

# The Action of the Symmetric Group on a Generalized Partition Semilattice

Robert Gill

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Minnesota Duluth  
10 University Drive  
Duluth, MN 55812  
rgill@d.umn.edu

Submitted: February 7, 1998; Accepted: April 21, 2000

Key Words: hyperplane arrangement, Möbius function, homology,  
induced character, cyclic group

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 06A07; Secondary 05E25,  
52B30, 20C30, 11A05

## Abstract

Given an integer  $n \geq 2$ , and a non-negative integer  $k$ , consider all affine hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $x_i = x_j + r$  for  $i, j \in [n]$  and a non-negative integer  $r \leq k$ . Let  $\Pi_{n,k}$  be the poset whose elements are all nonempty intersections of these affine hyperplanes, ordered by reverse inclusion. It is noted that  $\Pi_{n,0}$  is isomorphic to the well-known partition lattice  $\Pi_n$ , and in this paper, we extend some of the results of  $\Pi_n$  by Hanlon and Stanley to  $\Pi_{n,k}$ .

Just as there is an action of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $\Pi_n$ , there is also an action on  $\Pi_{n,k}$  which permutes the coordinates of each element. We consider the subposet  $\Pi_{n,k}^\sigma$  of elements that are fixed by some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , and find its Möbius function  $\mu_\sigma$ , using the characteristic polynomial. This generalizes what Hanlon did in the case  $k = 0$ . It then follows that  $(-1)^{n-1} \mu_\sigma(\Pi_{n,k}^\sigma)$ , as a function of  $\sigma$ , is the character of the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on the homology of  $\Pi_{n,k}$ .

Let  $\Psi_{n,k}$  be this character times the sign character. For  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ , the cyclic group generated by an  $n$ -cycle  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , we take its irreducible characters and induce

them up to  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Stanley showed that  $\Psi_{n,0}$  is just the induced character  $\chi \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  where  $\chi(\sigma) = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . We generalize this by showing that for  $k > 0$ , there exists a non-negative integer combination of the induced characters described here that equals  $\Psi_{n,k}$ , and we find explicit formulas. In addition, we show another way to prove that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a character, without using homology, by proving that the derived coefficients of certain induced characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are non-negative integers.

## 1 Introduction

Given a finite partially ordered set  $P$ , let  $\leq$  denote the partial order, and assume that  $P$  has a unique minimal element  $\hat{0}$ . An *automorphism*  $\sigma$  on  $P$  is a permutation of the elements of  $P$  such that if  $x \leq y$ , then  $\sigma(x) \leq \sigma(y)$ . Let  $P^\sigma$  be the subposet of  $P$  that consists of the elements that are fixed by  $\sigma$ . If  $P$  is a lattice, then so is  $P^\sigma$  (For a proof, see page 319 of [9]).

Now we look at one particular lattice. For some positive integer  $n$ , if we let  $\Pi_n$  denote the set of all partitions of the set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , ordered by refinement, then  $\Pi_n$  is a lattice. There has been a lot of work on  $\Pi_n$ , and the action of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on it. An element of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  permutes the elements of  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and therefore acts as an automorphism on  $\Pi_n$ . Given  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , let  $\Pi_n^\sigma$  denote the subposet of  $\Pi_n$  of elements that are fixed by  $\sigma$ .

The *Möbius function*  $\mu$  is defined on intervals  $[x, y] = \{z: x \leq z \leq y\}$  of a poset  $P$  such that  $\mu(x, x) = 1$  for all  $x \in P$  and for  $x < y$ ,

$$\sum_{z \in [x, y]} \mu(x, z) = 0.$$

If  $P$  has  $\hat{1}$ , then define  $\mu(P)$  to be  $\mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ . Let  $\mu_\sigma$  be the Möbius function of  $\Pi_n^\sigma$ . In 1981, Hanlon [9, Th. 4] showed that

$$\mu_\sigma(\Pi_n^\sigma) = \begin{cases} \mu(n/d) \left(-\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1} (d-1)! & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is a product of } d \text{ cycles} \\ & \text{of length } n/d \text{ for some } d|n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\mu(n/d)$  is the classical number-theoretic Möbius function. In 1982, Stanley [13] used this result and the Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem (stated in section 3) to show that as a function of  $\sigma$ ,  $(-1)^{n-1} \mu_\sigma(\Pi_n^\sigma)$  is the character of a particular representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (Refer to [12, Ch.1] for definitions), its action on the top-dimensional *homology* of  $\Pi_n$ , which we define in section 3. Let  $\sigma$  be an  $n$ -cycle in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and let  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  be the cyclic subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  generated by  $\sigma$ . Let  $\chi$  be the (irreducible) character of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  such that  $\chi(\sigma) = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . Stanley showed that the induced character (defined in [12, §1.12])  $\chi \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  equals this homology character times the sign character, which we denote  $\Psi_n$ . It is appropriate to show that  $\Psi_n$  is an induced character from the cyclic group of order  $n$  since it is zero for all elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  that are not conjugate to any element of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ .

In this paper, we extend these results of  $\Pi_n$  to a *generalized partition semilattice*, which we now define. We will call it  $\Pi_{n,k}$ . The partition lattice is isomorphic to a poset of subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , ordered by reverse inclusion, whose elements are all intersections of the hyperplanes

$$H_{i,j} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = x_j\},$$

for  $i, j \in [n]$ , with minimal element  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In other words, if  $i$  and  $j$  are in the same block of a partition of  $\Pi_n$ , then the corresponding subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is contained in  $H_{i,j}$ .

Now consider the affine hyperplanes

$$H_{i,j,r} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = x_j + r\}.$$

For a non-negative integer  $k$ , let  $\Pi_{n,k}$  be the poset whose elements are all nonempty intersections of the  $H_{i,j,r}$  such that  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|r| \leq k$ . These sets of hyperplanes are known as the *extended Catalan arrangements* (See after Theorem 2.3 in [14]). The unique minimal element is again the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , but there is more than one maximal element if  $k > 0$ . For an affine subspace  $X \in \Pi_{n,k}$ , its dimension  $\dim(X)$  is equal to the dimension of the linear translation of  $X$ , the set  $\{v - x : v \in X\}$  for a particular  $x \in X$ . So  $X$  is maximal if and only if  $\dim(X) = 1$ .

First, the *characteristic polynomial* of a poset  $P$  of affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\lambda_P(t) = \sum_{X \in P} \mu(\hat{0}, X) t^{\dim(X)}.$$

In section 2, we let  $P = \Pi_{n,k}$  and consider  $P^\sigma$ , the subposet of  $P$  fixed by some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . We use the characteristic polynomial of  $P$  and the paper by Hanlon [9] to show that the Möbius function of this subposet,  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$ , is as stated in (4). Then in section 3, we use a result from Stanley's paper [13] to show that the character of the representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acting on the top homology of  $P$  is  $(-1)^{n-1} \mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$ .

Let  $\Psi_{n,k}$  be this character times the sign character, so  $\Psi_{n,k} = (-1)^{d-1} \mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$ . In sections 4 and 5, we show that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  can be expressed as a non-negative integer combination of the characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  that are induced from irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ , as in (15). First, we show that the induced characters in this sum are a basis for all induced characters from  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ . Then the main result in section 4 is that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a sum of induced characters from  $\mathfrak{C}_d$  for each  $d|n$ . In section 5, we find an explicit expression for  $\Psi_{n,k}$  in terms of these induced characters, also proving some concepts from number theory which we use along the way.

In the last section, we prove separately that the coefficients are non-negative integers, using the formula derived in Lemma 11, which gives us a way to prove that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a character without proving that it is a homology character.

