \cdot \theta_{k-1} \cdot \theta_k, \\ & & k &= 1, 2, \dots, \quad (\theta_0 = e). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U_k &= U_{k-1} \cdot c_k + U_{k-2}, \\ V_k &= V_{k-1} \cdot c_k + V_{k-2}, \quad \forall k \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

This lemma is proved by the same argument given by Wall [3, Lemma 6.1] for scalar continued fractions.

Lemma 2.10 shows that U_n and V_n are respectively the n th numerator and n th denominator of the vectorial continued fraction (2.17).

Since both series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \rho(b_{2p+1})$, $\sum_{n \geq 0} \rho(b_{2p+1}) \cdot \rho(b_{2p})^2$ converge and from Lemma 2.8 above θ_k and θ_k^{-1} are bounded, we conclude that the series $\sum_{k \geq 1} \rho(c_k)$ converges.

Then, it follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, that the vectorial continued fraction (2.17) diverges and

$$\rho(U_{2k+1} \cdot V_{2k+1}^{-1} - U_{2k} \cdot V_{2k}^{-1}) = \rho(p_{2N+2k} \cdot q_{2N+2k}^{-1} - p_{2N+2k+1} \cdot q_{2N+2k+1}^{-1}) \geq \exp\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \rho(c_k)\right) > 0. \quad (2.21)$$

So,

$$\rho(s_{2N+2k+1} - s_{2N+2k}) \geq \exp\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \rho(c_k)\right) > 0, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \quad (2.22)$$

This shows that the sequence of n th approximants $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is not a ρ -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{A} . \square

Now, we state Theorem 2.13 to give a sufficient condition to have convergence of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1).

A similar theorem was given by Peng and Hessel [5], to study convergence of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) in norm where for each positive integer n , $a_n = e$.

Before stating the proof of Theorem 2.13, we give the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.11. *Let b and c be two commuting elements in \mathcal{A} such that the spectrum of $b^{-1} \cdot c$ is satisfied, $\sigma(b^{-1} \cdot c) \subset B(0, 1)$. Then, the element $b + c$ is invertible and its inverse satisfies $\rho((b + c)^{-1}) \leq \rho(b^{-1}) / (1 - \rho(b^{-1} \cdot c))$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma(b^{-1} \cdot c) \subset B(0, 1)$, we have $\rho(b^{-1} \cdot c) < 1$. So the element $b + c$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} . Its inverse is

$$(b + c)^{-1} = b^{-1}(e + b^{-1} \cdot c)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (b^{-1} \cdot c)^n. \quad (2.23)$$

So,

$$\rho((b + c)^{-1}) \leq \rho(b^{-1}) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho^n(b^{-1} \cdot c) = \frac{\rho(b^{-1})}{1 - \rho(b^{-1} \cdot c)}. \quad (2.24)$$

□

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\epsilon \in]0, 1[$, $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of elements in \mathcal{A} such that for each positive integer $n \geq 1$, the spectra of $a_n \cdot b_n^{-1}$ and b_n^{-1} lie in the open ball $B(0, (1/2)\epsilon)$. Then, for each positive integer $n \geq 1$, q_n^{-1} exists and $\rho(q_n^{-1} \cdot q_{n-1}) < \epsilon$.*

Where q_n is the n th denominator of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1).

Proof. From recurrence relation (1.5) above, we have

$$q_0 = e, \quad q_1 = b_1, \quad (2.25)$$

then, $q_1^{-1} = b_1^{-1}$ and $\rho(q_1^{-1} \cdot q_0) = \rho(b_1^{-1}) \leq (1/2)\epsilon < \epsilon$.

Now, suppose that for $n \geq 2$, q_{n-1}^{-1} exists and $\rho(q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot q_{n-2}) < \epsilon$.

