



# Characterization of self-adjoint domains for regular even order $C$ -symmetric differential operators

Qinglan Bao<sup>1</sup>, Jiong Sun<sup>✉1</sup>, Xiaoling Hao<sup>1</sup> and Anton Zettl<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, 010021, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115, USA

Received 6 September 2018, appeared 16 August 2019

Communicated by Paul Eloe

**Abstract.** Let  $C$  be a skew-diagonal constant matrix satisfying  $C^{-1} = -C = C^*$ . We characterize the self-adjoint domains for regular even order  $C$ -symmetric differential operators with two-point boundary conditions. The previously known characterizations are a special case of this one.

**Keywords:**  $C$ -symmetric, differential operators, boundary conditions, self-adjoint domains.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 34B24, 34L15; Secondary 34B08, 34L05.

## 1 Introduction

Consider the differential equation

$$My = \lambda wy \quad \text{on } J = (a, b), \quad -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty \quad (1.1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$AY(a) + BY(b) = 0, \quad A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$  matrices of complex numbers. (This notation is standard and should not conflict with the notation  $M$  for differential expressions.)

In this paper, for regular endpoints  $a, b$ , any  $n = 2k$ ,  $k > 1$ , and any skew-diagonal constant matrix  $C$  which satisfies

$$C^{-1} = -C = C^*, \quad (1.3)$$

we generate symmetric differential expressions  $M = M_Q$  and characterize the boundary conditions (1.2) which determine self-adjoint operators  $S$  in  $L^2(J, w)$  satisfying  $S_{\min} \subset S = S^* \subset S_{\max}$ . Here the matrix  $Q \in Z_n(J, \mathbb{C})$  is a  $C$ -symmetric matrix in the sense that

$$Q = -C^{-1}Q^*C \quad (1.4)$$

---

<sup>✉</sup>Corresponding author. Email: [masun@imu.edu.cn](mailto:masun@imu.edu.cn)

and  $M = M_Q$  is generated by  $Q$ .

Such a characterization is well known [17] when

$$C = E = ((-1)^r \delta_{r,n+1-s})_{r,s=1}^n. \quad (1.5)$$

We prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $Q \in Z_n(J, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $n = 2k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , let  $M = M_Q$ , let  $w$  be a weight function. Suppose  $a, b$  are regular endpoints. Assume that  $C$  satisfies (1.4) and  $Q$  satisfies the  $C$ -symmetry condition:*

$$Q = -C^{-1}Q^*C.$$

Then the linear manifold  $D(S)$  defined by

$$D(S) = \{y \in D_{\max}; (1.2) \text{ holds}\} \quad (1.6)$$

is the domain of a self-adjoint extension  $S$  of  $S_{\min}$  (or restriction of  $S_{\max}$ ) if and only if

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = n \quad \text{and} \quad ACA^* = BCB^*. \quad (1.7)$$

*Proof.* The proof will be given below. □

**Remark 1.2.** We find it remarkable that the self-adjoint boundary conditions are characterized by the same matrix  $C$  which generates the symmetric operators  $M$ .

The definitions of  $Z_n(J, \mathbb{C})$ , the quasi-derivatives  $y^{[j]}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and  $M_Q$  will be given in Section 2, the proof of the theorem in Section 3 and examples of matrices  $C$  and  $C$ -self-adjoint boundary conditions are given in Section 4. See [17] for definitions of  $S_{\min}$ ,  $S_{\max}$ ,  $D_{\min}$ ,  $D_{\max}$ , etc.

## 2 C-symmetric expressions

In this section, we develop a general form of the  $C$ -symmetric quasi-differential expression  $M$  with complex coefficient of any even order  $n = 2k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  on an interval  $J = (a, b)$ ,  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(J) := \left\{ Q = (q_{r,s})_{r,s=1}^n : Q \in M_n(L_{\text{loc}}(J)); \right. \\ q_{r,r+1} \neq 0 \text{ a.e. } J, q_{r,r+1}^{-1} \in L_{\text{loc}}(J), 1 \leq r \leq n-1; \\ q_{r,s} = 0 \text{ a.e. } J, 2 \leq r+1 < s \leq n \\ \left. q_{r,s} \in L_{\text{loc}}(J), s \neq r+1, 1 \leq r \leq n-1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $Q \in Z_n(J)$ , in [3] define the quasi-derivatives  $y^{[r]}$  ( $0 \leq r \leq n$ ) below:

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &:= \{y : J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, y \text{ is measurable}\}, & y^{[0]} &:= y \ (y \in V_0), \\ V_r &:= \{y \in V_{r-1} : y^{[r-1]} \in (AC_{\text{loc}}(J))\}, \\ y^{[r]} &= q_{r,r+1}^{-1} \left\{ y^{[r-1]'} - \sum_{s=1}^r q_{r,s} y^{[s-1]} \right\} \quad (y \in V_r, r = 1, 2, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{n,n+1} = 1$ . Finally we set

$$My = i^n y^{[n]}, \quad y \in V_n,$$

these expressions  $M = M_Q$  are generated by or associated with  $Q$  and for  $V_n$  we also use the notations  $D(Q)$  and  $V(M)$ . Since the quasi-derivatives depends on  $Q$ , we sometimes write  $y_Q^{[r]}$  instead of  $y^{[r]}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Remark 2.1.** If  $Q \in Z_n(J)$  has the format

$$\begin{aligned} q_{r,r+1} &= 1, & r &= 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ q_{r,s} &= 0, & 1 \leq r \leq n-1, s &\neq r+1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

then  $M_Q$  will reduce to an ordinary differential expression  $M$  with  $y^{[r]} = y^{(r)}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , the quasi-derivatives and ordinary derivatives are equal for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , when  $y \in D(Q)$ , and moreover

$$M_Q y = i^n y^{[n]} = i^n \left\{ y^{(n)} - \sum_{s=1}^n q_{n,s} y^{(s-1)} \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, in this case,  $M_Q$  is merely an ordinary differential expression  $M$ , see (1.1), with  $p_n(x) = i^n$  on  $J$ . And conversely every such differential expression can be rewritten in the form of a quasi-differential expression.

