

# A $q$ -analogue of de Finetti's theorem

Alexander Gnedin

Department of Mathematics  
Utrecht University  
the Netherlands

A.V.Gnedin@uu.nl

Grigori Olshanski\*

Institute for Information  
Transmission Problems  
Moscow, Russia  
and

Independent University  
of Moscow, Russia

olsh2007@gmail.com

Submitted: May 13, 2009; Accepted: Jun 15, 2009; Published: Jul 2, 2009

Mathematics Subject Classification: 60G09; 60J50; 60C05

## Abstract

A  $q$ -analogue of de Finetti's theorem is obtained in terms of a boundary problem for the  $q$ -Pascal graph. For  $q$  a power of prime this leads to a characterisation of random spaces over the Galois field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  that are invariant under the natural action of the infinite group of invertible matrices with coefficients from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 1 Introduction

The infinite symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  consists of bijections  $\{1, 2, \dots\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$  which move only finitely many integers. The group  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  acts on the product space  $\{0, 1\}^\infty$  by permutations of the coordinates. A random element of this space, that is a random infinite binary sequence, is called *exchangeable* if its probability law is invariant under the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ . De Finetti's theorem asserts that every exchangeable sequence can be generated in a unique way by the following two-step procedure: first choose at random the value of parameter  $p$  from some probability distribution on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ , then run an infinite Bernoulli process with probability  $p$  for 1's.

One approach to this classical result, as presented in Feller [3, Ch. VII, §4], is based on the following exciting connection with the Hausdorff moment problem. By exchangeability, the law of a random infinite binary sequence is determined by the array  $(v_{n,k})$ ,

---

\*Supported by a grant from the Utrecht University, by the RFBR grant 08-01-00110, and by the project SFB 701 (Bielefeld University).

where  $v_{n,k}$  equals the probability of every initial sequence of length  $n$  with  $k$  1's. The rule of addition of probabilities yields the backward recursion

$$v_{n,k} = v_{n+1,k} + v_{n+1,k+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (1)$$

which readily implies that the array can be derived by iterated differencing of the sequence  $(v_{n,0})_{n=0,1,\dots}$ . Specifically, setting

$$u_l^{(k)} = v_{l+k,k}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2)$$

and denoting by  $\delta$  the difference operator acting on sequences  $u = (u_l)_{l=0,1,\dots}$  as

$$(\delta u)_l = u_l - u_{l+1},$$

the recursion (1) can be written as

$$u^{(k)} = \delta u^{(k-1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3)$$

Since  $v_{n,k} \geq 0$ , the sequence  $u^{(0)}$  must be completely monotone, that is, componentwise

$$\underbrace{\delta \circ \dots \circ \delta}_k u^{(0)} \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

but then Hausdorff's theorem implies that there exists a representation

$$v_{n,k} = u_{n-k}^{(k)} = \int_{[0,1]} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \mu(dp) \quad (4)$$

with uniquely determined probability measure  $\mu$ . De Finetti's theorem follows since  $v_{n,k} = p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$  for the Bernoulli process with parameter  $p$ . See [1] for other proofs and extensive survey of generalisations of this result.

The present note is devoted to variations on the  $q$ -analogue of de Finetti's theorem, which was briefly outlined in Kerov [10] within the framework of the boundary problem for generalised Stirling triangles. A related result is also contained in Pitman [12] (summary of a talk). The boundary problem for other weighted versions of the Pascal triangle was studied in [4], [7], and for more general graded graphs in [5], [10], [11].

**Definition 1.1.** Given  $q > 0$ , let us say that a random binary sequence  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots) \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$  is  $q$ -exchangeable if its probability law  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ -quasiinvariant with a specific co-cycle, which is uniquely determined by the following condition: Denoting by  $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$  the probability of an initial sequence  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ , we have for any  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+2}, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = q^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n).$$

In words: under an elementary transposition of the form  $(\dots, 1, 0, \dots) \rightarrow (\dots, 0, 1, \dots)$ , probability is multiplied by  $q$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume  $0 < q < 1$ . There is a bijective correspondence  $\mathbb{P} \leftrightarrow \mu$  between the probability laws  $\mathbb{P}$  of infinite  $q$ -exchangeable binary sequences and the probability measures  $\mu$  on the closed countable set*

$$\Delta_q := \{1, q, q^2, \dots\} \cup \{0\} \subset [0, 1].$$

More precisely, a  $q$ -exchangeable sequence can be generated in a unique way by first choosing at random a point  $x \in \Delta_q$  distributed according to  $\mu$  and then running a certain  $q$ -analogue of the Bernoulli process indexed by  $x$ . Each law  $\mathbb{P}$  is uniquely determined by the infinite triangular array

$$v_{n,k} := \mathbb{P}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n < \infty, \quad (5)$$

which in turn is given by a  $q$ -version of formula (4), with  $[0, 1]$  being replaced by  $\Delta_q$  (Theorem 3.2). A similar result with switching the roles of 0's and 1's and replacing  $q$  by  $q^{-1}$  also holds for  $q > 1$ .

The approach to  $q$ -exchangeability via quasiinvariance, taken in this note, is further extended to arbitrary real-valued sequences in our forthcoming paper [6].