Then, from recurrence relation (1.4) above, we have

$$q_n = q_{n-1} \cdot b_n + q_{n-2} \cdot a_n = q_{n-1} \cdot (b_n + q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot q_{n-2} \cdot a_n). \quad (2.26)$$

Put

$$c = q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot q_{n-2} \cdot a_n, \quad b = b_n. \quad (2.27)$$

Applying Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\rho(b^{-1} \cdot c) \leq \rho(q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot q_{n-2}) \cdot \rho(b_n^{-1} \cdot a_n) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \quad (2.28)$$

So $(b_n + q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot q_{n-2} \cdot a_n)$ is invertible and its inverse satisfies

$$\rho((b_n + q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot q_{n-2} \cdot a_n)^{-1}) < \frac{(1/2)\epsilon}{1 - (1/2)\epsilon} < \frac{(1/2)\epsilon}{1 - 1/2} < \epsilon. \quad (2.29)$$

Therefore, q_n^{-1} exists. So, for all $n \geq 0$, q_n is invertible and $\rho(q_n^{-1} \cdot q_{n-1}) < \epsilon$. □

Theorem 2.13. Let $\epsilon \in]0, 1[$, a_n and b_n be commuting terms of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) such that for each positive integer $n \geq 1$, the spectra of $a_n \cdot b_n^{-1}$ and b_n^{-1} lie in the open ball $B(0, (1/2)\epsilon)$. Then, the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) converges.

Proof of Theorem 2.13. For positive integers $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, we introduce the finite vectorial continued fraction

$$s_m^{(n)} = a_{n+1} \cdot (b_{n+1} + a_{n+2} \cdot (b_{n+2} + \cdots + a_{n+m-1} \cdot (b_{n+m-1} + a_{n+m} \cdot b_{n+m}^{-1})^{-1})^{-1})^{-1} \quad (2.30)$$

with initial conditions

$$s_0^{(n)} = 0, \quad s_m^{(0)} = s_m, \quad (2.31)$$

where s_m is the m th approximant of the continued fraction (1.1).

It is easily shown from (2.30) that

$$s_m^{(n)} = a_{n+1} \cdot (b_{n+1} + s_{m-1}^{(n+1)})^{-1}. \quad (2.32)$$

By the repeated use of Lemma 2.11 in each iteration in (2.30) for every $n \geq 1$ and every $m \geq 1$, we can show that for each n and m , $(b_{n+1} + s_{m-1}^{(n+1)})^{-1}$ exists and

$$\rho(s_m^{(n)}) < \epsilon. \quad (2.33)$$

We have

$$(b_{n+1} + s_m^{(n+1)})^{-1} - (b_{n+1} + s_{m-1}^{(n+1)})^{-1} = (b_{n+1} + s_m^{(n+1)})^{-1} \cdot [s_{m-1}^{(n+1)} - s_m^{(n+1)}] \cdot (b_{n+1} + s_{m-1}^{(n+1)})^{-1}. \quad (2.34)$$

Thus, from relations (2.32) and (2.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{m+1}^{(n)} - s_m^{(n)} &= a_{n+1} \cdot ((b_{n+1} + s_m^{(n+1)})^{-1} - (b_{n+1} + s_{m-1}^{(n+1)})^{-1}) \\ &= a_{n+1} \cdot (b_{n+1} + s_m^{(n+1)})^{-1} \cdot (s_{m-1}^{(n+1)} - s_m^{(n+1)}) \cdot (b_{n+1} + s_{m-1}^{(n+1)})^{-1} \\ &= a_{n+1} \cdot b_{n+1}^{-2} \cdot K_m \cdot (s_m^{(n+1)} - s_{m-1}^{(n+1)}) \cdot K_{m-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where $K_m = (e + b_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot s_m^{(n+1)})^{-1}$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Then,

$$\rho(s_{m+1}^{(n)} - s_m^{(n)}) \leq \rho(a_{n+1} \cdot b_{n+1}^{-1}) \cdot \rho(b_{n+1}^{-1}) \cdot \rho(K_m) \cdot \rho(s_m^{(n+1)} - s_{m-1}^{(n+1)}) \cdot \rho(K_{m-1}). \quad (2.36)$$