In [11, 17] the expression  $M$  is called a Lagrange symmetric (or just a symmetric) differential expression if the matrix  $Q$  satisfies

$$Q = -E_n^{-1} Q^* E_n, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $E_n$  is the symplectic matrix of order  $n$  given by (1.5). However, (2.3) is not generally satisfied by the companion-type matrices (2.1).

For the Lagrange symmetric  $M_Q$ , the Green's formula has the form

$$\int_{[\alpha, \beta]} \{My\bar{z} - y\overline{Mz}\} dx = [y, z](\beta) - [y, z](\alpha) \quad (y, z \in D(Q))$$

for any compact sub-interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  of  $(a, b)$ . Here the skew-symmetric sesquilinear form  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  maps  $D(Q) \times D(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The explicit form of  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is given by

$$[y, z](x) = i^n \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r-1} y^{[n-r]}(x) \overline{z^{[r-1]}(x)} = (-1)^{k+1} Z^* E_n Y, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $Z(x)$ ,  $Y(x)$  are the column vector function

$$Y = (y^{[0]}(x) \ y^{[1]}(x) \ \dots \ y^{[n-1]}(x))^T, \quad Z = (z^{[0]}(x) \ z^{[1]}(x) \ \dots \ z^{[n-1]}(x))^T, \quad x \in [\alpha, \beta].$$

The expression  $w^{-1}M_Q = \lambda y$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  defines or generates a linear operator  $S$ , once the domain  $D(S)$  is suitably  $S_{\min}$  with their respective domains  $D_{\max}$  and  $D_{\min}$ . In general, the minimal operator  $S_{\min}$  is a nonself-adjoint operator, otherwise  $S_{\min} = S_{\min}^* = S_{\max}$ . So if  $S$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $D(S)$ , then  $S_{\min} \subset S = S^* \subset S_{\max}$ , and

$$\int_J \{My\bar{z} - y\overline{Mz}\} dx = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $y, z \in D_{\max}$ .

The GKN (Glazeman–Krein–Naimark) Theorem [4] which characterizes all self-adjoint extensions of  $T_{Q,0}$  in  $H$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (GKN). *Let  $d$  be the deficiency index of minimal operator  $S_{\min}$ , then a linear submanifold  $D(S) \subset D_{\max}$  is the domain of a self-adjoint extension  $S$  of  $S_{\min}$  in  $H = L^2(J, w)$  if and only if there exist functions  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d$  in  $D_{\max}$  such that*

- (i)  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d$  are linearly independent modulo  $D_{\min}$ , i.e. no nontrivial linear combination of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d$  is in  $D_{\min}$ .
- (ii)  $[v_i, v_j](b) - [v_i, v_j](a) = 0$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ;
- (iii)  $D(S) = \{y \in D_Q : [y, v_j](b) - [y, v_j](a) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ .

The GKN characterization depends on the maximal domain functions  $v_j, j = 1, \dots, d$ . These functions depend on the coefficients of the differential equation and this dependence is implicit and complicated.

When both endpoints of  $J$  are regular, this dependence can be eliminated and an explicit characterization can be given in terms of two-point boundary conditions involving only solutions and their quasi-derivatives at the endpoints. This has the form:

$$D(S) = \{y \in D_{\max} : AY(a) + BY(b) = 0\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the complex  $n \times n$  matrices  $A, B$  satisfy

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = n, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$AE_n A^* = BE_n B^*. \quad (2.8)$$

It is much more explicit than the GKN Theorem and it can lead to a canonical form for self-adjoint boundary conditions such as the well known form in the second order Sturm–Liouville case, see formulas (4.2.3), (4.2.4) and (4.2.7) in [20]. Through the long history of Sturm–Liouville problems, these canonical representations have led to a comprehensive understanding, both theoretically and numerically, of the dependence of the eigenvalues on the boundary conditions. In [10, 15] canonical representations for regular problems of  $n = 4$  are known. We will also go on with these canonical forms in our subsequent papers.

Notice that (2.4) and (2.8) hold for the constant matrix  $E_n$  satisfying  $E_n^{-1} = -E_n = E_n^*$ , this paper considers these forms for every general regular skew-diagonal constant matrix  $C = (c_{r,s})_{r,s=1}^n$  satisfying  $C^{-1} = -C = C^*$ . Thus we have the following definition.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $Q \in Z_n(J)$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} y^{[0]} &:= y, \quad y \in V_0, \\ y_Q^{[r]} &= q_{r,r+1}^{-1} \left\{ y_Q^{[r-1]'} - \sum_{s=1}^r q_{r,s} y_Q^{[s-1]} \right\}, \quad y \in V_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $q_{n,n+1} := c_{n,1}$ .

We set

$$My = M_Q y = i^n y^{[n]}, \quad (2.10)$$

with the domain  $D(M_Q)$ , which we usually write as  $D(Q)$ . The expression  $M = M_Q$  is called the quasi-differential expression generated by or associated with  $Q$ . Suppose that

$$Q = Q^+ = -C_n^{-1} Q^* C_n, \quad (2.11)$$

i.e.,

$$q_{r,s} = c_{r,n+1-r} \bar{q}_{n+1-s,n+1-r} c_{n+1-s,s}, \quad (2.12)$$

then  $Q$  is said to be a  $C$ -symmetric matrix. In this case  $M_Q$  is called a  $C$ -symmetric quasi-differential expression. Note that  $Q^{++} = Q$ ,  $M_Q^{++} = M_Q$ , where  $M_Q^+ := M_{Q^+}$ , we call  $Q^+$  the  $C$ -adjoint matrix of  $Q$  and  $M_Q^+$  the  $C$ -adjoint expression of  $M_Q$ .

It is of special interest to note that if  $C_n = E_n$ , then

$$Q = -E_n^{-1} Q^* E_n,$$

and the expression  $M = M_Q$  is reduced to the Lagrange symmetric differential expression.

**Remark 2.4.** What we really need to emphasize is that the constant matrix  $C_n$  is not only a skew-diagonal matrix satisfying

$$C_n^{-1} = -C_n = C_n^*, \quad (2.13)$$

but plays a key role in the construction of symmetric quasi-differential expressions as well as in the self-adjoint domain characterization for  $C$ -symmetric differential operators. In addition, the  $C$ -symmetric condition on the matrix  $Q$  means that  $Q$  is invariant under the composition of the following three operators: “flips” about the secondary diagonal, conjugation, multiplying  $q_{r,s}$  by  $(-1)^{r+s+1}$  (i.e., changing the sign of  $q_{r,s}$  if  $r+s$  is even).