The rest of the note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the  $q$ -Pascal graph and formulate the  $q$ -exchangeability in terms of certain Markov chains on this graph. In Section 3 we find a characteristic recursion for the numbers (5), which is a  $q$ -deformation of (1), and we prove the main result, equivalent to Theorem 1.2, using the method of [11]. In Section 4 we discuss three examples: two  $q$ -analogues of the Bernoulli process and a  $q$ -analogue of Pólya's urn process. Finally, in Section 5, for  $q$  a power of a prime number, we provide an interpretation of the theorem in terms of random subspaces in an infinite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 2 The $q$ -Pascal graph

For  $q > 0$ , the  $q$ -Pascal graph is a *weighted* directed graph  $\Gamma(q)$  on the infinite vertex set

$$\Gamma = \{(l, k) : l, k = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Each vertex  $(l, k)$  has two weighted outgoing edges  $(l, k) \rightarrow (l+1, k)$  and  $(l, k) \rightarrow (l, k+1)$  with weights 1 and  $q^l$ , respectively. The vertex set is divided into levels  $\Gamma_n = \{(l, k) : l+k = n\}$ , so  $\Gamma = \cup_{n \geq 0} \Gamma_n$  with  $\Gamma_0$  consisting of the sole root vertex  $(0, 0)$ . For a path in  $\Gamma$  connecting two vertices  $(l, k) \in \Gamma_{l+k}$  and  $(\lambda, \varkappa) \in \Gamma_{\lambda+\varkappa}$  we define the weight to be the product of weights of edges along the path. For instance, the weight of  $(2, 3) \rightarrow (2, 4) \rightarrow (3, 4) \rightarrow (3, 5)$  is  $q^5 = q^2 \cdot 1 \cdot q^3$ . Clearly, such a path exists if and only if  $\lambda \geq l$ ,  $\varkappa \geq k$ .

We shall consider certain transient Markov chains  $S = (S_n)$ , with state-space  $\Gamma$ , which start at the root  $(0, 0)$  and move along the directed edges, so that  $S_n \in \Gamma_n$  for every  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Thus, a trajectory of  $S$  is an infinite directed path in  $\Gamma$  started at the root.

**Definition 2.1.** Adopting the terminology introduced by Vershik and Kerov (see [10]), we say that a Markov chain  $S$  on  $\Gamma(q)$  is *central* if the following condition is satisfied for each vertex  $(n - k, k) \in \Gamma_n$  visited by  $S$  with positive probability: given  $S_n = (n - k, k)$ , the conditional probability that  $S$  follows each particular path connecting  $(0, 0)$  and  $(n - k, k)$  is proportional to the weight of the path.

**Remark 2.2.** If we only require the centrality condition to hold for all  $(l, k) \in \Gamma_\nu$  for fixed  $\nu$ , then we have it satisfied also for all  $(l, k)$  with  $l + k \leq \nu$ . From this it is easy to see that the centrality condition *implies* the Markov property of  $S$  in reversed time  $n = \dots, 1, 0$ , hence also implies the Markov property in forward time  $n = 0, 1, \dots$

In the special case  $q = 1$  Definition 2.1 means that in the Pascal graph  $\Gamma(1)$  all paths with common endpoints are equally likely.

Recall a bijection between the infinite binary sequences  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$  and infinite directed paths in  $\Gamma$  started at the root  $(0, 0)$ . Specifically, given a path, the  $n$ th digit  $\varepsilon_n$  is given the value 0 or 1 depending on whether  $l$  or  $k$  coordinate is increased by 1. Identifying a path with a sequence  $(n - K_n, K_n)$  (where  $0 \leq K_n \leq n$ ), the correspondence can be written as

$$K_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j, \quad \varepsilon_n = K_n - K_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *By virtue of the bijection between  $\{0, 1\}^\infty$  and the paths in  $\Gamma$ , each  $q$ -exchangeable sequence corresponds to a central Markov chain on  $\Gamma(q)$ , and vice versa.*

*Proof.* This follows readily from Remark 2.2, Definitions 1.1 and 2.1 and the structure of  $\Gamma(q)$ . □

We shall use the standard notation

$$[n] := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}, \quad [n]! := [1] \cdot [2] \cdots [n], \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!}$$

for  $q$ -integers,  $q$ -factorials and  $q$ -binomial coefficients, respectively, with the usual convention that  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$  for  $n < 0$  or  $k < 0$ . Furthermore, we set

$$(x; q)_k := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - xq^i), \quad 1 \leq k \leq \infty,$$

with the infinite product ( $k = \infty$ ) considered for  $0 < q < 1$ .

The following lemma justifies the name of the graph by relating it to the  $q$ -Pascal triangle of  $q$ -binomial coefficients.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The sum of weights of all directed paths from the root  $(0, 0)$  to a vertex  $(n - k, k)$ , denoted  $d_{n,k}$ , is given by*

$$d_{n,k} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

More generally,  $d_{n,k}^{\nu,\varkappa}$ , the sum of weights of all paths connecting two vertices  $(n-k, k)$  and  $(\nu - \varkappa, \varkappa)$  in  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$d_{n,k}^{\nu,\varkappa} = q^{(\varkappa-k)(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu - n \\ \varkappa - k \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Note that any path from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n-k, k)$  has the second component incrementing by 1 on some  $k$  edges  $(l_i, i-1) \rightarrow (l_i, i)$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $0 \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_k \leq n-k$ , thus the sum of weights is equal to

$$d_{n,k} = \sum_{0 \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_k \leq n-k} q^{l_1 + \dots + l_k}. \quad (7)$$

This array satisfies the recursion

$$d_{n,k} = q^{n-k} d_{n-1,k-1} + d_{n-1,k}, \quad 0 < k < n \quad (8)$$

with the boundary conditions  $d_{n,0} = d_{n,n} = 1$ . On the other hand, it is well known that the array of  $q$ -binomial coefficients also satisfies this recursion [9], hence by the uniqueness  $d_{n,k}$  is the  $q$ -binomial coefficient. In the like way the sum of weights of paths from  $(n-k, k)$  to  $(\nu - \varkappa, \varkappa)$  is

$$d_{n,k}^{\nu,\varkappa} = \sum_{n-k \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_{k'} \leq \nu - \varkappa} q^{l_1 + \dots + l_{k'}}, \quad k' := \varkappa - k.$$

