

Stability of Lipschitz Type in Determination of Initial Heat Distribution*

SABUROU SAITOH^a and MASAHIRO YAMAMOTO^b

^a*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering
 Gunma University, Kiryu 376, Japan
 e-mail:ssaitoh@ns518.eg.gunma-u.ac.jp*

^b*Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo
 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153, Japan
 e-mail:myama@tansei.cc.u-tokyo.ac.jp*

(Received 12 February 1996)

For the solution $u(x, t) = u(f)(x, t)$ of the equations

$$\begin{cases} u'(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), & x \in \Omega \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$ is a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary and for an appropriate subboundary Γ of Ω we prove a Lipschitz estimate of $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$: For $\mu \in (1, \frac{5}{4})$ and for a positive constant C

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{B_\mu(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))} \\ &\equiv \int_\Gamma \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n! \Gamma(n + 2\mu + 1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_0^\infty \left| (p \partial_p^{n+1} + n \partial_p^n) p^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \left(x, \frac{1}{4p} \right) \right|^2 p^{2n+2\mu-1} dp \right\} dS. \end{aligned}$$

The norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_\mu(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))}$ is involved and strong, but it is a natural one in our situation relating to a typical and simple norm for analytic functions. Furthermore, it is acceptable in the sense that $\left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{B_\mu(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))} \leq C \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$ holds.

*Dedicated to Professor Kyuya Masuda on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Keywords: Heat equation; observation problem; theory of control; stability of Lipschitz type; transform by Reznitskaya; real inversion formula for the Laplace transform.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: Primary: 35K05, Secondary: 93B05

1 INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

We consider an initial value problem for the heat equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u'(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), & x \in \Omega \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \end{array} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$ is a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary $\partial\Omega$, $u' = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, Δ the Laplacian, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is a trace operator (e.g. Adams [1]), that is, if $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, then

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r \nu_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

$\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \dots, \nu_r(x))$ being the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ at x .

For $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists a unique (strong) solution

$$u = u(f) \in C^0([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1((0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$$

such that $\Delta u \in C^0((0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$ and $u(\cdot, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $t > 0$ (e.g. Pazy [15]).

In this situation, we have the following problems when we consider the heat flux $\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t)$ on a subboundary Γ of Ω as measurements for $t > 0$.

(I) (Uniqueness) What kind of a set $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$, does

$$\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0$$

determine $f(x)$, $x \in \Omega$ uniquely?

- (II) (Construction) We wish to represent the initial heat distribution $f(x)$ on Ω in terms of $\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t)$, $x \in \Gamma$, $t > 0$.
- (III) (Stability) Can we estimate $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ by $\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t)$, $x \in \Gamma$, $t > 0$? Moreover what norm of $\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t)$, $x \in \Gamma$, $t > 0$ should we choose for the estimation of $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$?

The determination of initial heat distribution is called an *observation problem*. The observation problem is important also in the theory of control, because it is a dual problem to the controllability problem (e.g. Dolecki and Russell [6]). For the observation problem in the heat equation, we can refer to Cannon [2]. For similar types of problems, the reader can consult Dolecki [5], Mizel and Seidman [13], and Sakawa [17]. In this paper, (I) and (III) are treated, while (II) will be discussed in a succeeding paper.

For the uniqueness, the answer is known under a comfortable assumption:

PROPOSITION 1 *Let $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ satisfy $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If*

$$\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0,$$

then $f(x) = 0, x \in \Omega$.

This is proved by the eigenfunction expansion of the solution and the unique continuation theorem for the elliptic operator (e.g. Mizohata [14]), and we can further refer to Georg Schmidt and Weck [8] for the proof.

Now we proceed to the stability. It is easily expected that the stability is very delicate, because the map $f \mapsto \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}$ advances the regularity by the ‘‘smoothing’’ property of the parabolic equation (e.g. Friedman [7]). We have to take a strong norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ for $\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t), x \in \Gamma, t > 0$ in order to get an upper estimate of $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. More precisely, there are two ways.

(a) We search for a norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ of functions on $\Gamma \times (0, \infty)$ so that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_*.$$

(b) Taking a usual norm for $\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu}$ such as

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))},$$

we search for a stability modulus $\omega \in C^0[0, \infty)$ which is monotone increasing and $\omega(0) = 0$ so that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \omega \left(\left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))} \right).$$

In (a) we insist on the stability of Lipschitz type, while we have to admit the choice of a strong norm $\|\cdot\|_*$. In (b), we insist on a usual norm for measurements $\frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial v}$, at the cost of a worse stability modulus ω . The latter way (b) seems more pursued in existing papers (e.g. Exercise 11.4 (pp. 144–145) in Cannon [2]), and the estimate of the type

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = O\left(\left[\log \|\text{Data}\|^{-1}\right]^\alpha\right),$$

for some constant $\alpha > 0$, is typical (e.g. see p. 147 in [2]).

