

## HOPF-TYPE ESTIMATES FOR SOLUTIONS TO HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS WITH CONCAVE-CONVEX INITIAL DATA

NGUYEN HUU THO & TRAN DUC VAN

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem for the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with concave-convex initial data. A Hopf-type formula for global Lipschitz solutions and estimates for viscosity solutions of this problem are obtained using techniques of multifunctions and convex analysis.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation of the works [10] and [8], where the explicit solutions via Hopf-type formulas of the Cauchy problem to the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with concave-convex hamiltonians were considered. Namely, we consider the Cauchy problem for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + H\left(t, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = 0 \quad \text{in } U := \{t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0, x) = \phi(x) \quad \text{on } \{t = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $\partial/\partial x = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ , the Hamiltonian  $H = H(t, p)$  and  $\phi = \phi(x)$  are given functions, and  $u = u(t, x)$  is unknown.

In this paper we shall assume that  $n = n_1 + n_2$  and that the variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is separated as  $x = (x', x'')$  with  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ,  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , similarly for  $p, q, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, the zero-vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  will be  $0 = (0', 0'')$ , where  $0'$  and  $0''$  stand for the zero-vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , respectively.

**Definition.** A function  $g = g(x', x'')$  is called concave-convex if it is concave in  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  for each  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  and convex in  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  for each  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ .

For results on the concave-convex functions the reader is referred to [7], [8], [10].

In [10, Chapter 10], Van, Tsuji and Thai Son proposed to examine a class of concave-convex functions in a more general framework where the discussion of the global Legendre transformation still make sense.

Bardi and Faggian [2] found explicit pointwise upper and lower bounds of Hopf-type for the viscosity solutions under the following hypotheses:  $H$  depends only on

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A05, 35F20, 35F25.

*Key words and phrases.* Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Hopf-type formula, global Lipschitz solutions, viscosity solutions.

©2003 Southwest Texas State University.

Submitted July 3, 2002. Published May 21, 2003.

Partially supported by the National Council on Natural Science, Vietnam.

$p$  and is a concave-convex function given by the difference of convex functions,

$$H(p', p'') := H_1(p') - H_2(p''),$$

and  $\phi$  is uniformly continuous. Also if  $H \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\phi = \phi(x)$  is concave-convex function given by special representation  $\phi(x) = \phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)$ , where  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are convex and Lipschitz continuous.

Barron, Jensen and Liu [3] and Van, Thanh [11] found Hopf-type estimates for viscosity solutions to the corresponding Cauchy problem when the Hamiltonian  $H(\gamma, p)$ ,  $(\gamma, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , is a D. C. function in  $p$ , i.e.,

$$H(\gamma, p) = H_1(\gamma, p) - H_2(\gamma, p), \quad (\gamma, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $H_i(\gamma, p)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is a convex function in  $p$ .

Ngoan [6], Thai Son [8], Van, Tsuji and Thai Son [10] obtained explicit global Lipschitz solutions and upper and lower bounds of viscosity solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with concave-convex hamiltonians via Hopf-type formulas.

The aim of this paper is to look for explicit global Lipschitz solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) and to establish pointwise upper and lower bounds of Hopf-type for viscosity solutions when the initial function  $\phi = \phi(x) = \phi(x', x'')$  is concave-convex on  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ .

**Definition.** A function  $u = u(t, x)$  in  $\text{Lip}(\bar{U})$  will be called a global Lipschitz solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) if it satisfies (1.1) almost everywhere (a. e.) in  $U$ , with  $u(0, x) = \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 2. HOPF-TYPE FORMULA FOR GLOBAL LIPSCHITZ SOLUTIONS

We consider the Cauchy problem for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$u_t + H(t, Du) = 0 \quad \text{in } U := \{t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(0, x) = \phi(x) \quad \text{on } \{t = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the Hamiltonian  $H$  depends on the variable  $t$  and the spatial derivatives  $Du$ .

