

Stationary Solutions for a Schrödinger-Poisson System in \mathbb{R}^3 *

Khalid Benmlih

Abstract

Under appropriate, almost optimal, assumptions on the data we prove existence of standing wave solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the entire space \mathbb{R}^3 when the real electric potential satisfies a linear Poisson equation.

1 Introduction

Consider the time-dependent system which couples the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta u + (V + \tilde{V})u \quad (1.1)$$

with initial value $u(x, 0) = u(x)$, and the Poisson equation

$$-\Delta V = |u|^2 - n^*. \quad (1.2)$$

The dopant-density n^* and the effective potential \tilde{V} are given time-independent real functions. There are many papers dealing with the physical problem modelled by this system from which we mention Markowich, Ringhofer & Schmeiser [8]; Illner, Kaviani & Lange [3]; Nier [9]; Illner, Lange, Toomire & Zweifel [4], and references therein.

In this work we are mainly concerned with the proof of standing waves (actually ground states) of (1.1)–(1.2) in the entire space \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e. solutions of the form

$$u(x, t) = e^{i\omega t}u(x)$$

with real number ω (frequency) and real wave function u . Hence we are interested in the stationary system

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta u + (V + \tilde{V})u + \omega u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (1.3)$$

$$-\Delta V = |u|^2 - n^* \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (1.4)$$

* *Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 35J50, 35Q40.

Key words: Schrödinger equation, Poisson equation, standing wave solutions, variational methods.

©2002 Southwest Texas State University.

Published December 28, 2002.

under appropriate, almost optimal, assumptions on \tilde{V} and n^* . We suppose first that $\tilde{V} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $n^* \in L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Let us remark that if V_0 is such that $-\Delta V_0 = -n^*$ then $(0, V_0)$ is a solution of the system (1.3)-(1.4). But here, we deal with solutions (u, V) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u \not\equiv 0$.

F. Nier [9] has studied the system (1.3)-(1.4). He has showed the existence of a solution for small data i.e. when $\|\tilde{V}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|n^*\|_{L^2}$ are small enough. Conversely to our approach here, he has began by solving (1.3) for a fixed V and investigate the Poisson equation then obtained.

In this paper we solve first explicitly the Poisson equation (1.4) for a fixed u in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Next we substitute this solution $V = V(u)$ in the Schrödinger equation (1.3) and look into the solvability of

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta u + (V(u) + \tilde{V})u + \omega u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.5)$$

Using the explicit formula of $V(u)$, this equation appears as a *Hartree equation* studied by P.L. Lions [6] in the case where $n^* \equiv 0$ and $\tilde{V}(x) := -2/|x|$. The fact that \tilde{V} in [6] converges to zero at infinity plays a crucial role to prove existence of solutions. However, in this paper we show that a slight modification of the arguments used in that paper allows us to prove existence of a ground state in the case \tilde{V} satisfying (1.7), (1.9) and n^* not necessarily zero (but satisfying (1.8) and (1.9) as below).

Before giving our hypotheses on \tilde{V} and n^* let us define a decomposition which will be useful in the sequel.

Definition 1.1 We say that g satisfies the decomposition (1.6) if:

- (i) $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,
- (ii) $g \geq 0$, and
- (iii) There exists $q_0 \in [3/2, \infty]$: $\forall \lambda > 0 \exists g_{1\lambda} \in L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^3), g_{2\lambda} \in [3/2, \infty[$ and $g_{2\lambda} \in L^{q_\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$g = g_{1\lambda} + g_{2\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|g_{1\lambda}\|_{L^{q_0}} = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

For convenience, we use throughout this paper the following notations:

- $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$,
- \mathbb{I}_A denotes the characteristic function of the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$,
- $[F \leq \lambda]$ denotes the set $\{x; F(x) \leq \lambda\}$ for a function F and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us give now two examples of functions satisfying the conditions in Definition 1.1.

Example 1.2 The following two functions satisfy the decomposition (1.6):

- (i) $g(x) := 1/|x|^\alpha$ for some $0 < \alpha < 2$.
- (ii) $|g|$ where g is a function in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $r > 3/2$.

