

STRUCTURE OF THE GROUP PRESERVING A BILINEAR FORM*

FERNANDO SZECHTMAN[†]

Abstract. The group of linear automorphisms preserving an arbitrary bilinear form is studied.

Key words. Bilinear form, Isometry group.

AMS subject classifications. 15A63, 20G15.

1. Introduction. Let $\varphi : V \times V \rightarrow F$ be a bilinear form on a finite dimensional vector space V over a field F . We refer to the pair (V, φ) as a bilinear space. The goal of this paper is to describe the structure of the group $G = G(V)$ of all linear automorphisms of V preserving φ .

Classical groups such as the general linear, symplectic and orthogonal groups arise in this fashion. These classical cases have been thoroughly investigated (see [2], [8]). Arbitrary non-degenerate forms possess an asymmetry (as defined in [7]), which exerts a considerable influence on the structure of the bilinear space and associated group. This has recently been exploited by J. Fulman and R. Guralnick [4], where an array of useful information about G is presented. The study of G for a general bilinear form, possibly degenerate, over an arbitrary field does not seem to have been hitherto considered. The presence of a degenerate part enriches the structure of G , and it is in this regard that our main contribution takes place.

In general terms our approach consists of extracting structural information about G by examining how G acts on V and its various FG -submodules.

Knowledge of the structure of V as an FG -module will therefore be necessary. Our references in this regard will consist of the paper [7] by C. Riehm, its appendix [5] by P. Gabriel, and our recent article [3] with D. Djokovic.

An important decomposition of V to be considered is

$$V = V_{\text{odd}} \perp V_{\text{even}} \perp V_{\text{ndeg}},$$

where V_{odd} , respectively V_{even} , is the orthogonal direct sum of indecomposable degenerate bilinear spaces of odd, respectively even, dimension, and V_{ndeg} is non-degenerate. We identify $G(V_{\text{odd}})$, $G(V_{\text{even}})$ and $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ with subgroups of $G(V)$ by means of this decomposition.

As noted in [3], while V_{odd} , V_{even} and V_{ndeg} are uniquely determined by V up to equivalence of bilinear spaces, they are not unique as subspaces of V , and in particular they are not G -invariant. Thus, one attempt to understand G would consist of studying the structure of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$, $G(V_{\text{even}})$ and $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ separately, and then see how these groups fit together to form G .

* Received by the editors 20 November 2004. Accepted for publication 8 September 2005. Handling Editor: Robert Guralnick.

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0A2, Canada (szechtf@math.uregina.ca).

This approach turns out to be fruitful, as we proceed to describe, with the notable exception of the structure of $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ in the special case when the asymmetry of V_{ndeg} is unipotent and the underlying field F has characteristic 2. This is what C. Riehm refers to as Case IIb in his paper.

We begin our journey in section 2 by establishing notation and terminology. Two important G -invariant subspaces of V described here are what we denote by V_∞ and ${}^\infty V$ in [3]. Briefly, any choice for V_{odd} will contain V_∞ , which is in fact the only totally isotropic subspace of V_{odd} of maximum possible dimension; whatever the choices for V_{even} and V_{ndeg} , it turns out that ${}^\infty V = V_\infty \perp V_{\text{even}} \perp V_{\text{ndeg}}$.

Section 3 contains basic tools regarding V and G to be used throughout the paper. An important feature of this section is the introduction -in Definition 3.17- of a family of 1-parameter subgroups of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ which will play a decisive role in shedding light on the structure of both $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ and G .

The actual paper can be said to begin in section 4. We first introduce a normal subgroup N of G , defined as the intersection of various pointwise stabilizers in G when it acts on certain sections of the FG -module V . It is shown in Theorem 4.4 that $G = N \rtimes E$, where $E \cong \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} \text{GL}_{m_i}(F)$ and the parameters t and m_1, \dots, m_t depend only on V , as explained below. We have

$$V_{\text{odd}} = V_1 \perp V_2 \perp \dots \perp V_t,$$

where each V_i is the orthogonal direct sum of m_i bilinear subspaces, each of which is isomorphic to a Gabriel block of size $2s_i + 1$, with $s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_t$. Here by a Gabriel block of size $r \geq 1$ we mean the only indecomposable degenerate bilinear space of dimension r , up to equivalence, namely one that admits a nilpotent Jordan block of size r as its Gram matrix.

As a byproduct of the results in this section we are able to describe the irreducible constituents of the FG -submodule V_∞ of V . This is taken up in section 5 (Theorem 5.1). These constituents are seen later in section 6 to be intimately connected to certain FG -modules arising as sections of G itself. The end of this section also gives a second decomposition for G , namely

$$G(V) = G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty] \rtimes (G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})),$$

where in general $G[Y]$ denotes the pointwise stabilizer of G acting on a G -set Y .

Section 6 goes much deeper than previous sections. In view of the decomposition $G = N \rtimes E$ and the clear structure of E , our next goal is to study N and the action of G upon it. In Theorem 6.10 we prove $N = G[V_\infty] \rtimes U$, where U is a unipotent subgroup of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ generated by the 1-parameter subgroups referred to above. An extensive analysis of the nilpotent group $N/G[V_\infty]$ is carried out. First of all, its nilpotency class is seen in Theorem 6.2 to be $t - 1$. As a nilpotent group $N/G[V_\infty]$, possesses a descending central series. We actually produce in Theorem 6.22 a G -invariant descending central series for $N/G[V_\infty]$ each of whose factors has a natural structure of FG -module, and irreducible at that. These irreducible FG -modules are in close relationship with the irreducible constituents of the FG -module V_∞ . By taking into account all factors in our series we deduce a formula for the dimension

of U , in the algebraic/geometric sense, which turns out to be equal to the number of 1-parameter groups generating U and referred to above. Theorem 6.20 proves

$$\dim U = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} (s_i - s_j + 1)m_i m_j.$$

As a byproduct of the results in this section we also obtain in Theorem 6.15 the irreducible constituents of the FG -module $V/\infty V$, which in turn are closely related to other FG -modules also arising as sections of G .

Section 7 concentrates on the next logical target, namely $G[V_\infty]$. We know from above that $G = N \rtimes E$ and $N = G[V_\infty] \rtimes U$. Here we prove (Theorem 7.1) that U actually normalizes E -so $G = G[V_\infty] \rtimes (U \rtimes E)$ - , that $G[V_\infty]$ admits the decomposition $G[V_\infty] = (G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]) \rtimes (G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}}))$, and that $U \rtimes E$ actually commutes with $G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ elementwise. We thus obtain the important decomposition

$$G = (G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]) \rtimes (G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}}) \times (U \rtimes E)).$$

With the structure of $U \rtimes E$ already clarified, the next step consists of studying $G(V_{\text{even}})$ and $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ on their own, and see what is the structure of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$.

Note that $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ seems to be absent above. But that is only an illusion, which is clarified in section 11. In fact, $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ is essentially what is holding the above decomposition together. If $V_{\text{odd}} = (0)$ the V_{even} and V_{ndeg} are in fact G -invariant, as [3] shows, so $G = G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$.

Section 8 begins by laying the foundations (Theorem 8.3) for a combined attack on $G(V_{\text{even}})$ and certain direct factors of $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$. Theorem 8.5 then exploits this by describing $G(V_{\text{even}})$ as the centralizer of a nilpotent element of known similarity type in the general linear group.

Attention in section 9 is focused on $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$. This group is approached via the study of V_{ndeg} as a module over the polynomial algebra $F[t]$ by means of the asymmetry of $\varphi|_{V_{\text{ndeg}}}$, as outlined in [7]. Thus (see equation (9.1)) $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ is isomorphic to the direct product of groups of the form $G(W)$, where W is a non-degenerate bilinear space whose type, according to C. Riehm, is either I, IIa or IIb.

In the first case $G(W)$ is seen (in Theorem 9.1 via Theorem 8.3) to be isomorphic to the centralizer in a general linear group of a linear automorphism of known similarity type. If F is algebraically closed this linear automorphism can be replaced by a nilpotent endomorphism.

Case IIa is more difficult. We find (Theorem 9.6) $G(W)$ to be equal to the centralizer in a symplectic or orthogonal group of a particular linear endomorphism. If F has characteristic not 2 this element is in the corresponding symplectic or orthogonal Lie algebra. If in addition F is algebraically closed we can ensure (Theorems 9.8 and 9.9) that this element is nilpotent of known similarity class. These centralizers are described in various places, e.g. in [6, 10].

As mentioned already above the case when the asymmetry of V_{ndeg} is unipotent and F has characteristic 2, i.e. Case IIb, remains unsolved.

Section 10 concentrates on $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$. One sees rather rapidly (Lemmas 10.1, 10.2 and 10.4) that $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$ is unipotent of nilpotency of class ≤ 2 having $G[\infty V]$ in its center, the corresponding quotient group being abelian. Thus the study of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$ is divided into that of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]/G[\infty V]$ and $G[\infty V]$.

Well, $G[\infty V]$ is naturally an FG -module and, much as in section 6, we find (Theorem 10.18) its irreducible constituents and explain how they relate to those of $V/\infty V$. As in section 6, this requires considerable amount of work. In particular, the dimension of $G[\infty V]$ is found. We also compute (Proposition 10.20) the dimension of the quotient group $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]/G[\infty V]$, thereby obtaining (Theorem 10.21) a formula for the dimension of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$, which reads

$$\dim G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty] = \dim(V/V_\infty) \times (m_1 + \cdots + m_t).$$

Section 11 furnishes a few more decompositions for G and $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ (Theorems 11.1 and 11.2) and includes an example (Theorem 11.3) on the structure of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ in a special but interesting case. The structure of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ is fully revealed in this case.

Our last section makes some comments on an alternative approach to the study of G .

A few words about the origin of this paper are in order. After our joint work [3] with D. Djokovic, we were excited about the prospect of being able to attack the present problem. We worked rather intensively together for quite some time in fruitful collaboration. Each of us built his own version of the paper, and at one point our methods and some of our goals became too far apart for us to be able to amalgamate them into a single paper. Even though we agreed to submit our versions separately, the outcome of this project should be regarded as joint work.

2. Generalities. Let F be a field. A *bilinear space* over F is a pair (V, φ) , where V is a finite dimensional F -vector space and $\varphi : V \times V \rightarrow F$ is a bilinear form. An *isometry* from a bilinear space (V_1, φ_1) to a bilinear space (V_2, φ_2) is a linear isomorphism $g : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ satisfying

$$\varphi_2(gv, gw) = \varphi_1(v, w), \quad v, w \in V_1.$$

Two bilinear spaces are *equivalent* if there exists an isometry between them. The *isometry group* of a bilinear space (V, φ) is the group of all isometries from (V, φ) into itself.

We henceforth fix a bilinear space (V, φ) . Its isometry group will be denoted by $G(V, \varphi)$, $G(V)$, $G(\varphi)$, or simply by G . Explicit reference to φ will be omitted when no confusion is possible. We shall often write $\langle v, w \rangle$ instead of $\varphi(v, w)$.

The space of all bilinear forms on V will be denoted by $\text{Bil}(V)$. There is an action of $\text{GL}(V)$ on $\text{Bil}(V)$ given by

$$(g \cdot \phi)(v, w) = \phi(g^{-1}v, g^{-1}w), \quad g \in \text{GL}(V), \phi \in \text{Bil}(V), v, w \in V.$$

Thus the isometry group of (V, φ) is the stabilizer of φ under this action.

If U is a subspace of V , then U becomes a bilinear space by restricting φ to $U \times U$. We write $V = U \perp W$ if $V = U \oplus W$ and $\langle U, W \rangle = \langle W, U \rangle = 0$. In this case we refer to U and W as *orthogonal summands* of V . A bilinear space is *indecomposable* if it lacks proper non-zero orthogonal summands. If $\langle U, U \rangle = 0$ then U is *totally isotropic*.

For a subspace U of V , let

$$L(U) = \{v \in V \mid \langle v, U \rangle = 0\}, \quad R(U) = \{v \in V \mid \langle U, v \rangle = 0\}.$$

Here $L(V)$ and $R(V)$ are the *left* and *right radicals* of V , and $\text{Rad}(V) = L(V) \cap R(V)$ is the *radical* of V . We have $\dim L(V) = \dim R(V)$, and we say that V is *non-degenerate* whenever this number is 0. Otherwise V is *degenerate*. A degenerate space is *totally degenerate* if all its non-zero orthogonal summands are degenerate.

We view L and R as operators which assign to each subspace of V its left and right orthogonal complements, respectively. If required we will write L_V and R_V for them. We may compound these operators, denoting by L^i and R^i their respective i -th iterates. By convention, L^0 and R^0 are the identity operators. By definition

$$L(V) \subseteq L^3(V) \subseteq L^5(V) \subseteq \dots \subseteq L^4(V) \subseteq L^2(V) \subseteq L^0(V) = V,$$

and similarly for R . We denote by $L_\infty(V)$, $R_\infty(V)$, $L^\infty(V)$ and $R^\infty(V)$ the subspaces of V at which the sequences $(L^{2k+1}(V))_{k \geq 0}$, $(R^{2k+1}(V))_{k \geq 0}$, $(L^{2k}(V))_{k \geq 0}$ and $(R^{2k}(V))_{k \geq 0}$ stabilize, respectively. We set $V_\infty = L_\infty(V) + R_\infty(V)$ and ${}^\infty V = L^\infty(V) + R^\infty(V)$. By construction both V_∞ and ${}^\infty V$ are G -invariant.

For $r \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in F$, denote by $J_r(\lambda)$ the lower Jordan block of size r corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . Thus

$$J_1(\lambda) = (\lambda), \quad J_2(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

Write N_r for a bilinear space whose underlying form has matrix $J_r(0)$ relative to some basis. We shall refer to the bilinear space N_r as a *Gabriel block* and to r as its size. We refer the reader to [3, 12] for the following formulation of a theorem due to P. Gabriel [5].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let (V, φ) be a bilinear space over F . Then*

- (a) $V = V_{\text{tdeg}} \perp V_{\text{ndeg}}$, where V_{tdeg} is the orthogonal direct sum of Gabriel blocks and V_{ndeg} is non-degenerate (either of them possibly 0).
- (b) The sizes and multiplicities of the Gabriel blocks which appear in V_{tdeg} are uniquely determined by V .
- (c) The equivalence class of V_{ndeg} is uniquely determined by V .
- (d) Up to equivalence, the only indecomposable and degenerate bilinear space of dimension $r \geq 1$ is N_r .

We refer to V_{tdeg} and V_{ndeg} as the *totally degenerate* and *non-degenerate parts* of V , respectively. We may write $V_{\text{tdeg}} = V_{\text{even}} \perp V_{\text{odd}}$, where V_{even} resp. V_{odd} is the orthogonal direct sum of Gabriel blocks of even resp. odd size. We refer to them as the *even* and *odd parts* of V .

We fix a decomposition

$$V = V_{\text{odd}} \perp V_{\text{even}} \perp V_{\text{ndeg}}, \quad (2.1)$$

and identify $G(V_{\text{odd}})$, $G(V_{\text{even}})$ and $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ with their image in $G(V)$, obtained by extending via the identity on the complements exhibited in (2.1).

While none of V_{even} , V_{odd} , V_{ndeg} are in general G -invariant (see [3]) we know from [3] that, whatever the choices for these are, V_{∞} is the only totally isotropic subspace of V_{odd} of maximum dimension and

$${}^{\infty}V = V_{\infty} \perp V_{\text{even}} \perp V_{\text{ndeg}}. \quad (2.2)$$

NOTATION 2.2. If G acts on a set X and $Y \subseteq X$ then $G[Y]$ and $G\{Y\}$ denote the pointwise and global stabilizers of Y in G , respectively.

NOTATION 2.3. If Y is a subset of G then $\langle Y \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by Y .

NOTATION 2.4. If W is an F -vector space and f_1, \dots, f_m are vectors in W then their span will be denoted by $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$.