We note that Van, Tsuji, Hoang and Thai Son [9], [10] have obtained a Hopf-type formula with the initial function  $\phi = \phi(x)$  nonconvex and  $H$  merely continuous. Moreover, a global Lipschitz solution of (2.1)–(2.2) is given by an explicit Hopf-type formula in the following case (see Chap. 9, [10]): The Hamiltonian (depends explicitly on  $t$ )  $H = H(t, p)$  is continuous in  $U_G := \{(t, p) : t \in (0, +\infty) \setminus G, p \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  where  $G$  is closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure zero; and, for each  $N \in (0, +\infty)$  corresponds a function  $g_N := g_N(t) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  so that

$$\sup_{|p| \leq N} |H(t, p)| \leq g_N(t) \quad \text{for almost } t \in (0, +\infty);$$

while the initial function  $\phi = \phi(x)$  satisfies one of the following two conditions:

- (1)  $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ , where  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are convex functions;
- (2)  $\phi$  is minimum of a family of convex functions.

In this section, we look for explicit global Lipschitz solutions of problem (2.1)–(2.2), where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n = n_1 + n_2$ ,  $x = (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  and the initial-valued function  $\phi = \phi(x) := \phi(x', x'')$  is a strictly concave-convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$\lim_{|x''| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi(x', x'')}{|x''|} = +\infty \text{ for each } x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\lim_{|x'| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi(x', x'')}{|x'|} = -\infty \text{ for each } x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}. \tag{2.4}$$

We now consider the Cauchy problem (2.1)–(2.2) with the following hypotheses:

(M1) The Hamiltonian  $H = H(t, p)$  is continuous in

$$U_G := \{(t, p) : t \in (0, +\infty) \setminus G, p \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

with  $G$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure 0. Moreover, for each  $N \in (0, +\infty)$  there corresponds a function  $g_N := g_N(t) \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  so that

$$\sup_{|p| \leq N} |H(t, p)| \leq g_N(t) \text{ for almost } t \in (0, +\infty);$$

(M2) The equality

$$\sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, p) = \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, p)$$

is satisfied in  $U$ , where

$$\varphi(t, x, p) := \langle p, x \rangle - \phi^*(p) - \int_0^t H(\tau, p) d\tau \tag{2.5}$$

for  $(t, x) = (t, x', x'') \in U$ ,  $p = (p', p'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ . Here,  $\phi^*$  denotes the conjugate of  $\phi$  which is defined as in Section 3 later.

(M3) To each bounded subset  $V$  of  $U$  there corresponds a positive number  $N(V)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\substack{|q''| \leq N(V) \\ q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}}} \inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', q'') &> \inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', p''), \\ \min_{\substack{|q'| \leq N(V) \\ q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}}} \sup_{q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, q', q'') &< \sup_{q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, p', q''), \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $(t, x) \in V$ ,  $p = (p', p'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  and  $\min\{|p'|, |p''|\} > N(V)$ .

The main result of this Section is as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a strictly concave-convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with (2.3)–(2.4) and assume M1–M3. Then the formula*

$$u(t, x) := \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, p) = \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, p), \tag{2.6}$$

for  $(t, x) \in U$ , determines a global Lipschitz solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1)–(2.2).

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemmas, which are similar to the lemmas 10.5 and 10.6 in [10].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\eta = \eta(\xi, p) = \eta(\xi, p', p'')$  be a continuous function on  $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  with the following properties:*

(1) *The equality*

$$\sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \eta(\xi, p) = \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \eta(\xi, p)$$

is satisfied in  $\mathcal{O}$ ;

(2) *There is a nonempty subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  such that  $\eta(\xi, p)$  is finite on  $\mathcal{O} \times E$  and  $\eta(\xi, p) \equiv -\infty$  on  $\mathcal{O} \times E^c$ , where  $E^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ . Moreover, for each bounded subset  $V$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , corresponds a positive number  $N(V)$  such that*

$$\max_{\substack{|q''| \leq N(V) \\ q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}}} \inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \eta(\xi, q', q'') > \inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \eta(\xi, q', p''),$$

and

$$\min_{\substack{|q'| \leq N(V) \\ q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}}} \sup_{q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \eta(\xi, q', q'') < \sup_{q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \eta(\xi, p', q''),$$

whenever  $\xi \in V$ ,  $p = (p', p'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  and  $\min\{|p'|, |p''|\} > N(V)$ ;

(3) *For each fixed  $p$  of  $E$ ,  $\eta = \eta(\xi, p)$  is differentiable in  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$  with continuous gradient*

$$\partial\eta/\partial\xi = \partial\eta(\xi, p)/\partial\xi$$

on  $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ .

Then we have:

i. *The function*

$$\psi = \psi(\xi) := \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \eta(\xi, p) = \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \eta(\xi, p)$$

is a locally Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

ii.  $\psi = \psi(\xi)$  is directionally differentiable in  $\mathcal{O}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_e \psi(\xi) &= \max_{p'' \in L''(\xi)} \min_{p' \in L'(\xi)} \langle \partial\eta(\xi, p', p'')/\partial\xi, e \rangle \\ &= \min_{p' \in L'(\xi)} \max_{p'' \in L''(\xi)} \langle \partial\eta(\xi, p', p'')/\partial\xi, e \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{O}, e \in \mathbb{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L'(\xi) := \{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} : \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \eta(\xi, p', p'') = \psi(\xi)\} \quad (2.7)$$

$$L''(\xi) := \{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2} : \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \eta(\xi, p', p'') = \psi(\xi)\}. \quad (2.8)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that the conditions 1–2 in Lemma 2.2 are satisfied for a continuous function  $\eta = \eta(\xi, p', p'')$  on  $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ . Then (2.7)–(2.8) determines the non-empty valued, closed, locally bounded multifunction  $L = L(\xi) := L'(\xi) \times L''(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* . We can verify that the function

$$\eta = \eta(\xi, p) := \varphi(t, x, p)$$

satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 2.2, where

$$E := \text{dom } \phi^* \neq \emptyset, \quad m := 1 + n = 1 + n_1 + n_2, \quad \xi := (t, x).$$

Here we put  $\mathcal{O} := \bar{U}$  and conclude that

$$L(t, x) = L'(t, x) \times L''(t, x) = \{p \in E : \varphi(t, x, p) = u(t, x)\}$$

determines a nonempty-valued, locally bounded, closed multifunction  $L = L(t, x)$  of  $(t, x) \in \bar{U}$ . Take arbitrary an  $r \in (0, +\infty)$  and denote

$$V_r = \{(t, x) \in \bar{U} : t + |x| < r\}, \quad N_r = N(V_r).$$

Let  $g_{N_r} = g_{N_r}(t)$  as be in the condition M1. Then for any two points  $(t^1, x^1)$  and  $(t^2, x^2)$  are in  $V_r$ , we may choose an element  $p = (p^1, p^2) \in L'(t^1, x^1) \times L''(t^2, x^2)$  of the nonempty set

$$L'(t^1, x^1) \times L''(t^2, x^2) \subset \bar{B}^{n_1}(0', N_r) \times \bar{B}^{n_2}(0'', N_r)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t^2, x^2) - u(t^1, x^1) &= \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t^2, x^2, p', p''^2) - \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t^1, x^1, p^1, p'') \\ &\leq \varphi(t^2, x^2, p^1, p''^2) - \varphi(t^1, x^1, p^1, p''^2) \\ &= \varphi(t^2, x^2, p) - \varphi(t^1, x^1, p) \\ &= \langle p, x^2 - x^1 \rangle + \int_{t_2}^{t_1} H(\tau, p) d\tau \\ &\leq N_r \cdot |x^2 - x^1| + s_r \cdot |t^2 - t^1| \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_r = \text{ess sup}_{t \in (0, r)} g_{N_r}(t)$ . Dually,

$$u(t^1, x^1) - u(t^2, x^2) \leq N_r \cdot |x^2 - x^1| + s_r \cdot |t^2 - t^1|.$$

Hence,  $u = u(t, x)$  is a locally Lipschitz continuous in  $\bar{U}$  and thus it be long to  $Lip(\bar{U})$ . Next, let  $e^o := (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$ ,  $e^1 := (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e^n := (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We now replace in Lemma 2.2 the set  $\mathcal{O} := U_G$ . From this lemma we see that  $u = u(t, x)$  is directionally differentiable in  $U_G$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{e^o} u(t, x) &= \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \{-H(t, p), p \in L(t, x)\} \\ &= \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \{-H(t, p), p \in L(t, x)\}, \\ \partial_{-e^o} u(t, x) &= \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \{H(t, p), p \in L(t, x)\} \\ &= \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \{H(t, p), p \in L(t, x)\}; \end{aligned}$$