There is a lot more one can do on the subject of  $\Pi_{n,k}$ . For example, Christos Athanasiadis in his Ph.D. thesis [1] used the Möbius Inversion Formula to find the

characteristic polynomial of numerous affine hyperplane arrangements, including this one [1, Th. 5.1]. Also, Julie Kerr in her Ph.D. thesis [10] discusses the poset obtained by adding a unique maximal element to  $\Pi_{n,k}$ . Although it becomes a lattice, its characteristic polynomial does not in general factor linearly as it does for  $\Pi_{n,k}$ . But its top-dimensional homology is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of the algebra  $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ , known as the *regular representation* of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . There is also additional work on the poset  $\Pi_{n,k}$  in [7].

## 2 The Möbius Function of $\Pi_{n,k}^\sigma$

We first state [1, Th. 5.1]. This generalizes the characteristic polynomial of the well-known partition lattice, which is the case  $k = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The characteristic polynomial of  $\Pi_{n,k}$  is given by*

$$\lambda_{\Pi_{n,k}}(t) = t(t - nk - 1)(t - nk - 2)\dots(t - n(k + 1) + 1). \quad (2)$$

We now extend some more results of the partition lattice  $\Pi_n$  to  $\Pi_{n,k}$ , first from Hanlon's paper [9]. Given any poset  $P$  with a unique minimal element  $\hat{0}$ , let  $\text{Max}(P)$  denote the set of maximal elements of  $P$  and let

$$\mu(P) = \sum_{x \in \text{Max}(P)} \mu(\hat{0}, x). \quad (3)$$

Now let  $P = \Pi_{n,k}$  and consider the action of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $P$ , permuting the coordinates of the elements. We consider the subposet  $P^\sigma$ , which consists of the elements of  $P$  that are fixed by a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , meaning whenever  $X \in P^\sigma$  and  $X \subseteq H_{i,j,r}$ , then  $X \subseteq H_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j),r}$ . Note that if  $\varepsilon$  is the identity permutation, then  $P^\varepsilon = P$ .

Let  $\mu_\sigma$  denote the Möbius function in  $P^\sigma = \Pi_{n,k}^\sigma$ . The goal in this section is to prove that

$$\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma) = \begin{cases} \mu(n/d) \left(-\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1} \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1} (d-1)! & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is a product of } d \text{ cycles} \\ & \text{of length } n/d \text{ for some } d|n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

This is the Möbius function of  $P^\sigma$ , defined as in (3). It generalizes Hanlon's result for  $k = 0$ , stated in (1). If  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , then one can verify the isomorphism  $P^\sigma \cong P^{\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}}$ . Hence, viewed as a function of  $\sigma$ ,  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$  is a class function on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . It is in fact, up to a sign, a character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , as we will soon see.

In order to find  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$ , we find the sum of the Möbius functions of each maximal interval of  $P^\sigma$ . The methods we use here are in many cases very similar to those used by

Hanlon, with a slightly different poset. We state a well-known theorem that we will use here. Suppose we are given a finite lattice  $L = [\hat{0}, \hat{1}]$ . For some  $x \in L$ , define  $\text{Comp}(x)$  to be the set of *complements* of  $x$  in  $L$ , i.e.,  $\text{Comp}(x) = \{y \in L : x \wedge y = \hat{0} \text{ and } x \vee y = \hat{1}\}$ . Then Crapo's Complementation Theorem [5, Th. 4] says that for any  $x \in L$ ,

$$\mu(L) = \sum_{\substack{y, z \in \text{Comp}(x) \\ y \leq z}} \mu(\hat{0}, y) \mu(z, \hat{1}), \quad (5)$$

and if some element of  $L$  has no complements, then  $\mu(L) = 0$ .

So we need to show that  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  is a lattice for each  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ . By [18, Prop. 3.1], since every element of  $P$  is an intersection of affine hyperplanes from a given set, it is a geometric semilattice. Thus each maximal interval  $[\hat{0}, X]$  in  $P$  is a lattice, and by the first paragraph of section 1,  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  is a lattice too. So Crapo's Theorem applies here. Now we determine which element we use in Equation (5).

For each  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , it can be verified that

$$\text{Max}(P^\sigma) = \text{Max}(P) \cap P^\sigma, \quad (6)$$

which is mentioned in the proof of [10, Th. 2.1]. For  $\sigma$ , let

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_d \quad (7)$$

be the decomposition of  $\sigma$  into disjoint cycles. For  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , let  $C_i$  be the *support* of the cycle  $\sigma_i$ , that is, the set of all numbers from the cycle  $\sigma_i$ . It will be convenient to extend Hanlon's definition of the *hinge* of  $\Pi_n$  [9, p. 324], the partition which puts each cycle of  $\sigma$  into its own block. Here, we want to extend it to any  $k \geq 0$ , so that it is an intersection of affine hyperplanes. In  $\Pi_{n,0}$  the element that corresponds to the hinge of  $\Pi_n$  is the intersection of all  $H_{j,l}$  such that  $j$  and  $l$  are in the same  $C_i$ . So this will be the hinge of  $\Pi_{n,0}$ . The following lemma shows that for any  $k$ , only certain hyperplanes in  $\Pi_{n,k}$  can contain the hinge.

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose two numbers  $i$  and  $j$  are in the same cycle of  $\sigma$ , and for some  $Z \in P^\sigma$ ,  $Z$  is contained in  $H_{i,j,r}$  for some  $r$ . Then  $r = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $Z \in P^\sigma$  and  $\sigma_1$  is one of the disjoint cycles of  $\sigma$  as in (7), with length  $m \geq 2$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $i, j \in C_1$  and  $Z \subseteq H_{i,j,r}$ . Then there exists an  $s$  such that  $\sigma^s(i) = j$ , so let  $\tau = \sigma^s$ . Then  $Z \subseteq H_{i,\tau(i),r}$  and then  $Z \subseteq H_{\tau^\omega(i),\tau^{\omega+1}(i),r}$ , since for each integer  $\omega$ ,  $P^\sigma \subseteq P^{\sigma^\omega}$ . This means for any  $z \in Z$ ,  $z_i = z_{\tau^l(i)} + lr$ , so  $z_i = z_{\tau^m(i)} + mr = z_i + mr$ , since  $\tau^m$  fixes all elements of  $C_1$ . Therefore  $r = 0$  and  $Z \subseteq H_{i,j}$ .  $\square$

This proves that no nontrivial extension of the hinge is possible for  $\Pi_{n,k}$ . So define the *hinge*  $h^\sigma$  of  $P^\sigma$  to be the intersection of all  $H_{j,l}$  for which  $j$  and  $l$  are in the same

cycle of  $\sigma$ . For an element  $Y \in \Pi_{n,k}$ , define  $\pi(Y)$  to be the partition that corresponds to  $Y$ . In other words, if  $Y \subseteq H_{i,j,r}$  for some  $r$ , then  $i$  and  $j$  are in the same block of  $\pi(Y)$ . Therefore, each  $C_i$  is a block of  $\pi(h^\sigma)$ . Then  $\dim(h^\sigma)$  is the number of blocks of  $\pi(h^\sigma)$  and the number of cycles of  $\sigma$ . For example, if  $\sigma = (1, 2, 3)(4, 5)(6) \in \mathfrak{S}_6$ , then  $h^\sigma = H_{1,2} \cap H_{1,3} \cap H_{2,3} \cap H_{4,5}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , and  $\dim(h^\sigma) = 3$ . Notice that by Lemma 2,  $h^\sigma \leq X$  for all  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ , and that  $h^\sigma$  is the greatest lower bound of all the maximal elements of  $P^\sigma$ . This is the element that whose complements we will find in order to prove the main result of this section. Now we are ready to prove one case of (4).