Comparing with (7) we see that this is equal to  $q^{(n-k)k'} \begin{bmatrix} \nu - n \\ k' \end{bmatrix}$ . □

**Remark 2.5.** Changing  $(l, k)$  to  $(k, l)$  yields the *dual*  $q$ -Pascal graph  $\Gamma^*(q)$ , which has the same set of vertices and edges as  $\Gamma(q)$ , but different weights: the edge  $(l, k) \rightarrow (l, k+1)$  has now weight 1, and the edge  $(l, k) \rightarrow (l+1, k)$  has weight  $q^k$ . The sum of weights of paths in  $\Gamma^*$  from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(l, k)$  is again (6), which is related to another recursion for  $q$ -binomial coefficients,  $d_{n,k} = d_{n-1,k-1} + q^k d_{n-1,k}$ .

Consider the recursion

$$v_{n,k} = v_{n+1,k} + q^{n-k} v_{n+1,k+1}, \quad \text{with } v_{0,0} = 1, \quad (9)$$

which is dual to (8), and denote by  $\mathcal{V}$  the set of nonnegative solutions to (9).

**Proposition 2.6.** *Formula*

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k)\} = d_{n,k} v_{n,k}, \quad (n-k, k) \in \Gamma$$

establishes a bijective correspondence  $\mathbb{P} \leftrightarrow v$  between the probability laws of central Markov chains  $S = (S_n)$  on  $\Gamma(q)$  and solutions  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  to recursion (9).

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a central Markov chain on  $\Gamma$  with probability law  $\mathbb{P}$ . Observe that the property in Definition 2.1 means precisely that the one-step *backward* transition probabilities (that is, transition probabilities in the inverse time) are of the form

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_{n-1} = (n-1, k) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} = \frac{d_{n-1,k}}{d_{n,k}} = \frac{[n-k]}{[n]} \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_{n-1} = (n-1, k-1) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} = \frac{d_{n-1,k-1}q^{n-k}}{d_{n,k}} = q^{n-k} \frac{[k]}{[n]} \quad (11)$$

for every such  $S$ .

Introduce the notation

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} := \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k)\}, \quad (n-k, k) \in \Gamma. \quad (12)$$

Consistency of the distributions of  $S_n$ 's amounts to the rule of total probability

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{n,k} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k) \mid S_{n+1} = (n+1-k, k)\} \tilde{v}_{n+1,k} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k) \mid S_{n+1} = (n-k, k+1)\} \tilde{v}_{n+1,k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Rewriting (13), using (10) and (11), and setting

$$v_{n,k} = d_{n,k}^{-1} \tilde{v}_{n,k} \quad (14)$$

we get (9), which means that  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Thus, we have constructed the correspondence  $\mathbb{P} \mapsto v$ .

Conversely, start with a solution  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and pass to  $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_{n,k})$  according to (14). For each  $n$  consider the measure on  $\Gamma_n$  with weights  $\tilde{v}_{n,0}, \dots, \tilde{v}_{n,n}$ . Since the weight of the root is 1, it follows from (9) by induction in  $n$  that these are probability measures. Again by (9), these marginal measures are consistent with the backward transition probabilities, hence determine the probability law of a central Markov chain on  $\Gamma(q)$ . Thus, we get the inverse correspondence  $v \mapsto \mathbb{P}$ .  $\square$

By virtue of Propositions 2.3 and 2.6, the law of  $q$ -exchangeable infinite binary sequence is determined by some  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , with the entries  $v_{n,k}$  having the same meaning as in (5). In the sequel this law will be sometimes denoted  $\mathbb{P}_v$ .

### 3 The boundary problem

The set  $\mathcal{V}$  is a Choquet simplex, meaning a convex set which is compact in the product topology of the space of functions on  $\Gamma$  and has the property of uniqueness of the barycentric decomposition of each  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  over the set of extreme elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  (see, e. g., [8, Proposition 10.21]).

The *boundary problem* for the  $q$ -Pascal graph amounts to describing extreme nonnegative solutions to the recursion (9). Each extreme solution  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  corresponds to ergodic

process  $(S_n)$  for which the tail sigma-algebra is trivial. In this context, the set of extremes is also known as *the minimal boundary*.

With each array  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $v = (v_{n,k})$ , it is convenient to associate another array  $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_{n,k})$  related to  $v$  via (14). Clearly, the mapping  $v \leftrightarrow \tilde{v}$  is an isomorphism of two Choquet simplexes  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \{\tilde{v}\}$ . Recall that the meaning of the quantities  $\tilde{v}_{n,k}$  is explained in (12).

A common approach to the boundary problem calls for identifying a possibly larger *Martin boundary* (see [11], [7], [4] for applications of the method). To this end, we need to consider multistep backward transition probabilities, which by Lemma 2.4 are given by a  $q$ -analogue of the hypergeometric distribution

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{n,k}(\nu, \varkappa) &:= \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n - k, k) \mid S_\nu = (\nu - \varkappa, \varkappa)\} \\ &= q^{(\varkappa-k)(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu - n \\ \varkappa - k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \varkappa \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and to examine the limiting regimes for  $\varkappa = \varkappa(\nu)$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , under which the probabilities (15) converge for all fixed  $(n - k, k) \in \Gamma$ . If the limits exist, the limiting array

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} := \lim_{(\nu, \varkappa)} \tilde{v}_{n,k}(\nu, \varkappa)$$

belongs necessarily to  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ .

Suppose  $0 < q < 1$  and introduce polynomials

$$\Phi_{n,k}(x) := q^{-k(n-k)} x^{n-k} (x; q^{-1})_k, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{n,k} = d_{n,k} \Phi_{n,k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (16)$$

Obviously, the degree of  $\Phi_{n,k}$  is  $n$ ; we will consider the polynomial as a function on  $\Delta_q$ . Observe also that  $\Phi_{n,k}(x)$  vanishes at points  $x = q^\varkappa$  with  $\varkappa < k$ , because of vanishing of  $(x; q^{-1})_k$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $0 < q < 1$ , and let in (15) the indices  $n$  and  $k$  remain fixed, while  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varkappa = \varkappa(\nu)$  varies in some way with  $\nu$ . Then the limit of (15) is  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(q^\varkappa)$  if  $\varkappa$  is constant for large enough  $\nu$ . If  $\varkappa \rightarrow \infty$  then the limit is  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(0) = \delta_{n,k}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first  $\varkappa \rightarrow \infty$  and show that the limit of (15) is  $\delta_{n,k}$ . Since the quantities  $\tilde{v}_{n,k}(\nu, \varkappa)$ , where  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , form a probability distribution, it suffices to check that the limit exists and is equal to 1 for  $k = n$ . In this case the right-hand side of (15) becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{[\varkappa - n + i]}{[\nu - n + i]}.$$

Because  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [m] = 1/(1 - q)$  for  $q < 1$ , this indeed converges to 1 provided that  $\varkappa \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now suppose  $\varkappa$  is fixed for all large enough  $\nu$ . The right-hand side of (15) is 0 for  $k > \varkappa$ . For  $k \leq \varkappa$  using  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [m - j]! / [m]! = (1 - q)^j$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[\nu - n]}{[\varkappa - k]} \Big/ \frac{[\nu]}{[\varkappa]} &= \frac{[\nu - n]!}{[\nu]!} \frac{[\nu - \varkappa]!}{[\nu - \varkappa - (n - k)]!} \frac{[\varkappa]!}{[\varkappa - k]!} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{(1 - q)^k [\varkappa]!}{[\varkappa - k]!} = \tilde{\Phi}_{n,n}(q^\varkappa). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

□

Part (i) of the next theorem appeared in [10, Chapter 1, Section 4, Corollary 6]. Kerov pointed out that the proof could be concluded from the Kerov-Vershik ‘ring theorem’ (see [5, Section 8.7]), but did not give details.

For  $\mu$  a measure, we shall write  $\mu(x)$  instead of  $\mu(\{x\})$ , meaning atomic mass at  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $0 < q < 1$ .*

(i) *The formulas*

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} = \sum_{x \in \Delta_q} \tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(x) \mu(x), \quad v_{n,k} = \sum_{x \in \Delta_q} \Phi_{n,k}(x) \mu(x)$$

*establish a linear homeomorphism between the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{V}$ ) and the set of all probability measures  $\mu$  on  $\Delta_q$ .*

(ii) *Given  $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ , the corresponding measure  $\mu$  is determined by*

$$\mu(q^\varkappa) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{v}_{\nu,\varkappa}, \quad \varkappa = 0, 1, \dots; \quad \mu(0) = 1 - \sum_{\varkappa \in \{0,1,\dots\}} \mu(q^\varkappa).$$

*Proof.* As in [11], the assertions (i) and (ii) are consequences of the following claims (a), (b), and (c).

(a) For each  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , the vertex set  $\Gamma_\nu$  is embedded into  $\Delta_q$  via the map  $(\nu, \varkappa) \mapsto q^\varkappa$ . Observe that, as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , the image of  $\Gamma_\nu$  in  $\Delta_q$  expands and in the limit exhausts the whole set  $\Delta_q$ , except point 0, which is a limit point. In this sense,  $\Delta_q$  is approximated by the sets  $\Gamma_\nu$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

(b) The multistep backward transition probabilities (15) converge to  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(q^\varkappa)$ , for  $0 \leq \varkappa \leq \infty$ , in the regimes described by Lemma 3.1.

(c) The linear span of the functions  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(x)$ ,  $(n - k, k) \in \Gamma$ , is the space of all polynomials, so that it is dense in the Banach space  $C(\Delta_q)$ . □

Note that part (ii) of the theorem can be rephrased as follows: given  $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ , consider the probability distribution on  $\Gamma_n$  determined by  $\tilde{v}_{n,\bullet}$  and take its pushforward under the embedding  $\Gamma_n \hookrightarrow \Delta_q$ . The resulting probability measure on  $\Delta_q$  weakly converges to  $\mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *For  $0 < q < 1$  we have:*

- (i) The extreme elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  are parameterised by the points  $x \in \Delta_q$  and have the form

$$v_{n,k} = \Phi_{n,k}(x), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (18)$$

- (ii) The Martin boundary of the graph  $\Gamma(q)$  coincides with its minimal boundary and can be identified with  $\Delta_q \subset [0, 1]$  via the function  $v \mapsto v_{1,0}$ .

*Proof.* All the claims are immediate. We only comment on the fact the parameter  $x \in \Delta_q$  is recovered as the value of  $v_{1,0}$ : this holds because  $\Phi_{1,0}(x) = x$ .  $\square$

Letting  $q \rightarrow 1$  we have a phase transition: the discrete boundary  $\Delta_q$  becomes more and more dense and eventually fills the whole of  $[0, 1]$  at  $q = 1$ .