**Remark 2.5.** The operator  $M : D(Q) \rightarrow L_{\text{loc}}(J)$  is linear.

From Definition 2.3 we have the symmetric condition

$$Q = -C_n^{-1} Q^* C_n.$$

Set

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{k \times k} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & 0_{k \times k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{21}, C_{12} \in M_k(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then

$$C_{21} = -C_{12}^*, \quad C_{12}^{-1} = C_{12}^*,$$

i.e.,

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{k \times k} & C_{12} \\ -C_{12}^* & 0_{k \times k} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

and  $C_{12}$  is a skew-diagonal unitary matrix, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{r,s} \bar{c}_{r,s} &= 1, & \text{for } r+s &= n+1, 1 \leq r \leq k, \\ c_{r,s} &= 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Set

$$c_{r,n-r+1} = e^{i\theta_r}, \quad -\pi < \theta_r \leq \pi, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

Thus  $C_n$  can be rewritten as

$$C_n = \text{skew-diagonal}(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k}, -e^{-i\theta_k}, \dots, -e^{-i\theta_2}, -e^{-i\theta_1}). \quad (2.16)$$

Let

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in Z_n(J),$$

$Q_{ij} \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , then

$$Q^+ = \begin{pmatrix} -C_{12}Q_{22}^*C_{12}^* & C_{12}Q_{12}^*C_{12} \\ C_{12}^*Q_{21}^*C_{12}^* & -C_{12}^*Q_{11}^*C_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From  $Q = Q^+$ , we have the  $C$ -symmetric matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{21} & -C_{12}^*Q_{11}^*C_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $Q_{12} = C_{12}Q_{12}^*C_{12}$ ,  $Q_{21} = C_{12}^*Q_{21}^*C_{12}^*$ , i.e.,  $C_{12}^*Q_{12}$ ,  $C_{12}Q_{21}$  are symmetric matrices.

By direct calculation, the  $C$ -symmetric matrices  $Q \in Z_n(J)$  have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23} & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ q_{n-2,1} & q_{n-2,2} & \cdots & \cdots & -\bar{c}_{3,n-2}c_{2,n-1}\bar{q}_{23} & 0 \\ q_{n-1,1} & q_{n-1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & -\bar{q}_{22} & -\bar{c}_{2,n-1}c_{1,n}\bar{q}_{12} \\ q_{n,1} & \bar{c}_{1,n}\bar{c}_{2,n-1}\bar{q}_{n-1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & -\bar{c}_{1,n}c_{2,n-1}\bar{q}_{21} & -\bar{q}_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.18)$$

where  $q_{n,1} = \bar{c}_{1,n}^2\bar{q}_{n,1}$ ,  $q_{n-1,2} = \bar{c}_{2,n-1}^2\bar{q}_{n-1,2}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $q_{k+1,k} = \bar{c}_{k,k+1}^2\bar{q}_{k+1,k}$ ,  $q_{k,k+1} = c_{k,k+1}^2\bar{q}_{k,k+1}$ .

The self-adjoint operators  $S$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(J, w)$  generated by the equation

$$My = M_Q y = \lambda w y \quad \text{on } J,$$

where  $Q$  has the form (2.18). Then  $S$  satisfy

$$S_{\min} \subset S = S^* \subset S_{\max}. \quad (2.19)$$

So it is clear that these operators  $S$  differ from each other only by their domains. These domains  $D(S)$  are characterized by Theorem 1.1 and the proof is given in next section.

### 3 Characterization of self-adjoint domains

In this section, we prove the main results in this paper: characterization of self-adjoint domains for general regular even order  $C$ -symmetric quasi-differential operators. Our starting point for this characterization is the Lagrange identity which plays a critical important role in the characterization of self-adjoint domains.

To prove Lagrange identity, we use the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $Q_n, P_n \in Z_n(J)$ . Let  $F, G$  be  $n \times 1$  function matrices on  $J$ . If  $Y' = Q_n Y + F$  and  $Z' = P_n Z + G$  and the constant matrix  $C_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  satisfies*

$$C_n^* = -C_n = C_n^{-1}.$$

Then

$$(Z^* C_n Y)' = Z^* (P_n^* C_n + C_n Q_n) Y + Z^* C_n F + G^* C_n Y, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$Y = \left( y^{[0]} \ y^{[1]} \ \cdots \ y^{[n-1]} \right)^T, \quad Z = \left( z^{[0]} \ z^{[1]} \ \cdots \ z^{[n-1]} \right)^T.$$

*Proof.* From the differentiation of function matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Z^* C_n Y)' &= (Z^*)' C_n Y + Z^* C_n' Y + Z^* C_n Y' \\ &= (Z')^* C_n Y + Z^* C_n Y' \\ &= (P_n Z + G)^* C_n Y + Z^* C_n (Q_n Y + F) \\ &= (Z^* P_n^* + G^*) C_n Y + Z^* C_n Q_n Y + Z^* C_n F \\ &= Z^* (P_n^* C_n + C_n Q_n) Y + G^* C_n Y + Z^* C_n F. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume  $Q_n \in Z_n(J)$  and  $P_n = -C_n^{-1} Q_n^* C_n$ , then  $P_n \in Z_n(J)$  and if  $Y' = Q_n Y + F$  and  $Z' = P_n Z + G$  on  $J$ , where  $F, G$  be  $n \times 1$  function matrices on  $J$ . Then

$$(Z^* C_n Y)' = Z^* C_n F + G^* C_n Y. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $Q_n = (q_{r,s})_{r,s=1}^n \in Z_n(J)$  and  $P_n = (p_{r,s})_{r,s=1}^n = -C_n^{-1} Q_n^* C_n$ , then we have

$$p_{r,s} = \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n c_{r,j} \bar{q}_{l,j} \right) c_{l,s} = c_{r,n-r+1} \bar{q}_{n-s+1, n-r+1} c_{n-s+1, s}, \quad r, s = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

So for  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ ,

$$p_{r,r+1} = c_{r,n-r+1} \bar{q}_{n-r, n-r+1} c_{n-r, r+1}$$

is invertible a.e. on  $J$ .