The purpose of this paper is to pursue the way (a). Our choice of the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ is, of course, stronger than the $L^2(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))$ -norm, but is not extreme in the sense that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial v} \right\|_* = O(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

We shall use the following analytic extension formula

PROPOSITION 2([16]) *For the right half plane $R^+ = \{Z; Z = p+iq, p > 0\}$ and $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$, we have the identity, for the Bergman-Selberg space $H_\mu(R^+)$ comprising all analytic functions $f(Z)$ on R^+ with finite norm*

$$\|f\|_{H_\mu(R^+)} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\mu - 1)\pi} \iint_{R^+} |f(Z)|^2 (2p)^{2\mu-2} dpdq \right\}^{1/2} < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{H_\mu(R^+)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!\Gamma(n + 2\mu + 1)} \int_0^\infty |\partial_p^n (pf'(p))|^2 p^{2n+2\mu-1} dp.$$

Conversely, any C^∞ function $f(p)$ on the real positive line with a convergent sum in the right hand side can be extended analytically onto R^+ and the analytic extension $f(Z)$ satisfying $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} f(p) = 0$ belongs to $H_\mu(R^+)$ and the identity holds.

We shall define

$$\|g(x, p)\|_{B_\mu(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))}^2 = \int_\Gamma \left\| p^{-\frac{3}{2}} g\left(x, \frac{1}{4p}\right) \right\|_{H_\mu(R^+)}^2 dS,$$

the right hand side being convergent. Then we obtain

THEOREM *For an arbitrarily fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^r$, we set*

$$\Gamma = \{x \in \partial\Omega; (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) > 0\} \quad (1.2)$$

and take

$$\mu \in \left(1, \frac{5}{4}\right). \quad (1.3)$$

We assume

$$r \quad (= \text{the spatial dimension}) \leq 3 \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$f \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1.5)$$

Then, there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega, \Gamma, \mu) > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{B_\mu(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))} \leq C \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \quad (1.6)$$

In Theorem, if $r = 1$, then Γ is taken as one boundary point of the interval Ω . Here for simplicity, we assume (1.4), that is, the spatial dimension is less than or equal to 3. This is not essential and the condition (1.5) should be replaced by $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha)$ where $\alpha = \left[\frac{r}{4}\right] + 1$, $(Au)(x) = -\Delta u(x)$ with $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega); u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$, $[\beta]$ denotes the greatest integer among ones not exceeding $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

2 PROOF OF THEOREM

The proof will be divided into three steps.

2.1 First Step

We shall discuss the regularity of solutions to the wave equation corresponding to (1.1). First by (1.5) we see that $u(f) \in C^1([0, \infty) \times \overline{\Omega})$ and $\Delta u(f) \in C^0([0, \infty) \times \overline{\Omega})$ (e.g. Theorems 4.3.5 and 4.3.6 in Pazy [15] and the Sobolev embedding theorem (e.g. Adams [1])). We shall consider a corresponding wave equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w''(x, t) = \Delta w(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ w(x, 0) = 0, \quad w'(x, 0) = f(x), & x \in \Omega \\ w(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0. \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

Again applying the regularity assumption (1.5), by the eigenfunction expansion of the solution w and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we see that there exists a unique solution

$$\begin{aligned} w = w(f) \in & C^0([0, \infty); H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \\ & \cap C^2([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\|w(f)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad \|w(f)'(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad t > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

(e.g. Theorem 1.1.1 in Komornik [10]). The inequalities in (2.3) follow from conservation of energy. We set

$$W(t) = \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \right)^2 dS \equiv \left\| \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2, \quad t > 0.$$

By (2.3) and the trace theorem (e.g. Adams [1]), we have

$$W \in C^1[0, \infty), \quad |W'(t)|, W(t) \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\Omega) > 0$ is a constant independent of $t > 0$.

In fact, $W \in C^0[0, \infty)$ and $W(t) \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2$ is straightforward from (2.3) and the trace theorem. Next, by (2.3),

$$\left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu} \right)' \in C^0([0, \infty); L^2(\Gamma))$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left(\left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu} \right)'(x, t) \right)^2 dS \leq C'_1 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad t > 0,$$

so that

$$W'(t) = 2 \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu} \right)'(x, t) dS, \quad t > 0.$$

Hence $W' \in C^0[0, \infty)$ and by Schwarz's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |W'(t)| &\leq 2 \left\| \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu} \right)'(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{C'_1} \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \sqrt{C'_1} \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.4).