As is seen from (16), the polynomial  $\Phi_{n,k}(x)$  can be viewed as a  $q$ -analogue of the polynomial  $x^{n-k}(1-x)^k$ , so that (18) is a  $q$ -analogue of (4). Keep in mind that  $x = q^{\varepsilon_1}$  is a counterpart of  $1-p$ , the probability of  $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ . The following  $q$ -analogue of the Hausdorff problem of moments emerges. Introduce a modified difference operator acting on sequences  $u = (u_l)_{l=0,1,\dots}$  as

$$(\delta_q u)_l = q^{-l}(u_l - u_{l+1}), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots$$

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume  $0 < q < 1$ . A real sequence  $u = (u_l)_{l=0,1,\dots}$  with  $u_0 = 1$  is a moment sequence of a probability measure  $\mu$  supported by  $\Delta_q \subset [0, 1]$  if and only if  $u$  is ‘ $q$ -completely monotone’ in the sense that for every  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  we have componentwise

$$\underbrace{\delta_q \circ \dots \circ \delta_q}_k u \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

*Proof.* Using the notation  $v_{l+k,k} = u_l^{(k)}$  as in (2), we see that the recursion (9) is equivalent to  $u^{(k)} = \delta_q u^{(k-1)}$ , cf. (3). Then we use the fact that  $\Phi_{n,0}(x) = x^n$  and repeat in the reverse order the argument of Section 1.  $\square$

## The case $q > 1$ .

This case can be readily reduced to the case with parameter  $0 < \bar{q} < 1$ , where  $\bar{q} := q^{-1}$ . It is convenient to adopt a more detailed notation  $[n]_q$  for the  $q$ -integers.

**Lemma 3.5.** For every  $q > 0$ ,  $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$ , the backward transition probabilities (10), (11) for the graph  $\Gamma(q)$  and the dual graph  $\Gamma^*(\bar{q})$  are the same.

*Proof.* Indeed, by virtue of (10), (11), this is reduced to the equality

$$\frac{[n-k]_q}{[n]_q} = q^k \frac{[n-k]_{\bar{q}}}{[n]_{\bar{q}}}.$$

$\square$

The lemma implies that the boundary problem for  $q > 1$  can be treated by passing to  $q^{-1} < 1$  and changing  $(l, k)$  to  $(k, l)$ . In terms of the binary encoding of the path, this means switching 0’s with 1’s.

Kerov [10, Chapter 1, Section 2.2] gives more examples of ‘similar’ graphs, which have different edge weights but the same backward transition probabilities.

## 4 Examples

### A $q$ -analogue of the Bernoulli process.

Our first example is a description of the extreme  $q$ -exchangeable infinite binary sequences.

With each infinite binary sequence we associate some  $T$ -sequence  $(T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots)$  of nonnegative integers, where  $T_j$  is the length of  $j$ th run of 0's. That is to say,  $T_0$  is the number of 0's before the first 1,  $T_1$  is the number of 0's between the first and second 1's,  $T_2$  is the number of 0's between the second and third 1's, and so on. Clearly, this is a bijection, i.e. a binary sequence can be recovered from its  $T$ -sequence as

$$\left( \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{T_0}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{T_1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{T_2}, 1, \dots \right).$$

If  $q = 1$ , then the Bernoulli process with parameter  $p$  has a simple description in terms of the associated random  $T$ -sequence: all  $T_i$  are independent and have the same geometric distribution with parameter  $1 - p$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume  $0 < q < 1$ . For  $x \in \Delta_q$ , let  $v(x) = (v_{n,k}(x))$  be the extreme element of  $\mathcal{V}$  corresponding to  $x$ . Consider  $q$ -exchangeable infinite binary sequence  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$  under the probability law  $\mathbb{P}_{v(x)}$  and let  $(T_0, T_1, \dots)$  be the associated random  $T$ -sequence.*

(i) *If  $x = q^\varkappa$  with  $\varkappa = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $T_0, \dots, T_{\varkappa-1}$  are independent,  $T_\varkappa \equiv \infty$ , and  $T_i$  has geometric distribution with parameter  $q^{\varkappa-i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \varkappa - 1$ .*

(ii) *If  $x = 1$  then  $T_0 \equiv \infty$ , which means that with probability one  $\varepsilon$  is the sequence  $(0, 0, \dots)$  of only 0's.*

(iii) *If  $x = 0$  then  $T_0 \equiv T_1 \equiv \dots \equiv 0$ , which means that with probability one  $\varepsilon$  is the sequence  $(1, 1, \dots)$  of only 1's.*

*Proof.* Consider the central Markov chain  $S = (S_n)$  corresponding to the extreme element  $v(q^\varkappa)$ . Computing the forward transition probabilities, from (18) and (10), for  $0 \leq k \leq \varkappa$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{S_{n+1} = (n+1-k, k) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} \\ = \frac{(q^{n+1-k} - 1)}{(q^n - 1)} \frac{d_{n+1,k} \Phi_{n+1,k}(q^\varkappa)}{d_{n,k} \Phi_{n,k}(q^\varkappa)} = q^{\varkappa-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

This implies (i) and (ii). In the limit case  $x = 0$  corresponding to  $\varkappa \rightarrow +\infty$ , the above probability equals 0, which entails (iii).  $\square$

The analogy with the Bernoulli process is evident from the above description of the binary sequence  $\varepsilon(q^\varkappa)$ . Moreover, the Bernoulli process appears as a limit. Indeed, fix  $p \in (0, 1)$  and suppose  $\varkappa$  varies with  $q$ , as  $q \uparrow 1$ , in such a way that

$$\varkappa \sim \frac{-\log(1-p)}{1-q}.$$

In this limiting regime,  $q^{\varkappa-k} \rightarrow 1-p$  for every  $k$ , hence  $(T_0, T_1, \dots)$  weakly converges to an infinite sequence of i.i.d. geometric variables with parameter  $1-p$ , and the random binary sequence  $\varepsilon(q^{\varkappa})$  converges in distribution to the Bernoulli process with the frequency of 0's equal to  $1-p$ .