NOTATION 2.5. The *transpose* of $\phi \in \text{Bil}(V)$ is the bilinear form $\phi' \in \text{Bil}(V)$, defined by

$$\phi'(v, w) = \phi(w, v), \quad v, w \in V.$$

The transpose of a matrix A will be denoted by A' .

3. Lemmata. We fix a decomposition

$$V_{\text{odd}} = V_1 \perp V_2 \perp \dots \perp V_t, \quad (3.1)$$

where each V_i is the orthogonal direct sum of m_i bilinear subspaces, each of which is isomorphic to a Gabriel block of size $2s_i + 1$, with $s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_t$. By means of the decomposition (3.1) we may identify each $G(V_i)$ with its image in $G(V_{\text{odd}})$.

We have

$$V_i = V^{i,1} \perp V^{i,2} \perp \dots \perp V^{i,m_i}, \quad (3.2)$$

where each $V^{i,p}$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i$, has a basis

$$e_1^{i,p}, \dots, e_{2s_i+1}^{i,p}$$

relative to which the matrix of φ is equal to $J_{2s_i+1}(0)$. We shall consider the basis \mathcal{B} of V_{odd} , defined by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_k^{i,p} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq p \leq m_i, 1 \leq k \leq 2s_i + 1\}. \quad (3.3)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq t$ let V_i^\dagger be the span of $e_{2k}^{i,p}$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i$ and $1 \leq k \leq s_i$, and let

$$V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq t} V_i^\dagger.$$

Note that V_{odd}^\dagger is a totally isotropic subspace of V_{odd} satisfying

$$V_{\text{odd}} = V_\infty \oplus V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger. \quad (3.4)$$

There is no loss of generality in considering this particular subspace, as shown in Lemma 3.3 below.

LEMMA 3.1. $G[V_\infty] \subseteq G[V/{}^\infty V]$.

Proof. Let $g \in G[V_\infty]$, $x \in V$ and $y \in V_\infty$. Then

$$\langle x - gx, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle - \langle gx, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, g^{-1}y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

Since $L(V_\infty) = {}^\infty V$, the result follows. \square

LEMMA 3.2. $G[{}^\infty V] = G(V_{\text{odd}}) \cap G[V_\infty] \subseteq G[V/V_\infty]$.

Proof. Since

$$L(V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ndeg}}) \cap R(V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ndeg}}) = V_{\text{odd}},$$

we have $G[{}^\infty V] \subseteq G(V_{\text{odd}})$. For $g \in G[{}^\infty V]$ and $v \in V_{\text{odd}}$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$gv - v \in {}^\infty V \cap V_{\text{odd}} = V_\infty.$$

Hence $G[{}^\infty V] \subseteq G[V/V_\infty]$. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *The permutation action of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ on the set of totally isotropic subspaces W of V_{odd} satisfying $V_{\text{odd}} = V_\infty \oplus W$ is transitive. In fact, restriction to $G[{}^\infty V]$ yields a regular action.*

Proof. Let W and W' be totally isotropic subspaces of V_{odd} complementing V_∞ . By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$G[{}^\infty V] \cap G\{W\} = G[{}^\infty V] \cap G[V/{}^\infty V] \cap G\{W\} = \langle 1 \rangle.$$

We next show the existence of $g \in G[{}^\infty V]$ satisfying $g(W) = W'$. The decomposition $V_{\text{odd}} = V_\infty \oplus W'$ gives rise to a unique projection $p \in \text{End}_F(V_{\text{odd}})$ with image W' and kernel V_∞ . Define $g \in \text{GL}(V_{\text{odd}})$ by

$$g(v + w) = v + p(w), \quad v \in V_\infty, w \in W.$$

Let $u, v \in V_\infty$ and $w, z \in W$. Since V_∞ , W and W' are totally isotropic, and $(p - 1)V_{\text{odd}} \subseteq V_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(u + w), g(v + z) \rangle &= \langle u + pw, v + pz \rangle = \langle u, pz \rangle + \langle pw, v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, (p - 1)z + z \rangle + \langle (p - 1)w + w, v \rangle = \langle u, z \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle \\ &= \langle u + w, z \rangle + \langle u + w, v \rangle = \langle u + w, v + z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then $g \in G(V_{\text{odd}})$ fixes V_∞ elementwise and sends W to W' , which completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $W = N_{2s+1}$ be a Gabriel block of odd size $2s + 1$. Let f_1, \dots, f_{2s+1} be a basis of W relative to which the underlying bilinear form has basis $J_{2s+1}(0)$.*

(a) If $0 \leq k \leq s$ then $L^{2k+1}(W) = (f_1, f_3, f_5, \dots, f_{2k+1})$ and $R^{2k+1}(W) = (f_{2s+1}, f_{2s-1}, f_{2s-3}, \dots, f_{2(s-k)+1})$.

(b) If $k \geq s$ then $L^{2k+1}(W) = R^{2k+1}(W) = W_\infty = (f_1, f_3, f_5, \dots, f_{2s+1})$.

Proof. This follows easily from the definition of the operators L and R . \square

LEMMA 3.5. Let $W = N_{2s}$ be a Gabriel block of even size $2s$. Let f_1, \dots, f_{2s} be a basis of W relative to which the underlying bilinear form has basis $J_{2s}(0)$.

(a) If $0 \leq k \leq s - 1$ then $L^{2k+1}(W) = (f_1, f_3, f_5, \dots, f_{2k+1})$ and $R^{2k+1}(W) = (f_{2s}, f_{2s-2}, f_{2s-4}, \dots, f_{2(s-k)})$.

(b) If $k \geq s - 1$ we have $L^{2k+1}(W) = (f_1, f_3, f_5, \dots, f_{2s-1}) = L_\infty(W)$ and also $R^{2k+1}(W) = (f_{2s}, f_{2s-2}, f_{2s-4}, \dots, f_2) = R_\infty(W)$.

(c) $W = L_\infty(W) \oplus R_\infty(W)$.

Proof. (a) and (b) follow easily from the definition of the operators L and R , and (c) is consequence of (b). \square

LEMMA 3.6. If $V = U \perp W$ then

$$L_V^k(V) = L_U^k(U) \perp L_W^k(W) \text{ and } R_V^k(V) = R_U^k(U) \perp R_W^k(W), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proof. This follows easily from the definition of the operators L and R . \square

LEMMA 3.7. Let $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $0 \leq k, l$.

(a) If $k, l \leq s_i$. Then a basis for $L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2l+1}(V) \cap V_i$ is formed by all $e_{2c+1}^{i,p}$, if any, such that $1 \leq p \leq m_i$ and $s_i - l \leq c \leq k$.

(b) If $k > s_i$ (resp. $l > s_i$) then a basis for $L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2l+1}(V) \cap V_i$ is formed by all $e_{2c+1}^{i,p}$ such that $1 \leq p \leq m_i$, $0 \leq c \leq s_i$, and $s_i - l \leq c$ (resp. $c \leq k$).

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6 by means of the decompositions (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2). \square

LEMMA 3.8. Let $k, l \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then

$$L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2l+1}(V) \cap V_i \neq (0)$$

if and only if $k + l \geq s_i$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.7. \square

LEMMA 3.9. Let $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $0 \leq j, k$. Suppose $i + j \leq t$ and $k \leq s_i$. Then

$$L(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V_{i+j} \neq (0)$$

if and only if $s_i - k \geq s_{i+j}$.

Proof. This is a particular case of Lemma 3.8. \square

LEMMA 3.10. Let $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $0 \leq k \leq s_i$. Then

$$L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V_i = (e_{2k+1}^{i,1}, \dots, e_{2k+1}^{i,m_i}).$$

Proof. This is a particular case of Lemma 3.7. \square

DEFINITION 3.11. Consider the subspaces of V_∞ defined as follows:

$$V(i) = \bigoplus_{i \leq j \leq t} (V_j)_\infty, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t$$

and set $V(i) = 0$ for $i > t$.

LEMMA 3.12. *If $1 \leq i \leq t$ then*

$$V(i) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s_i} L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V).$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.8, and the decompositions (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that the right hand side is contained in $V(i)$. By Lemma 3.10, if $i \leq j \leq t$ then

$$(V_j)_\infty = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s_j} L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_j-k)+1}(V) \cap V_j \subseteq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s_i} L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V),$$

as required. \square

LEMMA 3.13. *The subspaces $V(i)$ are G -invariant.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.12. \square

LEMMA 3.14. *Let $W = N_{2s+1}$ be a Gabriel block of odd size $2s + 1$. Let f_1, \dots, f_{2s+1} be a basis of W relative to which the underlying bilinear form, say ϕ , has basis $J_{2s+1}(0)$. Then $\text{Rad}(\phi - \phi') = (f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_{2s-1} + f_{2s+1})$.*

Proof. Clearly the vector $f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_{2s-1} + f_{2s+1}$ belongs to the radical of $\phi - \phi'$. Since the nullity of the matrix $J_{2s+1}(0) - J_{2s+1}(0)'$ is equal to one, the result follows. \square

NOTATION 3.15. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$ and each $1 \leq p \leq m_i$ let

$$E^{i,p} = e_1^{i,p} + e_3^{i,p} + \dots + e_{2s_i+1}^{i,p}.$$

LEMMA 3.16. *If $1 \leq i \leq t$ then*

$$\text{Rad}(\varphi - \varphi') \cap V_i = (E^{i,1}, \dots, E^{i,m_i}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.14 we have

$$\text{Rad}(\varphi - \varphi') \cap V_i = \bigoplus_{1 \leq p \leq m_i} \text{Rad}(\varphi - \varphi') \cap V^{i,p} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq p \leq m_i} (E^{i,p}) = (E^{i,1}, \dots, E^{i,m_i}). \square$$

DEFINITION 3.17. Let $1 \leq i; 0 \leq k, j; 1 \leq p, q$. Suppose $i + j \leq t; k \leq s_i - s_{i+j}; 1 \leq p \leq m_i; 1 \leq q \leq m_{i+j}; p \neq q$ if $j = 0$. Consider the 1-parameter subgroup of $G(V^{i,p} \perp V^{i+j,q})$ -or simply $G(V^{i,p})$ if $j = 0$ - formed by all $g_{2k+1,y}^{i,i+j,p,q} \in G(V_{\text{odd}})$, as y runs through F , defined as follows.

For ease of notation we replace $g_{2k+1,y}^{i,i+j,p,q}$ by $g; s_i$ by $s; e_1^{i,p}, \dots, e_{2s+1}^{i,p}$ by $e_1, \dots, e_{2s+1}; s_{i+j}$ by $d; e_1^{i+j,q}, \dots, e_{2d+1}^{i+j,q}$ by f_1, \dots, f_{2d+1} . If $v \in V_{\text{odd}}$ then g fixes all basis vectors of (3.3) not listed below and

$$g(e_{2k+1}) = e_{2k+1} + yf_1, \quad g(f_2) = f_2 - ye_{2k+2},$$

$$g(e_{2k+3}) = e_{2k+3} + yf_3, \quad g(f_4) = f_4 - ye_{2k+4},$$

⋮

$$g(e_{2(k+d)-1}) = e_{2(k+d)-1} + yf_{2d-1}, \quad g(f_{2d}) = f_{2d} - ye_{2(k+d)},$$

$$g(e_{2(k+d)+1}) = e_{2(k+d)+1} + yf_{2d+1}.$$

To see that g indeed belongs to $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ it suffices to verify that the matrices of φ relative to the bases \mathcal{B} and $\varphi(\mathcal{B})$ are equal. This is a simple computation involving basis vectors from at most two Gabriel blocks, and we omit it.

DEFINITION 3.18. For $1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i$ consider the 1-parameter subgroup of $G(V_i^p)$ formed by all $g_x^{i,p} \in G(V_{\text{odd}})$, as x runs through F^* , defined as follows.

For ease of notation we replace $g_x^{i,p}$ by g ; s_i by s ; and $e_1^{i,p}, e_2^{i,p}, \dots, e_{2s+1}^{i,p}$ by $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2s+1}$. If $v \in V_i^p$ then g fixes all basis vectors of (3.3) not listed below and

$$g(e_1) = xe_1, g(e_2) = x^{-1}e_2, \dots,$$

$$g(e_{2s-1}) = xe_{2s-1}, g(e_{2s}) = x^{-1}e_{2s}, g(e_{2s+1}) = xe_{2s+1}.$$

In this case one easily verifies that $g \in G(V_{\text{odd}})$.

LEMMA 3.19. Suppose W is an F -vector space with a basis

$$f_1^1, \dots, f_1^m, f_2^1, \dots, f_2^m, \dots, f_s^1, \dots, f_s^m.$$

For each $1 \leq p \leq m$ let

$$E^p = f_1^p + f_2^p + \dots + f_s^p.$$

Suppose $g \in \text{End}_F(W)$ preserves each of the m -dimensional subspaces (f_k^1, \dots, f_k^m) , where $1 \leq k \leq s$, and also the m -dimensional subspace (E^1, \dots, E^m) . Suppose further that g fixes all vectors f_1^1, \dots, f_1^m . Then $g = 1$.

Proof. From the invariance of the subspaces (f_k^1, \dots, f_k^m) we have

$$g(f_k^p) = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq m} a_k^{p,q} f_k^q,$$

where $a_k^{p,q} \in F$. Since g fixes f_1^1, \dots, f_1^m

$$a_1^{p,q} = \delta_{p,q}.$$

As g is linear

$$g(E^p) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq s} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq m} a_k^{p,q} f_k^q = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq s} a_k^{p,q} f_k^q.$$

But by the invariance of (E^1, \dots, E^m) we also have

$$g(E^p) = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq m} b^{p,q} E^q = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq s} b^{p,q} f_k^q,$$

where $b^{p,q} \in F$. Therefore $a_k^{p,q}$ is independent of k , and in particular

$$a_k^{p,q} = a_1^{p,q} = \delta_{p,q},$$

as required. \square

4. The split extension $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/N \rightarrow 1$.

DEFINITION 4.1. For $j \geq 1$ consider the subgroup N_j of G defined by

$$N_j = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq t} G[V(i)/V(i+j)],$$

and set $N = N_1$. Each N_j is normal due to Lemma 3.13. Note that

$$N = N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_t = G[V_\infty], \quad N_j = G[V_\infty], \text{ if } j \geq t.$$

DEFINITION 4.2. For $1 \leq i \leq t$ let E_i be the subgroup of $G(V_i)$ generated by all $g_{1,y}^{i,i,p,q}$ and all $g_x^{i,p}$. Let E be the subgroup of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$ generated by all E_i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let

$$E'_i = G(V_i) \cap G\{V_i^\dagger\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

and consider the internal direct product

$$E' = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} E'_i.$$

DEFINITION 4.3. For $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $0 \leq k \leq s_i$ consider the FG -submodule S_{2k+1}^i of $V(i)/V(i+1)$, defined by

$$S_{2k+1}^i = \left(L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i) + V(i+1) \right) / V(i+1).$$

We know from Lemma 3.10 that

$$S_{2k+1}^i = \left((e_{2k+1}^{i,1}, \dots, e_{2k+1}^{i,m_i}) \oplus V(i+1) \right) / V(i+1).$$

This yields the following decomposition of FG -modules

$$V(i)/V(i+1) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq s_i} S_{2k+1}^i.$$

THEOREM 4.4. *The canonical map*

$$G \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} \text{GL}(S_1^i) \cong \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} \text{GL}_{m_i}(F) \tag{4.1}$$

is a split group epimorphism with kernel N . Moreover,

$$E'_i = E_i \cong \text{GL}_{m_i}(F) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq t, \tag{4.2}$$

$$E' = E,$$

and

$$G = N \rtimes E.$$

Proof. The above description of S_1^i combined with Definitions 3.17 and 3.18 yield that each map

$$E_i \rightarrow \text{GL}(S_1^i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

is surjective, whence the map (4.1) is also surjective.

