

# SEARCHING FOR KAPREKAR'S CONSTANTS: ALGORITHMS AND RESULTS

BYRON L. WALDEN

*Received 7 April 2004*

We examine some new results on Kaprekar's constants, specifically establishing the unique 7-digit (in base 4) and 9-digit (in base 5) Kaprekar's constants and showing that there are no 15-, 21-, 27-, or 33-digit Kaprekar's constants.

## 1. Introduction

The number 6174 arises in a semifamous problem in recreational mathematics: take any 4-digit number which uses more than one digit and find the difference between the numbers formed by writing the digits in descending order and ascending order (e.g., starting with 4083 yields  $8430 - 0348 = 8082$ ). Iterate this process using the difference as the new 4-digit number. It was first discovered by the Indian mathematician Kaprekar [5] that this process leads in at most 7 steps to the number 6174, a fixed point of the iteration. 6174 is thus known as Kaprekar's constant. This curious example reportedly sparked interest on several campuses, including Berkeley and MIT [1]. Several authors have examined the generalized problem using different lengths and/or different bases. In this context, an  $n$ -digit number in base  $b$  is called a Kaprekar's constant if it is a fixed point under the descending/ascending difference operation (sometimes called Kaprekar's routine) and every nontrivial  $n$ -digit number eventually is transformed to that fixed point by iterating the operation. Our work continues this line of inquiry, establishing the unique 7-digit (with  $b = 4$ ) and 9-digit ( $b = 5$ ) Kaprekar's constant and shows that there are no 15-, 21-, 27-, or 33-digit Kaprekar's constants.

## 2. Previous results

Before elaborating on the contributions of this paper, it will be helpful to have a brief summary of what is heretofore known.

Beginning with base 10, Prichett et al. [8] determined that the only Kaprekar's constants are 495 and 6174. They showed that for any  $n > 4$ , there were always two fixed points, and thus no Kaprekar's constant is possible. Building on this, Ludington [6] showed that for any base  $b$ , there are only finitely many Kaprekar's constants.

The following generalizations fix the number of digits and consider the bases which may have a Kaprekar’s constant.

Hasse [2] investigated the 2-digit problem. The only Kaprekar constant here is 01 in base 2. The real interest in this case is the rich structure of the cycles which occur in the various bases. Consider the digraph formed by taking the  $n$ -digit numbers as vertices and edges which connect each number to its successor under the Kaprekar routine. (This object is sometimes called a unary algebra.) Since the graph is finite and every node has outdegree 1, every walk along the digraph eventually becomes cyclic. A Kaprekar constant occurs when the only cycle which appears is a unique loop.

For the 2-digit case, if  $b = 2^r s - 1$ , where  $s$  is odd, then any multiple of  $s$  will eventually die out by producing 00. This behavior is unique to the  $n = 2$  case, with the trivial exception of starting with a number with only one digit. Otherwise, the cycles consist of nodes of the form  $2^r t$  with  $t$  odd. The length of these cycles is precisely

$$\text{ind}_4 \frac{s}{\gcd(s, t)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $k = \text{ind}_4 m$  means that  $k > 0$  and minimal such that  $4^k \equiv 1 \pmod m$ . (Most of this result can be found in [2], however with less concision and completeness.)

Jordan [4] showed the only bases which have a 3-digit Kaprekar’s constant are the even ones. For  $b = 2k$ , the Kaprekar’s constant is  $k - 1 \ 2k - 1 \ k$ . Eldridge and Sagong elaborated on the cycle structure for this case in [1].

Hasse and Prichett [3] found that the only bases which have a 4-digit Kaprekar’s constant are  $b = 5$  and  $b = 4^j \cdot 10$ . The respective Kaprekar’s constants are 3032 and

$$6 \cdot 4^j \quad 2 \cdot 4^j - 1 \quad 8 \cdot 4^j - 1 \quad 4 \cdot 4^j. \tag{2.2}$$

Prichett [7] showed that the only bases  $b$  which have a 5-digit Kaprekar are congruent to  $3 \pmod 6$ , with  $b = 9$  as the only nonexample. The Kaprekar’s constant for  $b = 6t + 3$  is

$$4t + 2 \quad 2t \quad 6t + 2 \quad 4t + 1 \quad 2t + 1. \tag{2.3}$$

The new results basically follow a program hinted at in a final paragraph of [7]. Some of the results seem suggested there, but they are not made explicit.