Since from (2.33) $\rho(b_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot s_m^{(n+1)}) \leq \rho(b_{n+1}^{-1}) \cdot \rho(s_m^{(n+1)}) \leq (1/2)\epsilon^2 < 1/2$, then, using Lemma 2.11,

$$\rho(K_m) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \rho(b_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot s_m^{(n+1)})} < 2, \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (2.37)$$

we have $\rho(a_{n+1} \cdot b_{n+1}^{-1}) \leq (1/2)\epsilon$ and $\rho(b_{n+1}^{-1}) \leq (1/2)\epsilon$.

Then,

$$\rho(s_{m+1}^{(n)} - s_m^{(n)}) \leq e^2 \cdot \rho(s_m^{(n+1)} - s_{m-1}^{(n+1)}). \quad (2.38)$$

Gradually, we get

$$\rho(s_{m+1}^{(n)} - s_m^{(n)}) \leq e^{2m} \cdot \rho(s_1^{(n+m)} - s_0^{(n+m)}). \quad (2.39)$$

Besides, we have $s_0^{(n+m)} = 0$ and $s_1^{n+m} = a_{n+m+1} \cdot b_{n+m+1}^{-1}$.

Thus,

$$\rho(s_{m+1}^{(n)} - s_m^{(n)}) \leq e^{2m} \cdot \rho(s_1^{(n+m)}) = e^{2m} \cdot \rho(a_{n+m+1} \cdot b_{n+m+1}^{-1}) < \frac{1}{2} e^{2m+1}. \quad (2.40)$$

Now, consider $m > 1$, $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(s_{m+p}^{(n)} - s_m^{(n)}) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \rho(s_{m+i+1}^{(n)} - s_{m+i}^{(n)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} e^{2m+2i+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{e^{2m+1}(1 - e^{2p})}{1 - e^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{e^{2m+1}}{1 - e^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

In these inequalities n is arbitrary, thus we can choose $n = 0$.

Then,

$$\rho(s_{m+p} - s_m) \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{e^{2m+1}}{1 - e^2}. \quad (2.42)$$

Hence, the sequence $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of m th approximants of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) is a ρ -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{A} .

Consequently, s_m converges and from Lemma 2.12, q_n^{-1} exists thus the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) converges. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let a_n be a sequence of commuting elements in \mathcal{A} such that for each positive integer $n \geq 1$, $\sigma(a_n) = \{\alpha_n\}$, where $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1/4$. Then, the vectorial continued fraction*

$$a_1(e - a_2(e - a_3(e - a_4(e - \dots)^{-1})^{-1})^{-1})^{-1} \quad (2.43)$$

converges.

Proof. By relations (1.4) and (1.5), we have $q_1 = e$, thus,

$$\sigma(q_1) = \{\beta_1\} \quad \text{with } \beta_1 = \frac{1+1}{2} = 1. \quad (2.44)$$

And $q_2 = q_1 - q_0 a_2 = e - a_2$, thus,

$$\sigma(q_2) = \{\beta_2\} \quad \text{with } \beta_2 = 1 + \alpha_2 \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \beta_1. \quad (2.45)$$

By induction, we show that for all $n \geq 2$

$$\sigma(q_n) = \{\beta_n\}, \quad (2.46)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\geq \frac{n+1}{2n} \beta_{n-1}, \\ \beta_n &= \beta_{n-1} - \alpha_n \beta_{n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

Hence,

$$\beta_n \geq \frac{n+1}{2^n} \beta_0 \geq \frac{n+1}{2^n} > 0; \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (2.48)$$

So q_n^{-1} exists for all $n \geq 1$.