It follows from (2.4) and $w(f)(\cdot, 0) = 0$ that $W(0) = 0$. Furthermore, we have, using (2.4) and the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= W(t) - W(0) \leq t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |W'(s)| \\ &\leq C_1 t \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.5) and (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty W(t)t^{3-4\mu} dt &= \int_0^1 W(t)t^{3-4\mu} dt + \int_1^\infty W(t)t^{3-4\mu} dt \\ &\leq C_1 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \left(\int_0^1 t^{4-4\mu} dt + \int_1^\infty t^{3-4\mu} dt \right) \\ &= C_1 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \left(\frac{1}{5-4\mu} + \frac{1}{4\mu-4} \right) \\ &\equiv C_2 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Gamma \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \right)^2 t^{3-4\mu} dS dt \leq C_2 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{2.6}$$

2.2 Second Step

By the transform formula by Reznitskaya, we get

$$u(f)(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t^3}} \int_0^\infty \eta \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{4t}\right) w(f)(x, \eta) d\eta, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \tag{2.7}$$

(e.g. Section 5 in Chapter VII in Lavrentiev, Romanov and Shishat-skiĭ [11]). By (2.2), (2.3) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial x_i}(x, t) \right| \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0,$$

that is,

$$\left| \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \right| \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

Therefore in (2.7), we can exchange $\int_0^\infty \dots d\eta$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, so that

$$2\sqrt{\pi t^3} \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial v}(x, t) = \int_0^\infty \eta \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{4t}\right) \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial v}(x, \eta) d\eta,$$

$$x \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

Setting $t = \frac{1}{4p}$ and changing independent variables by $s = \eta^2$, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial v}\left(x, \frac{1}{4p}\right) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sp) \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial v}(x, \sqrt{s}) ds,$$

$$x \in \Gamma, p > 0. \quad (2.8)$$

We define the Laplace transform of $g \in L^1_{loc}(0, \infty)$ by $(\mathcal{L}g)(p)$:

$$(\mathcal{L}g)(p) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sp} g(s) ds, \quad p > 0,$$

the integral existing for $p > 0$. Therefore we can rewrite (2.8) as

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial v}\left(x, \frac{1}{4p}\right) \equiv \tilde{u}(x, p) = (\mathcal{L}\tilde{w})(x, p), \quad x \in \Gamma, p > 0,$$

$$(2.9)$$

where

$$\tilde{w}(x, s) = \frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial v}(x, \sqrt{s}), \quad x \in \Gamma, s > 0.$$

Then, by using an isometrical identity for the Laplace transform in Byun-Saitoh [3], we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty (\tilde{w}(x, t))^2 t^{1-2\mu} dt = \|\tilde{u}(x, \cdot)\|_{H_\mu(R^+)}^2, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (2.10)$$

provided that either of the both hand sides is convergent.

Since

$$\int_0^\infty (\tilde{w}(x, t))^2 t^{1-2\mu} dt = 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial v}(x, s)\right)^2 s^{3-4\mu} ds, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

it follows from (2.6) and the Fubini theorem that

$$\int_0^\infty (\tilde{w}(x, t))^2 t^{1-2\mu} dt < \infty$$

for almost all $x \in \Gamma$. Consequently application of (2.10) yields

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, s) \right)^2 s^{3-4\mu} ds = \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}(x, \cdot)\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2, \quad a.e. \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Gamma \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \right)^2 t^{3-4\mu} dt dS &= \frac{\pi}{8} \int_\Gamma \left\| p^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \left(x, \frac{1}{4p} \right) \right\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 dS \\ &= \frac{\pi}{8} \left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{B_\mu(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

2.3 Third Step

In this step, we apply the stability estimate for the wave equation (2.1):

PROPOSITION 3 (Observability inequality) *Let $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ be defined by (1.2) and let*

$$T > 2 \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x - x_0| \quad (2.12)$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^r$ is a point which is arbitrarily chosen for specifying the observation subboundary Γ . Then there exists a constant $C_3 = C_3(\Omega, T, \Gamma) > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_3 \left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}. \quad (2.13)$$

The estimate (2.13) is proved in Ho [9] and Lions [12]. See also Komornik [10].

