

## Regularity of weak solutions to certain degenerate elliptic equations

ALBO CARLOS CAVALHEIRO

*Abstract.* In this article we establish the existence of higher order weak derivatives of weak solutions of Dirichlet problem for a class of degenerate elliptic equations.

*Keywords:* degenerate elliptic equations, weighted Sobolev spaces

*Classification:* Primary 35J70; Secondary 35J25

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the existence of higher order weak derivatives (see Theorem 3.8) of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations  $Lu = g - \operatorname{div} \vec{f}$ , where  $L$  is an elliptic operator

$$(1.1) \quad Lu = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij}(x)D_iu)(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x)D_iu(x)$$

whose coefficients  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_i$  are measurable, real-valued functions, and whose coefficient matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is symmetric and satisfies the degenerate ellipticity condition

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda\omega(x)|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \Lambda\omega(x)|\xi|^2$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and almost all  $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  on a bounded open set  $\Omega$ ,  $\omega$  is a weight function,  $\lambda$  and  $\Lambda$  are positive constants.

### 2. Definitions and basic results

By a *weight* we shall mean a locally integrable function  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $0 < \omega(x) < \infty$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Every weight  $\omega$  gives rise to a measure on the measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  through integration. This measure will also be denoted by  $\omega$ . Thus  $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega \, dx$  for measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

---

The author was partially supported by CNPq Grant 476040/2004-03.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and let  $\omega$  be a weight. For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we define  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ , the Banach space of all measurable functions  $f$  defined on  $\Omega$  for which

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A weight  $\omega$  belongs to the Muckenhoupt class  $A_p$  if there is a constant  $\mathbf{C} = C_{p, \omega}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{p-1} &\leq \mathbf{C} \quad (\text{if } 1 < p < \infty) \\ \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega dx \right) \left( \text{ess sup}_B \frac{1}{\omega} \right) &\leq \mathbf{C} \quad (\text{if } p = 1), \end{aligned}$$

for every ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $|B|$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $B$ . The infimum over all constants  $\mathbf{C}$  is called “ $A_p$ -constant of  $\omega$ ”.

**Example 2.3.** The function  $\omega(x) = |x|^\alpha$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , is a weight  $A_p$  if and only if  $-n < \alpha < n(p - 1)$  (see [6, Chapter 15]).

**Remark 2.4.** If  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then since  $\omega^{-1/(p-1)}$  is locally integrable when  $p > 1$ , and  $1/\omega$  is locally bounded, when  $p = 1$ , we have  $L^p(\Omega, \omega) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  for every open set  $\Omega$ . If  $\Omega$  is bounded, one obtains in the same way that  $L^p(\Omega, \omega) \subset L^1(\Omega)$ . It thus makes sense to talk about weak derivatives of functions in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ . □

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $k$  be a nonnegative integer. Suppose that the weight  $\omega \in A_p$ . We define the weighted Sobolev space  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  as the set of functions  $u \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  with weak derivatives  $D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  for  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . The norm of  $u$  in  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is given by

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)} = \left( \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha u|^p \omega dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We also define  $W^{k,p}_0(\Omega, \omega)$  as the closure of  $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$  in  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$ . If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_p$  then  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  (see Corollary 2.1.6 in [8]). The spaces  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  are Banach spaces.

In this paper we use frequently the following two theorems.

**Theorem 2.6** (Muckenhoupt Theorem). *Let  $\omega$  be a weight in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and*

$$[M(f)](x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy$$

*be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Then for  $p > 1$ ,  $M : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega)$  is continuous (that is,  $\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega)} \leq C_M \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega)}$ ) if and only if  $\omega \in A_p$ . The constant  $C_M$  is called Muckenhoupt constant and  $C_M$  depends only on  $n, p$  and the  $A_p$ -constant of  $\omega$ .*

PROOF: See [7] or [4, Corollary 4.3]. □

**Theorem 2.7** (Weighted Sobolev inequality). *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_p$ . Then there exist constants  $C_\Omega$  and  $\delta$  positive such that for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $k$  satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{n-1} + \delta$ ,*

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{kp}(\Omega, \omega)} \leq C_\Omega \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}$$

*where  $C_\Omega$  may be taken to depend only on  $n$ , the  $A_p$  constant of  $\omega$ ,  $p$  and the diameter of  $\Omega$ .*

PROOF: See Theorem 1.3 of [2]. □

**Definition 2.8.** We say that  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$  is a weak solution of the equation

$$Lu = g - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i f_i, \quad \text{with } \frac{g}{\omega}, \frac{f_i}{\omega} \in L^2(\Omega, \omega)$$

if

$$\mathcal{B}(u, \varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega f_i D_i \varphi + \int_\Omega g \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(u, \varphi) = \int_\Omega \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} D_i u D_j \varphi - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \varphi D_i u \right] dx.$$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $L$  be the operator (1.1) satisfying (1.2) and  $|b_i(x)| \leq C_1 \omega(x)$  in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $\psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $g/\omega \in L^2(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $f_i/\omega \in L^2(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $\omega \in A_2$ . Then the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= g - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i f_i \\ u - \psi &\in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

*has a unique solution  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$  and*

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} \leq C \left( \|g/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} \right).$$

PROOF: It is consequence of the Lax-Milgram Theorem and the proof follows the lines of Theorem 2.2. of [2]. □

### 3. Differentiability of weak solutions

In this section we prove that weak solutions  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$  of the equation  $Lu = g$  are twice weakly differentiable and  $D_{ij}u \in L^2(\Omega', \omega)$  (that is,  $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega', \omega), \forall \Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ ).