Since for  $2 \leq r+1 < s \leq n$ ,  $r+1-s = (n-s-1) + 1 - (n-r+1) < 0$ ,  $q_{n-s-1, n-r+1} = 0$ , then

$$p_{r,s} = c_{r,n-r+1} \bar{q}_{n-s+1, n-r+1} c_{n-s+1, s} = 0.$$

This concludes that  $P_n \in Z_n(J)$ .

From  $C_n$  satisfy (2.13), and  $C_n P_n = -Q_n^* C_n = -(C_n^* Q_n)^*$ , we have  $C_n Q_n = -(C_n^* Q_n) = (C_n P_n)^* = -P_n^* C_n$ . Hence from (3.1) in Lemma 3.1, (3.2) is established.  $\square$

We obtain a new general version of the Lagrange identity as follows.

**Theorem 3.3** (Lagrange identity). Let  $Q \in Z_n(J)$ , and  $P = -C_n^{-1} Q^* C_n$ ,  $C_n$  is defined by (2.14) (or (2.16)). Then  $P \in Z_n(J)$  and for any  $y \in D(Q)$  and  $z \in D(P)$ , we have

$$\bar{z} M_Q y - y \overline{M_P z} = [y, z]', \quad [y, z] = \tilde{Z}^* C_n \tilde{Y}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}^* C_n \tilde{Y} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} c_{n-r, r+1} \overline{z_P^{[n-r-1]}} y_Q^{[r]} = \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ c_{r, n-r+1} \overline{z_P^{[r-1]}} y_Q^{[n-r]} - \bar{c}_{r, n-r+1} \overline{z_P^{[n-r]}} y_Q^{[r-1]} \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\tilde{Y} = (y^{[0]} \ y^{[1]} \ \dots \ y^{[n-1]})^T$ ,  $\tilde{Z} = (z^{[0]} \ z^{[1]} \ \dots \ z^{[n-1]})^T$  are generated by  $Q$  and  $P$  respectively.

*Proof.* Set  $f = -\bar{c}_{1,n} y_Q^{[n]}$ ,  $g = -\bar{c}_{1,n} z_P^{[n]}$ , then we have

$$\tilde{Y}' = Q \tilde{Y} + F, \quad \tilde{Z}' = P \tilde{Z} + G,$$

where

$$F = (0 \ \dots \ 0 \ f)^T, \quad G = (0 \ \dots \ 0 \ g)^T.$$

So from the Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{Z}^* C_n \tilde{Y})' &= \tilde{Z}_P^* C_n F + G^* C_n \tilde{Y}_Q \\
&= c_{1n} \overline{z^{[0]}} f - \overline{c_{1n}} \overline{g} y^{[0]} \\
&= -\overline{z^{[0]}} y_Q^{[n]} + \overline{z_P^{[n]}} y^{[0]} \\
&= -(-i)^n \{ \overline{z^{[0]}} M_Q y - y^{[0]} \overline{M_P z} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

After integrating both sides of the above equation on any subinterval  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset J$ , we get

$$[y, z]_\alpha^\beta = \int_\alpha^\beta \overline{z} M_Q y dx - \int_\alpha^\beta y \overline{M_P z} dx = (-1)^{k+1} \tilde{Z}^* C_n \tilde{Y} \Big|_\alpha^\beta.$$

Hence from the arbitrariness of  $\alpha, \beta \in J$  we have

$$\overline{z} M_Q y - y \overline{M_P z} = [y, z]',$$

and

$$[y, z] = (-1)^{k+1} \tilde{Z}^* C_n \tilde{Y}.$$

By calculation (3.4) is also established. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.**

- (1) If in (2.16) for odd number in  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , we set  $\theta_j = \pi$  and for even number in  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $\theta_j = 0$ , then  $C_n = E_n$  and we have the classical Lagrange identity in the references [12, 17, 21] below:

Assume  $Q \in Z_n(J)$ , and  $P = -E_n^{-1} Q^* E_n$ , then  $P \in Z_n(J)$  and for any  $y \in D(Q)$  and  $z \in D(P)$ , we have

$$\overline{z} M_Q y - y \overline{M_P z} = [y, z]',$$

and

$$[y, z] = (-1)^k \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+1-r} \overline{z^{[n-r-1]}} y^{[r]} = (-1)^{k+1} Z^* E_n Y. \quad (3.5)$$

- (2) If we set  $\theta_j = 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$  in (2.16), then  $C_n = -F_n$ , and we have the another classical type of Lagrange identity in the Naimark book [14] as follows:

Let  $Q \in Z_n(J)$ , and  $P = -F_n^{-1} Q^* F_n$ , then  $P \in Z_n(J)$  and for any  $y \in D(Q)$  and  $z \in D(P)$ , we have

$$\overline{z} M_Q y - y \overline{M_P z} = [y, z]',$$

and

$$[y, z] = (-1)^k \sum_{r=1}^k \{ y^{[r-1]} \overline{z^{[n-r]}} - y^{[n-r]} \overline{z^{[r-1]}} \} = (-1)^k \widehat{Z}^* F_n \widehat{Y}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$F_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{k \times k} & -J_k \\ J_k & 0_{k \times k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_k = (\delta_{r, k+1-s})_{r, s=1}^k. \quad (3.7)$$



Theorem 1.1 characterizes all self-adjoint realizations of the operators generated by differential equation

$$My = \lambda wy, \text{ on } J = (a, b), \quad -\infty < a < b < \infty, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $M$  is  $C$ -symmetric quasi-differential expression.