Now, by combining (2.6) with (2.11), we have the second inequality in (1.6). Next, we fix $T > 0$ satisfying (2.12). Then, by Proposition 3, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Gamma \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \right)^2 dt dS &\leq T^{4\mu-3} \int_\Gamma \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \right)^2 t^{3-4\mu} dt dS \\ &\leq T^{4\mu-3} \int_\Gamma \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial \nu}(x, t) \right)^2 t^{3-4\mu} dt dS, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C_3^2 T^{4\mu-3} \int_{\Gamma} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial w(f)}{\partial v}(x, t) \right)^2 t^{3-4\mu} dt dS \\ &= \frac{\pi}{8} C_3^2 T^{4\mu-3} \left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial v} \right\|_{B_{\mu}(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))}^2 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.11), which is the first inequality in (1.6). Thus we complete the proof of Theorem.

3 CONCLUDING REMARKS

- (1) For the inversion of the Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}g = h$, the complex one is well-known (e.g. Chapter 31 in Doetsch [4]). The complex form is, however, not adequate in our problem, because the observation data h is real-valued and we have to extend the data analytically, which makes the stability unclear. For an analytical real inversion formula for the Laplace transform, see Byun-Saitoh [3].
- (2) One of our keys is the transform formula between a heat equation and a wave equation, through which we reduce the stability in the heat problem to the one in the wave problem. A similar technique is used also in Yamamoto [19]. Thus we do not use the eigenfunction expansion of the solution to the heat equation, which is used in Exercise 11.4 in Cannon [2], Dolecki [5], Mizel and Seidman [13] and Sakawa [17].
- (3) The norm $\left\| \frac{\partial u(f)}{\partial v} \right\|_{B_{\mu}(\Gamma \times (0, \infty))}$ for observations is taken over the whole time interval $(0, \infty)$. So far, we do not know whether or not we can reduce the observation time interval to a finite one with keeping the estimate of type (1.6).
- (4) In Vu Kim Tuan and Yamamoto [18], for a similar observation problem, the transform formula is considered in terms of a Mellin convolution transform and another stability of Lipschitz type is obtained.

Acknowledgements

This paper is an output of the second author's stay at Faculty of Engineering of Gunma University in October 1995. He thanks the first author for his

kind invitation. The second author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Cooperative Researches (No.06305005) from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, and Sanwa Systems Development Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] J.R. Cannon, *The One-Dimensional Heat Equation*, Addison-Wesley Publishing, Reading, Massachusetts, 1984.
- [3] D.-W. Byun and S. Saitoh, A real inversion formula for the Laplace transform, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, **12** (1993), 597–603.
- [4] G. Doetsch, *Introduction to the Theory and Applications of the Laplace Transformation (English translation)*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [5] S. Dolecki, Observability for the one-dimensional heat equation, *Studia Mathematica*, **48** (1973), 291–305.
- [6] S. Dolecki and D.L. Russell, A general theory of observation and control, *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, **15** (1977), 185–220.
- [7] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, 1983 (Reprint Edition)*, Krieger Publishing, Malabar, Florida.
- [8] E.J.P. George Schmidt and N. Weck, On the boundary behavior of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations—with applications to boundary control for parabolic equations, *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, **16** (1978), 593–598.
- [9] L.F. Ho, Observabilité frontière de l'équation des ondes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math.*, **302** (1986), 443–446.
- [10] V. Komornik, *Exact Controllability and Stabilization — the multiplier method, 1994*, Masson, Paris.
- [11] M.M. Lavrentiev, V.G. Romanov and S.P. Shishat-skii, *Ill-posed Problems of Mathematical Physics and Analysis, 1986, (English translation)*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island.
- [12] J.-L. Lions, *Contrôlabilité Exacte Perturbations et Stabilisation de Systèmes Distribués, Vol. 1*, Masson, Paris, 1988.
- [13] V.J. Mizel and T.I. Seidman, Observation and prediction for the heat equation, II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **38** (1972), 149–166.
- [14] S. Mizohata, *The Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1973.
- [15] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, 1983*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [16] S. Saitoh, Representations of the norms in Bergman-Selberg spaces on strips and half planes, *Complex Variables*, **19** (1992), 231–241.
- [17] Y. Sakawa, Observability and related problems for partial differential equations of parabolic type, *SIAM J. Control*, **13** (1975), 14–27.
- [18] Vu Kim Tuan and M. Yamamoto, Stability in an inverse heat problem — determination of initial values: odd dimensional case, (preprint).
- [19] M. Yamamoto, *Multidimensional Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations: Controllability Method and Perturbation Method* (in preparation).