Proof. To prove (i) we write, for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} := \underbrace{\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} \mathbb{I}_{[|x|>1/\lambda]}}_{g_{1\lambda}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} \mathbb{I}_{[|x|\leq 1/\lambda]}}_{g_{2\lambda}}.$$

Elementary calculations give

$$\|g_{1\lambda}\|_{L^{q_0}}^{q_0} = \frac{4\pi}{\alpha q_0 - 3} (\lambda)^{\alpha q_0 - 3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_{2\lambda}\|_{L^q}^q = \frac{4\pi}{3 - \alpha q} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{3 - \alpha q}.$$

Hence it suffices to choose any finite numbers q_0, q such that $3/2 < q < 3/\alpha < q_0$.

To show (ii) write, as above,

$$|g| := \underbrace{|g| \mathbb{I}_{[|g|\leq \lambda]}}_{g_{1\lambda}} + \underbrace{|g| \mathbb{I}_{[|g|> \lambda]}}_{g_{2\lambda}}.$$

It is clear that $\|g_{1\lambda}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \lambda$ ($q_0 = \infty$) and $\|g_{2\lambda}\|_{L^r} \leq \|g\|_{L^r}$ ($q_\lambda = r$). \square

Hypotheses. In what follows we assume that

$$\tilde{V}^+ \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}^- \text{ satisfies the decomposition (1.6) ,} \quad (1.7)$$

where $\tilde{V}^+(x) := \max(\tilde{V}(x), 0)$ and $\tilde{V}^-(x) := \max(-\tilde{V}(x), 0)$. We suppose also that

$$n^* \in L^1 \cap L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad (1.8)$$

and finally if we denote by

$$\varrho(x) := 2\tilde{V}(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{n^*(y)}{|x-y|} dy$$

we assume that

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 + \varrho(x)\varphi^2) dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^2 = 1 \right\} < 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Remark that in the case of [6] (where $n^* \equiv 0$ and $\tilde{V}(x) := -2/|x|$), all the three hypotheses above are satisfied. Indeed, (1.7) and (1.8) follow from (i) of Example 1.2. Moreover, if we consider $\Phi(x) := e^{-2|x|}$ then it verifies

$$-\Delta \Phi - 4 \frac{\Phi}{|x|} = -4\Phi,$$

and consequently

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|} dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^2 = 1 \right\} < 0$$

i.e.(1.9) is satisfied also.

Our main result is the following. We prove that the Schrödinger-Poisson system (1.3)-(1.4) has a ground state, minimizing the energy functional corresponding to (1.5), given by (see Lemma 2.2):

$$E(\varphi) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla V(\varphi)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{V} \varphi^2 dx + \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi^2 dx \quad (1.10)$$

Theorem 1.3 *Under the assumptions (1.7), (1.8), and (1.9) there exists $\omega_* > 0$ such that for all $0 < \omega < \omega_*$ the equation (1.5) has a nonnegative solution $u \neq 0$ which minimizes the functional E :*

$$E(u) = \min_{\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} E(\varphi).$$

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we present some preliminary lemmas which will be useful in the sequel. In section 3, we conclude by proving our main result.

2 Preliminary results

In this section we present a few preliminary lemmas which shall be required in several proofs. Recall (cf. [7, Theorem I.1] or [10, p.151]) that $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

By a Sobolev inequality, $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuously embedded in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, an equivalent characterization is

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ \varphi \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3); |\nabla \varphi| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \}.$$

For the solvability of the Poisson equation (1.3) we state the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *For all $f \in L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the equation*

$$-\Delta W = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (2.1)$$

has a unique solution $W \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ given by

$$W(f)(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} dy. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. The existence and the uniqueness of the solution of (2.1) follow from corollary 3.1.4 of reference [5], by minimizing on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f v dx.$$

For this, using Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities we check easily that J is coercive (that is $J(v_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|v_n\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \rightarrow \infty$), strictly convex, lower semi-continuous and C^1 on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence J attains its minimum at $W \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is the unique solution of (2.1).