and for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{e^i} u(t, x) &= \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \{p_i, p \in L(t, x)\} \\ &= \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \{p_i, p \in L(t, x)\}, \\ \partial_{-e^i} u(t, x) &= \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \{-p_i, p \in L(t, x)\} \\ &= \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \{-p_i, p \in L(t, x)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Since  $u = u(t, x)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous in  $\bar{U}$ , according to Rademacher's Theorem, there exists a set  $\mathcal{Q} \subset U$  of  $((n + 1)$  dimensional) Lebesgue measure 0 such that  $u = u(t, x)$  is differentiable with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} &= \partial_{e^o} u(t, x) = -\partial_{-e^o} u(t, x), \\ \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_i} &= \partial_{e^i} u(t, x) = -\partial_{-e^i} u(t, x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

at any point  $(t, x) \in U \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ . Hence, (2.9)–(2.10) show that the equalities for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_i} &= \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \{p_i, p \in L(t, x)\} \\ &= \min_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \max_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \{p_i, p \in L(t, x)\} \\ &= \min_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \max_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \{p_i, p \in L(t, x)\} \\ &= \max_{p' \in L'(t, x)} \min_{p'' \in L''(t, x)} \{p_i, p \in L(t, x)\} \end{aligned}$$

hold for all  $(t, x) \in U \setminus \{\mathcal{P} := (G \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cup \mathcal{Q}\} =: U_{\mathcal{P}}$ , this implies

$$L(t, x) = \left\{ \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x} \right\}, \quad (t, x) \in U_{\mathcal{P}};$$

and we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = \{-H(t, p), p \in L(t, x)\}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} + H(t, \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x}) = -H(t, \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x}) + H(t, \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x}) = 0$$

hold almost everywhere in  $U$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, x) &= u(0, x', x'') \\ &= \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \{\langle p', x' \rangle + \langle p'', x'' \rangle - \phi^*(p', p'')\} \\ &= \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{\langle p', x' \rangle + \langle p'', x'' \rangle - \phi^*(p', p'')\} \\ &= (\phi^*(p', p''))^* = \phi(x', x'') = \phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x = (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ . From what has already been proved, we conclude that  $u = u(t, x)$  is a global Lipschitz solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1)–(2.2).  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** If  $n_2 = 0$ , we obtain the Hopf-type formulas of the Cauchy problem for the convex initial data as in Chapter 8 [10].

**Remark 2.5.** Assume (M1), (M2). Then (M3) is satisfied if

$$\inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, p', p'') \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{locally uniformly in } (t, x) \in \bar{U} \text{ as } |p''| \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$\sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, p', p'') \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{locally uniformly in } (t, x) \in \bar{U} \text{ as } |p'| \rightarrow +\infty$$

i.e, if the following statement holds:

For any  $\lambda$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and any bounded subset  $V$  of  $\bar{U}$ , there exists positive numbers  $N(\lambda, V)$  and  $N(\mu, V)$ , respectively, so that

$$\inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', p'') < \lambda \quad \text{whenever } (t, x) \in V, |p''| > N(\lambda, V)$$

and

$$\sup_{q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, p', q'') > \mu \quad \text{whenever } (t, x) \in V, |p'| > N(\mu, V).$$

Indeed, fix an arbitrary  $q^0 = (q^{0'}, q^{0''})$  in the domain of  $\phi^*$ , which is not empty. Since the finite function  $\bar{U} \ni (t, x) \mapsto \varphi(t, x, q^0)$  is continuous, it follows that: for any bounded subset  $V$  of  $\bar{U}$ ,

$$\lambda_V := \inf_{(t,x) \in V} \varphi(t, x, q^0) > -\infty,$$

$$\mu_V := \sup_{(t,x) \in V} \varphi(t, x, q^0) < +\infty.$$

Under the hypothesis above, we certainly find a number  $N(\lambda, V) \geq |q^{0''}|$  (for each such  $V$ ) so that

$$\inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', p'') < \lambda_V = \inf_{(t,x) \in V} \varphi(t, x, q^{0'}, q^{0''})$$

when  $(t, x) \in V$  and  $|p''| > N(\lambda, V)$ ,

$$\inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', p'') < \varphi(t, x, q^{0'}, q^{0''})$$

when  $(t, x) \in V$ ,  $|p''| > N(\lambda, V)$ ,

$$\inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', p'') < \inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', q^{0''})$$

when  $(t, x) \in V$ ,  $|p''| > N(\lambda, V)$ ,

$$\inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', p'') < \max_{|q''| \leq N(\lambda, V)} \inf_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(t, x, q', q'')$$

when  $(t, x) \in V$ ,  $|p''| > N(\lambda, V)$ .