To see that the kernel of (4.1) is N we apply Lemmas 3.10, 3.16 and 3.19. Indeed, if $g \in G$ is in the kernel of (4.1) then the G -invariance of $\text{Rad}(\varphi - \varphi') \cap V(i) + V(i+1)/V(i+1)$ and all S_{2k+1}^i , $0 \leq k \leq s_i$, along with the fact that g acts trivially on S_1^i , imply that g acts trivially on $V(i)/V(i+1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, as required.

It follows that $G = NE$. But by definition $E \subseteq E'$ and $E' \cap N = 1$. Therefore $E' = E$, $G = N \rtimes E$, and $E_i \cong \text{GL}_{m_i}(F)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Since it is obvious that E is the internal direct product of the $E_i \subseteq E'_i$, the proof is complete. \square

5. Irreducible constituents of V as an FG -module. The series

$$0 \subseteq V_\infty \subseteq {}^\infty V \subseteq V$$

reduces the search of irreducible constituents of the FG -module V to that of the factors

$$V_\infty, \quad {}^\infty V/V_\infty, \quad V/{}^\infty V.$$

First we consider the factor V_∞ .

THEOREM 5.1. *Each factor $V(i)/V(i+1)$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, of the series of FG -modules*

$$V_\infty = V(1) \supset V(2) \supset \cdots \supset V(t) \supset V(t+1) = 0$$

is equal to the direct sum of $s_i + 1$ isomorphic irreducible FG -modules of dimension m_i

$$V(i)/V(i+1) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq s_i} S_{2k+1}^i.$$

Moreover,

$$G[S_{2k+1}^i] = N \rtimes \prod_{l \neq i} E_l,$$

and as a module for

$$G/G[S_{2k+1}^i] \cong E_i \cong \text{GL}_{m_i}(F),$$

S_{2k+1}^i is isomorphic to the natural m_i -dimensional module over F , namely F^{m_i} .

Proof. This is clear from section §4. \square

Next we make preliminary remarks about the factor ${}^\infty V/V_\infty$.

DEFINITION 5.2. Let $V^\infty = L^\infty(V) \cap R^\infty(V)$ and ${}_\infty V = L_\infty(V) + R_\infty(V)$.

By construction these are FG -submodules of V , and we know from [3] that

$${}_\infty V = V_{\text{even}} \perp V_\infty \text{ and } V^\infty = V_{\text{ndeg}} \perp V_\infty.$$

Observe that ${}^\infty V/V_\infty$ is a bilinear space, with even and non-degenerate parts equal to

$${}^\infty V/V_\infty \cong V_{\text{even}} \text{ and } V^\infty/V_\infty \cong V_{\text{ndeg}}.$$

Since the odd part of ${}^\infty V/V_\infty$ is equal to zero, we know from [3] that even and non-degenerate parts of ${}^\infty V/V_\infty$ are unique, so

$$G({}^\infty V/V_\infty) = G({}_\infty V/V_\infty) \times G(V^\infty/V_\infty).$$

It follows that the canonical map

$$G(V) \rightarrow G({}^\infty V/V_\infty)$$

is a group epimorphism whose restriction to $G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ is an isomorphism. Since the kernel of this map is $G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$, whose intersection with $G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ is trivial, we obtain the decomposition

$$G(V) = G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty] \rtimes G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}}).$$

It follows from the above considerations that the study of the FG -module ${}^\infty V/V_\infty$ reduces to the study of the $FG(V_{\text{even}})$ -module V_{even} and the $FG(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ -module V_{ndeg} .

6. The split extension $1 \rightarrow G[V_\infty] \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/G[V_\infty] \rightarrow 1$. The very definition of the groups N_j gives

$$[N_i, N_j] \subseteq N_{i+j},$$

so $(N_j)_{1 \leq j \leq t}$ yields a G -invariant descending central series for $N/G[V_\infty]$. By abuse of language we shall sometimes say that $(N_j)_{1 \leq j \leq t}$ and like series are central series for $N/G[V_\infty]$.

NOTATION 6.1. If $g_1, g_2 \in G$ then $[g_2, g_1] = g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}g_2g_1$. If $n > 2$ and $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n \in G$ then $[g_n, g_{n-1}, \dots, g_1] = [g_n, [g_{n-1}, \dots, g_1]]$.

THEOREM 6.2. The nilpotency class of $N/G[V_\infty]$ is $t - 1$.

Proof. By the above comments the nilpotency class of $N/G[V_\infty]$ is at most $t - 1$. If $t > 1$ then

$$[g_{1,1}^{t-1,t,1,1}, \dots, g_{1,1}^{2,3,1,1}, g_{1,1}^{1,2,1,1}] \neq 1,$$

so the result follows. \square

The series $(N_j)_{1 \leq j \leq t}$ needs to be refined in order to obtain sharper results on the structure of $N/G[V_\infty]$.

6.1. Generators for nilpotent group $N/G[V_\infty]$.

DEFINITION 6.3. For $k \geq 0$ define the normal subgroup M_{2k+1} of G by

$$M_{2k+1} = G[L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_\infty] \cap N.$$

We further define $M_{-1} = N$. Note that

$$N = M_{-1} \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_3 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_{2s_1+1} = G[V_\infty],$$

with

$$M_{2k+1} = G[V_\infty], \quad k \geq s_1.$$

We use the G -invariant series $(M_{2k-1})_{0 \leq k}$ to refine the G -invariant decreasing central series $(N_j)_{1 \leq j}$ for $N/G[V_\infty]$, obtaining the G -invariant decreasing central series for $N/G[V_\infty]$

$$N_{j,2k-1} = (N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}, \quad 0 \leq k, 1 \leq j. \tag{6.1}$$

We have $N_{j,2s_1+1} = N_{j+1} = N_{j+1,-1}$ and

$$N_1 = N_{1,-1} \supseteq N_{1,1} \supseteq N_{1,3} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq N_{1,2s_1-1} \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \cdots$$

$$N_{t-1} = N_{t-1,-1} \supseteq N_{t-1,1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq N_{t-1,2s_1-1} \supseteq N_{t-1,2s_1+1} = N_t = 1.$$

THEOREM 6.4. Let $k \geq 0$. Then

$$M_{2k-1} \subseteq G[L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_\infty / L(V) \cap V_\infty].$$

Proof. We may assume $k \geq 1$, for otherwise the result is trivial. Since

$$L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_\infty = L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_t, \tag{6.2}$$

it suffices to show

$$(g-1)L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_i \subseteq L(V) \cap V_\infty, \quad g \in M_{2k-1}, 1 \leq i \leq t. \tag{6.3}$$

Fix i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. If $k > s_i$ then $L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_i = L^{2k-1}(V) \cap V_i$, so (6.3) holds. Suppose $k \leq s_i$. Then

$$L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_i = L^{2k-1}(V) \cap V_i \oplus L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V_i, \tag{6.4}$$

so (6.3) is equivalent to

$$(g-1)L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V_i \subseteq L(V) \cap V_\infty, \quad g \in M_{2k-1}. \tag{6.5}$$

By Lemma 3.10 a basis for $L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V_i$ is given by $(e_{2k+1}^{i,p})_{1 \leq p \leq m_i}$. Let $g \in M_{2k-1}$ and fix p , $1 \leq p \leq m_i$. We are reduced to show that g fixes $e_{2k+1}^{i,p}$ modulo $L(V) \cap V_\infty$. Since $g \in N$, we have

$$g(e_{2k+1}^{i,p}) = e_{2k+1}^{i,p} + z,$$

where $z \in L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V(i+1)$. Suppose $z \notin L(V) \cap V(i+1)$. Then

$$\langle ge_{2k+1}^{i,p}, e_{2c}^{l,q} \rangle \neq 0$$

for some $t \geq l \geq i+1$, $1 \leq q \leq m_l$ and $1 \leq c \leq s_l$. Then

$$\langle e_{2k+1}^{i,p}, g^{-1}e_{2c}^{l,q} \rangle \neq 0,$$

so $g^{-1}e_{2c}^{l,q}$ has non-zero coefficient in $e_{2k}^{i,p}$. But $g \in M_{2k-1}$ and $l > i$, so

$$0 \neq \langle g^{-1}e_{2c}^{l,q}, e_{2k-1}^{i,p} \rangle = \langle e_{2c}^{l,q}, ge_{2k-1}^{i,p} \rangle = \langle e_{2c}^{l,q}, e_{2k-1}^{i,q} \rangle = 0,$$

a contradiction. \square

DEFINITION 6.5. Let $1 \leq j$ and $0 \leq k$. Set

$$I(j, k) = \{i \geq 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq t-j \text{ and } k \leq s_i - s_{i+j}\}.$$

Note that $I(j, k) = \emptyset$ if $j \geq t$ or $k > s_1$. For $i \geq 1$ we set $X(i, j, 2k-1) = \emptyset$ if $i \notin I(j, k)$ and otherwise

$$X(i, j, 2k-1) = \{g_{2k+1, y}^{i, i+j, p, q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq m_i, 1 \leq q \leq m_{i+j}, y \in F\}.$$

We further define

$$X(j, 2k-1) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} X(i, j, 2k-1), \quad X(j) = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} X(j, 2k-1), \quad X = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} X(j).$$

THEOREM 6.6. Let $k \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$. Then $X(j, 2k-1) \subseteq M_{2k-1} \cap N_j$ and the quotient group

$$(M_{2k-1} \cap N_j)M_{2k+1}/(M_{2k-1} \cap N_{j+1})M_{2k+1}$$

is generated by the classes of all elements in $X(j, 2k-1)$. In particular, this quotient is trivial if $I(j, k) = \emptyset$ (the converse is true and proved in Theorem 6.19 below).

Proof. Clearly $X(j, 2k-1) \subseteq M_{2k-1} \cap N_j$. Let $g \in M_{2k-1} \cap N_j$. We claim that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq t$, there exists

$$h_i \in \langle X(i, j, 2k-1) \rangle \langle \bigcup_{r > j} X(i, r, 2k-1) \rangle \tag{6.6}$$

such that $h_i g$ is the identity on $L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_i$.

To prove our claim we fix i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. If $k > s_i$ we take $h_i = 1$. Suppose $k \leq s_i$. In view of $g \in M_{2k-1}$ and the decomposition (6.4), it suffices to choose h_i as in (6.6), so that $h_i g$ fixes every basis vector $e_{2k+1}^{i,p}$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i$, of $L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V_i$. By Theorem 6.4 and the fact that $g \in N_j$, for each $1 \leq p \leq m_i$ we have

$$g(e_{2k+1}^{i,p}) = e_{2k+1}^{i,p} + z,$$

where $z \in L(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i+j)$. If $i+j > t$ then $z = 0$ and if $i+j \leq t$ but $k > s_i - s_{i+j}$ then $z = 0$ as well by Lemma 3.9. In both cases we take $h_i = 1$. Otherwise, again by Lemma 3.9, we may write

$$z = z_{i+j} + \cdots + z_{i+j+l},$$

where $l \geq 0$, $z_{i+j+b} \in L(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V_{i+j+b}$ and $k \leq s_i - s_{i+j+b}$ for all $0 \leq b \leq l$.

It is know clear from the very definition of the $g_{2k+1,y}^{i,i+j+b,p,q}$ that we may choose h_i as in (6.6) so that $h_i g$ is the identity on each $e_{2k+1}^{i,p}$.

By construction h_i is the identity on $\bigoplus_{l \neq i} V_l$. Therefore our claim and the decomposition (6.2) imply that $h_1 \cdots h_t g$ is the identity on $L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V_\infty$, i.e. $h_1 \cdots h_t g \in M_{2k+1}$. But if $j < r$ then $X(i, r, 2k-1) \subseteq M_{2k-1} \cap N_{j+1}$, so the class of g is equal to the product of the classes of elements from $X(j, 2k-1)$, as required. \square

THEOREM 6.7. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$. Then $X(j, 2k-1) \subseteq M_{2k-1} \cap N_j$ and the quotient group*

$$(M_{2k-1} \cap N_j)N_{j+1}/(M_{2k+1} \cap N_j)N_{j+1}$$

is generated by the classes of all elements in $X(j, 2k-1)$. In particular, this quotient is trivial if $I(j, k) = \emptyset$ (the converse is true and proved in Theorem 6.19 below).

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.6 and the Butterfly Lemma. \square

THEOREM 6.8. *Let $1 \leq j < j' \leq t$. Then $X(l) \subseteq N_j$ for all $j \leq l < j'$ and $N_j/N_{j'}$ is generated the classes of all these elements. In particular $N/G[V_\infty]$ is generated by the classes of all elements in X .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.7 via the series $N_{j,2k-1}$. \square

DEFINITION 6.9. Let U be the subgroup of $G(V_{\text{odd}}) \cap N$ generated by X and let

$$U' = N \cap G(V_{\text{odd}}) \cap G[V_1^\dagger] \cap G[V_1^\dagger \oplus V_2^\dagger/V_1^\dagger] \cap \cdots \cap G[V_1^\dagger \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t^\dagger/V_1^\dagger \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{t-1}^\dagger].$$

It is clear that $N/G[V_\infty]$ is a unipotent subgroup of V_∞ . The next result shows that $N = G[V_\infty] \rtimes U$, where U is unipotent in V .

THEOREM 6.10. *$U' = U$ is unipotent and $N = G[V_\infty] \rtimes U$.*

Proof. From Theorem 6.8 we infer $N = G[V_\infty]U$. The definition of X yields $U \subseteq U'$. But by Lemma 3.1

$$G[V_\infty] \cap U' \subseteq G[V_\infty] \cap G[V/\infty V] \cap U' = 1.$$

It follows that $U = U'$ and $N = G[V_\infty] \rtimes U$.

Finally, if $g \in U$ then $g - 1|_{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger}$ is nilpotent by the very definition of U' , and $g - 1|_{\infty V}$ is nilpotent since $g \in N$. Thus g is unipotent. \square

6.2. Irreducible constituents of the FG -module $V/\infty V$. We have accumulated enough information to determine the irreducible constituents of the FG -module $V/\infty V$.

DEFINITION 6.11. Let $\mathbf{t} = t$ if $s_t > 0$ (i.e. $\text{Rad}(V) = 0$) and $\mathbf{t} = t - 1$ if $s_t = 0$ (i.e. $\text{Rad}(V) \neq 0$).

DEFINITION 6.12. For $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ let

$$(i)V = V_1^\dagger \oplus \cdots \oplus V_i^\dagger \oplus {}^\infty V$$

and set

$$(0)V = {}^\infty V.$$

LEMMA 6.13. *If $0 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ then $(i)V$ is an FG -submodule of V .*

Proof. We may assume that $i \geq 1$. From the identity $N = G[V_\infty] \rtimes U$, the inclusion $G[V_\infty] \subseteq G[V/{}^\infty V]$ of Lemma 3.1, and the characterization of U given in Theorem 6.10 we infer that $(i)V$ is preserved by N . The very definition of E and the identity $G = N \rtimes E$ of Theorem 4.4 allow us to conclude that $(i)V$ is in fact G -invariant. \square

LEMMA 6.14. *If $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ then $(i)V/(i-1)V$ is an FG -module acted upon trivially by N .*

Proof. The characterization of U given in Theorem 6.10 shows that U acts trivially on $(i)V/(i-1)V$, while Lemma 3.1 shows that $G[V_\infty]$ also acts trivially on $(i)V/(i-1)V$. Since $N = G[V_\infty] \rtimes U$, the result follows. \square

THEOREM 6.15. *Each factor $(i)V/V(i-1)$, $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$, of the series of FG -modules*

$${}^\infty V = (0)V \subset (1)V \subset \cdots \subset (\mathbf{t}-1)V \subset (\mathbf{t})V = V$$

is isomorphic to the direct sum of s_i isomorphic irreducible FG -modules of dimension m_i , namely

$$Q_{2k}^i = \left((e_{2k}^{i,1}, \dots, e_{2k}^{i,m_i}) \oplus (i-1)V \right) / (i-1)V,$$

where $1 \leq k \leq s_i$. Moreover,

$$G[Q_{2k}^i] = N \rtimes \prod_{l \neq i} E_l,$$

and as a module for

$$G/G[Q_{2k}^i] \cong E_i \cong \text{GL}_{m_i}(F),$$

Q_{2k}^i is isomorphic to the natural m_i -dimensional module over F , namely F^{m_i} .