**Theorem 3.**  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma) = 0$  unless all disjoint cycles of  $\sigma$  have the same length.

**Proof.** We prove this by showing that for a given  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ ,  $h^\sigma$  has no complements in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  is an  $n$ -cycle, then all cycles of  $\sigma$  have the same length, and we do not consider that here. Otherwise, given any two blocks  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $\pi(h^\sigma)$ , a given element  $Z \in P^\sigma$  is a complement of  $h^\sigma$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  only if there exists one element from each of the two blocks, say  $i \in B_1$  and  $j \in B_2$ , such that  $Z \subseteq H_{i,j,r}$  for some  $r$ . We need to show that if any two blocks of  $\pi(h^\sigma)$  are not the same size, or equivalently, if any two cycles are not the same length, then there is an element less than  $Z$  that is not  $\hat{0}$  and is also less than  $h^\sigma$ .

Suppose we pick out two cycles from  $\sigma$  that have different lengths. We can assume that  $\sigma_1 = (1, \dots, m)$  and  $\sigma_2 = (m + 1, \dots, m + b)$ , as defined in (7), and  $m < b$ . In order for  $Z$  to be a complement of  $h^\sigma$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$ ,  $Z$  must be contained in some  $H_{1,j,r}$  for some  $r$  and for  $m + 1 \leq j \leq m + b$ . So assume without loss of generality that  $Z \subseteq H_{1,m+1,r}$ , so then  $Z \subseteq H_{s,m+s,r}$  for all  $s = 1, \dots, m$ . Let  $g = \text{gcd}(m, b)$ . Then  $g < b$  and  $Z \subseteq H_{m+1,m+g+1}$ . Let  $Y$  be the intersection of all hyperplanes  $H_{s-g,s}$  for  $m + g < s \leq m + b$ . Then  $Z \geq Y$  and  $\pi(Y)$  is a refinement of  $\pi(h^\sigma)$ , so since by Lemma 2, only hyperplanes  $H_{i,j}$  can contain  $h^\sigma$ ,  $h^\sigma \geq Y$  too.

Therefore,  $h^\sigma \wedge Z \geq Y > \hat{0}$ , so  $Z$  is not a complement of  $h^\sigma$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$ . Since we chose an arbitrary  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ , we have proved that  $h^\sigma$  has no complements in any  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$ . Thus  $\mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, X) = 0$  for all  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$  and therefore,  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma) = 0$  unless all cycles of  $\sigma$  have the same length.  $\square$

Now we will find  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$  for the other case of (4), if  $\sigma$  is a product of  $d$  cycles of length  $n/d$ . To do this, we may assume that

$$\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, j)(j + 1, \dots, 2j) \cdots (n - j + 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $j = n/d$ . Again, for each  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ , we use complements of the hinge  $h^\sigma$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  and equation (5). If  $C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma)$  in  $[\hat{0}, x]^\sigma$ , then  $C \not\subseteq H_{\omega_1, \omega_2, r}$  for any  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in [j]$  and any  $r$ , and

$$C \subseteq H_{1, sj+i_s, r_s} \tag{8}$$

for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, d - 1$ , and  $r_s$  and  $i_s \in [j]$  that depend on  $s$ . Note that  $\dim(C) = j$  for all such  $C$ , so no two complements are comparable to each other. This will be used later to simplify (5). We now state the other case that we will prove, but we need a few lemmas first. Many of the lemmas here are similar to parts of [9, Lemma 6].

**Theorem 4.**  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma) = \mu(n/d) \left(-\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1} \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1} (d-1)!$  if  $\sigma$  is a product of  $d$  disjoint cycles of length  $n/d$ .

**Lemma 5.** Given  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ , if  $C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma)$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$ , then  $[C, X]^\sigma \cong \mathfrak{D}_j$ , the lattice of divisors of  $j$ . Thus,  $\mu_\sigma(C, X) = \mu(n/d)$ .

**Proof.** For any point in an affine subspace from  $[C, X]^\sigma$ , whatever equality is in the coordinates  $1, 2, \dots, j$ , the same equality holds for corresponding coordinates from the other blocks of  $\pi(h^\sigma)$ , depending on  $i_s$  in (8). At the bottom element  $C$  of the interval  $[C, X]^\sigma$ , for any  $i_1, i_2 \in [j]$ ,  $C \not\subseteq H_{i_1, i_2, r}$  for any  $r$ . At the maximal element,  $X \subseteq H_{i_1, i_2}$  by Lemma 2. So  $[C, X]^\sigma$  here is isomorphic to  $[C, \hat{1}]^\sigma$  in the case  $k = 0$ . Since [9, Lemma 6c] says that  $[C, \hat{1}]^\sigma \cong \mathfrak{D}_{n/d}$ , we are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal elements of  $P^\sigma$  and the maximal elements of  $\Pi_{d,k}$ .

**Proof.** If  $d = 1$ , then  $P^\sigma$  has only one maximal element, and  $|\Pi_{1,k}| = 1$ . If  $d \geq 2$ , then by Lemma 2, if  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ , then  $X \subseteq H_{j(i-1)+\omega, j(i-1)+\omega+1}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and all  $\omega \in [j - 1]$ . Then the  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$  such that  $X \subseteq H_{j(\omega_1-1)+1, j(\omega_2-1)+1, r} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  corresponds to the  $Y \in \text{Max}(\Pi_{d,k})$  such that  $Y \subseteq H_{\omega_1, \omega_2, r} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , and vice-versa. So this correspondence is a bijection.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** Given a maximal element  $X \in P^\sigma$ , let  $Y$  be its corresponding maximal element in  $\Pi_{d,k}$ , as described in Lemma 6. If  $d \geq 2$ , then for all  $C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma)$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$ ,  $[\hat{0}, C]^\sigma \cong [\hat{0}, Y]_{\Pi_{d,k}}$ . If  $d = 1$ , then  $C = \hat{0}$  is the only complement. Thus  $\mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, C)$  is constant for all  $C \leq X$ .

**Proof.** If  $d = 1$ , then since  $h^\sigma$  is the maximal element,  $\hat{0}$  is its only complement. If  $d \geq 2$ , then we must find a bijection between the elements of  $[\hat{0}, C]^\sigma \subseteq P^\sigma$  for a given  $C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma)$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  and  $[\hat{0}, Y] \subseteq \Pi_{d,k}$ . Suppose  $C$  is as in (8), and assume without loss of generality that  $i_s = 1$  for all  $s$ . Then for all  $l = 1, \dots, j$ ,  $C \subseteq H_{l, sj+l, r_s}$ . Given  $Z \in [\hat{0}, C]^\sigma$ , if  $Z \not\subseteq H_{(\omega_1-1)i+1, (\omega_2-1)i+1, r}$  for any  $r$ , then this corresponds to the element  $Z' \in [\hat{0}, y]$  such that  $Z' \not\subseteq H_{\omega_1, \omega_2, r}$  for any  $r$ . If  $Z \subseteq H_{(\omega_1-1)i+1, (\omega_2-1)i+1, r}$ , then the corresponding  $Z' \subseteq H_{\omega_1, \omega_2, r}$ . This correspondence can be defined similarly the other way,  $Z' \mapsto Z$ , so  $[\hat{0}, C]^\sigma \cong [\hat{0}, Y]$ . Thus  $\mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, C) = \mu_{\Pi_{d,k}}(\hat{0}, Y)$  for all complements  $C$  of  $h^\sigma$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Given  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ ,  $h^\sigma$  has  $(\frac{n}{d})^{d-1}$  complements in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$ .*