Let (3.8) has the two-point boundary condition

$$A\tilde{Y}(a) + B\tilde{Y}(b) = 0, \quad \tilde{Y} = (y^{[0]} \ y^{[1]} \ \dots \ y^{[n-1]})^T, \quad (3.9)$$

in the Hilbert space  $H = L^2(J, w)$ . Then according to Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 we have the following proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\int_a^b \bar{z}Mydx - \int_a^b \overline{Mz}ydx = [y, z]_a^b = \tilde{Z}^*(b)C_n\tilde{Y}(b) - \tilde{Z}^*(a)C_n\tilde{Y}(a) = 0,$$

then

$$\tilde{D}(S) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : A\tilde{Y}(a) + B\tilde{Y}(b) = 0 \right\}$$

is a self-adjoint domain if and only if

$$AC_nA^* = BC_nB^*.$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 is established.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** If  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then the condition (1.7) reduces to  $\det(A) = \det(B)$ . However, not all the real self-adjoint boundary conditions are generated in this way.

**Remark 3.6.**

(1) In [4, 6] and [17, 21] Everitt and Zettl et al. define a formally self-adjoint differential equation  $M_Q$  by

$$Q = Q^+ = -E_n^{-1}Q^*E_n, \quad Q \in Z_n(J),$$

where constant  $n \times n$  matrix  $E_n$  is defined by (1.5).  $E_n$  is a skew-diagonal matrix satisfying  $E_n^{-1} = -E_n = E_n^*$ , i.e., it is a special case of  $C_n$ . Then  $S$  is a self-adjoint extension of minimal operator generated by  $M_Q$  if and only if

$$D(S) = \{y \in D_{\max} : AY(a) + BY(b) = 0, \ A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})\}, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = n, \quad AE_nA^* = BE_nB^*. \quad (3.11)$$

(2) In [14, Chapter V] the formally self-adjoint differential expressions are generated by the matrices

$$\hat{Q} = -F_n^{-1}\hat{Q}^*F_n, \quad \hat{Q} \in Z_n(J). \quad (3.12)$$

Notice that  $F_n$  is a constant skew-diagonal matrix and satisfy  $F_n^{-1} = -F_n = F_n^*$ , it is a special case of  $C_n$ . Let  $M = M_{\hat{Q}}$  is generated by (3.12), then the domain defined by

$$D(\hat{S}) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : A\hat{Y}(a) + B\hat{Y}(b) = 0, \ A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \right\}, \quad (3.13)$$

is a self-adjoint domain, i.e.,

$$\hat{S}_{\min} \subset \hat{S} = \hat{S}^* \subset \hat{S}_{\max}$$

if and only if

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = n, \quad AF_nA^* = BF_nB^*. \quad (3.14)$$

- (3) Theorem 1.1 unifies and generalizes the statement of (1)–(2). Furthermore the different characterizations of self-adjoint domains among (1.6), (3.10) and (3.13) are caused by the use of different definition of the quasi-derivatives. In fact, the self-adjoint characterization of  $C$ -symmetric differential operators are generalization of previously known characterizations [4–6, 8, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21].

**Remark 3.7.** In general, the matrices which determine symmetric differential expressions are not unique, two different matrices may determine the same quasi-symmetric differential expressions. Frentzen [9] extended the Shin–Zettl set of matrices  $Z_n(J)$  and Everitt and Race [6] studied the relationship between the matrices in this extended set which generate the same symmetric expressions. Theorem 1.1 shows that, given any constant skew-symmetric matrix  $C$  satisfying

$$C^{-1} = -C = C^*,$$

the matrix

$$Q = -C^{-1}Q^*C$$

is  $C$ -symmetric. And, remarkably, this same matrix  $C$  determines all self-adjoint boundary conditions, i.e.,  $S_{\min}$  and  $S_{\max}$  denote the minimal and maximal operators determined by  $Q$ , respectively, then all self-adjoint extensions of  $S_{\min}$  (or equivalently self-adjoint restrictions of  $S_{\max}$ ), i.e. all operators  $S$  in  $L^2(J, w)$  satisfying

$$S_{\min} \subset S = S^* \subset S_{\max}$$

are determined by the boundary conditions (1.6), (1.7). In addition to the examples  $C = E_n$ ,  $C = F_n$ , the general generator of the symplectic group

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix of order  $k$ , is another example. See also the example

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_1} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_2} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-i\theta_2} & 0 & 0 \\ -e^{-i\theta_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

below.

## 4 Examples

In order to get a better understanding about our main results in this section we give some simple examples for the special case  $n = 2, 4, 6$ .

**Example 4.1.** Let  $C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  satisfy

$$C_2^{-1} = -C_2 = C_2^*,$$

then

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{12} \\ -\bar{c}_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta} \\ -e^{-i\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad -\pi < \theta \leq \pi. \quad (4.1)$$

Now, let  $Q \in Z_2(J)$  satisfy

$$Q = Q^+ := -C_2^{-1}Q^*C_2. \quad (4.2)$$

Then

$$Q^+ = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{q}_{22} & c_{12}^2 \bar{q}_{12} \\ \bar{c}_{12}^2 \bar{q}_{21} & -\bar{q}_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

and we have a second order  $C$ -symmetric matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & -\bar{q}_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $q_{12} = c_{12}^2 \bar{q}_{12}$ ,  $q_{21} = \bar{c}_{12}^2 \bar{q}_{21}$ .

The  $C$ -symmetric quasi-derivatives generated by (4.3) are:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{[0]} &= y, \quad y^{[1]} = \frac{1}{q_{12}} \{(y^{[0]})' - q_{11}y\}, \\ y^{[2]} &= -c_{12} \{(y^{[1]})' - q_{21}y^{[0]} + \bar{q}_{11}y^{[1]}\} = -e^{i\theta} \{(y^{[1]})' - q_{21}y^{[0]} + \bar{q}_{11}y^{[1]}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and  $M = M_Q$  is given by

$$My = i^2 y^{[2]} = e^{i\theta} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{q_{12}} (y' - q_{11}y) \right]' - q_{21}y + \frac{\bar{q}_{11}}{q_{12}} (y' - q_{11}y) \right\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $Q \in Z_2(J)$ ,  $P = -C_2^{-1}Q^*C_2$ , then we obtain a new version of Lagrange identity for the second order case:

$$\bar{z}M_Q y - y\overline{M_P z} = [y, z]', \quad y \in D(Q), \quad z \in D(P), \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$[y, z] = Z^*C_2 Y = e^{i\theta} \bar{z}^{[1]} y^{[0]} - e^{-i\theta} z^{[0]} y^{[1]}, \quad -\pi < \theta \leq \pi.$$

Let

$$My = \lambda w y, \quad \text{on } J = (a, b), \quad (4.7)$$

in Hilbert space  $L^2(J, w)$ , where  $M$  is defined by (4.5), it has the following boundary conditions

$$\tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} y^{[0]}(a) \\ y^{[1]}(a) \end{pmatrix}^T + \tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} y^{[0]}(b) \\ y^{[1]}(b) \end{pmatrix}^T = 0, \quad \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $y^{[0]}$ ,  $y^{[1]}$  are defined by (4.4).