Proof. This follows from Lemma 6.14 and (4.2). \square

6.3. A refined G -invariant descending central series for $N/G[V_\infty]$. In this section we construct a G -invariant descending central series for $N/G[V_\infty]$ each of whose factors is naturally an irreducible FG -module, whose isomorphism type we explicitly determine, all of which are connected to the irreducible constituents of the FG -module V_∞ , as described in Theorem 5.1

THEOREM 6.16. *For each $j \geq 1$ there is a canonical group embedding*

$$N_j/N_{j+1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq t-1} \text{Hom}_F(V(i)/V(i+1), V(i+j)/V(i+j+1)),$$

whose image is an F -vector subspace of the codomain. By transferring this F -vector space structure to N_j/N_{j+1} the above map becomes an embedding of FG -modules.

Proof. Recall first of all that since $V(i)/V(i+1)$ and $V(i+j)/V(i+j+1)$ are FG -modules, so is $\text{Hom}_F(V(i)/V(i+1), V(i+j)/V(i+j+1))$ in a natural manner.

Define the map $N_j/N_{j+1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V(i)/V(i+1), V(i+j)/V(i+j+1))$, $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, by $[g] \mapsto g_i$, where $g \in N_j$ and

$$g_i(v + V(i+1)) = (g-1)(v) + V(i+j+1), \quad v \in V(i).$$

Then let $N_j/N_{j+1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq t-1} \text{Hom}_F(V(i)/V(i+1), V(i+j)/V(i+j+1))$ be defined by

$$[g] \mapsto (g_1, \dots, g_{t-1}), \quad g \in N_j.$$

The definitions of all objects involved and the identity

$$gh - 1 = (g-1)(h-1) + (h-1) + (g-1), \quad g, h \in G$$

show that our map is a well-defined group monomorphism which is compatible with the action of G on both sides.

It remains to show that the image of our map is an F -subspace of the codomain. By Theorem 6.8 N_j/N_{j+1} is generated by all gN_{j+1} as g runs through $X(j)$. Since our map is a group homomorphism, it suffices to show that $k(g-1) + 1 \in N_j$ for all $g \in X(j)$ and $k \in F$. But Definition 3.17 makes this clear, so the proof is complete. \square

NOTE 6.17. Let $k \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$. Notice that the group $N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$ is a section of the FG -module N_j/N_{j+1} of Theorem 6.16, and as such inherits a natural structure of FG -module.

DEFINITION 6.18. Let $k \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$ define

$$N_{i,j,2k-1} = \{g \in N_{j,2k-1} \mid (g-1)L^{2k+1}(V) \cap V(l) \subseteq V(l+j+1) \text{ for all } 1 \leq l \leq t, l \neq i\}.$$

Note that for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, $N_{i,j,2k-1}$ is a normal subgroup of G containing $N_{j,2k+1}$. As a section of N_j/N_{j+1} , the group $N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$ is also an FG -module.

Recall at this point the meaning of the FG -modules S_{2k+1}^i , as given in Definition 4.3.

THEOREM 6.19. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$. For each $i \in I(j, k)$ there is a canonical isomorphism of FG -modules*

$$Y_i : N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j}). \tag{6.7}$$

Moreover, we have $X(i, j, 2k-1) \subseteq N_{i,j,2k-1}$, and $N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$ is generated by the classes of all elements in $X(i, j, 2k-1)$.

There is a canonical isomorphism of FG -modules

$$Y : (N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}/(N_j \cap M_{2k+1})N_{j+1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I(j,k)} \text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j}).$$

induced by the Y_i . The dimension of both of these modules, say $d_{j,2k-1}$, is equal to $d_{j,2k-1} = \sum_{i \in I(j,k)} m_i m_{i+j}$. Moreover, we have

$$(N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}/(N_j \cap M_{2k+1})N_{j+1} = \prod_{i \in I(j,k)} N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. Let $i \in I(j, k)$. In the spirit of Theorem 6.16 we consider the map

$$Y_i : (N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}/(N_j \cap M_{2k+1})N_{j+1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j})$$

given by $[g] \mapsto g_i$, where $g \in (N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}$ and

$$g_i(v + V(i+1)) = (g-1)(v) + V(i+j+1),$$

for all $v \in L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i) + V(i+1)$.

STEP I: Y_i is a well-defined homomorphism of FG -modules.

Let $g \in (N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}$. We claim that $(g-1)v \in L(V) \cap V(i+j) + V(i+j+1)$ for all $v \in L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i) + V(i+1)$, and that $(g-1)v + V(i+j+1)$ depends only on $v + V(i+j)$, that is, g_i is a well-defined function $S_{2k+1}^i \rightarrow S_1^{i+j}$.

Since $N_j \cap M_{2k-1}$ and N_{j+1} are normal subgroups of G we may write $g = g_1 g_2$, where $g_1 \in N_j \cap M_{2k-1}$ and $g_2 \in N_{j+1}$. Then

$$g-1 = g_1 g_2 - 1 = g_1(g_2 - 1 + 1) - 1 = g_1(g_2 - 1) + (g_1 - 1).$$

Suppose first $v \in V(i+1)$. Then from $g \in N_j$ it follows $(g-1)v \in V(i+j+1)$. Suppose next $v \in L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i)$. Then $g_2 \in N_{j+1}$ implies $(g_2 - 1)v \in V(i+j+1)$, while Theorem 6.4 and the definition of N_j give $(g_1 - 1)v \in L(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i+j)$. But $i \in I(j, k)$, so $s_i - k \geq s_{i+j}$ and therefore Lemma 3.7 gives

$$L(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i+j) + V(i+j+1) = L(V) \cap V(i+j) + V(i+j+1).$$

Thus $(g_2 - 1)v \in L(V) \cap V(i+j) + V(i+j+1)$. Our claim now follows from the above considerations.

We next claim that g_i depends only on the class $[g] = g(N_j \cap M_{2k+1})N_{j+1}$ of g . For this purpose let $h \in (N_j \cap M_{2k+1})N_{j+1}$. We may again write $h = h_1 h_2$, where $h_1 \in N_j \cap M_{2k+1}$ and $h_2 \in N_{j+1}$. Let $v \in L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i)$. As above $(h_2 - 1)v \in V(i+j+1)$, while the very definition of M_{2k+1} ensures that $(h_1 - 1)v = 0$. It follows that $(h-1)v \in V(i+j+1)$, thereby proving our claim.

Since it is clear that g_i is not just a function $S_{2k+1}^i \rightarrow S_1^{i+j}$ but also a linear map, what we have proven so far is that Y_i is a well-defined function.

We next claim that Y_i is a group homomorphism. Indeed, let $g, h \in (N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}$. Let $v \in L^{2k+1}(V) \cap R^{2(s_i-k)+1}(V) \cap V(i)$. Then

$$(gh - 1)v + V(i + j + 1) = (h - 1)v + (g - 1)v + (g - 1)(h - 1)v + V(i + j + 1).$$

Since $(h - 1)v \in L(V) \cap V(i + j) + V(i + j + 1) \cap V(i + j)$, and $g \in N_j$ gives $(g - 1)V(i + j) \subseteq V(i + j + 1)$, we deduce $(g - 1)(h - 1)v \in V(i + j + 1)$. It follows that

$$(gh - 1)v + V(i + j + 1) = (h - 1)v + (g - 1)v + V(i + j + 1),$$

thereby proving our claim. We only remaining details to check is that Y_i commutes with the actions of G and F , but this is straightforward and we can safely omit the details.

STEP II: Y_i restricted to a subgroup R_i is an isomorphism.

We again let $i \in I(j, k)$. By Theorem 6.7 we know that $X(i, j, 2k - 1)$ is contained in $(N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}$. Let R_i be the subgroup of $(N_j \cap M_{2k-1})N_{j+1}/N_j \cap M_{2k+1}N_{j+1}$ generated by the classes of all elements in $X(i, j, 2k - 1)$. The construction of R_i along with Definitions 3.17 and 6.18 show that

$$R_i \subseteq N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}. \tag{6.9}$$

Moreover, the definition of Y_i along with Definition 3.17 show that the images under Y_i of the elements

$$g_{2k+1,1}^{i,i+j,p,q} N_{j,2k+1} \in R_i, \quad 1 \leq p \leq m_i, 1 \leq q \leq m_{i+j}, \tag{6.10}$$

form an F -basis of $\text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j})$. From Theorem 5.1 we know that this space is $m_i m_{i+j}$ -dimensional. But the $m_i m_{i+j}$ elements (6.10) generate R_i as a vector space. It follows that the restriction of Y_i to R_i is an isomorphism and

$$\dim R_i = m_i m_{i+j}. \tag{6.11}$$

STEP III: Y is an isomorphism.

Let

$$Y : N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I(j,k)} \text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j})$$

be the homomorphism of FG -modules induced by the Y_i , $i \in I(j, k)$. The very definitions of Y_i and $N_{i,j,2k-1}$ show that

$$N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} \subseteq \ker Y_{i'}, \quad i \neq i' \in I(j, k). \tag{6.12}$$

We deduce from (6.9) that

$$R_i \subseteq \ker Y_{i'}, \quad i \neq i' \in I(j, k).$$

Thus the image under Y of the product of the subgroups R_i of $N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$, as i ranges through $I(j, k)$, is equal to $\bigoplus_{i \in I(j, k)} \text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j})$. Since each summand in this space has dimension $m_i m_{i+j}$, the entire space has dimension $d_{j,2k-1}$. But from Theorem 6.7 we see that

$$\dim N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} \leq d_{j,2k-1}.$$

Since by above Y is an epimorphism, we deduce that Y is an isomorphism and

$$\dim N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} = d_{j,2k-1}. \quad (6.13)$$

STEP IV: $N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$ is internal direct product of the R_i .

By Theorem 6.7 we know that $N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$ is generated as an F -vector space by its subspaces R_i , $i \in I(j, k)$. We infer from (6.11) and (6.13) that as a vector space $N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$ is the direct sum of the R_i , therefore as groups we have the following internal direct product decomposition

$$N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} = \prod_{i \in I(j, k)} R_i.$$

STEP V: $N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} = R_i$ for all $i \in I(j, k)$.

Let $i \in I(j, k)$. In view of (6.9) and (6.11) it suffices to prove that

$$\dim N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} \leq m_i m_{i+j}.$$

For this purpose let P_i denote the product of all $N_{i',j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$, $i' \neq i \in I(j, k)$. From (6.12) we see that P_i is contained in the kernel of Y_i . This fact and a new application of (6.12) yield that $P_i \cap (N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1})$ is contained in the kernel of Y . But Y is an isomorphism, so

$$P_i \cap (N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}) = 1, \quad i \in I(j, k). \quad (6.14)$$

But P_i contains all classes of elements in $X(i', j, 2k-1)$, $i' \neq i \in I(j, k)$, so by Theorem 6.7 the dimension of the quotient space of $N_{j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1}$ by P_i has dimension at most $m_i m_{i+j}$. This and (6.14) imply

$$\dim N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k+1} \leq m_i m_{i+j},$$

as required. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

THEOREM 6.20. $\dim U = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} (s_i - s_j + 1) m_i m_j$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.19 we have

$$\dim U = \sum_{1 \leq j} \sum_{0 \leq k} \sum_{i \in I(j, k)} m_i m_{i+j} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} (s_i - s_j + 1) m_i m_j. \quad \square$$

DEFINITION 6.21. For each $j \geq 1$ let $k(j)$ be the largest integer k such that $I(j, k)$ is non-empty.

THEOREM 6.22. *There is a canonical G -invariant descending central series for $N/G[V_\infty]$ each of whose factors is naturally an irreducible FG -module, which can be obtained as follows.*

We start with G -invariant decreasing central series

$$(N_{j,2k-1}/G[V_\infty])_{1 \leq j, 0 \leq k}$$

of $N/G[V_\infty]$ defined in (6.1) and refine it by means of the decomposition (6.8). The only non-trivial factors thus arising are

$$N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k-1} \cong_{FG} \text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j}), \quad (6.15)$$

where $1 \leq j < t - 1$, $0 \leq k \leq k(j)$, $i \in I(j, k)$, the dimension of $\text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j})$ is $m_i m_{i+j}$, and the S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j} are amongst the irreducible constituents of the FG -module V_∞ determined in Theorem 5.1.

Each of the factors (6.15) is an irreducible FG -module whose isomorphism type depends only on i and j , and whose multiplicity in the series is exactly $s_i - s_j + 1$. Moreover, $G[N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k-1}]$ contains N and all E_l , where $1 \leq l \leq t$, $l \neq i, i + j$, and as a module over

$$G/G[N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k-1}] \cong E_{i+j} \times E_i \cong \text{GL}_{m_{i+j}}(F) \times \text{GL}_{m_i}(F)$$

we have

$$N_{i,j,2k-1}/N_{j,2k-1} \cong M_{m_{i+j}m_i}(F),$$

where the action is given by

$$(X, Y) \cdot A = XAY^{-1}, \quad X \in \text{GL}_{m_{i+j}}(F), A \in M_{m_{i+j}m_i}(F), Y \in \text{GL}_{m_i}(F).$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.19 the only non-trivial factors of the series

$$(N_{j,2k-1}/G[V_\infty])_{1 \leq j, 0 \leq k}$$

are of the form $\text{Hom}_F(S_{2k+1}^i, S_1^{i+j})$, where $1 \leq j < t - 1$, $0 \leq k \leq k(j)$, $i \in I(j, k)$. From Theorem 5.1 we know that N acts trivially on all this factors, that E_l also acts trivially on them if $l \neq i, i + j$, and that $E_{i+j} \times E_i$ acts irreducibly as indicated. Since such factor appears as many times as k is between 0 and $s_i - s_{i+j}$, the result follows. \square

7. The split extension $G[V_\infty]$ of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$.

THEOREM 7.1. *The canonical restriction map $G[V_\infty] \rightarrow G(\infty V/V_\infty)$ is a split group epimorphism of kernel $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$ and complement $G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$. Moreover, U normalizes E , so that*

$$G\{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger\} \cap G(V_{\text{odd}}) = U \rtimes E, \quad (7.1)$$

$$G = G[V_\infty] \rtimes (U \rtimes E), \quad (7.2)$$

and

$$G = (G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]) \rtimes (G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}}) \times (U \rtimes E)),$$

where the structure of U as a group under the action of E is described in section 6.

Proof. We know from [3] that $G({}^\infty V/V_\infty)$ preserves the even and non-degenerate parts of ${}^\infty V/V_\infty$. It follows that the restriction map

$$G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}}) \hookrightarrow G[V_\infty] \rightarrow G({}^\infty V/V_\infty)$$

is a group isomorphism. Since

$$G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}}) \cap (G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]) = 1,$$

we infer

$$G[V_\infty] = (G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]) \rtimes (G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})).$$

The very characterizations of E and U given in Theorems 4.4 and 6.10 show that E normalizes U , and both groups are contained in $G\{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger\} \cap G(V_{\text{odd}})$. Moreover, it is obvious that $G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ commutes elementwise with $G(V_{\text{odd}})$. Furthermore, from Lemma 3.1 we deduce

$$G[V_\infty] \cap G\{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger\} \cap G(V_{\text{odd}}) = 1.$$

The desired conclusion now follows from Theorems 4.4 and 6.10. \square

8. A criterion applicable to bilinear spaces of types E and I. We next derive a criterion that yields the structure of the isometry group of a bilinear space of type E, i.e. the space is equal to its even part, or type I, in C. Riehm's notation.

NOTATION 8.1. If Y is an F -vector space and $u \in \text{End}(Y)$ then $C_{\text{GL}(Y)}(u)$ denotes the centralizer of u in $\text{GL}(Y)$.