### 3. Explanations of the programs and the notation

In order to implement Kaprekar’s routine as efficiently as possible, we will employ the following reduction. Given an  $N$ -digit number, arrange its digits in descending order, say  $d_{N-1} \geq d_{N-2} \geq \dots \geq d_0$ . The difference

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & d_{N-1} & d_{N-2} & \cdots & d_1 & d_0 \\ - & d_0 & d_1 & \cdots & d_{N-2} & d_{N-1} \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

can just as easily be obtained by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} d_{N-1} - d_0 & d_{N-2} - d_1 & \cdots & 0 & & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_{N-2} - d_1 & d_{N-1} - d_0 & & \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

Needless to say, we do not wish to store the  $\lceil N/2 \rceil$  trailing zeros. We think of our  $N$ -digit numbers as being represented by the  $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$  leading digits. For example, 6174 would be represented by  $7 - 1$  and  $6 - 4$ , or in our node notation,  $\langle 6 : 2 \rangle$ . The number in (2.3) is written as  $\langle 4t + 2 : 2t + 1 \rangle$  in node notation. Fixing the number of digits and the base, we define a directed edge from each node to its successor. Following a path of directed edges inevitably leads to a previously visited node, and thereby a cycle. Clearly, there is a Kaprekar’s constant if and only if the graph has a 1-cycle as its only cycle.

The programs designed to make this determination may be found at <http://math.scu.edu/~bwalden/kaprekar>. The first, *kap1.cc*, computes all the cycles for a specified number of digits and a specified range of bases. The second, *kap2.cc*, only computes cycles until it finds a cycle that is not a 1-cycle or more than one cycle. The amount of sorting required is minimal: even for large values of  $N$ , the differences split into two almost sorted lists, which are easily fixed and merged.

#### 4. The 7-digit case

Running the programs for the 7-digit case, the patterns which emerge lead to discovering the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $k \geq 6$ .*

- (a) *In base  $b = 4k$ ,  $\langle 3k : 2k : k \rangle$  is a 1-cycle and  $\langle 3k : 2k : k + 1 \rangle$  starts a 19-cycle.*
- (b) *In base  $b = 4k + 1$ ,  $\langle 3k + 1 : 2k + 1 : k - 1 \rangle$  starts a 2-cycle,  $\langle 3k : 2k + 1 : k \rangle$  starts a 2-cycle, and  $\langle 3k : 2k + 1 : k + 2 \rangle$  starts a 4-cycle.*
- (c) *In base  $b = 4k - 1$ ,  $\langle 3k : 2k - 1 : k \rangle$  starts an 8-cycle.*
- (d) *In base  $b = 4k - 2$ ,  $\langle 3k : 2k - 1 : k \rangle$  starts a 4-cycle.*

*Proof.* The full cycles are listed in Appendix B. We will omit all but one of the computations. The rest are similar. In the case where  $b = 4k + 1$ , we start with  $\langle 3k + 1 : 2k + 1 : k - 1 \rangle$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 3k+1 & 2k+1 & k-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ - & 0 & 0 & 0 & k-1 & 2k+1 & 3k+1 \\ \hline 3k+1 & 2k+1 & k-2 & 4k & 3k & 2k-1 & k \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

The difference thus belongs to the node  $\langle 3k + 2 : 2k + 1 : k + 2 \rangle$ . Continuing,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 3k+2 & 2k+1 & k+2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ - & 0 & 0 & 0 & k+2 & 2k+1 & 3k+2 \\ \hline 3k+2 & 2k+1 & k+1 & 4k & 3k-2 & 2k-1 & k-1 \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

The difference belongs in the node  $\langle 3k + 1 : 2k + 1 : k - 1 \rangle$ , creating a 2-cycle. □

THEOREM 4.2. *The only 7-digit Kaprekar constant is 3203211 in base  $b = 4$ .*

*Proof.* This constant is mentioned as an example in [4]. The output of *kap2.cc* shows that the only Kaprekar constant for 7-digit numbers with  $b \leq 21$  is the one given in the statement of the theorem. But Lemma 4.1 shows that there can be no Kaprekar constant for any base  $b > 21$ .  $\square$

The numerical evidence leads to the following.

CONJECTURE 4.3. *The only cycles for bases  $b > 21$  are those listed in Lemma 4.1.*

### 5. Special 1-cycles for the $(6k + 3)$ -digit case

In this section, we will construct a rich family of 1-cycles in the case where  $N = 6k + 3$ .

THEOREM 5.1. *Let  $N = 6k + 3$  and suppose  $b = (3k + 2)a + d$ , where  $-1 \leq d \leq a - 1$ . Then*

$$\langle (3k+1)a+d : 3ka+d : (3k-1)a+d : \dots : (k+1)a+d : ka : (k-1)a : (k-2)a : \dots : 2a : a \rangle \tag{5.1}$$

*is a 1-cycle.*

*Proof.* Performing Kaprekar's routine in this case yields a difference whose leading  $3k + 1$  digits are

$$(3k+1)a+d, 3ka+d, (3k-1)a+d, (k+1)a+d, ka, (k-1)a, (k-2)a, \dots, 2a, a-1 \tag{5.2}$$

followed by the digit  $b - 1$ , and then by the  $3k + 1$  digits

$$b-a-1, b-2a-1, \dots, b-ka-1, b-(k+1)a-d-1, \dots, b-3ka-d-1, b-(3k+1)a-d. \tag{5.3}$$