Define

$$D(S) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : \tilde{A}Y(a) + \tilde{B}Y(b) = 0, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y^{[0]} \\ y^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad (4.8)$$

and  $S$  is generated by (4.7) satisfying  $S_{\min} \subset S \subset S_{\max}$ , then  $D(S)$  is a self-adjoint domain for the second-order  $C$ -symmetric differential operators if and only if

$$\tilde{A}C_2\tilde{A}^* = \tilde{B}C_2\tilde{B}^*, \quad \text{rank}(\tilde{A} : \tilde{B}) = 2. \quad (4.9)$$

**Remark 4.2.** If  $\theta = \pi$ , i.e.,  $C_2 = E_2$ , then (4.3) is reduced to the Lagrange symmetric matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & r_1 \\ r_2 & -\bar{q}_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $r_1, r_2$  are real-valued functions.  $S_{\min}, S_{\max}$  are determined by (4.10) and  $S$  is a self-adjoint extension of  $S_{\min}$  if and only if the domain

$$\tilde{D}(S) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : \tilde{A}\tilde{Y}(a) + \tilde{B}\tilde{Y}(b) = 0, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \right\} \quad (4.11)$$

satisfy

$$\text{rank}(\tilde{A} : \tilde{B}) = 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A}E_2\tilde{A}^* = \tilde{B}E_2\tilde{B}^*, \quad (4.12)$$

i.e., the well-known characterization (4.12) is a special case of (4.9).

**Example 4.3.** Let  $Q \in Z_4(J)$  be  $C$ -symmetric, then from Definition 2.3 we get

$$Q = Q^+ = -C_4^{-1}Q^*C_4, \quad (4.13)$$

where  $C_4$  has the form

$$C_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & c_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{c}_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{c}_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_1} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_2} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-i\theta_2} & 0 & 0 \\ -e^{-i\theta_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (4.13) we have

$$Q^+ = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{q}_{44} & -c_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{c}_{14}c_{23}\bar{q}_{43} & -\bar{q}_{33} & c_{23}^2\bar{q}_{23} & 0 \\ \bar{c}_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{42} & \bar{c}_{23}^2\bar{q}_{32} & -\bar{q}_{22} & -c_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{12} \\ \bar{c}_{14}^2\bar{q}_{41} & \bar{c}_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{31} & -\bar{c}_{14}c_{23}\bar{q}_{21} & -\bar{q}_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

and it follows that

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23} & 0 \\ q_{31} & q_{32} & -\bar{q}_{22} & -c_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{12} \\ q_{41} & \bar{c}_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{31} & -\bar{c}_{14}c_{23}\bar{q}_{21} & -\bar{q}_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.14)$$

where  $q_{23} = c_{23}^2\bar{q}_{23}$ ,  $q_{32} = \bar{c}_{23}^2\bar{q}_{32}$ ,  $q_{41} = \bar{c}_{14}^2\bar{q}_{41}$ .

Thus the quasi-derivatives associated with the  $C$ -symmetric matrix  $Q$  are

$$\begin{aligned} y^{[0]} &= y, \quad y^{[1]} = \frac{1}{q_{12}} \{ (y^{[0]})' - q_{11}y \}, \\ y^{[2]} &= \frac{1}{q_{23}} \{ (y^{[1]})' - q_{21}y^{[0]} - q_{22}y^{[1]} \}, \\ y^{[3]} &= -\frac{1}{c_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{12}} \{ (y^{[2]})' - q_{31}y^{[0]} - q_{32}y^{[1]} + \bar{q}_{22}y^{[2]} \}, \\ y^{[4]} &= -c_{14} \{ (y^{[3]})' - q_{41}y^{[0]} - \bar{c}_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{31}y^{[1]} + \bar{c}_{14}c_{23}\bar{q}_{21}y^{[2]} + \bar{q}_{11}y^{[3]} \}_{14}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

So the fourth order  $C$ -symmetric quasi-differential expressions be given by

$$My = i^4 y^{[4]} = -c_{14} \{ (y^{[3]})' - q_{41}y^{[0]} - \bar{c}_{14}\bar{c}_{23}\bar{q}_{31}y^{[1]} + \bar{c}_{14}c_{23}\bar{q}_{21}y^{[2]} + \bar{q}_{11}y^{[3]} \}. \quad (4.16)$$

Set

$$My = \lambda wy, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $M$  is defined by (4.17). Then all self-adjoint extension  $S$  of minimal operator generated by (4.17) are characterized as follows:

$$\tilde{D}(S) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : A\tilde{Y}(a) + B\tilde{Y}(b) = 0 \right\}, \quad (4.18)$$

where  $A, B$  satisfy

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = 4, \quad AC_4A^* = BC_4B^*, \quad A, B \in M_4(\mathbb{C}), \quad (4.19)$$

and the quasi-derivatives in  $\tilde{Y}$  are defined by (4.15).

**Remark 4.4.** Note that  $q_{11} = q_{21} = q_{22} = q_{31} = 0$  and  $q_{12} = 1$  in (4.16) yields

$$My = c_{23}[(q_{23}^{-1}y'')' - q_{32}y']' + c_{14}q_{41}y. \quad (4.20)$$

Moreover,

(1) if  $\theta_1 = \pi$ ,  $\theta_2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $c_{14} = -1, c_{23} = 1$  in (4.20), then it is reduced to the real Lagrange symmetric differential expression [21]

$$My = [(q_{23}^{-1}y'')' - q_{32}y']' - q_{41}y, \quad (4.21)$$

where  $q_{23}^{-1}, q_{32}, q_{41}$  are reals.