**Proof.** If  $d = 1$ , then  $h^\sigma$  is the maximal element of  $P^\sigma$ , so  $\hat{0}$  is its only complement. If  $d > 1$ , then for each  $s = 1, 2, \dots, d - 1$ ,  $i_s$ , as described in (8), has  $n/d$  possible values, all independent of each other. So the number of complements of  $h^\sigma$  is  $(\frac{n}{d})^{d-1}$  for  $d \geq 1$ . □

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Let  $C_X$  be some complement of  $h^\sigma$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  for each  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ . Thus:

$$\sum_{X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)} \mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, X) = \sum_X \sum_{\substack{C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma) \\ \text{in } [\hat{0}, X]^\sigma}} \mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, C) \mu_\sigma(C, X) \tag{9}$$

$$= \mu(n/d) \sum_X \sum_C \mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, C) \tag{10}$$

$$= \mu(n/d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1} \sum_X \mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, C_X) \quad (\text{Lemmas 7 and 8})$$

$$= \mu(n/d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1} \sum_{Y \in \text{Max}(\Pi_{d,k})} \mu_{\Pi_{d,k}}(\hat{0}, Y) \quad (\text{Lemma 7})$$

$$= \mu(n/d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1} (-1)^{d-1} (d-1)! \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1} \tag{11}$$

$$= \mu(n/d) \left(-\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1} \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1} (d-1)!$$

Equation (9) holds by Crapo’s Complementation Theorem. Ordinarily, the sum would be over all  $C, C' \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma)$  such that  $C \leq C'$ . But no two complements of  $h^\sigma$  are comparable, as mentioned right before the statement of this theorem. So the sum is just over all  $C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma)$ .

Equation (10) is true by Lemma 5. Also,  $\bigcup_X \{C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma) \text{ in } [C, X]^\sigma\}$  has to be a disjoint union. Suppose  $C \in \text{Comp}(h^\sigma)$  in both  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  and  $[\hat{0}, Y]^\sigma$  for  $X \neq Y$ . Then there exist  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $X \subseteq H_{i,j,r_1}$  and  $Y \subseteq H_{i,j,r_2}$ , where  $r_1 \neq r_2$ . If we let  $Z = X \wedge Y$ , then  $i$  and  $j$  are in different blocks of  $\pi(Z)$ , and  $Z \not\subseteq H_{i',j',r}$  for any  $r$  and for any  $i'$  in the same block as  $i$  of  $\pi(Z)$  and any  $j'$  in the same block as  $j$ , since  $X \subseteq H_{i',j',r_1}$  and  $Y \subseteq H_{i',j',r_2}$ . So  $Z$  cannot be greater than any complement of  $h^\sigma$  in  $[\hat{0}, X]^\sigma$  or in  $[\hat{0}, Y]^\sigma$ . But  $C \leq X, Y$ , which means  $C \leq X \wedge Y = Z$ , a contradiction. So it is a disjoint union.

To get the result (11), find  $\mu(\Pi_{d,k})$  by extracting the coefficient of  $t$  in the characteristic polynomial (2). □

### 3 A Homology Character from $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$

Again, let  $P = \Pi_{n,k}$ . Now we define an integer-valued function  $\Psi_{n,k}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  given by

$$\Psi_{n,k}(\sigma) = (-1)^{d-1} \mu_\sigma(P^\sigma), \quad (12)$$

where  $d$  is the number of cycles of  $\sigma$ . Note that the cycles do not all have to be the same length; if they are not, then  $\mu_\sigma(P^\sigma) = 0$ , so  $\Psi_{n,k}(\sigma) = 0$ .

We now prove that  $\Psi_{n,k}(\sigma)$  is, up to a sign, the character afforded by a linear action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on a suitable homology. In fact, the character is  $(-1)^{n-1} \mu_\sigma(P^\sigma)$ , and  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is this character times the sign character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . We use the methods of Stanley in [13].

Let  $Q$  be a poset with  $\hat{0}$  and  $\hat{1}$ , and let  $\bar{Q} = Q \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ . We follow the notation of [16]. The *order complex*  $\Delta(\bar{Q})$  is the abstract simplicial complex whose vertex set is  $\bar{Q}$  and whose  $r$ -dimensional faces are all chains of the form  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_r$  in  $\bar{Q}$ . The dimension of  $\Delta(Q)$  is the largest possible value of  $r$  for any chain in  $\bar{Q}$ .

Now  $n$  is the number of elements in the largest chain in  $Q$ , which means  $r \leq n - 3$ . So for  $r = 0, \dots, n - 3$ ,  $C_r(\bar{Q})$  is defined to be the vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  whose basis is the  $r$ -dimensional faces of  $\Delta(\bar{Q})$ . Also,  $C_{-1}(\bar{Q})$  is the one-dimensional vector space generated by the null chain. For all other  $r$ ,  $C_r(\bar{Q}) = 0$ . For  $r = -1, 0, \dots, n - 3$ , the map  $\partial_r: C_r(\bar{Q}) \rightarrow C_{r-1}(\bar{Q})$  is a linear map called the *boundary map*, defined as

$$\partial_r(y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_r) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i (y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < \hat{y}_i < \cdots < y_r),$$

where  $\hat{y}_i$  means that  $y_i$  is deleted. The *homology* of  $Q$  for each  $r$  is

$$H_r(\bar{Q}) = \ker \partial_r / \text{im } \partial_{r+1}. \quad (13)$$

Now suppose  $P$  is a poset with least element  $\hat{0}$  and maybe more than one maximal element. For any  $X \in P$ , let  $Q^X = [\hat{0}, X]$ . We now define a boundary map the same way as above, except that we include the maximal element  $X$  in the chains, following the definition in [4, §5]. So  $\partial_r$  defined above corresponds to  $\partial_{r+1}$  here. We also define the homology the same way as in (13). The  $r$ -dimensional homology for the boundary map on the order complex is known as the *Whitney homology*, denoted  $H_r^W(P)$ , which was first defined in [2].

A poset with  $\hat{0}$  and  $\hat{1}$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* if every interval  $I$  has  $H_r(I) = 0$  whenever  $r \neq \dim(\Delta(I))$ . As mentioned earlier,  $P = \Pi_{n,k}$  is a geometric semilattice. If we add a unique maximal element to the poset  $P = \Pi_{n,k}$ , then it is a geometric lattice and therefore Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 4.1 in [6]. So the homology of each maximal interval in  $P$  is concentrated in dimension  $n - 3$ . Therefore, by Theorem 5.1 in [4], the Whitney homology of  $P$  is

$$H_{n-2}^W(P) = \bigoplus_{X \in \text{Max}(P)} H_{n-3}(\bar{Q}^X). \quad (14)$$

We use the Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem (See [13, §1], and it appears that it was first stated in [3]). A version of it states that if the homology of a poset  $Q$  is concentrated in a single dimension  $r$ , then the character of the action of a group  $G$  on the homology of  $Q$  is  $(-1)^r$  times the Möbius function of the poset. Note that in many papers, the dimension of the homology of the null chain is 0. In that case, the character is  $(-1)^{r+1}$  times the Möbius function. The sign depends on this.