NOTATION 8.2. If Y and Z are F -vector spaces then $\text{Bil}(Y, Z)$ denotes the F -vector space of all bilinear forms $Y \times Z \rightarrow F$. We say that $\phi \in \text{Bil}(Y, Z)$ is non-degenerate if its left and right radicals are equal to (0) .

THEOREM 8.3. Let (W, ϕ) be a bilinear space. Suppose there exists $G(W, \phi)$ -invariant totally isotropic subspaces Y and Z of W such that $W = Y \oplus Z$ and $\phi|_{Z \times Y}$ is non-degenerate. Then

(1) There exists a unique $u \in \text{End}_F(Y)$ such that

$$\phi(y, z) = \phi(z, uy), \quad y \in Y, z \in Z. \tag{8.1}$$

(2) If $g \in G(W, \phi)$ then $g|_Y \in C_{\text{GL}(Y)}(u)$.

(3) The canonical restriction map $\rho : G(W, \phi) \rightarrow C_{\text{GL}(Y)}(u)$, given by $g \mapsto g|_Y$, is a group isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the linear map $A : \text{End}_F(Y) \rightarrow \text{Bil}(Y, Z)$, given by $u \mapsto \phi_u$, where

$$\phi_u(y, z) = \phi(z, uy), \quad y \in Y, z \in Z.$$

Since the right radical of $\phi|_{Z \times Y}$ is (0), it follows that A is a monomorphism. As the left radical of $\phi|_{Z \times Y}$ is also (0), we infer $\dim Y = \dim Z$, whence $\dim \text{End}_F(Y) = \dim \text{Bil}(Y, Z)$, so A is an isomorphism. In particular, there exists a unique $u \in \text{End}_F(Y)$ such that $A(u) = \phi|_{Y \times Z}$.

Let $g \in G(W, \phi)$. For $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, since both Y and Z are $G(W, \phi)$ -invariant, (8.1) gives

$$\phi(z, guy) = \phi(g^{-1}z, uy) = \phi(y, g^{-1}z) = \phi(gy, z) = \phi(z, ugy).$$

As the right radical of $\phi|_{Z \times Y}$ is (0), we deduce $g|_Y \in C_{\text{GL}(Y)}(u)$.

Let $g \in \ker \rho$. For $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$ we have

$$\phi(gz, y) = \phi(gz, gy) = \phi(z, y).$$

As the left radical of $\phi|_{Z \times Y}$ is (0), we obtain $g|_Z = 1_Z$. But $W = Y \oplus Z$, so $g = 1$. This proves that ρ is injective.

Let $b \in C_{\text{GL}(Y)}(u)$. Consider the linear map $\text{End}_F(Z) \rightarrow \text{Bil}(Z, Y)$, given by $c \mapsto \phi^c$, where

$$\phi^c(z, y) = \phi(cz, y), \quad y \in Y, z \in Z.$$

As above, this is an isomorphism. In particular, there exists a unique $c \in \text{End}_F(Z)$ such that

$$\phi(cz, y) = \phi(z, b^{-1}y), \quad y \in Y, z \in Z.$$

As $b \in \text{GL}(Y)$ and left radical of $\phi|_{Z \times Y}$ is (0), we infer that $c \in \text{GL}(Z)$. We may re-write the above equation in the form

$$\phi(cz, by) = \phi(z, y), \quad y \in Y, z \in Z. \tag{8.2}$$

Let $g = b \oplus c \in \text{GL}(W)$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $z_1, z_2 \in Z$. Since Y and Z are totally isotropic, (8.1) and (8.2) along with $b \in C_{\text{GL}(Y)}(u)$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(g(y_1 + z_1), g(y_2 + z_2)) &= \phi(by_1 + cz_1, by_2 + cz_2) = \phi(by_1, cz_2) + \phi(cz_1, by_2) \\ &= \phi(cz_2, by_1) + \phi(z_1, y_2) = \phi(cz_2, by_1) + \phi(z_1, y_2) \\ &= \phi(z_2, uy_1) + \phi(z_1, y_2) = \phi(y_1, z_2) + \phi(z_1, y_2) \\ &= \phi(y_1 + z_1, z_2) + \phi(y_1 + z_1, y_2) = \phi(y_1 + z_1, y_2 + z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g \in G(W, \phi)$. By construction $\rho(g) = b$, so ρ is an epimorphism, thus completing the proof. \square

NOTE 8.4. Observe that Z^* , the dual of Z , is an $FG(W, \phi)$ -module in the usual way. Moreover, Y and Z^* are isomorphic, as $FG(W, \phi)$ -modules, via the map $y \mapsto \phi(-, y)$.

8.1. Structure of $G(V_{\text{even}})$.

THEOREM 8.5. *Suppose that $V = V_{\text{even}}$. Then*

(1) *For uniquely determined positive integers n_i and r_i we have an equivalence of bilinear spaces*

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq d} n_i N_{2r_i}.$$

(2) *There exists a unique $u \in \text{End}_F(L_\infty(V))$ such that*

$$\varphi(l, r) = \varphi(r, ul), \quad l \in L_\infty(V), r \in R_\infty(V).$$

The endomorphism u is nilpotent, with elementary divisors t^{r_1}, \dots, t^{r_d} and multiplicities n_1, \dots, n_d .

(3) *The canonical restriction map $\rho : G(V) \rightarrow C_{\text{GL}(L_\infty(V))}(u)$, given by $g \mapsto g|_{L_\infty(V)}$, is a group isomorphism.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from Theorem 2.1. By means of Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we deduce that the subspaces $L_\infty(V)$ and $R_\infty(V)$ of V satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 8.3. This theorem yields all remaining assertions, except for the similarity type of u . By hypothesis there is a basis of V relative to which the matrix of φ is equal to $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq d} n_i J_{2r_i}(0)$. A suitable rearrangement of this basis which puts first all basis vectors of $R_\infty(V)$ and second all basis vectors of $L_\infty(V)$ yields a new basis relative to which the matrix of φ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq d} n_i J_{r_i}(0)$. Since J is the matrix of u in the above basis of $L_\infty(V)$, the similarity type of u is as given. \square

8.2. Irreducible constituents of the $FG(V_{\text{even}})$ -module V_{even} . We know from Note 8.4 that $R_\infty(V)^* \cong L_\infty(V)$, as FG -modules. In view this, the decomposition $V = L_\infty(V) \oplus R_\infty(V)$ and Theorem 8.5, it suffices to restrict ourselves to the classical case of finding the irreducible constituents of $L_\infty(V)$ as an $FC_{\text{GL}(L_\infty(V))}(u)$ -module. This is well known and will be omitted.

9. Structure of $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$. We assume here that $V = V_{\text{ndeg}}$. Note that $\text{Bil}(V)$ is a natural right $\text{End}(V)$ -module via

$$(\phi \cdot u)(x, y) = \phi(x, uy), \quad \phi \in \text{Bil}(V), u \in \text{End}(V), x, y \in V.$$

For a fixed $\phi \in \text{Bil}(V)$ the map $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{Bil}(V)$ given by $u \rightarrow \phi \cdot u$ is a linear isomorphism if and only if ϕ is non-degenerate, in which case u is invertible if and only if $\phi \cdot u$ is non-degenerate. In this case, given any $\psi \in \text{Bil}(V)$ we shall write $u_{\phi, \psi}$ for the unique $u \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, uy)$.

Since φ is non-degenerate, we may use it to represent any bilinear form, in particular φ' . We write $\sigma = u_{\varphi, \varphi'}$ for the *asymmetry* of φ , i.e. the element of $\text{GL}(V)$ satisfying

$$\varphi'(x, y) = \varphi(x, \sigma(y)), \quad x, y \in V.$$

This linear operator measures how far is φ from being symmetric. We have

$$\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(y, \sigma(x)) = \varphi(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \quad x, y \in V,$$

so that $\sigma \in G$. In fact, it is easy to see that σ belongs to the center $Z(G)$ of G .

Let $F[t]$ denote the polynomial algebra in one variable t over F . We view V as an $F[t]$ -module via σ . For $0 \neq q \in F[t]$, consider the adjoint polynomial $q^* \in F[t]$, defined by

$$q^*(t) = t^{\deg q} q(1/t).$$

The minimal polynomial of σ will be denoted by $p_\sigma \in F[t]$. Let \mathcal{P} stand for the set of all monic irreducible polynomials in $F[t]$ dividing p_σ . For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ let V_p denote the primary component of σ associated to p . Since $\sigma \in Z(G)$, each primary component is G -invariant. We consider the subsets of \mathcal{P} :

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p^* \neq \pm p\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_2 = \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p^* = \pm p\}.$$

We construct a subset \mathcal{P}'_1 of \mathcal{P}_1 by selecting one element out of each set $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \{\pm p, \pm p^*\}$, as p ranges through \mathcal{P}_1 . It follows at once from [7] that

$$G(V) \cong \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_1} G(V_p \oplus V_{p^*}) \right) \Pi \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_2} G(V_p) \right) \tag{9.1}$$

Thus the study of G reduces to two cases:

CASE I: $V = V_p \oplus V_{p^*}$, $p^* \neq \pm p$.

CASE II: $V = V_p$, $p^* = \pm p$.

We break II up into two cases:

CASE IIa: $\deg p > 1$ or $\text{char } F \neq 2$.

CASE IIb: $\deg p = 1$ and $\text{char } F = 2$.

9.1. Case I. We assume here that p is a monic irreducible polynomial in $F[t]$ dividing p_σ such that $p^* \neq \pm p$ and $V = V_p \oplus V_{p^*}$. In particular, $(p, p^*) = 1$. As shown in [7] the G -invariant $F[t]$ -submodules V_p and V_{p^*} of V are totally isotropic. In view of Theorem 8.3, we have the following result.

THEOREM 9.1. *The restriction map $\rho : G \rightarrow C_{\text{GL}(V_p)}(\sigma|_{V_p})$ is an isomorphism.*

Note that when F is algebraically closed $p = t - \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in F$ different from 1 and -1 . In this case then G becomes isomorphic to the centralizer of a nilpotent element in the general linear group (as adding a scalar operator does not change the centralizer).

9.2. Case IIa. We assume here that p is a monic irreducible polynomial in $F[t]$ dividing p_σ such that $p^* = \pm p$ and $V = V_p$. We further assume that $\deg p > 1$ or $\text{char } F \neq 2$. The *symmetric* and *alternating* parts of φ are defined by $\varphi^\pm = \varphi \pm \varphi'$. Clearly $G(\varphi) \subseteq G(\varphi^+) \cap G(\varphi^-)$, with equality if $\text{char } F \neq 2$.

LEMMA 9.2. *φ^\pm is non-degenerate if and only if $p_\sigma(\mp 1) \neq 0$.*

Proof. This follows from the identity

$$\varphi^\pm(x, y) = \varphi(x, (1 \pm \sigma)y), \quad x, y \in V. \quad \square$$

If φ^+ is non-degenerate, we write $\sigma^{+-} = u_{\varphi^+, \varphi^-}$ and $\sigma^+ = u_{\varphi^+, \varphi}$; moreover, we denote the isometry group of φ^+ by $O(\varphi^+)$ and the associated Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{o}(\varphi^+)$. If φ^- is non-degenerate, we write $\sigma^{-+} = u_{\varphi^-, \varphi^+}$ and $\sigma^- = u_{\varphi^-, \varphi}$; moreover, we denote the isometry group of φ^- by $Sp(\varphi^-)$ and the associated Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{sp}(\varphi^-)$.

LEMMA 9.3. *If φ^+ is non-degenerate then $\sigma^{+-} \in \mathfrak{o}(\varphi^+)$. If φ^- is non-degenerate then $\sigma^{-+} \in \mathfrak{sp}(\varphi^-)$.*

Proof. For all x, y in V we have

$$\varphi^+(\sigma^{+-}x, y) + \varphi^+(x, \sigma^{+-}y) = \varphi^+(y, \sigma^{+-}x) + \varphi^-(x, y) = \varphi^-(y, x) + \varphi^-(x, y) = 0,$$

thereby proving the first assertion. The second is proved similarly. \square

PROPOSITION 9.4. *Suppose φ^+ is non-degenerate. Then*

$$G(\varphi) = C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^+),$$

and if $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then

$$G(\varphi) = C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^{+-}).$$

Proof. Let $a \in GL(V)$. We have

$$a \in G(\varphi)$$

if and only if

$$\varphi(ax, ay) = \varphi(x, y), \quad x, y \in V$$

if and only if

$$\varphi^+(ax, \sigma^+ay) = \varphi^+(x, \sigma^+y), \quad x, y \in V$$

if and only if

$$\varphi^+(x, a^{-1}\sigma^+ay) = \varphi^+(x, \sigma^+y), \quad x, y \in V \text{ and } a \in O(\varphi^+)$$

if and only if

$$a \in C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^+).$$

This proves the first assertion. As for the second, if $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then

$$a \in G(\varphi)$$

if and only if

$$a \in O(\varphi^+) \text{ and } a \in G(\varphi^-)$$

if and only if

$$a \in O(\varphi^+) \text{ and } \varphi^-(ax, ay) = \varphi^-(x, y), \quad x, y \in V$$

if and only if

$$a \in O(\varphi^+) \text{ and } \varphi^+(ax, \sigma^{+-}ay) = \varphi^+(x, \sigma^{+-}y), \quad x, y \in V$$

if and only if

$$a \in O(\varphi^+) \text{ and } \varphi^+(x, a^{-1}\sigma^{+-}ay) = \varphi^+(x, \sigma^{+-}y), \quad x, y \in V$$

if and only if

$$a \in C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^{+-}). \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 9.5. *Suppose φ^- is non-degenerate. Then*

$$G(\varphi) = C_{\text{Sp}(\varphi^-)}(\sigma^-),$$

and if $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then

$$G(\varphi) = C_{\text{Sp}(\varphi^-)}(\sigma^{-+}).$$

Proof. This is similar to the above proof, mutatis mutandi. \square

We know from [7] that if $\deg p > 1$ then $\deg p$ is even and $p = p^*$, while it is obvious that if $\deg p = 1$ then $p = t \pm 1$.

THEOREM 9.6. (i) *If $\deg p > 1$ then φ^\pm is non-degenerate, $\sigma^{+-} \in \mathfrak{o}(\varphi^+)$, $\sigma^{-+} \in \mathfrak{sp}(\varphi^-)$ and*

$$G = C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^+) = C_{\text{Sp}(\varphi^-)}(\sigma^-).$$

Moreover, if $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then

$$G = C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^{+-}) = C_{\text{Sp}(\varphi^-)}(\sigma^{-+}).$$

(ii) *If $p = t - 1$ and $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then φ^+ is non-degenerate, $\sigma^{+-} \in \mathfrak{o}(\varphi^+)$ and*

$$G = C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^+) = C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^{+-}).$$

(iii) *If $p = t + 1$ and $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then φ^- is non-degenerate, $\sigma^{-+} \in \mathfrak{sp}(\varphi^-)$ and*

$$G = C_{\text{Sp}(\varphi^-)}(\sigma^-) = C_{\text{Sp}(\varphi^-)}(\sigma^{-+}).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 9.2 and 9.3, and Propositions 9.4 and 9.5. \square

For the remainder of this subsection we suppose that F is algebraically closed of characteristic not 2. Then $p = t \pm 1$.

For convenience, we define n -by- n matrices $H_n(\lambda)$ and Γ_n by

$$H_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ J_m(\lambda) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = 2m, \lambda \in F,$$

and

$$\Gamma_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^{n-2} & (-1)^{n-2} \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We refer the reader to [9], and for an older version, [1], for a proof of the Canonical Form Theorem for bilinear forms.

THEOREM 9.7. (a) Any $\phi \in \text{Bil}(V)$ admits an orthogonal direct decomposition

$$\phi = \phi_1 \perp \phi_2 \perp \cdots \perp \phi_k,$$

where the ϕ_i 's are indecomposable bilinear forms which are unique up to equivalence and permutation.