## Another $q$ -analogue of Bernoulli process.

Following [10], another  $q$ -analogue of Bernoulli process is suggested by the  $q$ -binomial formula (see [9])

$$(-\theta; q)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \theta^k.$$

For  $\theta \in [0, \infty]$  we define a probability law  $\mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}$  for  $S = (S_n)$  by setting

$$w_{n,k}^\theta := \frac{\theta^k q^{k(k-1)/2}}{(-\theta; q)_n}, \quad \mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}\{S_n = (n-k, k)\} := d_{n,k} w_{n,k}^\theta, \quad (n, k) \in \Gamma. \quad (20)$$

Checking (9) is immediate. Computing forward transition probabilities,

$$\mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}\{S_{n+1} = (n+1-k, k) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} = 1/(1 + \theta q^n),$$

shows that under  $\mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}$  the process  $S_n = (n - K_n, K_n)$  has independent inhomogeneous increments, with probability  $\theta q^{n-1}/(1 + \theta q^{n-1})$  for increment  $K_n - K_{n-1} = 1$ . For  $q = 1$  we are back to the ergodic Bernoulli process, but for  $0 < q < 1$  the process is not extreme. To obtain the barycentric decomposition of  $w^\theta$  over extremes,

$$w^\theta = \sum_{0 \leq \varkappa < \infty} v^\varkappa \mu(q^\varkappa),$$

we can apply Theorem 3.2(ii) to compute from (20)

$$\mu(q^\varkappa) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}\{S_n = (n - \varkappa, \varkappa)\} = \frac{1}{(-\theta; q)_\infty} \frac{q^{\varkappa(\varkappa-1)/2} \theta^\varkappa}{(1-q)^\varkappa [\varkappa]!}.$$

This measure  $\mu$  may be viewed as a  $q$ -analogue of the Poisson distribution.

## A $q$ -analogue of Pólya's urn process.

The conventional Pólya's urn process is described in [3, Section 7.4]. Here we provide its natural deformation.

Fix  $a, b > 0$  and  $0 < q < 1$ . Consider the Markov chain  $(S_n)$  on  $\Gamma$  with the forward transition probabilities from  $(n-k, k)$  to  $(n+1-k, k)$  and from  $(n-k, k)$  to  $(n-k, k+1)$  given by

$$\frac{[b+n-k]}{[a+b+n]} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{[a+k]}{[a+b+n]} q^{n-k+b},$$

respectively. Then the distribution at time  $n$  is

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n - k, k)\} = \binom{n}{k} q^{bk} \times \frac{[a][a+1] \cdots [a+k-1][b][b+1] \cdots [b+n-k-1]}{[a+b][a+b+1] \cdots [a+b+n-1]}. \quad (21)$$

Checking consistency (9) is easy. The conventional Pólya's urn process appears in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ . The corresponding probability measure  $\mu$  is computable from Theorem 3.2(ii) as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n - \varkappa, \varkappa)\}$$

For  $a = 1$ , the limit distribution of the coordinate  $\varkappa$  is geometric with parameter  $1 - q^b$ . For general  $a, b$  we obtain a measure on  $\Delta_q$

$$\mu(q^\varkappa) = \frac{(q^a; q)_\infty (q^b; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty (q^{a+b}; q)_\infty} q^{\varkappa b}, \quad q^\varkappa \in \Delta_q,$$

which may be viewed as a  $q$ -analogue of the beta distribution on  $[0, 1]$ .

## 5 Grassmannians over a finite field

For  $q$  a power of a prime number, let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the Galois field with  $q$  elements. Define  $V_n$  to be the  $n$ -dimensional space of sequences  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  with entries from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which satisfy  $\xi_i = 0$  for  $i > n$ . The spaces  $\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$  comprise a complete flag, and the union  $V_\infty := \cup_{n \geq 0} V_n$  is a countable, infinite-dimensional space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

By the *Grassmannian*  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  we mean the set of all vector subspaces  $X \subseteq V_\infty$ . Likewise, for  $n \geq 0$  let  $\text{Gr}(V_n)$  be the set of all vector subspaces in  $V_n$ , with  $\text{Gr}(V_0)$  being a singleton. Consider the projection  $\pi_{n+1, n} : \text{Gr}(V_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(V_n)$  which sends a subspace of  $V_{n+1}$  to its intersection with  $V_n$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *There is a canonical bijection  $X \leftrightarrow (X_n)$  between the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  and the set of sequences  $(X_n \in \text{Gr}(V_n), n \geq 0)$  satisfying the consistency condition  $X_n = \pi_{n+1, n}(X_{n+1})$  for each  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, the mapping  $X \mapsto (X_n)$  is given by setting  $X_n = X \cap V_n$  for each  $n$ , while the mapping  $(X_n) \mapsto X$  is defined by  $X = \cup X_n$ .  $\square$

The lemma shows that  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  can be identified with a projective limit of the finite sets  $\text{Gr}(V_n)$ , the projections being the maps  $\pi_{n+1, n}$ . Using this identification we endow  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  with the corresponding topology, in which  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  becomes a totally disconnected compact space. For  $X \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ , a fundamental system of its neighborhoods is comprised of the sets of the form  $\{X' \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty) : X'_n = X_n\}$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_n = GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  be the group of invertible linear transformations of the space  $V_n$ , realised as the group of transformations of  $V_\infty$  which may only change the first  $n$

coordinates. We have then  $\{e\} = \mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \dots$  and we define  $\mathcal{G}_\infty := \cup \mathcal{G}_n$ . The countable group  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$  consists of infinite invertible matrices  $(g_{ij})$ , such that  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  for large enough  $i + j$ . The group  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$  acts on  $V_\infty$  hence also acts on  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ .

A probability distribution on  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  defines a random subspace of  $V_\infty$ . We look at random subspaces of  $V_\infty$  whose distribution is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ . Observe that the action of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  splits  $\text{Gr}(V_n)$  into orbits

$$G(n, k) = \{X \in \text{Gr}(V_n), \dim X = k\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

where  $\#G(n, k) = d_{n,k}$  is the number of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V_n$ . Therefore, a probability distribution on  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  is  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -invariant if and only if the conditional distribution on each  $G(n, k)$  is uniform.

It must be clear that this setting of ‘ $q$ -exchangeability’ of linear spaces is analogous to the framework of de Finetti’s theorem: exchangeability of a random binary sequence means that the conditional measure is uniform on sequences of length  $n$  with  $k$  1’s. See [1], [2] for more on symmetries and sufficiency.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Formula*

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} = P\{X \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty) : X \cap V_n \in G(n, k)\}, \quad (n, k) \in \Gamma$$

*establishes a linear homeomorphism between  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -invariant probability measures on the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* We first spell out more carefully the remark before the lemma. Consider projections

$$\pi_{\infty,n} : \text{Gr}(V_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(V_n), \quad X \mapsto X \cap V_n, \quad X \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $P$  is a Borel probability measure on the space  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ , then, for any  $n$ , the pushforward  $P_n := \pi_{\infty,n}(P)$  is a probability measure on  $\text{Gr}(V_n)$ , and the measures  $P_n$  are consistent with respect to the projections  $\pi_{n+1,n}$ , that is,

$$P_n = \pi_{n+1,n}(P_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Conversely, if a sequence  $(P_n)$  of probability measures is consistent, then it determines a probability measure  $P$  on  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ . Moreover,  $P$  is  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -invariant if and only if each  $P_n$  is  $\mathcal{G}_n$ -invariant. Next, observe that if  $P_n$  is a  $\mathcal{G}_n$ -invariant probability measure, then it assigns the same weight to each  $k$ -dimensional space  $X_n \in G(n, k)$ ; let us denote this weight by  $v_{n,k}$ .

Fix  $X_n \in G(n, k)$ . We claim that there are precisely  $q^{n-k} + 1$  subspaces  $X_{n+1} \in \text{Gr}(V_{n+1})$  such that  $X_{n+1} \cap V_n = X_n$ : one subspace from  $G(n+1, k)$  and  $q^{n-k}$  subspaces from  $G(n+1, k+1)$ . Indeed,  $\dim X_{n+1}$  equals either  $k$  or  $k+1$ . In the former case  $X_{n+1} = X_n$ , while in the latter case  $X_{n+1}$  is spanned by  $X_n$  and a nonzero vector from  $V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$ . Such a vector is defined uniquely up to a scalar multiple and addition of an

arbitrary vector from  $X_n$ . Therefore, the number of options is equal to the number of lines in  $V_{n+1}/X_n$  not contained in  $V_n/X_n$ , which equals

$$\frac{q^{n+1-k} - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{n-k} - 1}{q - 1} = q^{n-k}.$$

Now, let  $P$  be a  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -invariant probability measure on  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ , with projections  $(P_n)$  specified by the corresponding array of weights  $v = (v_{n,k})$ . Then the relations  $P_n = \pi_{n+1,n}(P_{n+1})$  together with the dimension computation imply that  $v$  satisfies (9).

Conversely, given  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , we can construct a sequence  $(P_n)$  of measures such that  $P_n$  lives on  $\text{Gr}(V_n)$ , is invariant under  $\mathcal{G}_n$  and agrees with  $P_{n+1}$  under  $\pi_{n+1,n}$ . Since  $P_0$ , which lives on a singleton, is obviously a probability measure, we obtain by induction that all  $P_n$  are probability measures. Taking their projective limit we get a  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -invariant probability measure  $P$  on  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ .  $\square$

Rephrasing Theorem 3.2 using Lemma 3.5 we have from Lemma 5.2

**Corollary 5.3.** *The ergodic  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -invariant probability measures on  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$  are parameterised by  $\varkappa \in \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$ . For  $\varkappa = 0$  the measure is the Dirac mass at  $V_\infty$ , for  $\varkappa = \infty$  it is the Dirac mass at  $V_0$ , and for  $0 < \varkappa < \infty$  the measure is supported by the set of subspaces of  $V_\infty$  of codimension  $\varkappa$ .*

The following random algorithm describes explicitly the dynamics of the growing space  $X_n \in \text{Gr}(V_n)$  as  $n$  varies, under the ergodic measure with parameter  $\varkappa$ . Recall the notation  $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$ . Start with  $X_0 = V_0$ . With probability  $\bar{q}^\varkappa$  choose  $X_1 = V_1$ , and with probability  $1 - \bar{q}^\varkappa$  choose  $X_1 = X_0$ . Suppose  $X_n \subseteq V_n$  has been constructed and has dimension  $n - k$  with  $k \leq \varkappa$ . Then let  $X_{n+1} = X_n$  with probability  $1 - \bar{q}^{\varkappa-k}$ , and with probability  $\bar{q}^{\varkappa-k}$  choose uniformly at random a nonzero vector  $\xi \in V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$  and let  $X_{n+1}$  be the linear span of  $X_n$  and  $\xi$ .