For this Lagrange symmetric differential expression we have characterization of self-adjoint domains

$$D(S) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : AY(a) + BY(b) = 0, Y = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ \frac{1}{q_{23}}y'' \\ (\frac{1}{q_{23}}y'')' - q_{32}y' \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = 4, \quad AE_4A^* = BE_4B^*, \quad A, B \in M_4(\mathbb{C}).$$

(2) If  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$  in (4.20), then it is reduced to the modified Naimark form [14]

$$My = [(q_{23}^{-1}y'')' - q_{32}y']' + q_{41}y, \quad (4.23)$$

where  $q_{23}^{-1}, q_{32}, q_{41}$  are reals.

For this differential expression (4.23) we have the characterization of self-adjoint domains

$$\hat{D}(S) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : A\hat{Y}(a) + B\hat{Y}(b) = 0, \hat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ \frac{1}{q_{23}}y'' \\ q_{32}y' - (\frac{1}{q_{23}}y'')' \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = 4, \quad AF_4A^* = BF_4B^*, \quad A, B \in M_4(\mathbb{C}).$$

**Example 4.5.**  $n = 6$ . Let  $Q = (q_{r,s})_{r,s=1}^6 \in Z_6(J)$  is  $C$ -symmetric, where

$$C = C_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{16} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{c}_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{c}_{25} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{c}_{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.25)$$

Then we obtain

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q_{31} & q_{32} & q_{33} & q_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ q_{41} & q_{42} & q_{43} & -\bar{q}_{33} & -c_{25}\bar{c}_{34}\bar{q}_{23} & 0 \\ q_{51} & q_{52} & \bar{c}_{25}\bar{c}_{34}\bar{q}_{42} & -c_{34}\bar{c}_{25}\bar{q}_{32} & -\bar{q}_{22} & -c_{16}\bar{c}_{25}\bar{q}_{12} \\ q_{61} & \bar{c}_{16}\bar{c}_{25}\bar{q}_{51} & \bar{c}_{16}\bar{c}_{34}\bar{q}_{41} & -c_{34}\bar{c}_{16}\bar{q}_{31} & -c_{25}\bar{c}_{16}\bar{q}_{21} & -\bar{q}_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.26)$$

where  $q_{34} = c_{34}\bar{q}_{34}$ ,  $q_{43} = \bar{c}_{34}\bar{q}_{43}$ ,  $q_{52} = \bar{c}_{25}\bar{q}_{52}$ ,  $q_{61} = \bar{c}_{16}\bar{q}_{61}$ .

Then we have the  $C$ -symmetric quasi-derivatives below:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{[0]} &= y, \quad y^{[1]} = \frac{1}{q_{12}} \{(y^{[0]})' - q_{11}y\}, \\ y^{[2]} &= \frac{1}{q_{23}} \{(y^{[1]})' - q_{21}y^{[0]} - q_{22}y^{[1]}\}, \\ y^{[3]} &= \frac{1}{q_{34}} \{(y^{[2]})' - q_{31}y^{[0]} - q_{32}y^{[1]} - q_{33}y^{[2]}\}, \\ y^{[4]} &= -\frac{1}{c_{25}\bar{c}_{34}\bar{q}_{23}} \{(y^{[3]})' - q_{41}y^{[0]} - q_{42}y^{[1]} - q_{43}y^{[2]} + \bar{q}_{33}y^{[3]}\}, \\ y^{[5]} &= -\frac{1}{c_{16}\bar{c}_{25}\bar{q}_{12}} \{(y^{[4]})' - q_{51}y^{[0]} - q_{52}y^{[1]} - \bar{c}_{25}\bar{c}_{34}\bar{q}_{42}y^{[2]} + c_{34}\bar{c}_{25}\bar{q}_{32}y^{[3]} + \bar{q}_{22}y^{[4]}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

and  $My = M_Q y$  is given by

$$My = c_{16}(y^{[5]})' - c_{16}q_{61}y - \bar{c}_{25}\bar{q}_{51}y^{[1]} - \bar{c}_{34}\bar{q}_{41}y^{[2]} + c_{34}\bar{q}_{31}y^{[3]} + c_{25}\bar{q}_{21}y^{[4]} + \bar{q}_{11}y^{[5]}. \quad (4.28)$$

Set

$$My = \lambda w y, \quad (4.29)$$

where  $M$  is defined by (4.28). Then all self-adjoint extension  $S$  of minimal operator generated by (4.29) are characterized as follows:

$$\tilde{D}(S) = \left\{ y \in D_{\max} : A\tilde{Y}(a) + B\tilde{Y}(b) = 0, A, B \in M_6(\mathbb{C}) \right\}, \quad (4.30)$$

where  $A, B$  satisfy

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = 6, \quad AC_6A^* = BC_6B^*,$$

and  $\tilde{Y}$  are defined by (4.27).

Note that  $q_{11} = q_{21} = q_{22} = q_{31} = q_{32} = q_{33} = q_{41} = q_{42} = q_{51} = 0$  and  $q_{12} = q_{23} = 1$  in (4.28) yields

$$My = \{c_{34}[(q_{34}^{-1}y''')' - q_{43}y''']' + c_{25}q_{52}y'\}' - c_{16}q_{61}y. \quad (4.31)$$

Furthermore we observe that  $\theta_1 = \theta_3 = \pi$  and  $\theta_2 = 0$  in (4.31) yields the Lagrange symmetric expression

$$My = \{[(q_{34}^{-1}y''')' - q_{43}y'']' - q_{52}y'\}' - q_{61}y, \quad (4.32)$$

where  $q_{34}^{-1}$ ,  $q_{43}$ ,  $q_{52}$ ,  $q_{61}$  are real-valued functions.

For this Lagrange symmetric differential expression we have characterization of self-adjoint domains:

$$D(S) = \{y \in D_{\max} : AY(a) + BY(b) = 0, A, B \in M_6(\mathbb{C})\}, \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = 6, \quad AE_6A^* = BE_6B^*, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ y'' \\ \frac{1}{q_{34}}y''' \\ (\frac{1}{q_{34}}y''')' - q_{43}y'' \\ \{[q_{43}y'' - (\frac{1}{q_{34}}y''')']' - q_{52}y'\} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = 0$  in (4.31), then it is reduced to the real modified Naimark form

$$My = \{[(-q_{34}^{-1}y''')' + q_{43}y'']' - q_{52}y'\}' + q_{61}y, \quad (4.34)$$

where  $q_{34}^{-1}$ ,  $q_{43}$ ,  $q_{52}$ ,  $q_{61}$  are real-valued functions.