Analogously, we also obtain

$$\sup_{q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, p', q'') > \min_{|q'| \leq N(\mu, V)} \sup_{q'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \varphi(t, x, q', q'')$$

when  $(t, x) \in V$ ,  $|p'| > N(\mu, V)$ , where  $N(\mu, V) \geq |q^{0'}|$ . Hence (M3) is satisfied.

### 3. HOPF-TYPE ESTIMATES FOR VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS

Consider the Cauchy problem for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + H\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = 0 \quad \text{in } U := \{t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \quad (3.1)$$

$$u(0, x) = \phi(x) \quad \text{on } \{t = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}. \quad (3.2)$$

When  $H = H(p)$  is continuous and  $\phi = \phi(x)$  is uniformly continuous, the Cauchy problem (3.1)–(3.2) has a unique viscosity solution  $u = u(t, x)$  which is in the space of continuous functions that are uniformly continuous in  $x$  uniformly in  $t$ ,  $UC_x([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [5]). We also refer the readers to [4,5] for the definition and properties of viscosity solutions.

In the case of Lipschitz continuous and convex (or concave) initial data  $\phi$  and merely continuous Hamiltonian  $H$ , or for convex  $\phi$  and Lipschitz continuous  $H$ , the formula

$$u(t, x) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{\langle p, x \rangle - \phi^*(p) - tH(p)\}$$

determines a (unique) viscosity solution  $u = u(t, x) \in UC_x([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  of the problem (3.1)–(3.2). Here  $\phi^*$  denotes the Legendre transform of  $\phi$  (see, [1,2]).

In this section we are interested in giving explicit pointwise upper and lower bounds for viscosity solutions where the initial function  $\phi = \phi(x', x'')$  is concave-convex. First, we rewrite some main results on the conjugate of the concave-convex functions (for the details, see [10, Chapter 10]). Let  $\phi = \phi(x', x'')$  is a concave-convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{*1}(p', x'') &= \inf_{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \{\langle x', p' \rangle - \phi(x', x'')\} \\ (\text{resp. } \phi^{*2}(x', p'') &= \sup_{x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{\langle x'', p'' \rangle - \phi(x', x'')\}) \end{aligned}$$

is the Fenchel conjugate of  $x'$ -concave (resp.  $x''$ -convex) function  $\phi(x', x'')$ .

If  $\phi = \phi(x', x'')$  is concave-convex function with conditions (2.3)–(2.4), then  $\phi^{*1}(p', x'')$  (resp.  $\phi^{*2}(x', p'')$ ) is concave (resp. convex) not only in  $p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  (resp.  $p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ) but also in the whole variable  $(p', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  (resp.  $(x', p'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ) and

$$\lim_{|p'| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi^{*1}(p', x'')}{|p'|} = -\infty \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{|p''| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi^{*2}(x', p'')}{|p''|} = +\infty)$$

locally uniformly in  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  (resp.  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ). Besides the Fenchel “partial conjugate”  $\phi^{*1}$  and  $\phi^{*2}$ , we consider two “total conjugate” of  $\phi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}^*(p', p'') &= \inf_{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \{\langle x', p' \rangle + \phi^{*2}(x', p'')\} \\ &= \inf_{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{\langle x', p' \rangle + \langle x'', p'' \rangle - \phi(x', x'')\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\phi}^*(p', p'') &= \sup_{x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{\langle x'', p'' \rangle + \phi^{*1}(p', x'')\} \\ &= \sup_{x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \inf_{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \{\langle x', p' \rangle + \langle x'', p'' \rangle - \phi(x', x'')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the functions  $\bar{\phi}^*$  and  $\underline{\phi}^*$  are usually called the upper and lower conjugate, respectively, of  $\phi$ . Note that

$$\underline{\phi}^* \leq \bar{\phi}^*.$$

These functions are also concave-convex, and with (2.3)–(2.4) they coincide. In this situation, the Fenchel conjugate

$$\phi^* := \bar{\phi}^* = \underline{\phi}^*$$

of  $\phi$  will simultaneously have the properties

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|p''| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi^*(p', p'')}{|p''|} &= +\infty \quad \text{for each } p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \\ \lim_{|p'| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi^*(p', p'')}{|p'|} &= -\infty \quad \text{for each } p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