## Duality.

We finish with a dual version of our construction. Let  $V^\infty$  denote the set of all sequences  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots)$  with entries from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . This is again a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , strictly larger than  $V_\infty$  since we do not require  $\eta$  to have finitely many nonzero entries. That is to say,  $V^\infty$  is just the infinite product space  $(\mathbb{F}_q)^\infty$ , which we endow with the product topology. Let  $\text{Gr}(V^\infty)$  denote the set of all *closed* subspaces  $Y \subseteq V^\infty$ . A dual version of Lemma 5.1 says that such subspaces  $Y$  are in a bijective correspondence with the sequences  $(Y_n \in \text{Gr}(V_n), n \geq 0)$  such that  $Y_n = \pi'_{n+1,n}(Y_{n+1})$ , where  $\pi'_{n+1,n}$  is induced by the projection map  $V_{n+1} \rightarrow V_n$  which sets the  $(n+1)$ th coordinate of a vector  $\xi \in V_{n+1}$  equal to 0. The branching of  $G(n, k)$ 's under these projections corresponds to the graph  $\Gamma^*(q^{-1})$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *The operation of passing to the orthogonal complement with respect to the bilinear form*

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i \eta_i, \quad \xi \in V_\infty, \quad \eta \in V^\infty,$$

is a bijection  $\text{Gr}(V_\infty) \leftrightarrow \text{Gr}(V^\infty)$ .

*Proof.* First of all, note that the bilinear form is well defined, because the coordinates  $\xi_i$  of  $\xi \in V_\infty$  vanish for  $i$  large enough. This form determines a bilinear pairing  $V_\infty \times V^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ . We claim that it brings the spaces  $V_\infty$  and  $V^\infty$  into duality, where  $V^\infty$  is viewed as a vector space with nontrivial topology defined above, and the topology on  $V_\infty$  is discrete.

Indeed, it is evident that the pairing is nondegenerate and that any linear functional on  $V_\infty$  is given by a vector of  $V^\infty$ . A minor reflection also shows that, conversely, any *continuous* linear functional on  $V^\infty$  is given by a vector from  $V_\infty$ . Thus, the spaces  $V_\infty$  and  $V^\infty$  are indeed dual to one another. They are also dual as commutative locally compact topological groups: one is discrete and the other is compact.

Using the duality, it is readily checked that if  $X$  is an arbitrary subspace in  $V_\infty$ , then its orthogonal complement  $X^\perp$  is a closed subspace in  $V^\infty$ , whose orthogonal complement  $(X^\perp)^\perp$  coincides with  $X$ . Likewise, starting with a closed subspace  $Y \subseteq V^\infty$ , we have  $Y^\perp \subseteq V_\infty$  and  $(Y^\perp)^\perp = Y$ . Thus, the operation of taking the orthogonal complement is a bijection.  $\square$

The group  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$  acts on both  $V_\infty$  and  $V^\infty$  and preserves the pairing between these vector spaces. Under the identification  $\text{Gr}(V^\infty) = \text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ , the group  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$  acts by homeomorphisms on this compact space. In the dual picture, the ergodic measures with  $\varkappa < \infty$  live on the set of  $\varkappa$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V^\infty$ . The case  $\varkappa = \infty$  corresponds then to the zero subspace in  $V_\infty$  (or the full space  $V^\infty$ ). There is a simple explanation why we have to fix codimension in the  $V_\infty$ -picture and dimension in the  $V^\infty$ -picture, and not vice versa. Namely, the subspaces in  $V_\infty$  of fixed nonzero finite dimension form a countable set, which is a single  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -orbit, and such a  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -space cannot carry a finite invariant measure.

## References

- [1] D. J. Aldous, *Exchangeability and related topics*. In: École d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIII—1983, Lecture Notes in Math., **1117** (1985), 1–198. Springer, Berlin.
- [2] P. Diaconis and D. Freedman, *Partial exchangeability and sufficiency*. In: J. K. Ghosh and J. Roy (Eds). *Statistics: Applications and New Directions; Proceedings of the Indian Statistical Institute Golden Jubilee International Conference*; Sankhya A. Indian Statistical Institute, 1984, 205–236.
- [3] W. Feller (1971), *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. II, Wiley, NY.
- [4] A. Gnedin and G. Olshanski, *The boundary of the Eulerian number triangle*. *Moscow Mathematical Journal* **6** (2006), 461–465.
- [5] A. Gnedin and G. Olshanski, *Coherent random permutations with descent statistic and the boundary problem for the graph of zigzag diagrams*. *Intern. Math. Res. Notices* **2006** (2006), Article ID 51968, 1–39.

- [6] A. Gnedin and G. Olshanski, *q-Exchangeability via quasi-invariance*, in preparation.
- [7] A. Gnedin and J. Pitman, *Exchangeable Gibbs partitions and Stirling triangles*. Journal of Mathematical Sciences **138** (2006), 5674–5685.
- [8] K. R. Goodearl, *Partially ordered abelian groups with interpolation*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **20**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1986, xxii + 336 pp.
- [9] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, 2001.
- [10] S. Kerov, *Asymptotic Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group and its Applications in Analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [11] S. Kerov, A. Okounkov, and G. Olshanski, *The boundary of Young graph with Jack edge multiplicities*. Intern. Math. Res. Notices **1998** (1998), no. 4, 173–199.
- [12] J. W. Pitman, *An extension of de Finetti's theorem*. Adv. Appl. Probab. **10** (1978), No. 2, 268–270.