By uniqueness, W is the Newtonian potential of f and has (cf. [1, p.235]) an explicit formula given by (2.2). Furthermore, multiplying (2.1) by W and integrating we obtain

$$\|\nabla W\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x)W(x)dx.$$

After using Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we get

$$\|\nabla W\| \leq S_*^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^{6/5}} \quad (2.3)$$

where S_* is the best Sobolev constant in

$$\|v\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq S_* \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence the linear mapping $f \mapsto W$ is continuous from $L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ into $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

□

Now in order to find a solution of equation (1.5), we are going to show that the operator

$$v \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} \Delta v + (W(|v|^2 - n^*) + \tilde{V})v + \omega v$$

is the derivative of a functional $I : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and hence equation (1.5) has a variational structure. To this end, we have the following lemma (see also [3])

Lemma 2.2 *Let $n^* \in L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. For $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we denote by $V(\varphi) := W(|\varphi|^2 - n^*)$ the unique solution of (2.1) when $f := |\varphi|^2 - n^*$. Define*

$$I(\varphi) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla V(\varphi)|^2 dx.$$

Then I is C^1 on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and its derivative is given by

$$\langle I'(\varphi), \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varphi) \varphi \psi dx \quad \forall \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Note that if $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then, by interpolation, $|\varphi|^2 \in L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. So taking $f = |\varphi|^2 - n^*$ and multiplying the equation (2.1) by $V(\varphi) := W(|\varphi|^2 - n^*)$ we deduce that $\|\nabla V(\varphi)\|^2 = \int f(x)V(\varphi)(x)dx$, and hence in view of (2.2) we get

$$I(\varphi) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \int \frac{(|\varphi|^2 - n^*)(x)(|\varphi|^2 - n^*)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy. \quad (2.6)$$

Using this expression, we show easily that (2.5) holds for the Gâteaux differential of I i.e. for all $\varphi, \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I(\varphi + t\psi) - I(\varphi)}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varphi)\varphi\psi dx,$$

and that the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \varphi V(\varphi)$ is continuous on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus I is Frechet differentiable and C^1 on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and its derivative satisfies (2.5). \square

At certain steps of our proof of Theorem 1.3, we need some estimates for which we will use the next inequalities.

Lemma 2.3 (i) *If $\theta \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $r \geq 3/2$ then $\forall \delta > 0, \exists C_\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \theta(x)|\varphi(x)|^2 dx \leq \delta \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + C_\delta \|\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad (2.7)$$

(ii) *For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ one has*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi(x)|^2}{|x - y|^2} dx \leq 4 \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \quad (2.8)$$

(iii) *For any $\delta > 0$ and all $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi(x)|^2}{|x - y|} dx \leq \delta \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \frac{4}{\delta} \|\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. In order to prove (i) we show first that (2.7) holds for any $\theta \in L^\infty + L^{3/2}$ and conclude since $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $r \geq 3/2$. Let $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ with $\theta_1 \in L^\infty$ and $\theta_2 \in L^{3/2}$. Then for each $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \theta(x)|\varphi(x)|^2 dx &\leq \|\theta_1\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|^2 + \lambda \int_{[|\theta_2| \leq \lambda]} |\varphi|^2 dx + \int_{[|\theta_2| > \lambda]} |\theta_2| |\varphi|^2 dx \\ &\leq (\|\theta_1\|_{L^\infty} + \lambda) \|\varphi\|^2 + \|\theta_2\|_{L^{3/2}([|\theta_2| > \lambda])} \|\varphi\|_{L^6}^2 \\ &\leq (\|\theta_1\|_{L^\infty} + \lambda) \|\varphi\|^2 + S_* \|\theta_2^\lambda\|_{L^{3/2}} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where S_* is the best Sobolev constant in (2.4) and θ_2^λ denotes $\theta_2^\lambda := \theta_2 \mathbb{I}_{[|\theta_2| > \lambda]}$. It is clear that $|\theta_2^\lambda| \leq |\theta_2|$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and that $\theta_2^\lambda \rightarrow 0$ pointwise a.e. when $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $\theta_2 \in L^{3/2}$ then by Lebesgue convergence theorem we infer that $\|\theta_2^\lambda\|_{L^{3/2}}$ converges to zero. Hence for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $K_\delta > 0$ such that if $\lambda \geq K_\delta$ one has $S_* \|\theta_2^\lambda\|_{L^{3/2}} \leq \delta$. Choosing $C_\delta := \|\theta_1\|_{L^\infty} + K_\delta$ we deduce that (2.7) holds for all $\theta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Regarding (ii), (2.8) is the classical Hardy inequality (see [2]).
 Finally, to show (iii) for all $\delta > 0$ and any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi(x)|^2}{|x-y|} dx &= \int_{|x-y| < \frac{\delta}{4}} \frac{|\varphi(x)|^2}{|x-y|^2} |x-y| dx + \int_{|x-y| \geq \frac{\delta}{4}} \frac{|\varphi(x)|^2}{|x-y|} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi(x)|^2}{|x-y|^2} dx + \frac{4}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