Since all a_n are commuting elements, then by Remark 2.3 above

$$s_n = s_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (s_k - s_{k-1}) = s_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n d_k q_k^{-1} q_{k-1}^{-1}, \quad (2.49)$$

where

$$d_k = (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{i=k} (-a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{i=k} a_i. \quad (2.50)$$

We have

$$0 \leq \rho(d_k) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{i=k} \rho(a_i) \leq \frac{1}{4^k}. \quad (2.51)$$

Hence,

$$\rho(d_k q_k^{-1} q_{k-1}^{-1}) \leq \rho(d_k) \rho(q_k^{-1}) \rho(q_{k-1}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\beta_k \beta_{k-1}} \rho(d_k) \leq \frac{1}{4^k} \frac{2^k}{k+1} \frac{2^{k-1}}{k} = \frac{1}{2k(k+1)}. \quad (2.52)$$

Therefore, for positive integers n and m such that $n > m$, we have

$$\rho(s_n - s_m) \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n \rho(d_k \cdot q_k^{-1} \cdot q_{k-1}^{-1}) \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{2k(k+1)} < \frac{1}{m+1}. \quad (2.53)$$

So,

$$\rho(s_n - s_m) \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k(k+1)} < \frac{1}{m+1}. \quad (2.54)$$

It follows that $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a ρ -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{A} . □

3. Example

Here, we give an example of a vectorial continued fraction that converges according to the spectral seminorm and does not converge according to the norm.

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital complex Banach algebra and T a nonnull quasinilpotent element in \mathcal{A} . Consider the sequence in \mathcal{A} defined for each positive integer $n > 0$, by

$$u_n = T + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot e. \quad (3.1)$$

For each positive integer $n > 0$, u_n is then invertible.

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences in \mathcal{A} defined for each positive integer $n > 0$, by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} a_1 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot u_1 \\ a_{2n} = -e, \\ a_{2n+1} = -u_{n+1} \cdot u_n^{-1}, \end{cases} \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.2)$$

$$b_n = \begin{cases} b_1 = e \\ b_n = e - a_n, \end{cases} \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

Consider the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) formed with the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Using recurrence relations (1.4) and (1.5), we can easily show that for each positive integer $n \geq 1$, $q_n = e$ (thus q_n is invertible, for all $n \geq 1$).

The $(2n)$ th approximant and the $(2n + 1)$ th approximant of the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) are, respectively, equal to

$$s_{2n} = p_{2n} = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k = \sum_{k=1}^n T + \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot e = nT + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot e, \quad (3.3)$$

$$s_{2n+1} = p_{2n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k + \frac{u_{n+1}}{2} = nT + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot e + \frac{1}{2} \left(T + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \cdot e \right).$$

Obviously, the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is not a Cauchy sequence according to the norm, so the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) does not converge in norm.

Now, we use the spectral seminorm, we have

$$\rho(s_{2n+1} - s_{2n}) = \frac{1}{2} \rho(u_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho(T) + \frac{1}{2n^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2n^2} \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\rho \left(s_{2n} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot e \right) = \rho \left(nT + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot e \right) \leq n \rho(T) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.4)$$

The sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of the n th approximants converges according to the spectral seminorm.

Consequently, the vectorial continued fraction (1.1) converges according to the spectral seminorm to the value $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (e/k^2)$.

References

- [1] W. Fair, "Noncommutative continued fractions," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 226–232, 1971.
- [2] P. Wynn, "Continued fractions whose coefficients obey a noncommutative law of multiplication," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 273–312, 1963.
- [3] H. S. Wall, *Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions*, D. Van Nostrand, New York, NY, USA, 1948.
- [4] H. von Koch, "Sur un théorème de Stieltjes et sur les fonctions définies par des fractions continues," *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, vol. 23, pp. 33–40, 1895.
- [5] S. T. Peng and A. Hessel, "Convergence of noncommutative continued fractions," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 724–727, 1975.