

# A Colorful Involution for the Generating Function for Signed Stirling Numbers of the First Kind

Paul Levande\*

Department of Mathematics  
David Rittenhouse Lab.  
209 South 33rd Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-6395  
plevande@math.upenn.edu

Submitted: Nov 3, 2009; Accepted: Dec 13, 2009; Published: Jan 5, 2010

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A05, 05A15, 05A19

## Abstract

We show how the generating function for signed Stirling numbers of the first kind can be proved using the involution principle and a natural combinatorial interpretation based on cycle-colored permutations.

We seek an involution-based proof of the generating function for signed Stirling numbers of the first kind, written here as

$$\sum_k (-1)^k c(n, k) x^k = (-1)^n (x)(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$$

where  $c(n, k)$  is the number of permutations of  $[n]$  with  $k$  cycles. The standard proof uses [2] an algebraic manipulation of the generating function for unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind.

Fix an unordered  $x$ -set  $A$ ; for example a set of  $x$  letters or “colors”. For  $\pi \in S_n$ , let  $K_\pi$  be the set of disjoint cycles of  $\pi$  (including any cycles of length one). Let  $S_{n,A} = \{(\pi, f) : \pi \in S_n; f : K_\pi \rightarrow A\}$  be the set of *cycle-colored permutations of  $[n]$* , where  $f$  is interpreted as a “coloring” of the cycles of  $\pi$  using the “colors” of  $A$ . (We follow [1] in using colored permutations). Further let  $K_\pi(i)$  be the unique cycle of  $\pi$  containing  $i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\kappa(\pi) = |K_\pi|$  be the number of cycles of  $\pi$ . Note that

$$\sum_{(\pi, f) \in S_{n,A}} (-1)^{\kappa(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (-1)^{\kappa(\pi)} x^{\kappa(\pi)} = \sum_k (-1)^k c(n, k) x^k$$

---

\*This research was supported by the University of Pennsylvania Graduate Program in Mathematics

For  $(\pi, f) \in S_{n,A}$ , let  $R_{(\pi,f)} = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n; f(K_\pi(i)) = f(K_\pi(j))\}$  be the set of pairs of distinct elements of  $[n]$  in cycles—not necessarily distinct—colored the same way by  $f$ .

Define a map  $\phi$  on  $S_{n,A}$  as follows for  $(\pi, f) \in S_{n,A}$ : If  $R_{(\pi,f)} = \emptyset$ , let  $\phi((\pi, f)) = (\pi, f)$ . Otherwise, let  $(i, j) \in R_{(\pi,f)}$  be minimal under the lexicographic ordering of  $R_{(\pi,f)}$ . Let  $\tilde{\pi} = (i, j) \circ \pi$ , the product of the transposition  $(i, j)$  and  $\pi$  in  $S_n$ . Note that, if  $K_\pi(i) = K_\pi(j)$ , left-multiplication by  $(i, j)$  splits the cycle  $K_\pi(i)$  into two cycles; if  $K_\pi(i) \neq K_\pi(j)$ , left-multiplication by  $(i, j)$  concatenates the distinct cycles  $K_\pi(i)$  and  $K_\pi(j)$  into a single cycle. Since  $f(K_\pi(i)) = f(K_\pi(j))$ , define  $\tilde{f} : K_{\tilde{\pi}} \rightarrow A$  consistently and uniquely by  $\tilde{f}(K_{\tilde{\pi}}(p)) = f(K_\pi(p))$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$ . Let  $\phi((\pi, f)) = (\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{f})$ .

Note that  $R_{(\pi,f)} = R_{\phi((\pi,f))}$  for all  $(\pi, f) \in S_{n,A}$ , and that therefore  $\phi$  is involutive. Note further that, if  $(\pi, f) \neq \phi((\pi, f)) = (\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{f})$ ,  $\kappa(\pi) = \kappa(\tilde{\pi}) \pm 1$ . Note finally that  $(\pi, f) = \phi((\pi, f))$  if and only if  $R_{(\pi,f)} = \emptyset$ , or if and only if  $\kappa(\pi) = n$  (so  $\pi = e_n$ , the identity permutation of  $S_n$ ) and  $f : K_\pi \rightarrow A$  is injective. Therefore  $|Fix(\phi)| = (x)(x-1)\dots(x-n+1)$ . This suffices.

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks Herbert Wilf and Janet Beissinger, who was the first to explore [1] combinatorial proofs using colored permutations, for their assistance.

## References

- [1] Janet Beissinger. Colorful proofs of generating function identities. Unpublished notes, 1981.
- [2] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.