and (2.9) holds by using Hardy inequality (2.8). \square

Remark 2.4 Note that \tilde{V}^- satisfies the inequality (2.7) i.e. $\forall \delta > 0 \exists C_\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{V}^-(x) |\varphi(x)|^2 dx \leq \delta \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + C_\delta \|\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.10)$$

Indeed, by (1.7) \tilde{V}^- satisfies the decomposition (1.6). Then for a fixed $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\tilde{V}^- = \tilde{V}_{1\lambda}^- + \tilde{V}_{2\lambda}^-$$

where for $i = 1, 2$, $\tilde{V}_{i\lambda}^- \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $s \in [3/2, \infty]$ ($s = q_0$ or $s = q_\lambda$). Hence by Lemma 2.3 each $\tilde{V}_{i\lambda}^-$ satisfies the inequality (2.7) and consequently \tilde{V}^- also.

To finish this section we state the following convergence Lemma.

Lemma 2.5 Let $\psi \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $r > 3/2$. If $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi(x) v_n^2(x) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

Proof. Consider the subset of \mathbb{R}^3 , $A_\lambda := \{|\psi| > \lambda\}$ and a compact subset K of A_λ suitably chosen later. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|(x) v_n^2(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 - A_\lambda} |\psi| v_n^2 dx + \int_{A_\lambda - K} |\psi| v_n^2 dx + \int_K |\psi| v_n^2 dx \\ &\leq \lambda \|v_n\|^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^r(A_\lambda - K)} \|v_n\|_{L^{2r'}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_n\|_{L^{2r'}(K)}^2 \\ &\leq \lambda C_0 + C_1 \|\psi\|_{L^r(A_\lambda - K)} + \|\psi\|_{L^r(K)} \|v_n\|_{L^{2r'}(K)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$. In the last inequality we used that $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (note that $2 < 2r' < 6$). For a given arbitrary $\delta > 0$, we fix first λ such that $\lambda C_0 \leq \frac{\delta}{3}$. Next we choose a compact subset $K \subset A_\lambda$ such that

$$C_1 \|\psi\|_{L^r(A_\lambda - K)} \leq \frac{\delta}{3}$$

and finally since $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $2 < 2r' < 6$ then up a subsequence $\|v_n\|_{L^{2r'}(K)}^2$ converges to 0 and therefore there exists $N_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N_\delta$ we get

$$\|\psi\|_{L^r(K)} \|v_n\|_{L^{2r'}(K)}^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{3}$$

which completes the proof. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Now we are in position to prove our main result. To this end, we shall minimize the energy functional

$$E(\varphi) := \frac{1}{4} \int |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + I(\varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{V} \varphi^2 dx + \frac{\omega}{2} \int \varphi^2 dx$$

whose critical points correspond, on account of Lemma 2.2, to solutions of (1.5). Using (2.6), we may decompose $E(\varphi)$ as

$$E(\varphi) = E_1(\varphi) - E_2(\varphi) + E_3(\varphi) + E(0) \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\varphi) &:= \frac{1}{4} \int |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{V}^+ \varphi^2 dx + \frac{\omega}{2} \int \varphi^2 dx \\ E_2(\varphi) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{V}^- \varphi^2 dx + \frac{1}{8\pi} \iint \frac{n^*(y)}{|x-y|} \varphi^2(x) dx dy \\ E_3(\varphi) &:= \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint \frac{\varphi^2(x) \varphi^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ E(0) &:= \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint \frac{n^*(x) n^*(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is divided into the four following Lemmas:

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\omega > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If the set $[E \leq c]$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then it is also bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.*

Proof. By the expression (3.1), $E(\varphi) \leq c$ implies in particular

$$\frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 - E_2(\varphi) \leq c_0 \quad (3.2)$$

where $c_0 := c - E(0)$ and since the other terms are nonnegative. To estimate $E_2(\varphi)$ we use (2.9) which gives for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{n^*(y)}{|x-y|} \varphi^2(x) dx dy \leq \left(\delta \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \frac{4}{\delta} \|\varphi\|^2 \right) \|n^*\|_{L^1}.$$

Using this inequality, Remark 2.4 and choosing δ such that $\delta(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\|n^*\|_{L^1}}{8\pi}) < \frac{1}{8}$ we obtain

$$E_2(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + K_0\|\varphi\|^2 \quad (3.3)$$

where K_0 is a positive constant. In Consequence (3.2) gives

$$\frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 \leq K_0\|\varphi\|^2 + c_0.$$

Lemma 3.2 For all $\omega > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $[E \leq c]$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $(u_j)_j \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $E(u_j) \leq c$ and $\|u_j\| \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $v_j := u_j/\|u_j\|$ then $\|v_j\| = 1$ and from $E(u_j) \leq c$ we get

$$\frac{1}{4} \int |\nabla v_j|^2 dx - E_2(v_j) + E_3(v_j)\|u_j\|^2 + \frac{\omega}{2} \leq \frac{c_0}{\|u_j\|^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

By using the estimate (3.3) for $\varphi := v_j$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{8}\|\nabla v_j\|^2 + E_3(v_j)\|u_j\|^2 + \frac{\omega}{2} \leq \frac{c_0}{\|u_j\|^2} + K_0. \quad (3.5)$$

Since ω and $E_3(v_j)$ are nonnegative, this inequality implies that $(v_j)_j$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and that $E_3(v_j)\|u_j\|^2$ is also bounded; i.e.

$$\left(\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{v_j^2(x)v_j^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \right) \|u_j\|^2 \leq c_1.$$

Let then $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that for a subsequence of v_j , noted again v_j , we have $v_j \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $v_j \rightarrow v$ pointwise almost everywhere and v_j^2 converging to v^2 strongly in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $1 \leq p < 3$. By Fatou's Lemma we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{v^2(x)v^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{v_j^2(x)v_j^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{c_1}{\|u_j\|^2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $v \equiv 0$. On the other hand, it follows from (3.4) that

$$\frac{\omega}{2} - E_2(v_j) \leq \frac{c_0}{\|u_j\|^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Set

$$h(x) := \tilde{V}^-(x) + V^*(x) \quad (3.7)$$

where $V^*(x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{n^*(y)}{|x-y|} dy$ is the Newtonian potential of n^* given by Lemma 2.1. Then (3.6) is equivalent to

$$\omega - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)v_j^2(x) dx \leq \frac{2c_0}{\|u_j\|^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Using successively the hypothesis (1.7) and Lemma 2.5 we may show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)v_j^2(x)dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Passing to the limit in (3.8) we infer that $\omega \leq 0$ which is a contradiction. In conclusion, any $(u_j)_j \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $E(u_j) \leq c$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. \square

Lemma 3.3 *For any $\omega > 0$ the functional E is weakly lower semi-continuous on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and attains its minimum on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ at $u \geq 0$.*

Proof. First, to show that the functional E is weakly lower semi-continuous, remark that in the expression (3.1) the term E_1 and E_3 are continuous and convex (therefore weakly lower semi-continuous). Then we just have to prove that $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u^2(x)dx$ is weakly sequentially continuous on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ where h is defined by (3.7). Consider $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u_j^2(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)(u_j - u)^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u(u_j - u)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u^2 dx.$$

Taking $(u_j - u)$ instead of v_j in (3.9) we infer that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)(u_j - u)^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, similarly to the proof of (3.9) we show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u(u_j - u)dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

and consequently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u_j^2(x)dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u^2(x)dx \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x)u^2(x)dx$ is weakly sequentially continuous on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and therefore E is weakly lower semi-continuous on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Next, if we denote $\mu := \inf \{E(\varphi); \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$ and $(u_n)_n \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ a minimizing sequence then by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and therefore there exists $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The functional E being weakly lower semi-continuous on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have

$$E(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E(u_n) = \mu$$

and consequently $E(u) = \mu$. Since E is C^1 on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then $E'(u) = 0$ and in view of Lemma 2.2, u is a solution of the equation (1.5).