If (2.3)–(2.4) are not assumed, the partial conjugates  $\phi^{*1}$  and  $\phi^{*2}$  are still concave and convex, respectively, but might be infinite somewhere, then the lower and upper conjugates  $\underline{\phi}^*$  and  $\bar{\phi}^*$  might not coincide. One can claim only that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{*1}(p', x'') &< +\infty, \quad \forall (p', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \\ \phi^{*2}(x', p'') &> -\infty, \quad \forall (x', p'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$



Now let

$$D_1 := \{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} : \phi^{*1}(p', x'') > -\infty \forall x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}\},$$

$$D_2 := \{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2} : \phi^{*2}(x', p'') < +\infty \forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}\},$$

hence for all  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ,  $\phi^{*1}(p', x'')$  is finite on  $D_1$ , and for all  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ,  $\phi^{*2}(x', p'')$  is finite on  $D_2$ .

We now consider the Cauchy problem (3.1)–(3.2) with the hypothesis:

(M4) The Hamiltonian  $H = H(p)$  is continuous and the initial function  $\phi = \phi(x', x'')$  is concave-convex and Lipschitz continuous (without (2.3)–(2.4)).

For  $(t, x) \in U$ , we set

$$u_-(t, x) := \sup_{p'' \in D_2} \inf_{p' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \{\langle p, x \rangle - \bar{\phi}^*(p) - tH(p)\} \tag{3.3}$$

$$u_+(t, x) := \inf_{p' \in D_1} \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{\langle p, x \rangle - \underline{\phi}^*(p) - tH(p)\}. \tag{3.4}$$

**Remark 3.1.** *The concave-convex function  $\phi = \phi(x', x'')$  is Lipschitz continuous in the sense:  $\phi(x', x'')$  is Lipschitz continuous in  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  for each  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  and in  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  for each  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ .*

Our estimates for viscosity solutions in this section read as follows:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume (M4). Then the unique viscosity solution  $u = u(t, x) \in UC_x([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  of the Cauchy problem (3.1)–(3.2) satisfies on  $\bar{U}$  the inequalities*

$$u_-(t, x) \leq u(t, x) \leq u_+(t, x),$$

where  $u_-$  and  $u_+$  are defined by (3.3) and (3.4) respectively.

*Proof.* For each  $\underline{p}' \in D_1$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x; \underline{p}') &= \Phi(x', x''; \underline{p}') := \langle x', \underline{p}' \rangle - \phi^{*1}(\underline{p}', x'') \\ &= \langle x', \underline{p}' \rangle - \inf_{x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{\langle x', \underline{p}' \rangle - \phi(x', x'')\} \\ &\geq \phi(x', x'') \quad \text{for all } (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi^{*1}(\underline{p}', \cdot)$  is a concave and finite, so  $-\phi^{*1}(\underline{p}', \cdot)$  is convex and finite, it is convex and Lipschitz continuous function; therefore,  $\Phi(x; \underline{p}')$  is convex and Lipschitz continuous with its Fenchel conjugate given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(p; \underline{p}') &= \Phi^*(p', p''; \underline{p}') = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{\langle x, p \rangle - \Phi(x, \underline{p}')\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{\langle x', p' \rangle + \langle x'', p'' \rangle - \langle x', \underline{p}' \rangle + \phi^{*1}(\underline{p}', x'')\} \\ &= \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } (p', p'') \neq (\underline{p}', p'') \\ \underline{\phi}^*(\underline{p}', p'') & \text{if } (p', p'') = (\underline{p}', p''). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + H\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) &= 0 \quad \text{in } U = \{t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \\ v(0, x) &= \Phi(x; \underline{p}') \quad \text{on } \{t = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the Cauchy problem with the continuous Hamiltonian  $H = H(p)$  and the convex and Lipschitz continuous initial function  $\Phi = \Phi(x; \underline{p}')$  for each  $\underline{p}' \in D_1$ , its unique viscosity solution  $v = v(t, x) \in UC_x([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &= \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \langle p, x \rangle - \Phi^*(p; \underline{p}') - tH(p) \} \\ &= \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{ \langle \underline{p}', x' \rangle + \langle p'', x'' \rangle - \underline{\phi}^*(\underline{p}', p'') - tH(\underline{p}', p'') \} \end{aligned}$$