The action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $P$  induces a canonical action on both the homology and the Whitney homology of  $P$ . For a Cohen-Macaulay poset  $Q$ , let  $\beta^Q: \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \text{End}(H(Q))$  be the representation with  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  action on  $H(Q)$ , the non-trivial top-dimensional homology on  $Q$ , following the notation of [13]. For any linear representation  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , let  $\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle$  be the character of  $\alpha$  evaluated at  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . Then here we have

$$\langle \beta^{Q^X}, \sigma \rangle = (-1)^{n-1} \mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, X)$$

for any  $X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)$ . Therefore, if we let  $\beta^P$  be the representation with  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -action on  $H^W(P) = H_{n-2}^W(P)$ , then using (14) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta^P, \sigma \rangle &= \sum_{X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)} \langle \beta^{Q^X}, \sigma \rangle \\ &= \sum_{X \in \text{Max}(P^\sigma)} (-1)^{n-1} \mu_\sigma(\hat{0}, X) = (-1)^{n-1} \mu_\sigma(P^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

This is the character of the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $H^W(P)$ . If we combine this with (12), we get  $\Psi_{n,k}(\sigma) = (-1)^{n-d} \langle \beta^P, \sigma \rangle$  and hence the following result.

**Theorem 9.**  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is the product of the sign character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and the character afforded by the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on the Whitney homology of  $\Pi_{n,k}$ .

Note that  $(-1)^{n-d} = -1$  only if  $n$  is even and  $d$  is odd. If  $4|n$ , then  $\mu(n/d) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is the homology character, and is *self-conjugate* unless  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . (This is an extension of [13, Lemma 7.3].)

## 4 $\Psi_{n,k}$ is an Induced Character

Now we know that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a character, and it is zero for elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  that are not conjugate to any elements of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ . So a good direction to go now is to prove that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  can be represented as a sum of induced characters  $\chi \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ , where  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ . By [13, Lemma 7.2],  $\Psi_{n,0}$  is simply the value of the induced character  $\psi \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ , where  $\psi$  evaluated at a given  $n$ -cycle that generates  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  is  $e^{2\pi i/n}$ . We now extend this result to  $\Psi_{n,k}$  for  $k > 0$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be an  $n$ -cycle of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  in  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ . Let  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$  and for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\chi_{s,n}$  be the character of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  such that  $\chi_{s,n}(\sigma) = \zeta^s$ . Then it is known that the  $\chi_{s,n}$  are the irreducible

characters of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ . Let  $\chi_{s,n}^*$  be the induced character  $\chi_{s,n} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ . If  $\gcd(d, n) = \gcd(s, n)$ , then it can be verified that  $\chi_{s,n}^* = \chi_{d,n}^*$ , so the goal in this section and the next is to prove that

$$\Psi_{n,k} = \sum_{s|n} a_{n,k}^s \chi_{s,n}^* \tag{15}$$

for non-negative integers  $a_{n,k}^s$ . In this section, the main theorem shows that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is an induced character. In the next section, we calculate all the coefficients. It is well-known that if  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta^{ir} = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n|r; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

First, we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 10.** *The  $\chi_{d,n}^*$  for  $d|n$  are linearly independent.*

**Proof.** For  $d|n$ , let  $\lambda_{d,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n/d} \chi_{di,n}$ . Then  $\lambda_{d,n} = 1 \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_d}^{\mathfrak{C}_n}$ , because if we evaluate it at some  $\sigma^r$ , then we get (16), so  $\lambda_{d,n}(\sigma^r) = 0$  unless  $\frac{n}{d}|r$ , in which case it is  $\frac{n}{d}$ . Let

$$\nu_{d,n} = \sum_{s|d} \mu(d/s) s \lambda_{s,n}.$$

Given  $r$ , let  $g = \gcd(n, r)$ . Then  $\lambda_{s,n}(\sigma^r) = 0$  unless  $\frac{n}{s}|r$ , or equivalently,  $\frac{n}{g}|s$ , in which case it is  $\frac{n}{s}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{d,n}(\sigma^r) &= \sum_{s|d} \mu(d/s) s \lambda_{s,n}(\sigma^r) = \sum_{s|d, \frac{n}{g}|s} \mu(d/s) s \lambda_{s,n}(\sigma^r) \\ &= n \sum_{s|d, \frac{n}{g}|s} \mu(d/s) = n \sum_{s|\frac{dg}{n}} \mu(s) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } g = \frac{n}{d}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

since for any positive integer  $m$ ,  $\sum_{d|m} \mu(d) = \delta_{1,m}$ . Inducing this up to  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ,

$$\nu_{d,n}^*(\sigma^r) = \nu_{d,n} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\sigma^r) = \begin{cases} \frac{n! \phi(d)}{|\text{ccl}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\sigma^r)|} & \text{if } \gcd(r, n) = \frac{n}{d} \text{ (or equivalently, } \sigma^r \sim \sigma^{n/d}); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which, if not zero, is the number of  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\tau \sigma^r \tau^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C}_n$ . Here,  $\text{ccl}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\sigma)$  is the conjugacy class of  $\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . From the  $\nu_{d,n}^*$ , we can get the standard basis of class functions that are zero in all classes that do not contain an element of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ . Since each  $\nu_{d,n}^*$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the  $\chi_{d,n}^*$ , and vice-versa, and the  $\nu_{d,n}^*$  are linearly independent for  $d|n$ , we can conclude that the  $\chi_{d,n}^*$  are linearly independent too, since there are the same number of  $\chi_{d,n}^*$  as there are  $\nu_{d,n}^*$ .  $\square$

Thus the  $\chi_{d,n}^*$  for  $d|n$  are a basis for the induced characters from  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  up to  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . By Theorem 3 and the last part of the proof of Lemma 10,  $\Psi_{n,k}$  can be expressed as a linear combination, as in (15). We now need to show that the  $a_{n,k}^s$  are non-negative integers by finding formulas for them. We first determine  $a_{n,k}^n$ , which can be found by simply using inner products. Then we find the  $a_{n,k}^s$  in the next section.

**Lemma 11.**  $a_{n,k}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** For  $n > 1$ , we show that  $a_{n,k}^n = \langle \Psi_{n,k}, 1_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ . Using Frobenius Reciprocity [12, Th. 1.12.6],

$$\langle \Psi_{n,k}, 1_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \sum_{d|n} a_{n,k}^d \langle \chi_{d,n}^*, 1_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \sum_{d|n} a_{n,k}^d \langle \chi_{d,n}, 1_{\mathfrak{C}_n} \rangle_{\mathfrak{C}_n} = a_{n,k}^n.$$

The last equality is by orthogonality of irreducible characters. Thus the only time  $1_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  appears in the sum (15) is when  $d = n$ . So for an  $n$ -cycle  $\sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,k}^n &= \langle \Psi_{n,k}, 1_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \Psi_{n,k}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d|n} |\text{ccl}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\sigma^d)| \Psi_{n,k}(\sigma^d) && \text{(Theorem 3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d|n} \left( \frac{n!}{(n/d)^d d!} \right) \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \binom{n}{d}^{d-1} \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1} (d-1)! && \text{(Theorem 4)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 1$ , it is clear that  $\Psi_{1,k} \equiv 1$  and  $a_{1,k}^1 = 1$ . □