Substituting  $b = (3k + 2)a + d$  and sorting the digits leads to the descending sequence of digits

$$\begin{aligned} &(3k+2)a+d-1, (3k+1)a+d, (3k+1)a+d-1, 3ka+d, \\ &\quad 3ka+d-1, \dots, (2k+2)a+d, (2k+2)a+d-1, \\ &(2k+1)a+d, (2k+1)a-1, 2ka+d, 2ka-1, \dots, (k+1)a+d, (k+1)a-1, \\ &\quad ka, ka-1, (k-1)a, (k-1)a-1, \dots, 2a, 2a-1, a, a-1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

(Here is where the assumption  $-1 \leq d \leq a - 1$  is used.) The corresponding node is easily verified to be as in (5.1).  $\square$

If we can find a base with two such representations for  $b$ , there will be at least two 1-cycles and thus no Kaprekar's constant.

COROLLARY 5.2. *If  $N = 6k + 3$  has a Kaprekar's constant in base  $b$ , then*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{b+1}{3k+2} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lceil \frac{b+1}{3k+3} \right\rceil. \tag{5.5}$$

*Proof.* The preceding theorem produces a 1-cycle whenever

$$(3k+2)a - 1 \leq b \leq (3k+2)a + a - 1. \quad (5.6)$$

Equivalently, we seek integers  $a$  which satisfy

$$\frac{b+1}{3k+3} \leq a \leq \frac{b+1}{3k+2}. \quad (5.7)$$

It follows that whenever

$$\left\lceil \frac{b+1}{3k+3} \right\rceil < \left\lfloor \frac{b+1}{3k+2} \right\rfloor, \quad (5.8)$$

there are at least two values of  $a$  which produce a 1-cycle. Thus there can be no Kaprekar's constant.  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.3.** *If  $N = 6k + 3$  has a Kaprekar's constant in base  $b$ , then  $b \leq (6k + 2)(3k + 3) = (1/2)(N + 3)(N - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $N$  has a Kaprekar's constant in base  $b$ . If  $b + 1 = q(3k + 3)$ , then the preceding corollary says that

$$\left\lfloor q + \frac{q}{3k+2} \right\rfloor \leq q. \quad (5.9)$$

So  $q \leq 3k + 1$ . Otherwise, write  $b + 1 = q(3k + 3) + r$ , where  $1 \leq r \leq 3k + 2$ . Then the preceding corollary says that

$$\left\lfloor q + \frac{q+r}{3k+2} \right\rfloor \leq q + 1. \quad (5.10)$$

It follows that  $q + r \leq 6k + 3$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} b &= q(3k+3) + r - 1 \\ &\leq (6k+3-r)(3k+3) + r - 1 \\ &= (6k+3)(3k+3) - (3k+2)r - 1 \\ &\leq (6k+3)(3k+3) - (3k+2) - 1 \\ &= (6k+2)(3k+3). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

$\square$

We now apply this line of inquiry to the manageable smaller cases. For  $N = 6k + 3$  we need only check the bases  $b \leq (6k + 2)(3k + 3)$  which satisfy the condition (5.5). The computer checking leads to the following result.

**THEOREM 5.4.** *The only 9-digit Kaprekar's constant is 432043211( $\langle 4:3:2:1 \rangle$ ) in base 5. There are no Kaprekar's constants with 15, 21, 27, or 33 digits.*

We note the analogy to the result in [6], except that she constructs two  $n$ -digit fixed points for each sufficiently large  $n$ , with a fixed base  $b$ .

## References

- [1] K. E. Eldridge and S. Sagong, *The determination of Kaprekar convergence and loop convergence of all three-digit numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **95** (1988), no. 2, 105–112.
- [2] H. Hasse, *Iterierter Differenzbetrag für 2-stellige  $g$ -adische Zahlen*, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exact. Fis. Natur. Madrid **72** (1978), no. 2, 221–240.
- [3] H. Hasse and G. D. Prichett, *The determination of all four-digit Kaprekar constants*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **299/300** (1978), 113–124.
- [4] J. H. Jordan, *Mathematical notes: self producing sequences of digits*, Amer. Math. Monthly **71** (1964), no. 1, 61–64.
- [5] D. R. Kaprekar, *An interesting property of the number 6174*, Scripta Math. **21** (1955), 244–245.
- [6] A. L. Ludington, *A bound on Kaprekar constants*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **310** (1979), 196–203.
- [7] G. D. Prichett, *Terminating cycles for iterated difference values of five digit integers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **303/304** (1978), 379–388.
- [8] G. D. Prichett, A. L. Ludington, and J. F. Lapenta, *The determination of all decadic Kaprekar constants*, Fibonacci Quart. **19** (1981), no. 1, 45–52.

Byron L. Walden: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Santa Clara University,  
Santa Clara, CA 95053-0290, USA

*E-mail address:* bwalden@math.scu.edu

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)