(b) If $\phi \in \text{Bil}(V)$ is indecomposable then, with respect to a suitable basis of V , the matrix of ϕ is one of the following:

- (i) $H_n(\lambda)$, $n = 2m$, $\lambda \neq (-1)^{m+1}$;
- (ii) Γ_n , $n \geq 1$;
- (iii) $J_n(0)$, $n = 2m + 1$.

(c) The matrices listed in part (b) are pairwise non-congruent except for the fact that $H_n(\lambda)$ and $H_n(\lambda^{-1})$ are congruent when $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1$.

We mention that, when $n = 2m$ is even, $H_n(0)$ is congruent to $J_n(0)$.

THEOREM 9.8. If $p = t - 1$ then φ^+ is non-degenerate, σ^{+-} belongs to $\mathfrak{so}(\varphi^+)$, $G = C_{O(\varphi^+)}(\sigma^{+-})$, and the linear operators $\sigma - 1$ and σ^{+-} are nilpotent and similar to each other (i.e., they have the same elementary divisors).

Proof. In view of Theorem 9.6 we are reduced to show the last assertion. It suffices to verify this assertion for indecomposable φ . There are two cases to consider. The matrix of φ will be denoted by A_φ .

First, the matrix of φ is $H_n(1)$ where $n = 2m$ and m is even. Then the matrix of u is $-A_{\varphi^+}^{-1}A_{\varphi^-}$. An easy computation shows that both $\sigma - 1$ and σ^{+-} have elementary divisors t^m and t^m .

Second, the matrix of φ is Γ_n and n is odd. In that case the matrix A_{φ^+} is involutory and a simple computation shows that the matrix of σ^{+-} is equal to $-J_n(0)'$. Hence both $\sigma - 1$ and σ^{+-} have only one elementary divisor, namely t^n . \square

THEOREM 9.9. If $p = t + 1$ then φ^- is non-degenerate, σ^{-+} belongs to $\mathfrak{sp}(\varphi^-)$, $G = C_{\text{Sp}(\varphi^-)}(\sigma^{-+})$, and the linear operators $\sigma + 1$ and σ^{-+} are nilpotent and similar to each other (i.e., they have the same elementary divisors).

Proof. This proof is similar to the one above. \square

9.3. Case IIb. We have not been able to make progress on this case.

10. The 2-step nilpotent group $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$. The divide the study of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$ into two cases, namely that of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]/G[\infty V]$ and $G[\infty V]$.

10.1. Basic facts about $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$.

LEMMA 10.1. $G[{}^\infty V]$ is contained in the center of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 we know that $G[{}^\infty V]$ is contained in $G[V_\infty] \cap G[V/V_\infty]$, which is in turn contained in $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$. Let $h \in G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ and let $g \in G[{}^\infty V]$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $(g - 1)V \subseteq V_\infty$. Since $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is the identity on V_∞ , we infer $(h - 1)(g - 1)V = (0)$. Moreover, $(h - 1)V \subseteq {}^\infty V$ by Lemma 3.1, whence $(g - 1)(h - 1)V = 0$ by the very definition of $G[{}^\infty V]$. It follows that

$$hg = h + g - 1 = gh, \tag{10.1}$$

as required. \square

LEMMA 10.2. $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is nilpotent of class ≤ 2 .

Proof. By Lemma 10.1 it suffices to show that $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]/G[{}^\infty V]$ is abelian. Let $g, h \in G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ and let $v \in {}^\infty V$. Since $gv - v \in V_\infty$, by the definition of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$, and h is the identity on V_∞ , we have

$$h(g(v)) = h(g(v) - v + v) = gv - v + hv.$$

For the same reasons as above

$$(h^{-1}g^{-1}hg)(v) = h^{-1}g^{-1}(gv + hv - v) = h^{-1}(v + hv - v) = h^{-1}hv = v.$$

Thus $[h, g] \in G[{}^\infty V]$, as required. \square

LEMMA 10.3. $G[V_\infty] \cap G[V/V_\infty]$ is an abelian unipotent normal subgroup of G . In fact, if $g, h \in G[V_\infty] \cap G[V/V_\infty]$ then

$$(h - 1)(g - 1) = 0. \tag{10.2}$$

Proof. Since V_∞ is G -invariant, it follows that $G[V_\infty] \cap G[V/V_\infty]$ is a normal subgroup of G . If $g, h \in G[V_\infty] \cap G[V/V_\infty]$ then $(g - 1)V \subseteq V_\infty$, so $(h - 1)(g - 1)V = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 10.4. $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is a unipotent normal subgroup of G . In fact, if $g, h, k \in G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ then $(k - 1)(h - 1)(g - 1) = (0)$.

Proof. Since ${}^\infty V$ and V_∞ are G -invariant, it follows that $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is a normal subgroup of G . Let $g, h, k \in G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $(g - 1)V \subseteq {}^\infty V$. From the very definition of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ we obtain $(h - 1)(g - 1)V \subseteq V_\infty$ and a fortiori $(k - 1)(h - 1)(g - 1)V = (0)$. This completes the proof. \square

10.2. Structure of the FG -module $G[{}^\infty V] \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$. The divide the study of $G[{}^\infty V]$ into two cases, namely that of $G[{}^\infty V]/G[{}^\infty V] \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$ and $G[{}^\infty V] \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$.

THEOREM 10.5. The map $G[{}^\infty V] \rightarrow \text{End}_F(V)$ given by

$$g \mapsto g - 1 \tag{10.3}$$

is a group monomorphism whose image is an F -vector subspace of $\text{End}_F(V)$. By transferring this F -vector space structure to $G[V_\infty] \cap G[V/V_\infty]$ the map (10.3) becomes an FG -module monomorphism. The map (10.3) induces a monomorphism of FG -modules

$$G^{[\infty V]} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V/{}^\infty V, V_\infty),$$

and hence a monomorphism of F -vector spaces

$$G^{[\infty V]} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger, V_\infty), \quad (10.4)$$

namely by means of $g \mapsto (g-1)|_{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger}$.

Proof. The identity of (10.2) shows that (10.3) is a group homomorphism, which is clearly injective and preserves the action of G . Suppose $g \in G^{[\infty V]}$ and $k \in F$. Then $k(g-1)+1$ is a linear automorphism of V which fixes ${}^\infty V$ pointwise, acts trivially on V/V_∞ and preserves the orthogonality of the generators $e_{2k}^{i,p}$ of V_{odd}^\dagger . It follows that $k(g-1)+1 \in G^{[\infty V]}$, so the image of (10.3) is a subspace of $\text{End}_F(V)$. By Lemma 3.2 we know that (10.3) maps $G^{[\infty V]}$ into $\text{Hom}_F(V, V_\infty)$, and the very definition of $G^{[\infty V]}$ yields an induced FG -monomorphism $G^{[\infty V]} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V/{}^\infty V, V_\infty)$. Since V_{odd}^\dagger complements ${}^\infty V$ in V , the last assertion follows. \square

LEMMA 10.6. $G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/L(V)] = G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$.

Proof. By definition the right hand side is contained in the left hand side. Let $g \in G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/L(V)]$. We wish to show that $(g-1)V \subseteq \text{Rad}(V)$. Since g is the identity on ${}^\infty V$, it suffices to prove $(g-1)V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger \subseteq \text{Rad}(V)$. By assumption $(g-1)V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger \subseteq L(V)$, so are reduced to demonstrate $(g-1)V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger \subseteq R(V)$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $(g-1)V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger \subseteq V_\infty$, which leave only the identity $\langle V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger, (g-1)V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger \rangle = 0$ to be shown. Well, if $v, w \in V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger$ then $gw - w \in L(V)$, so

$$0 = \langle w, v \rangle = \langle gw, gv \rangle = \langle gw, gv \rangle = \langle (gw - w) + w, gv \rangle = \langle w, gv \rangle = \langle w, gv - v \rangle,$$

as required. \square

DEFINITION 10.7. Let $\text{Bil}(V, {}^\infty V)$ be the FG -submodule of $\text{Bil}(V)$ consisting of all bilinear forms whose radical contains ${}^\infty V$. Thus $\text{Bil}(V, {}^\infty V)$ and $\text{Bil}(V/{}^\infty V)$ are isomorphic as FG -modules.

THEOREM 10.8. The map $G^{[\infty V]} \rightarrow \text{Bil}(V, {}^\infty V)$ given by $g \mapsto \varphi_g$, where

$$\varphi_g(v, w) = \varphi((g-1)v, w) = \langle (g-1)v, w \rangle, \quad v, w \in V,$$

is an FG -module homomorphism, inducing an FG -module homomorphism $g \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_g$ from $G^{[\infty V]}$ to $\text{Bil}(V/{}^\infty V)$. Both maps have kernel equal to $G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$.

Proof. The fact that $g \mapsto \varphi_g$ is a homomorphism of FG -modules is easily verified. By the very definition of this map its kernel is equal to $G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/L(V)]$, which equals $G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$ by Lemma 10.6. \square

NOTATION 10.9. The image of $G^{[\infty V]}$ under the above FG -homomorphism $G^{[\infty V]} \rightarrow \text{Bil}(V/{}^\infty V)$ will be denoted by S .

LEMMA 10.10. *The restriction of (10.3) to $G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$ yields an isomorphism of FG-modules*

$$G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)] \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V/\infty V, \text{Rad}(V)). \tag{10.5}$$

Proof. All maps of the form $1_{\infty V} \oplus (1_{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger} + f)$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_F(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger, \text{Rad}(V))$, belong to $G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$, thereby proving that (10.5) is an epimorphism. The rest follows from Theorem 10.5. \square

THEOREM 10.11. *$G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$ is an FG-module of dimension equal to $(\dim V/\infty V) \times (\dim \text{Rad}(V))$. If $\text{Rad}(V) \neq 0$ and $V/\infty V \neq 0$ its irreducible constituents are of the form $\text{Hom}_F(Q_{2k}^i, \text{Rad}(V))$, where the Q_{2k}^i are the irreducible constituents of the FG-module $V/\infty V$ described in Theorem 6.15. Each has dimension $m_i m_t$, $1 \leq i < t$, and multiplicity s_i with stabilizer $S_i = N \times \prod_{l \neq i, t} E_l$. As a module for $G/S_i \cong E_i \times E_t \cong \text{GL}_{m_i}(F) \times \text{GL}_{m_t}(F)$, $\text{Hom}_F(Q_{2k}^i, \text{Rad}(V))$ is isomorphic to $M_{m_t m_i}(F)$, where $(X, Y) \in \text{GL}_{m_i}(F) \times \text{GL}_{m_t}(F)$ acts on $A \in M_{m_t m_i}(F)$ by $(X, Y) \cdot A = YAX^{-1}$.*

Proof. This follows easily from Lemma 10.10 and Theorem 6.15. \square

We next wish to determine the structure of the remaining part of $G^{[\infty V]}$, namely

$$G^{[\infty V]}/G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)] \cong_{FG} S.$$

We digress to record some basic facts from Linear Algebra which will be required for a complete understanding of the structure of S .

10.3. $\text{GL}_m(F)$ acting by congruence on $M_m(F)$.

DEFINITION 10.12. Let $m \geq 1$. Denote by $S_m(F)$ and $A_m(F)$ the set of all $m \times m$ symmetric and alternating matrices over F , respectively.

THEOREM 10.13. *Let $m \geq 1$. The irreducible constituents of $M_m(F)$ as a module for $\text{GL}_m(F)$ over F , acting by congruence are as follows.*

(1) *If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then*

$$M_m(F) = S_m(F) \oplus A_m(F),$$

where both summands are irreducible if $m > 1$, while $M_1(F) = S_1(F)$ is irreducible.

(2) *If $\text{char } F = 2$ each factor of the $\text{GL}_m(F)$ -invariant series*

$$0 \subseteq A_m(F) \subseteq S_m(F) \subseteq M_m(F)$$

is irreducible, except when $m = 1$ in which case $M_1(F) = S_1(F)$ is irreducible.

(3) *$M_m(F)/S_m(F)$ is isomorphic to $A_m(F)$.*

Proof. Consider the homomorphism of $\text{GL}_m(F)$ -modules $M_m(F) \rightarrow A_m(F)$, given by $A \mapsto A - A'$. Since its kernel is $S_m(F)$, a dimension comparison shows that its image is $A_m(F)$. Therefore $M_m(F)/S_m(F) \cong A_m(F)$. In view of this isomorphism we may assume throughout that $m > 1$, and we are reduced to show that $A_m(F)$ and $S_m(F)/A_m(F)$ are irreducible, in the later case when $\text{char } F = 2$.

STEP I: $A_m(F)$ is irreducible. If $m = 2, 3$ there is a single non-zero $GL_m(F)$ -orbit in $A_m(F)$, which is then irreducible. Suppose $m > 3$. Let $0 \neq M$ be any $FGL_m(F)$ -submodule of $A_m(F)$. To see that $M = A_m(F)$ it suffices to show that M contains a matrix of rank 2. It is well-known that M contains a matrix, say A , which is the direct sum of at least one block of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ plus zero blocks. We may assume that A has at least two non-zero blocks. Choose B in $A_m(F)$ whose only nonzero entries are in positions $(2, 3)$ and $(3, 2)$. Then $A + B$ has the same rank as A and so $A + B$ is in M . Hence B is in M , as required.

STEP II: $S_m(F)/A_m(F)$ is irreducible if $\text{char } F = 2$. Let $A \in S_m(F)$ be a non-alternating matrix. It is well-known A is congruent to a non-zero diagonal matrix, say D . Thus, in order to show that the $GL_m(F)$ -submodule of $S_m(F)$, say M , generated by A and $A_m(F)$ is equal to $S_m(F)$, it suffices to show that M contains a matrix of rank 1. Suppose D has rank > 1 ; by scaling D we may assume that its first two diagonal entries are equal to 1. Let D_1 be the matrix obtained from D by replacing its top left 2×2 corner by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $D_2 \in A_m(F)$ be the direct sum of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and the zero block. Then D_1 and D_2 belong to M and $D_1 - D_2$ is a non-zero diagonal matrix whose first entry is 0. It follows by induction that M contains a matrix of rank 1, as required. \square

NOTE 10.14. It might seem that all $GL_m(F)$ -submodules of $M_m(F)$ can be obtained from above, but there is at least one exception. If $F = F_2$ then $S_2(F)$ is the direct sum of the 1-dimensional submodule $A_m(F)$ with the 2-dimensional submodule generated by the identity matrix.

10.4. The structure of the FG -module $G[\infty V]/(G[\infty V] \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)])$. We refer the reader to the definition of the FG -submodules $(i)V$ of V , and the irreducible FG -modules $Q_{2^k}^i$ built upon them, both of which are defined prior to Theorem 6.15.

NOTATION 10.15. If U and W are FG -modules, let $\text{Bil}(U, W)$ denote the FG -module of all bilinear forms $U \times W \rightarrow F$.

DEFINITION 10.16. For $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t} + 1$ let

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \{\phi \in \text{Bil}(V/\infty V) \mid (i-1)V/(0)V \subseteq \text{Rad}(\phi)\}.$$

We have a series of FG -modules

$$\text{Bil}(V/\infty V) = \mathcal{M}_1 \supset \mathcal{M}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{t}+1} = (0).$$

We further refine each link $\mathcal{M}_i \supset \mathcal{M}_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ of this chain as follows.

DEFINITION 10.17. For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mathbf{t} + 1$ let

$$\mathcal{L}_{i,j} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{M}_i \mid \phi((i)V/(0)V, (j-1)V/(0)V) = 0 \text{ and } \phi((j-1)V/(0)V, (i)V/(0)V) = 0\}.$$

We have a series of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{L}_{i,i} \supset \mathcal{L}_{i,i+1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_{i,\mathbf{t}+1} = \mathcal{M}_{i+1}.$$

This yields a refined series of FG -modules for $\text{Bil}(V/\infty V)$, and intersecting each term with S we get a series of FG -modules for S . Our goal is to further refine this series into a composition series for S , with known factors, as described below.