Since  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , it follows by the first assertion in the proof of Lemma 11 that  $a_{n,k}^n$  is a non-negative integer. For  $d|n$ , let  $\mathfrak{C}_{n/d} = \langle \sigma^d \rangle$ , and let  $\chi_{s, \frac{n}{d}}$  for  $s \leq \frac{n}{d}$  be an irreducible character for  $\mathfrak{C}_{n/d}$  such that  $\chi_{s, \frac{n}{d}}(\sigma^d) = \zeta^{sd}$  for  $1 \leq s \leq \frac{n}{d}$ . Now let  $\chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}} = \chi_{1, \frac{n}{d}} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_{n/d}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ . Notice that  $\chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}$  is the regular character for  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $\chi_{1,n}^{\text{reg}} = \chi_{1,n}^*$ . Also, note that the  $\chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}$  need not be linearly independent. Now we use the next lemma to prove the main result of this section, that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is an induced character from  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ , because each  $\chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}$  is.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an  $n$ -cycle in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Then we have the following identity:*

$$\frac{1}{d} \chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}(\sigma^r) = \begin{cases} \chi_{1,n}^*(\sigma^r) & \text{if } d|r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** We know that  $\frac{1}{d}\chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}(\sigma^r) = \frac{1}{d}\chi_{1,\frac{n}{d}} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_{n/d}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\sigma^r)$  and  $\chi_{1,n}^* = \chi_{1,n} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ . Since induction of representations is transitive, it is enough to show that

$$\frac{1}{d}\chi_{1,\frac{n}{d}} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_{n/d}}^{\mathfrak{C}_n}(\sigma^r) = \begin{cases} \chi_{1,n}(\sigma^r) & \text{if } d|r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$d|r$  if and only if  $\sigma^r \in \mathfrak{C}_{n/d}$ , and then both sides are  $\zeta^r$ . We induce both sides up to  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , and we are done.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.**  $\Psi_{n,k} = \sum_{d|n} a_{d,k}^d \chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{H}_{k,s} = \binom{(k+1)s-1}{s-1}$ . We know that

$$\Psi_{n,k}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \mu(d)\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{d-1}(d-1)!\mathfrak{H}_{k,d} & \text{if } \tau \text{ is a product of } d \text{ cycles} \\ & \text{of length } n/d \text{ for some } d|n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Again assume that  $d|n$  and  $\sigma$  is an  $n$ -cycle such that  $\mathfrak{C}_n = \langle \sigma^d \rangle$ .

$$\sum_{r|n} a_{r,k}^r \chi_{r,n}^{\text{reg}}(\sigma^d) = \sum_{r|n} \left( \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s|r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \mathfrak{H}_{k,s} \right) \chi_{r,n}^{\text{reg}}(\sigma^d) \tag{Lemma 11}$$

$$= \sum_{r|n} \frac{1}{r} \chi_{r,n}^{\text{reg}}(\sigma^d) \sum_{s|r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \mathfrak{H}_{k,s} = \chi_{1,n}^*(\sigma^d) \sum_{r|d} \sum_{s|r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \mathfrak{H}_{k,s} \tag{Lemma 12}$$

$$= \chi_{1,n}^*(\sigma^d) \sum_{s|d} \mathfrak{H}_{k,s} \sum_{r|d,s|r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \chi_{1,n}^*(\sigma^d) \sum_{s|d} \mathfrak{H}_{k,s} \delta_{d,s} \tag{17}$$

$$= \mu(n/d)(n/d)^{d-1}(d-1)!\mathfrak{H}_{k,d} \tag{18}$$

The second equality of (17) holds because given  $s$ ,

$$\sum_{r|d,s|r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \sum_{q|\frac{d}{s}} \mu(q) = \delta_{d,s}.$$

For equation (18), [13, Lemma 7.2] proves that  $\chi_{1,n}^*(\sigma^d) = \Psi_{n,0}(\sigma^d)$ . Thus we have proved that the right-hand side is equal to a value that we know is  $\Psi_{n,k}$ .  $\square$

Given a real number  $x$ , let  $\langle x \rangle$  be the nearest integer to  $x$ . Recall that the irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are the  $\chi^\lambda$  for each partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$ , and  $f^\lambda$  is the degree of  $\chi^\lambda$ . We now have a way to decompose  $\Psi_{p,k}$  into irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  for any odd prime  $p$ . The following corollary is an extension of a result by Stanley.

**Corollary 14.** *Given an odd prime  $p$ , and a partition  $\lambda$  of  $p$ ,*

$$\langle \Psi_{p,k}, \chi^\lambda \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = a_{p,k}^p f^\lambda + \langle f^\lambda / p \rangle.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 13,

$$\Psi_{p,k} = a_{1,k}^1 \chi_{1,p}^* + a_{p,k}^p \chi_{p,p}^{\text{reg}}.$$

Therefore, since  $a_{1,k}^1 = 1$  for all  $k$ , we get

$$\langle \Psi_{p,k}, \chi^\lambda \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = a_{p,k}^p \langle \chi_{p,p}^{\text{reg}}, \chi^\lambda \rangle + \langle \chi_{1,p}^*, \chi^\lambda \rangle = a_{p,k}^p f^\lambda + \langle f^\lambda / p \rangle.$$

The first summand is well-known about the regular character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (For example, see Theorem 1.10.1 of [12]). The second summand is by Corollary 7.4 of [13].  $\square$

## 5 The Coefficients $a_{n,k}^s$

By Theorem 13,  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is an induced character from  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  up to  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . For the sake of completeness, we now determine the coefficients  $a_{n,k}^s$ . This also makes it more clear how to decompose  $\Psi_{n,k}$  into irreducibles if  $n$  is not prime, since there are references that have the decomposition of induced characters from  $\mathfrak{C}_n$ . See for Example [15, §3], where it is done in terms of standard Young tableaux. By the next lemma, each  $a_{n,k}^s$  is a sum of non-negative integers  $a_{d,k}^d$  for each  $d$  such that  $\chi_{d,n}^*$  appears in the expression of  $\chi_{s,n}^{\text{reg}}$ . All we need to do now is determine what  $a_{n,k}^s$  is for  $s$  properly dividing  $n$ .

**Lemma 15.** *For  $d|n$ ,  $\chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \chi_{i\frac{n}{d}+1,n}^*$ .*

*Sketch of Proof.* It is enough to show that  $\chi_{1,\frac{n}{d}} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{C}_{n/d}}^{\mathfrak{C}_n} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \chi_{i\frac{n}{d}+1,n}$ . Just evaluate both sides at  $\sigma^r$ , and then induce up to  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .  $\square$

This shows that for a given  $k$ ,  $a_{n,k}^s$  can be expressed in terms of the numbers  $a_{d,k}^d$ . So let  $A_n^s$  be the infinite sequence  $\{a_{n,k}^s\}_{k=0}^\infty$ . Since the expression of  $a_{n,k}^s$  in terms of the numbers  $a_{d,k}^d$  is independent of  $k$ , this is a way to write these numbers so that we do not have to write  $k$  all the time. We need to find a formula for these sequences, now that we know the  $A_d^d$  sequences for  $d|n$ . Define the sum of two sequences and scalar multiplication of a sequence the usual way. By theorem 13,  $a_{d,k}^d$  is the coefficient of  $\chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}$  in  $\Psi_{n,k}$ , so by Lemma 15, it appears in the coefficient of  $\chi_{(i-1)\frac{n}{d}+1,n}^*$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ,

given  $k$ . Also, if  $s = \gcd(g, n)$  for some  $g$ , then  $\chi_{s,n}^* = \chi_{g,n}^*$ , so each  $A_n^s$  sequence can be written as a non-negative integer combination of the  $A_d^d$  sequences for which  $a_{d,k}^d$  appears in the coefficient of  $\chi_{s,n}^*$ , and that is for each  $d$  such that there exists an  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}$  with  $\gcd(r, n) = s$ . In this case,  $\gcd(s, \frac{n}{d}) = \gcd(r, n, \frac{n}{d}) = 1$  and  $s|d$ . Our goal is to find how many of these  $r$  exist up to modulo  $n$ , and that will be the coefficient of  $A_d^d$  in  $A_n^s$ . First we prove a lemma from number theory that we will use.