Let us remark finally that by a simple inspection we have $E(|u|) \leq E(u)$ and therefore we may assume that $u \geq 0$. \square

Lemma 3.4 *There exists $\omega_* > 0$ such that if $0 < \omega < \omega_*$ then $E(u) < E(0)$ and thus $u \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assuming (1.9), there exist $\mu_1 < 0$ and $\varphi_1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\int |\varphi_1|^2 = 1$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi_1|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho(x) \varphi_1^2(x) dx < \mu_1.$$

From (3.1) we observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho(x) \varphi^2(x) dx = 4E_1(\varphi) - 4E_2(\varphi) - 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi^2(x) dx.$$

Then the last inequality gives

$$E_1(\varphi) - E_2(\varphi) - \frac{\omega}{2} < \frac{\mu_1}{4}.$$

Now, for $t > 0$ and using again (3.1) we compute easily

$$\begin{aligned} E(t\varphi_1) - E(0) &= t^2 E_1(\varphi_1) - t^2 E_2(\varphi_1) + t^4 E_3(\varphi_1) \\ &< \frac{t^2}{4} [(\mu_1 + 2\omega) + 4t^2 E_3(\varphi_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $(\mu_1 + 2\omega) < 0$ there exists $t_* > 0$ small enough such that for all $0 < t \leq t_*$,

$$(\mu_1 + 2\omega) + 4t^2 E_3(\varphi_1) < 0.$$

In other words, setting $\omega_* := -\mu_1/2$ then if $0 < \omega < \omega_*$ we have $E(t\varphi_1) < E(0)$ for $0 < t \leq t_*$. Since $E(u) := \inf\{E(\varphi); \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$, this implies that $E(u) < E(0)$ and consequently $u \neq 0$. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is thus complete. \square

Remark 3.5 If n^* is nonnegative then we may replace the assumption (1.9) by the next one

$$\inf \left\{ \int |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + 2 \int \tilde{V}(x) \varphi^2 dx; \int |\varphi|^2 = 1 \right\} < 0$$

which does not depend on n^* and implies obviously (1.9).

Acknowledgments. The author acknowledges the hospitality of Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées de Versailles (France) where a part of this work was done. He is grateful to Otared Kavian for very valuable discussions and suggestions.

References

- [1] D. Gilbard & N.S. Trudinger: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*; 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin 1983.
- [2] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood & G. Pólya : *Inequalities*; Cambridge University Press, London 1952.

- [3] R. Illner, O. Kavian & H. Lange: Stationary solutions of quasi-linear Schrödinger–Poisson systems, *J. Differential equations* 145(1998), 1-16.
- [4] R. Illner, H. Lange, B. Toomire & P. Zweifel: On quasi-linear Schrödinger–Poisson systems; *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 20 (1997), 1223-1238.
- [5] O. Kavian: *Introduction à la Théorie des Points Critiques*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] P. L. Lions: Some remarks on Hartree equation; *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* 5 (1981), 1245-1256.
- [7] P. L. Lions: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case, Part 1; *Revista Mathematica Iberoamericana*, 1 (1985), 145-201.
- [8] P. A. Markowich, C. Ringhofer & C. Schmeiser: *Semiconductor Equations* ; Springer, Wien 1990.
- [9] F. Nier: Schrödinger–Poisson systems in dimension $d \leq 3$, the whole space case; *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 123A (1993), 1179-1201.
- [10] M. Struwe: *Variational methods, Application to nonlinear PDE & Hamiltonian systems*; 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin 1996.

KHALID BENMLIH

Department of Economic Sciences, University of Fez

P.O. Box 42A, Fez, Morocco.

E-mail: kbenmlih@hotmail.com