Let $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$. The very definition of \mathcal{M}_i yields an isomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_i \rightarrow \text{Bil}(V/(i-1)V). \tag{10.6}$$

Post-composing (10.6) with restriction to $(i)V/(i-1)V \times (i)V/(i-1)V$ yields a homomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_i \rightarrow \text{Bil}((i)V/(i-1)V), \tag{10.7}$$

whose kernel is precisely $\mathcal{L}_{i,i+1}$. This yields a monomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_i \cap S/(\mathcal{L}_{i,i+1} \cap S) \rightarrow \text{Bil}((i)V/(i-1)V). \tag{10.8}$$

By restricting to

$$Q_2^i \times Q_2^i, Q_2^i \times Q_4^i, \dots, Q_2^i \times Q_{2s_i}^i$$

we get a homomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_i \cap S/(\mathcal{L}_{i,i+1} \cap S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq s_i} \text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2k}^i). \tag{10.9}$$

We shall show below that (10.9) is in fact an isomorphism.

Let $1 \leq i < j \leq \mathbf{t}$. Post-composing (10.6) with restriction to $(i)V/(i-1)V \times (j)V/(i-1)V$ and $(j)V/(i-1)V \times (i)V/(i-1)V$ yields a homomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{L}_{i,j} \rightarrow \text{Bil}((i)V/(i-1)V, (j)V/(i-1)V) \oplus \text{Bil}((j)V/(i-1)V, (i)V/(i-1)V). \tag{10.10}$$

By the very nature of $\mathcal{L}_{i,j}$ this yields a homomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{L}_{i,j} \rightarrow \text{Bil}((i)V/(i-1)V, (j)V/(j-1)V) \oplus \text{Bil}((j)V/(j-1)V, (i)V/(i-1)V), \tag{10.11}$$

whose kernel is precisely $\mathcal{L}_{i,j+1}$. This yields a monomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{L}_{i,j} \cap S/(\mathcal{L}_{i,j+1} \cap S) \rightarrow \text{Bil}((i)V/(i-1)V, (j)V/(j-1)V) \oplus \text{Bil}((j)V/(j-1)V, (i)V/(i-1)V). \tag{10.12}$$

By restricting to

$$Q_2^i \times Q_2^j, Q_2^i \times Q_4^j, \dots, Q_2^i \times Q_{2s_j}^j$$

and

$$Q_2^j \times Q_2^i, Q_2^j \times Q_4^i, \dots, Q_2^j \times Q_{2s_i}^i.$$

we get a homomorphism of FG -modules

$$\mathcal{L}_{i,j} \cap S/(\mathcal{L}_{i,j+1} \cap S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq l \leq s_j} \text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2l}^j) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq s_i} \text{Bil}(Q_2^j, Q_{2k}^i). \tag{10.13}$$

We shall show below that (10.13) is in fact an isomorphism.

THEOREM 10.18. $G^{[\infty V]}/(G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)])$ is an FG-module of dimension

$$\dim G^{[\infty V]}/(G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]) = \dim(V/\infty V)(m_1 + \dots + m_t), \quad (10.14)$$

so the FG-module $G^{[\infty V]}$ has dimension $\dim(V/\infty V)(m_1 + \dots + m_t)$.

The irreducible constituents of $G^{[\infty V]}/(G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)])$ as an FG-module are obtained as follows. We start with the series for $S \cong_{FG} G^{[\infty V]}/(G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)])$ produced after Definition 10.17 and then decompose each factor by means of the maps (10.9) and (10.13), both of which are isomorphisms.

Each summand in (10.13) is an irreducible FG-module, while the summands in (10.9) has the constituents indicated in Theorem 10.13. More precisely, we have the following situation.

(1) If $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$ and $1 \leq l \leq s_j$ then the composition factor $\text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2l}^j)$ of $G^{[\infty V]}/G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]$ is FG-irreducible,

$$G[\text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2l}^j)] \supseteq N \times \prod_{k \neq i, j} E_k,$$

where

$$G/(N \times \prod_{k \neq i, j} E_k) \cong E_i \times E_j \cong \text{GL}_{m_i}(F) \times \text{GL}_{m_j}(F)$$

acts on

$$\text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2l}^j) \cong M_{m_i, m_j}(F)$$

by congruence

$$(X, Y) \cdot A = XAY', \quad X \in \text{GL}_{m_i}(F), A \in M_{m_i, m_j}(F), Y \in \text{GL}_{m_j}(F).$$

(2) If $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $1 \leq k \leq s_i$ then the factor $\text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2k}^i)$ of the aforementioned series $G^{[\infty V]}/(G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)])$ possesses the following properties.

$$G[\text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2k}^i)] \supseteq N \times \prod_{l \neq i} E_l,$$

where

$$G/(N \times \prod_{l \neq i} E_l) \cong E_i \cong \text{GL}_{m_i}(F)$$

acts on

$$\text{Bil}(Q_2^i, Q_{2k}^i) \cong M_{m_i}(F)$$

by congruence

$$X \cdot A = XAX', \quad X \in \text{GL}_{m_i}(F), A \in M_{m_i}(F).$$

The irreducible constituents of $\text{Bil}(Q_{2^i}^i, Q_{2^k}^i)$ are therefore as indicated in Theorem 10.13.

Proof. We first establish the inequality

$$\dim G^{[\infty V]} / (G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)]) \geq (\dim V / {}^\infty V)(m_1 + \dots + m_t). \quad (10.15)$$

Recall the F -linear monomorphism (10.4). We easily see that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in \text{Hom}_F(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger, V_\infty)$ to be in its image is that the vectors $e_{2^k}^{i,p}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i$, $1 \leq k \leq s_i$ remain φ -orthogonal under $f + 1$. This yields a linear system of

$$(s_1 m_1 + \dots + s_t m_t)^2$$

equations in

$$(s_1 m_1 + \dots + s_t m_t)((s_1 + 1)m_1 + \dots + (s_t + 1)m_t)$$

variables. Thus

$$\dim G^{[\infty V]} \geq (s_1 m_1 + \dots + s_t m_t)(m_1 + \dots + m_t) = (\dim V / {}^\infty V)(m_1 + \dots + m_t). \quad (10.16)$$

But from Lemma 10.10 we know that

$$\dim G^{[\infty V]} \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)] = \dim \text{Hom}_F(V / {}^\infty V, \text{Rad}(V)). \quad (10.17)$$

By combining (10.16) and (10.17) we obtain (10.15).

We next explicitly describe the linear system governing the image of (10.4). Let $f \in \text{Hom}_F(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger, V_\infty)$ and write

$$f(e_{2^k}^{i,p}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq m_j} \sum_{0 \leq l \leq s_j} i,j X_{2^k, 2l+1}^{p,q} e_{2l+1}^{j,q}, \quad (10.18)$$

where $i,j X_{2^k, 2l+1}^{p,q} \in F$. Then $f = (g - 1)|_{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger}$ for some $g \in G^{[\infty V]}$ if and only if for $1 \leq i, j \leq t$, $1 \leq k \leq s_i$, $1 \leq l \leq s_j$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i$ and $1 \leq q \leq m_j$ we have

$$0 = \langle (f + 1)(e_{2^k}^{i,p}), (f + 1)(e_{2l}^{j,q}) \rangle = i,j X_{2^k, 2l+1}^{p,q} + j,i X_{2l, 2k-1}^{q,p}. \quad (10.19)$$

We next utilize (10.18) and (10.19) to show that equality prevails in (10.15), and to infer from it that (10.9) and (10.13) are isomorphisms.

Suppose first that $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\phi \in \text{Bil}((i)V / (i - 1)V)$ belongs to the image of (10.8). From the very definition of S we see that ϕ is the image under (10.7) of $\widehat{\varphi}_g \in \mathcal{M}_i$ for some $g \in G^{[\infty V]}$. For $1 \leq k, l \leq s_i$ let ${}_i A_{2^k, 2l} \in M_{m_i}(F)$ denote the Gram matrix of $\phi|_{Q_{2^k}^i \times Q_{2l}^i}$ relative to the bases of $Q_{2^k}^i$ and Q_{2l}^i described in Theorem 6.15. For $1 \leq p, q \leq m_i$ let ${}_i A_{2^k, 2l}^{p,q}$ denote the (p, q) -entry of ${}_i A_{2^k, 2l}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_i A_{2^k, 2l}^{p,q} &= \phi(e_{2^k}^{i,p} + (i - 1)V, e_{2l}^{i,q} + (i - 1)V) \\ &= \widehat{\varphi}_g(e_{2^k}^{i,p} + {}^\infty V, e_{2l}^{i,q} + {}^\infty V) \\ &= \varphi_g(e_{2^k}^{i,p}, e_{2l}^{i,q}) \\ &= \varphi((g - 1)e_{2^k}^{i,p}, e_{2l}^{i,q}) = \langle (g - 1)e_{2^k}^{i,p}, e_{2l}^{i,q} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10.20)$$

Let $f = (g - 1)|_{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger}$ and let (10.18) be the representation of f relative to our chosen basis of V_{odd}^\dagger . Then (10.18) and (10.20) yield

$${}_i A_{2k,2l}^{p,q} = \langle (g - 1)e_{2k}^{i,p}, e_{2l}^{j,q} \rangle = \langle f(e_{2k}^{i,p}), e_{2l}^{j,q} \rangle = {}_{i,i} X_{2k,2l+1}^{p,q}. \quad (10.21)$$

Applying (10.21) and (10.19), we see that, if $k > 1$ then

$${}_i A_{2k,2l}^{p,q} = {}_{i,i} X_{2k,2l+1}^{p,q} = -{}_{i,i} X_{2l,2k-1}^{q,p} = -{}_i A_{2l,2k-2}^{q,p}.$$

Therefore, if $k > 1$ then

$${}_i A_{2k,2l} = -[{}_i A_{2l,2k-2}]'. \quad (10.22)$$

But from Theorem 6.15 we know $(i)V/(i-1)V$ is the direct sum of its FG -submodules Q_{2k}^i , so it follows from (10.22) that ϕ is completely determined by its restrictions to

$$Q_2^i \times Q_2^i, Q_2^i \times Q_4^i, \dots, Q_2^i \times Q_{2s_i}^i.$$

Since (10.8) is a monomorphism, it follows from above that (10.9) is also a monomorphism.

Suppose next that $1 \leq i < j \leq \mathbf{t}$ and $(\phi_i, \phi_j) \in \text{Bil}((i)V/(i-1)V, (j)V/(j-1)V) \oplus \text{Bil}((j)V/(j-1)V, (i)V/(i-1)V)$ belongs to the image of (10.12). From the very definition of S we see that (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is the image under (10.11) of $\widehat{\varphi}_g \in \mathcal{M}_i$ for some $g \in G^{[\infty V]}$. For $1 \leq k \leq s_i$ and $1 \leq l \leq s_j$, let ${}_{i,j} A_{2k,2l} \in M_{m_i, m_j}(F)$ and ${}_{j,i} A_{2l,2k} \in M_{m_j, m_i}(F)$ denote the Gram matrices of $\phi_1|_{Q_{2k}^i \times Q_{2l}^j}$ and $\phi_2|_{Q_{2l}^j \times Q_{2k}^i}$ relative to the bases of Q_{2k}^i and Q_{2l}^j described in Theorem 6.15. Reasoning as above, we deduce that, if $k > 1$ then

$${}_{i,j} A_{2k,2l} = -[{}_{j,i} A_{2l,2k-2}]'.$$

As above, this implies that the pair (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is completely determined by the restrictions of ϕ_1 to

$$Q_2^i \times Q_2^j, Q_2^i \times Q_4^j, \dots, Q_2^i \times Q_{2s_j}^j$$

and restrictions of ϕ_2 to

$$Q_2^j \times Q_2^i, Q_2^j \times Q_4^i, \dots, Q_2^j \times Q_{2s_i}^i.$$

Since (10.12) is a monomorphism, it follows from above that (10.13) is also a monomorphism.

By collecting all monomorphisms (10.9) and (10.13), and applying them to the series for S produced after Definition 10.17, we obtain the inequality

$$\dim S \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}} s_i m_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq \mathbf{t}} s_j m_i m_j + s_i m_j m_i,$$

that is

$$\dim S \leq (s_1 m_1 + \dots + s_{\mathbf{t}} m_{\mathbf{t}})(m_1 + \dots + m_{\mathbf{t}}) = (\dim V^{[\infty V]})(m_1 + \dots + m_{\mathbf{t}}). \quad (10.23)$$

By combining the inequalities (10.15) and (10.23) we deduce the equality (10.14) and the fact that all maps (10.9) and (10.13) are isomorphisms. The remaining assertions of the theorem are now consequence of Theorem 6.15. \square

10.5. Dimension of $G[\infty V/V_\infty] \cap G[V_\infty]/G[\infty V]$. Recall the F -vector space decomposition $V = V_{\text{odd}} \oplus (V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ndeg}}) \oplus V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger$, and consider a basis of V formed by putting together, one after another, bases of the 3 summands in the above decomposition. We shall identify each element of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$ with its matrix. The Gram matrix A of φ has the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_1 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ A_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 if $X \in G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]$ then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Y_1 & Z \\ 0 & 1 & Y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation $X'AX = A$ defining G translates into

$$Y_1' A_1 + A_2 Y_2 = 0, \tag{10.24}$$

$$Y_2' A_2 + A_3 Y_1 = 0, \tag{10.25}$$

$$Z' A_1 + A_3 Z + Y_2' A_2 Y_2 = 0. \tag{10.26}$$

By Lemma 3.2 the conditions for X to belong to $G[\infty V]$ are $Y_1 = 0$, $Y_2 = 0$ and (10.26).

LEMMA 10.19. *The group $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]/G[\infty V]$ is isomorphic to the F -vector space Y of all pairs (Y_1, Y_2) satisfying (10.24) and (10.25).*

Proof. Using the above notation we define the map $\gamma : G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty] \rightarrow Y$ given by $X \mapsto (Y_1, Y_2)$. One easily verify that γ is a group homomorphism with kernel $G[\infty V]$. It remains to show that γ is surjective. Consider the linear map $\delta : \text{Hom}_F(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger, V_\infty) \rightarrow \text{End}_F(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger)$, which in matrix terms is given by $Z \mapsto Z' A_1 + A_3 Z$. By what we mentioned above, the kernel of δ is isomorphic to $G[\infty V]$, which by Theorem 10.18 has dimension $\dim(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger) \times (m_1 + \dots + m_t)$. It follows that the image of δ has dimension

$$\dim(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger) \times \dim(V_\infty) - \dim(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger) \times (m_1 + \dots + m_t)$$

and this equals

$$\dim(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger) \times [\dim(V_\infty) - (m_1 + \dots + m_t)] = \dim(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger) \times \dim(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger) = \dim \text{End}_F(V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger).$$

Thus δ is surjective, whence γ must be surjective as well. \square

PROPOSITION 10.20. *The F -vector space $G[V_\infty] \cap G[\infty V/V_\infty]/G[\infty V]$ has dimension equal to $\dim(V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ndeg}}) \times (m_1 + \dots + m_t)$.*

Proof. By making use to Lemma 10.19 one verifies by direct computation that any orthogonal direct decomposition of $V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ndeg}}$ resp. V_{odd} yields a corresponding direct product decomposition of F -vector space $G[V_{\infty}] \cap G[\infty V/V_{\infty}]/G[\infty V]$. Hence we are reduced to prove this result when both bilinear spaces $V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ndeg}}$ and V_{odd} are indecomposable. Thus V_{odd} has a basis e_1, \dots, e_{2s+1} relative to which the Gram matrix of φ is equal to $J_{2s+1}(0)$ and there are two cases to be considered.

CASE I: $V_{\text{even}} = (0)$ (there is no need to assume that V_{ndeg} is indecomposable).