**Lemma 16.** *Given positive integers  $a, b, t$  such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , there exists an integer  $u$  such that  $0 \leq u < t$  and  $\gcd(ua + b, t) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** We form an increasing sequence  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t$  of integers that are pairwise relatively prime, or *coprime*. Let  $a_0 = a$  and  $a_1 = b$ . For  $m \geq 1$ , let

$$a_{m+1} = a_m + a_0 a_1 \dots a_{m-1}.$$

We prove that these numbers are coprime by induction. First, it is given that  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are relatively prime. Now assuming that  $a_0, \dots, a_m$  are coprime, we use the Euclidean algorithm to prove that  $a_{m+1}$  is relatively prime to all  $a_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . Now  $\gcd(a_m, a_{m+1}) = \gcd(a_m, a_0 \dots a_{m-1})$ , and for  $i < m$ ,

$$\gcd(a_i, a_{m+1}) = \gcd(a_i, a_m).$$

By hypothesis, both are 1, so the numbers in the sequence are all coprime. To prove that the  $a_m$  are of the form  $u'a + b$  for some integer  $u'$  and  $m \geq 1$ , we know that it holds for  $m = 1$ ; it is  $0a + b$ . Now assume that it holds for some  $m \geq 1$  and prove it for  $m + 1$ . We need to show that  $a|(a_{m+1} - b)$ . We know that  $a_{m+1} - b = a_m - b + a_0 \dots a_{m-1}$ . We also know that  $a$  divides  $a_m - b$  by hypothesis, and  $a$  divides  $a_0 \dots a_{m-1}$  because  $a = a_0$ . This proves that  $a_1, \dots, a_t$  are  $t$  coprime numbers, all congruent to  $b$  modulo  $a$ , and therefore there must be at least one of these numbers that is relatively prime to  $t$ . Suppose  $a_i$  is one such number. Let  $u' = \frac{a_i - b}{a}$ . In other words,  $a_i = u'a + b$ . Then there exists a unique expression  $u' = u''t + u$  such that  $0 \leq u < t$ . It follows that  $\gcd(ua + b, t) = 1$ .  $\square$

The number of  $r$  up to modulo  $n$  such that  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{s}$  is  $n/s$ , and the number of such  $r$  with  $\gcd(r, n) = s$  is  $\phi(n/s)$ . Now we find how many of these  $r$  have  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}$ . Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be units in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/(\frac{n}{d})$ ,  $t_1$  chosen so that there definitely exists an  $r$  among these numbers such that  $r \equiv t_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}$  ( $t_1$  can be  $s$ , for example). Suppose that  $r_1, \dots, r_w$  are the numbers mod  $n$  such that

$$r_i \equiv t \pmod{\frac{n}{d}} \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(r_i, n) = s. \quad (19)$$

for  $t = t_1$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ ,  $t_2$  is not necessarily a unit, but by Lemma 16, there exists a  $u$  such that  $\gcd(t_2 + u(\frac{n}{d}), n) = 1$ . Then  $(t_2 + u(\frac{n}{d}))r_1, \dots, (t_2 + u(\frac{n}{d}))r_w$  are all congruent

to  $t_1 t_2 \pmod{n/d}$  and distinct  $\pmod{n}$ , since the  $r_i$  were all multiplied by a unit of  $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ . This proves that there are at least  $w$  of them. To prove there are exactly  $w$ , consider  $t_2^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/(\frac{n}{d})$  and suppose there are  $w'$  numbers,  $w' \geq w$ . By Lemma 16, there exists a  $u'$  such that  $\gcd(t_2^{-1} + u'(\frac{n}{d}), n) = 1$ . Then the numbers  $(t_2^{-1} + u'(\frac{n}{d}))(t_2 + u(\frac{n}{d}))r_i$  are all congruent to  $t_1 \pmod{n/d}$ , and there are at least  $w'$  such numbers. Since there are  $w$  of them,  $w = w'$ . So we can let  $t_2 = t_1^{-1}$ , and then we have  $w$  numbers that are congruent to 1  $\pmod{n/d}$ . Thus for each unit  $t \pmod{n/d}$ , there are  $w$  numbers up to  $\pmod{n}$  that satisfy (19), so one out of every  $\phi(n/d)$  of the numbers  $r$  is congruent to 1  $\pmod{n/d}$ . Therefore,

$$A_n^s = \sum_d \frac{\phi(n/s)}{\phi(n/d)} A_d^d, \quad (20)$$

summing over all  $d$  such that  $a_{d,k}^d$  appears in the coefficient of  $\chi_{s,n}^*$ .

**Example.** Suppose  $n = 72$  and  $s = 3$ . Then the values of  $d$  that would have nonzero coefficients in (20) are  $d = 9, 18, 36, 72$ . We do  $d = 9$  in detail. Let  $t_1 = 3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/(8)$ . The values of  $r$  such that  $\gcd(r, 72) = 3$  are 3, 15, 21, 33, 39, 51, 57, 69, and those  $r$  such that  $r \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  are 3 and 51, so  $w = 2$ . Then  $t_1^{-1} \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , but 3 is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/(72)$ . However, by adding 8 ( $u = 1$ ), we get 11, which is a unit. So multiply 3 and 51 by 11 to get 33 and 57, respectively,  $\pmod{72}$ . These are the numbers  $r_i$  described in (19) for  $t = 1$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $A_9^9$  in  $A_{72}^3$  is 2 and  $\phi(n/s) = \phi(24) = 8$  and  $\phi(n/d) = \phi(8) = 4$ , which verifies (20) here. The expression for  $A_{72}^3$  in terms of the  $A_d^d$  is

$$A_{72}^3 = 2A_9^9 + 4A_{18}^{18} + 8A_{36}^{36} + 8A_{72}^{72}.$$

There is another equivalent condition on the  $d$  that can be used. With this, we will have a quicker way of obtaining which values of  $d$  have nonzero terms in the sum. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 17.** *Given integers  $s$  and  $d$  that divide  $n$ , there exists an  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$  such that  $\gcd(r, n) = s$  if and only if  $\gcd(s, d) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** To prove ( $\implies$ ), if such an  $r$  exists, then since  $s|r$ ,  $\gcd(s, d) = 1$ .

To prove ( $\impliedby$ ), if  $\gcd(s, d) = 1$ , then it follows that  $s|\frac{n}{d}$ , so it's enough to show there exists an  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$  such that  $\gcd(r, \frac{n}{d}) = s$ . There exists a  $t$  such that  $0 < t < d$  and  $st \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ . This equation also holds if  $t$  is replaced by  $id + t$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{d} - 1$ . By Lemma 16,  $\gcd(id + t, \frac{n}{d}) = 1$  for at least one of these  $i$ , and then we let  $r = s(id + t)$ .  $\square$

Now in order to find which  $d$  have nonzero summands, we only need to find those divisors of  $n$  that are relatively prime to  $s$ , and then divide  $n$  by them. Thus we have the result.