For this special expressions (4.34), we have the characterization of self-adjoint domains:

$$\widehat{D}(S) = \{y \in D_{\max} : A\widehat{Y}(a) + B\widehat{Y}(b) = 0, A, B \in M_6(\mathbb{C})\}, \quad (4.35)$$

where

$$\text{rank}(A : B) = 6, \quad AF_6A^* = BF_6B^*, \quad \widehat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ y'' \\ \frac{1}{q_{34}}y''' \\ q_{43}y'' - (\frac{1}{q_{34}}y''')' \\ q_{52}y' - [q_{43}y'' - (\frac{1}{q_{34}}y''')']' \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark 4.6.** (1) For  $n = 4$  and  $n = 6$ , (4.21) and (4.32) are generated by the following matrix form [21]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & q_{32} & 0 & 1 \\ q_{41} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{43} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_{52} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ q_{61} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. However, (4.23) and (4.34) are generated by the G-N type matrix function [14]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & q_{32} & 0 & -1 \\ q_{41} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{43} & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_{52} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ q_{51} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

(2) For  $n = 4$ , (4.18) contains the characterization (4.22) and (4.24). For  $n = 6$ , (4.30) contains the characterization (4.33) and (4.35).

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank both referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and helped comments. This has significantly improved the presentation of the paper. This Project was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 11561050, 11801286), Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia (No. 2018MS01021). The fourth author was supported by the Ky and Yu-fen Fan US-China Exchange fund through the American Mathematical Society. This made his visit to Inner Mongolia University possible where some part of this paper was completed. He also thanks the School of Mathematical Sciences of Inner Mongolia University for its hospitality.

## References

- [1] E. A. CODDINGTON, N. LEVENSON, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955. [MR0069338](#)
- [2] N. DUNFORD, J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators*, part II, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963. [MR1009163](#)
- [3] M. A. EL-GEBEILY, D. O'REGAN, R. AGARWAL, Characterization of self-adjoint ordinary differential operators, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **54**(2011), No. 1, 659–672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2011.03.009>; [MR2801919](#)
- [4] W. N. EVERITT, L. MARKUS, *Boundary value problems and symplectic algebra for ordinary differential and quasi-differential operators*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 61, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. [MR1647856](#); [Zbl 0909.34001](#)
- [5] W. N. EVERITT, F. NEUMAN, A concept of adjointness and symmetry of differential expressions based on the generalised Lagrange identity and Green's formula, in: *Ordinary differential equations and operators (Dundee, 1982)*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1032, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 161–169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0076797>; [MR0742639](#); [Zbl 0531.34002](#)
- [6] W. N. EVERITT, D. RACE, Some remarks on linear ordinary quasidifferential expressions, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **54**(1987), No. 2, 300–320. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-54.2.300>; [MR872809](#); [Zbl 0582.34007](#)
- [7] W. N. EVERITT, A. ZETTL, Generalized symmetric ordinary differential expressions. I. The general theory, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3)* **27**(1979), No. 3, 363–397. [MR0553264](#); [Zbl 0451.34009](#)
- [8] W. N. EVERITT, A. ZETTL, Differential operators generated by a countable number of quasi-differential expressions on the real line, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **64**(1992), No. 3, 524–544. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-64.3.524>; [MR1152996](#)



- [9] H. FRENTZEN, Equivalence, adjoints and symmetry of quasidifferential expressions with matrix-valued coefficients and polynomials in them, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **92**(1982), 123–146. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0308210500019995>; MR667131; Zbl 0506.34020
- [10] X. HAO, J. SUN, A. ZETTL, Canonical forms of self-adjoint boundary conditions for differential operators of order four, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **387**(2012), No. 2, 1176–1187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.10.025>; MR2853205; Zbl 1245.34018
- [11] X. HAO, M. ZHANG, J. SUN, A. ZETTL, Characterization of domains of self-adjoint ordinary differential operators of any order, even or odd, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2017**, No. 61, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2017.1.61>; MR3690230; Zbl 06931292
- [12] M. MÖLLER, A. ZETTL, Semi-boundedness of ordinary differential operators, *J. Differential Equations* **115**(1995), No. 1, 24–49. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1995.1002>; MR1308603; Zbl 0817.34047
- [13] M. MÖLLER, A. ZETTL, Symmetrical differential operators and their Friedrichs extension, *J. Differential Equations* **115**(1995), No. 1, 50–69. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1995.1003>; MR1308604
- [14] M. A. NAIMARK, *Linear differential operators*, Ungar, New York, 1968. MR0262880; Zbl 0227.34020
- [15] L. QING, X. L. HAO, J. SUN, Canonical forms of coupled self-adjoint boundary conditions for regular differential operators of order four, *Acta Math. Sin. Chin. Ser.* **61**(2018), No. 2, 301–308. Zbl 06960728
- [16] A. WANG, J. SUN, A. ZETTL, The classification of self-adjoint boundary conditions: separated, coupled, and mixed, *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**(2008), No. 6, 1554–1573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2008.05.003>; MR2565718; Zbl 1170.34017
- [17] A. WANG, J. SUN, A. ZETTL, Characterization of domains of self-adjoint ordinary differential operators, *J. Differential Equations* **246**(2009), No. 4, 1600–1622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2008.11.001>; MR2488698
- [18] J. WEIDMANN, *Spectral theory of ordinary differential operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0077960>; MR923320
- [19] A. ZETTL, Formally self-adjoint quasi-differential operators, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **5**(1975), 453–474. <https://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-1975-5-3-453>; MR0379976
- [20] A. ZETTL, *Sturm–Liouville theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 121, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR2170950
- [21] A. ZETTL, J. SUN, Survey article: Self-adjoint ordinary differential operators and their spectrum, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **45**(2015), No. 3, 763–886. <https://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-2015-45-3-763>; MR3385967; Zbl 1369.47057