Let f_1, \dots, f_n be a basis of V_{ndeg} and let $g \in \text{GL}(V)$. Suppose that

$$ge_1 = e_1, ge_2 = e_2 + u_2 + v_2, ge_3 = e_3, ge_4 = e_4 + u_4 + v_4, \dots,$$

$$g_{2s} = e_{2s} + u_{2s} + v_{2s}, ge_{2s+1} = e_{2s+1}$$

and that

$$gf_1 = f_1 + a_{1,1}e_1 + a_{1,3}e_3 + \dots + a_{1,2s+1}e_{2s+1}, \dots,$$

$$gf_n = f_n + a_{n,1}e_1 + a_{n,3}e_3 + \dots + a_{n,2s+1}e_{2s+1},$$

for some $a_{ij} \in F$, $u_{2k} \in V_{\text{ndeg}}$ and $v_{2l} \in V_{\infty}$.

We claim that given any choice of $a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{n,1}$ we can find $u_{2k} \in V_{\text{ndeg}}$, $v_{2l} \in V_{\infty}$ and all other $a_{ij} \in F$ such that $g \in G[V_{\infty}] \cap G[\infty V/V_{\infty}]$, and, moreover, $gG[\infty V]$ will be unique.

It suffices to find $u_{2k} \in V_{\text{ndeg}}$ and all other $a_{ij} \in F$ so that ge_2, \dots, ge_{2s} remain orthogonal to gf_1, \dots, gf_n , and show that the choices for these are unique. Indeed, the proof of Lemma 10.19 explains why the v_{2l} will then exist to form $g \in G[V_{\infty}] \cap G[\infty V/V_{\infty}]$, and it is clear that $gG[\infty V]$ will then be unique.

In order to find the unique $u_{2k} \in V_{\text{ndeg}}$ and $a_{ij} \in F$, $j > 1$, note first that $\varphi(ge_2, gf_1) = 0$ translates into $\varphi(u_2, f_1) = -a_{11}$, $1 \leq l \leq n$. As the restriction of φ to V_{ndeg} is non-degenerate, u_2 exists and is unique. Secondly $\varphi(gf_1, ge_2) = 0$, translates into $a_{13} = -\varphi(f_1, u_2)$, so all a_{13} , $1 \leq l \leq n$, exist and are unique. We may now repeat this procedure to determine u_4 and then all a_{15} in a unique manner, etc.

CASE II: $V_{\text{ndeg}} = (0)$ and V_{even} has a basis $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{2n-1}, f_{2n}$ relative to which the matrix of φ is equal to $J_{2n}(0)$.

We first consider a family of $2n$ 1-parameter subgroups of $G[V_{\infty}] \cap G[\infty V/V_{\infty}]$. It will be obvious from the definition that non-identity members of different 1-parameter subgroups are linearly independent modulo $G[\infty V]$. Our family is naturally divided into two subfamilies, say γ and δ , each of them consisting of n 1-parameter subgroups. The γ family consists of $\gamma_{1,a}, \gamma_{3,b}, \dots, \gamma_{2n-1,z} \in G[V_{\infty}] \cap G[\infty V/V_{\infty}]$, where $a, b, \dots, z \in F$, all of which fix $R^{\infty}(V_{\text{even}}) = (f_2, \dots, f_{2n})$ pointwise, and the δ family consists of $\delta_{2n,a}, \delta_{2n-2,b}, \dots, \delta_{2,z} \in G[V_{\infty}] \cap G[\infty V/V_{\infty}]$, where $a, b, \dots, z \in F$, all of which fix $L^{\infty}(V_{\text{even}}) = (f_1, \dots, f_{2n-1})$. As elements of $G[V_{\infty}] \cap G[\infty V/V_{\infty}]$ they all fix $V_{\infty} = (e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{2s+1})$ pointwise. In the γ family we have

$$\gamma_{1,a}f_1 = f_1 + ae_1, \gamma_{1,a}e_2 = e_2 - af_2, \gamma_{1,a}f_3 = f_3 + ae_3, \gamma_{1,a}e_4 = e_4 - af_4, \dots$$

$$\gamma_{3,a}f_1 = f_1, \gamma_{3,a}f_3 = f_3 + ae_1, \gamma_{3,a}e_2 = e_2 - af_4, \gamma_{3,a}f_5 = f_5 + ae_3, \gamma_{3,a}e_4 = e_4 - af_6, \dots$$

with the next $\gamma_{i,a}$ similarly defined until

$$\gamma_{2n-1,a}f_1 = f_1, \dots, \gamma_{2n-1,a}f_{2n-3} = f_{2n-3}, \gamma_{2n-1,a}f_{2n-1} = f_{2n-1} + ae_1,$$

and

$$\gamma_{2n-1,a}e_2 = e_2 - af_{2n}, \gamma_{2n-1,a}e_4 = e_4, \dots, \gamma_{2n-1,a}e_{2s} = e_{2s}.$$

In the δ family the first member is defined by

$$\delta_{2n,a}f_{2n} = f_{2n} + ae_{2s+1}, \gamma_{2n,a}e_{2s} = e_{2s} - af_{2n-1},$$

and

$$\delta_{2n,a}f_{2n-2} = f_{2n-2} + ae_{2s-1}, \gamma_{2n,a}e_{2s-2} = e_{2s-2} - af_{2n-3}, \dots$$

the second member by

$$\delta_{2n-2,a}f_{2n} = f_{2n}, \delta_{2n-2,a}f_{2n-2} = f_{2n-2} + ae_{2s+1}, \gamma_{2n-2,a}e_{2s} = e_{2s} - af_{2n-3},$$

and

$$\delta_{2n-2,a}f_{2n-4} = f_{2n-4} + ae_{2s-1}, \gamma_{2n-2,a}e_{2s-2} = e_{2s-2} - af_{2n-5}, \dots,$$

with the next $\delta_{i,a}$ similarly defined until

$$\delta_{2,a}f_{2n} = f_{2n}, \dots, \delta_{2,a}f_4 = f_4, \delta_{2,a}f_2 = f_2 + ae_{2s+1},$$

$$\delta_{2,a}e_{2s} - af_1, \delta_{2,a}e_{2s-2} = e_{2s-2}, \dots, \delta_{2,a}e_2 = e_2.$$

This explicit family of $2n$ 1-parameter subgroups of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ show that the dimension of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]/G[{}^\infty V]$ is at least $2n$. We next show the reverse inequality. For this purpose we consider the bilinear space $W = L^2(V)/L(V)$, whose bilinear form is the one naturally induced by φ (this works since $L(V)$ is contained in radical of $L^2(V)$). The canonical form-preserving linear map $V \rightarrow W$ induces a canonical group homomorphism $G(V) \rightarrow G(W) = P$. The latter maps V_∞ into W_∞ and ${}^\infty V$ into ${}^\infty W$, thereby yielding a group homomorphism, actually a linear map from $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]/G[{}^\infty V]$ into $P[W_\infty] \cap P[{}^\infty W/W_\infty]$. One verifies that the kernel of this map is generated by the classes modulo $G[{}^\infty V]$ of $\gamma_{2n-1,a}$ and $\delta_{2,b}$ as a, b run through F , so it has dimension 2. Applying this procedure repeatedly until $\dim W_{\text{odd}} = 1$ or $W_{\text{even}} = 0$ -in which cases our result is obvious- it follows that $\dim G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty] \leq 2n$, as required. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 10.18 and Proposition 10.20 we finally obtain

THEOREM 10.21. $\dim G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty] = \dim(V/V_\infty) \times (m_1 + \dots + m_t)$.

We know from Lemma 10.2 that $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is a nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 . The following result describes the exact nilpotency class. The proof, which will

be omitted, consists of a case by case analysis, all of which is direct consequence of the preceding material. We make however one clarifying remark: if $V_{\text{odd}} \neq \text{Rad}(V)$ and $V_{\text{even}} \neq (0)$ then the elements $\gamma_{1,a}$ and $\delta_{2,b}$ of $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ do not commute provided $a, b \in F$ are non-zero.

LEMMA 10.22. (a) If $V_{\text{odd}} = (0)$ or $V = V_{\text{odd}}$ then $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is trivial.

(b) If $(V_{\text{odd}} \neq (0)$ and $V \neq V_{\text{odd}})$ and $[(V_{\text{odd}} = \text{Rad}(V))$ or $(V_{\text{even}} = (0)$ and V_{odd} has at most one indecomposable block of size ≥ 3 and $\dim V_{\text{ndeg}} = 1)]$ then $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is non-trivial and abelian.

(c) In all other cases $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is non-abelian.

11. Decomposing $G(V)$ in terms of $G(V_{\text{odd}})$, $G(V_{\text{even}})$ and $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$. The next result summarizes what we know about the $G(V_{\text{odd}})$. A notable fact is that even though V_{odd} is far from being uniquely determined by V , the image of the restriction group homomorphism $G(V_{\text{odd}}) \rightarrow GL(V_\infty)$ is the same for all choices of V_{odd} , as it coincides with the image of $G \rightarrow GL(V_\infty)$.

THEOREM 11.1. We have

$$G(V_{\text{odd}}) = G[{}^\infty V] \rtimes G(V_{\text{odd}}) \cap G\{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger\} = G[{}^\infty V] \rtimes (U \rtimes E), \quad (11.1)$$

where the action of $E \cong \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} GL_{m_i}(F)$ on the unipotent group U , and the action of $U \rtimes E$ on the abelian unipotent group $G[{}^\infty V]$ possess the properties previously described in the paper.

Moreover, the restriction maps $G(V_{\text{odd}}) \rightarrow GL(V_\infty)$ and $G \rightarrow GL(V_\infty)$ have exactly the same image, say H . Indeed, both maps restricted to $U \rtimes E$ yield the isomorphism $U \rtimes E \rightarrow H$, while both maps have split kernels, respectively equal to $G[{}^\infty V]$ and $G[V_\infty]$. Thus H is isomorphic to

$$G(V_{\text{odd}})/G[{}^\infty V] \cong U \rtimes E \cong G/G[V_\infty].$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.2 to the decomposition (7.2) with $V = V_{\text{odd}}$ and making use of (7.1) we get (11.1). Again by Lemma 3.2, the restriction map $G(V_{\text{odd}}) \rightarrow GL(V_\infty)$ has $G[{}^\infty V]$ in its kernel. Let H denote its image. By Lemma 3.1 $G[V_\infty] \cap G(V_{\text{odd}}) \cap G\{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger\} = \langle 1 \rangle$, whence $U \rtimes E \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism. It follows from (7.2) that the image of $G \rightarrow GL(V_\infty)$ coincides with the image of $U \rtimes E \rightarrow GL(V_\infty)$, that is H . This completes the proof. \square

Next we produce further decompositions for G .

THEOREM 11.2. We have the following decompositions for $G(V)$.

$$G(V) = (G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty] \cap G[V_\infty])(G(V_{\text{odd}}) \times G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})),$$

where the intersection of $G(V_{\text{odd}}) \times G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ with the normal subgroup $G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty] \cap G[V_\infty]$ of $G(V)$ is the normal subgroup $G[{}^\infty V]$ of $G(V)$;

$$G(V) = G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty] \cap G[V_\infty] \rtimes ((G(V_{\text{odd}}) \cap G\{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger\}) \times G(V_{\text{even}}) \times G(V_{\text{ndeg}})),$$

where $G(V_{\text{odd}})/G[{}^\infty V] \cong G(V_{\text{odd}}) \cap G\{V_{\text{odd}}^\dagger\}$;

$$G(V) = G[V_\infty]G(V_{\text{odd}}),$$

where $G[V_\infty] \cap G(V_{\text{odd}}) = G[{}^\infty V]$;

$$G = G[V_\infty]G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty],$$

where $G[V_\infty] \cap G[{}^\infty V/V_\infty]$ is a unipotent normal subgroup of G with nilpotency class ≤ 2 .

Proof. The first three decompositions follow from Theorems 7.1 and 11.1, while the fourth follows from the third. \square

Finally we consider the special but interesting case when $V = V_{\text{odd}}$ is *homogenous*, namely when $V = V_{\text{odd}}$ is the direct sum of m Gabriel blocks of equal size $2s + 1$. The isomorphism type of G is fully revealed in this case.

THEOREM 11.3. *Suppose $V = V_{\text{odd}}$ is the direct sum of m Gabriel blocks of size $2s + 1$. Then*

$$G \cong \left(\prod_{1 \leq k \leq s} M_m(F) \right) \rtimes \text{GL}_m(F),$$

where $\text{GL}_m(F)$ acts diagonally on $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq s} M_m(F)$ by congruence.

Internally, $G[V_\infty]$ has a natural structure of FG -module of dimension sm^2 over F . As a module over $\text{GL}_m(F) \cong G/G[V_\infty]$, $G[V_\infty]$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq s} M_m(F)$, upon which $\text{GL}_m(F)$ acts diagonally by congruence.

Proof. Observe first of all that $N = G[V_\infty] = G[{}^\infty V]$, so $G = G[{}^\infty V] \rtimes E$, where $E \cong \text{GL}_m(F)$ and the action of E on $G[{}^\infty V]$ has been determined. More precisely, as the case $s = 0$ is obvious, we may assume that $s \geq 1$. Since $t = 1$ and $\text{Rad}(V) = 0$, Theorem 10.18 yields

$$G[{}^\infty V] = G[{}^\infty V] \cap G[V/\text{Rad}(V)] \cong S \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq s} \text{Bil}(Q_2^1, Q_{2k}^1),$$

and the indicated action of $E \cong \text{GL}_m(F)$ on $\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq s} \text{Bil}(Q_2^1, Q_{2k}^1) \cong \prod_{1 \leq k \leq s} M_m(F)$. \square

12. Inductive approach. It is possible to extract useful information on G by studying the canonical group homomorphism

$$G(V) \rightarrow G(L^2(V)/L^1(V)).$$

Here the bilinear space $L^2(V)/L^1(V)$ can be obtained from V in a straightforward manner: its non-degenerate parts are equivalent, and all Gabriel blocks of V decrease in size by 2 when passing from V to $L^2(V)/L^1(V)$, except for those of size ≤ 2 which disappear. The above map is very likely to be surjective (we have checked this in a few cases), so repeated application of it would yield G as constructed from $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$ and the various kernels, all of which respond to the same pattern.

This sort of approach seems to be applicable to $G(V_{\text{ndeg}})$, once it is already decomposed as in (9.1). There is a canonical G -invariant filtration for V_{ndeg} and one produces from it a non-degenerate bilinear space as a section of V_{ndeg} . Special cases have revealed the associated group homomorphism to be surjective as well.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Corbas and G.D. Williams. Bilinear forms over an algebraically closed field. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 165:255–266, 2001.
- [2] J. Dieudonné. *La géométrie des groupes classiques*, Troisième édition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [3] D.Ž. Đoković and F. Szechtman. Characterization of bilinear spaces with unimodular isometry group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133: 2853–2863, 2005.
- [4] J. Fulman and R. Guralnick. Conjugacy class properties of the extension of $GL(n, q)$ generated by the inverse transpose involution. *J. Algebra*, 275:356–396, 2004.
- [5] P. Gabriel. Appendix: Degenerate bilinear forms. *J. Algebra*, 31:67–72, 1974.
- [6] J.C. Jantzen. Nilpotent Orbits in Representation Theory. In Lie Theory, Lie Algebras and Representations. *Progress in Mathematics* 228, Eds. J.-Ph. Anker and B. Orsted, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [7] C. Riehm. The equivalence of bilinear forms. *J. Algebra*, 31:44–66, 1974.
- [8] A.J. Hahn and O.T. O’Meara. *The classical groups and K-theory*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 291, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] R.A. Horn and V.V. Sergeichuk. Invited talk (delivered by the first author) at the International Conference on Matrix Theory and its Applications. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, December 2003.
- [10] T.A. Springer and R. Steinberg. Conjugacy classes. Seminar in algebraic groups and related finite groups. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag 131:167–266, 1970.
- [11] G.E. Wall. On the conjugacy classes in the unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 3:1–62, 1963.
- [12] W.C. Waterhouse. The number of congruence classes in $M_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$. *Finite Fields and their Applications*, 1:57–63, 1995.