**Theorem 18.** For  $s|n$  and some integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$a_{n,k}^s = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ \gcd(s, \frac{n}{d})=1}} \frac{\phi(n/s)}{\phi(n/d)} a_{d,k}^d = \sum_d \frac{\phi(n/s)}{d\phi(n/d)} \sum_{t|d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{t}\right) \binom{(k+1)t-1}{t-1}.$$

## 6 Another Proof that $\Psi_{n,k}$ is a Character

It is also possible to prove that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  without using homology of posets, as we did in section 3. In section 4, the only time the homology result was used was when it was found that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a character. From this, it was concluded that the  $a_{d,k}^d$  are all non-negative integers because of Lemma 11. By Theorem 13,

$$\Psi_{n,k} = \sum_{d|n} a_{d,k}^d \chi_{d,n}^{\text{reg}}.$$

If we can show that  $a_{n,k}^n$  is a non-negative integer for each  $n$ , then we will have shown that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a sum of characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , and therefore, a character itself. By Lemma 11,

$$a_{n,k}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \binom{(k+1)d-1}{d-1}. \tag{21}$$

**Lemma 19.** For positive integers  $n$  and  $r$ , and  $k = r - 1$ ,  $a_{n,k}^n$  is an integer.

**Proof.** Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $n$ , and let  $s$  be the positive integer such that  $p^s \parallel n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} na_{n,k}^n &= \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p^s}} \left( \mu\left(\frac{n}{p^{s-1}d}\right) \binom{p^{s-1}rd-1}{p^{s-1}d-1} + \mu\left(\frac{n}{p^s d}\right) \binom{p^s rd-1}{p^s d-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p^s}} \mu\left(\frac{n}{p^s d}\right) \left( \binom{p^s rd-1}{p^s d-1} - \binom{p^{s-1}rd-1}{p^{s-1}d-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

since the other summands are definitely zero. We show that each summand is congruent to 0 mod  $p^s$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p^s rd-1}{p^s d-1} &= \frac{(p^s rd-1)(p^s rd-2) \cdots (p^s(r-1)d+1)}{(p^s d-1)(p^s d-2) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} \\ &= A \frac{(p^s rd-p)(p^s rd-2p) \cdots (p^s(r-1)d+p)}{(p^s d-p)(p^s d-2p) \cdots 3p \cdot 2p \cdot p} \\ &= A \frac{(p^{s-1}rd-1)(p^{s-1}rd-2) \cdots (p^{s-1}(r-1)d+1)}{(p^{s-1}d-1)!} = A \binom{p^{s-1}rd-1}{p^{s-1}d-1} \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $A$  is a product of fractions of the form  $\frac{p^s r d - a}{p^s d - a}$  for all  $a$  relatively prime to  $p$ . Since the numerator and denominator of each of these fractions are units in  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^s)$ , all the fractions are equivalent to  $1 \pmod{p^s}$ . Thus  $A \equiv 1 \pmod{p^s}$ . This proves that

$$\binom{p^s r d - 1}{p^s d - 1} - \binom{p^{s-1} r d - 1}{p^{s-1} d - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s},$$

and therefore  $na_{n,k}^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$  for each prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$ . Therefore, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,  $na_{n,k}^n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , and this proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 20.** *For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a_{n,0}^n = 0$ , and for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $a_{n,k}^n > 0$ .*

**Proof.** We know the case  $k = 0$ . Using [13, Lemma 7.2],  $a_{n,0}^1 = 1$  and  $a_{n,0}^d = 0$  for  $d > 1$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $r = k + 1$ . Given a prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$ , let  $q_p = n/p$ . It is well-known that summing over prime divisors of  $n$ ,  $\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p^2} < 1$  (This inequality also holds when summed over all primes). So we will prove that

$$\binom{nr - 1}{n - 1} > p^2 \binom{q_p r - 1}{q_p - 1}. \tag{22}$$

If we let  $(a)_b = b! \binom{a}{b}$  for integers  $a$  and  $b$ , then this is equivalent to

$$(nr - 1)_{n-1} > p^2 (n - 1)_{n-q_p} (q_p r - 1)_{q_p-1}.$$

Since  $pq_p = n$ , it follows that  $p^2 (q_p r - 1) (q_p r - 2) < (nr - 1)(nr - 2)$ . Thus it is enough to show that

$$(nr - 3)_{n-3} > (n - 1)_{n-q_p} (q_p r - 3)_{q_p-3}.$$

The left side is equal to  $(nr - 3)_{q_p-3} (nr - q_p)_{n-q_p}$ . It is clear that  $nr - 3 > q_p r - 3$  and  $nr - q_p > n - 1$  (since  $r > 1$ ). Thus (22) is true, so for each prime  $p|n$ ,  $\frac{1}{p^2} \binom{nr-1}{n-1} > \binom{q_p r-1}{q_p-1}$ . Therefore,

$$\binom{nr - 1}{n - 1} > \left( \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \binom{nr - 1}{n - 1} > \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \binom{q_p r - 1}{q_p - 1}$$

This inequality holds for each integer  $n$ . In (21), if one term  $\mathfrak{H}_{k,d}$  (cf. proof of Theorem 13) is subtracted, then  $\mathfrak{H}_{k,pd}$  is added for some prime  $p$ , which is a lot larger than  $\mathfrak{H}_{k,d}$  by (22). Also, the coefficient of  $\mathfrak{H}_{k,n}$  is always 1. Therefore,  $a_{n,k}^n > 0$ .  $\square$

Thus we have proved the following, which by Theorem 13 proves that  $\Psi_{n,k}$  is a character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$

**Theorem 21.**  *$a_{n,k}^n$  is a non-negative integer for all  $n \geq 2$ , and it is zero only if  $k = 0$ .*

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisor Don Higman and Phil Hanlon for helpful discussions and for encouraging me to send this paper for publication. I would also like to thank the anonymous referee for his comments that helped me improve this paper and make it more understandable.

## References

- [1] C. A. Athanasiadis, Characteristic polynomials of subspace arrangements and finite fields, *Adv. in Math.* **122** (1996), 193–233.
- [2] K. Baclawski, Whitney numbers of geometric lattices, *Adv. in Math.* **16** (1975), 125–138.
- [3] K. Baclawski and A. Björner, Fixed points in partially ordered sets, *Adv. in Math.* **31** (1979), 263–287.
- [4] A. Björner, On the homology of geometric lattices, *Algebra Universalis* **14** (1982), 107–128.
- [5] H. H. Crapo, The Möbius function of a lattice, *J. Combin. Theory* **1** (1966), 126–131.
- [6] J. Folkman, The homology groups of a lattice, *J. Math. Mech.* **15** (1966), 631–636.
- [7] R. Gill, A Generalization of the Partition Lattice: Combinatorial Properties and the Action of the Symmetric Group, Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, 1998.
- [8] R. Gill, The number of elements in a generalized partition semilattice, *Discrete Math.* **186** (1998), 125–134.
- [9] P. Hanlon, The fixed point partition lattices, *Pacific J. Math.* **96** (1981), 319–341.
- [10] J. Kerr, A basis for the top homology of a generalized partition lattice, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **9** (1999), 47–60.
- [11] G.-C. Rota, On the foundations of combinatorial theory I. Theory of Möbius Functions, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* **2** (1964), 340–368.
- [12] B. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group*, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Belmont, CA, 1991.
- [13] R. Stanley, Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **32** (1982), 132–161.

- [14] R. Stanley, Hyperplane arrangements, interval orders, and trees, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **93** (1996), 2620–2625.
- [15] J. R. Stembridge, On the eigenvalues of representations of reflection groups and wreath products, *Pacific J. Math.* **140** (1989), 353–396.
- [16] M. L. Wachs, On the (co)homology of the partition lattice and the Free Lie Algebra, *Discrete Math.* **193** (1998), 287–319.
- [17] M. L. Wachs and J. W. Walker, On geometric semilattices, *Order* **2** (1986), 367–385.
- [18] G. M. Ziegler, Matroid shellability,  $\beta$ -systems, and affine hyperplane arrangements, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **3** (1992), 283–300.