with the initial condition

$$v(0, x) = \Phi(x; \underline{p}') \geq \phi(x) = u(0, x)$$

for each  $\underline{p}' \in D_1$  (see [1]). Hence, for each  $\underline{p}' \in D_1$ ,  $v = v(t, x)$  is a (continuous) supersolution of the problem (3.1)–(3.2) (according to a standard comparison theorem for unbounded viscosity solutions (see [5])), that means

$$u(t, x) \leq v(t, x) \quad \text{for each } \underline{p}' \in D_1,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &\leq \inf_{p' \in D_1} \sup_{p'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \{ \langle p, x \rangle - \underline{\phi}^*(p) - tH(p) \} \\ u(t, x) &\leq u_+(t, x) \quad \text{on } \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Dually, we also obtain  $u(t, x) \geq u_-(t, x)$  on  $\bar{U}$ . Therefore, Theorem 3.2 has been proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Assume (M1), (M2) for the case when  $H(t, p)$  is not depending on  $t$ . Moreover, assume that  $\phi = \phi(x', x'')$  is concave-convex and Lipschitz continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  and satisfies the conditions (2.3)–(2.4). Then (2.6) determines the unique viscosity solution  $u(t, x) \in UC_x([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  of the Cauchy problem (3.1)–(3.2).*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi = \phi(x', x'')$  is a concave-convex and Lipschitz continuous function so  $\text{dom} \phi^*$  is a bounded and nonempty set. Independently of  $(t, x) \in \bar{U}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, x, p', p'') &\rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{whenever } |p''| \text{ is large enough} \\ \varphi(t, x, p', p'') &\rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{whenever } |p'| \text{ is large enough.} \end{aligned}$$

From Remark 2.5 implies that hypothesis (M3) hold. Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bardi, M. and Evans, L.C., *On Hopf's formulas for solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **8** (1984), 1373 - 1381.
- [2] Bardi, M. and Faggian, S., *Hopf-type estimates and formulas for non-convex, non-concave Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **29** (1998), 1067 - 1086.
- [3] Barron, E. N., Jensen, R., and Liu, W., *Applications of the Hopf-Lax formula for  $u_t + H(u, Du) = 0$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal., **29** (1998), 1022 - 1039.
- [4] Crandall, M. G., Evans, L. C., and Lions, P. L., *Some properties of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **282** (1984), 487-502.
- [5] Ishii, H., *Uniqueness of unbounded viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **33** (1984), 721-748.
- [6] Ngoan, H. T., *Hopf's formula for Lipschitz solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with concave-convex Hamiltonian*, Acta Math. Vietn., **23** (1998), 269 - 294.

- [7] Rockafellar, R. I., *Convex analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [8] Thai Son, N. D., *Hopf-type estimates for viscosity solutions to concave-convex Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, Tokyo J. Math., **24**, No. 1 (2001), 231 - 243.
- [9] Van, T. D., Hoang, N., and Tsuji, M., *On Hopf's formula for Lipschitz solutions of the Cauchy problem for Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications, **29** (1997), 1145-1159.
- [10] Van, T. D., Tsuji, M., and Thai Son, N. D., *The Characteristic method and its generalizations for first-order nonlinear partial differential equations*, Chapman & Hall, CRC Press, 2000.
- [11] Van, T. D., and Thanh, M. D., *On explicit viscosity solutions to nonconvex-nonconcave Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Acta Math. Vietn., **26** (2001), 395-405.

NGUYEN HUU THO  
BUREAU OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING OF HATAY, VIETNAM

TRAN DUC VAN  
HANOI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 631, BOHO, HANOI, VIETNAM  
*E-mail address:* `tdvan@thevinh.ac.vn`