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $u$  be a function on a bounded open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and denote by  $e_i$  the unit coordinate vector in the  $x_i$  direction. We define the difference quotient of  $u$  at  $x$  in the direction  $e_i$  by

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_k^h u(x) = \frac{u(x + he_k) - u(x)}{h}, \quad (0 < |h| < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  and  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ . If  $u, v \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $\text{supp}(v) \subset \Omega'$  and  $g$  is a measurable function with  $|g(x)| \leq C\omega(x)$ , then

- (a)  $\Delta_k^h(uv)(x) = u(x + he_k)\Delta_k^h v(x) + v(x)\Delta_k^h u(x)$ , with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ;
- (b)  $\int_{\Omega} g(x)u(x)\Delta_k^{-h} v(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} v(x)\Delta_k^h(gu)(x) dx$ ;
- (c)  $\Delta_k^h(D_j v)(x) = D_j(\Delta_k^h v)(x)$ .

PROOF: The proof of this lemma follows trivially from Definition 3.1. □

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\omega$  be a weight in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $\omega$  is uniformly  $A_p$  in each coordinate if

- (a)  $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (b)  $\omega_i(t) = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$  is in  $A_p(\mathbb{R})$ , for  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$  a.e.,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , with  $A_p$  constant of  $\omega_i$  bounded independently of  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$ .

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\omega(x, y) = \omega_1(x)\omega_2(y)$ , with  $\omega_1(x) = |x|^{1/2}$  and  $\omega_2(y) = |y|^{1/2}$ . We have that  $\omega$  is uniformly  $A_2$  in each coordinate.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $p > 1$ , and let  $\omega$  be a weight uniformly  $A_p$  in each coordinate. Then for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  and  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} \leq C \|D_k u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}$$

where  $C = 2C_M$ , and  $C_M$  is the Muckenhoupt constant.

PROOF: Case 1. Let us suppose initially that  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k^h u(x) &= \frac{u(x + he_k) - u(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h D_k(x + \zeta e_k) d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h D_k u(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k + \zeta, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we define the functions

$$G_k(x) = \begin{cases} D_k u(x), & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We have for  $x \in \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$  and  $h$  satisfying  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_k^h u(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_0^h |D_k u(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k + \zeta, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)| d\zeta \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{x_k}^{x_k+h} |D_k u(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)| dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{x_k}^{x_k+h} |G_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)| dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{x_k-h}^{x_k+h} |G_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)| dt \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{h \neq 0} \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{x_k-h}^{x_k+h} |G_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)| dt \right| \\ &\leq 2M(G_k^{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n})(\mathbf{x}_k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_k^{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n}(\mathbf{x}_k) = G_k(x_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, x_n)$ . Consequently, using the notation  $\widehat{dx}_k = dx_1 \dots dx_{k-1} dx_{k+1} \dots dx_n$  (where the hat indicates the term that must be omitted in the product) and by Theorem 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega'} |\Delta_k^h u(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq 2^p \int_{\Omega'} [M(G_k^{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n})]^p(\mathbf{x}_k) \omega(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) dx \\ &\leq 2^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [M(G_k^{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n})]^p(\mathbf{x}_k) \omega(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_k \dots dx_n \\ &= 2^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} [M(G_k^{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n})]^p(\mathbf{x}_k) \omega(x_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, x_n) dx_k \right) \widehat{dx}_k \\ &\leq 2^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( C_M^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} |G_k^{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n}(\mathbf{x}_k)|^p \omega(x_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, x_n) dx_k \right) \widehat{dx}_k \\ &= 2^p C_M^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |G_k(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \\ &= 2^p C_M^p \int_{\Omega} |D_k u(x)|^p \omega(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_M$  is independent of  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$  because  $\omega$  is uniformly  $A_p$  in each coordinate. Therefore

$$\|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} \leq C \|D_k u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}, \quad \text{where } C = 2C_M.$$

Case 2. If  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  then there exists a sequence  $\{u_m\}$ ,  $u_m \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ , Cauchy sequence in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)}$ . By Definition 2.5, we have that

$$u_m \longrightarrow u \text{ and } D_k u_m \longrightarrow D_k u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega, \omega).$$

Consequently, since  $\omega \in A_p$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{m_j}\}$  such that  $u_{m_j} \longrightarrow u$  a.e. This implies, for  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ , that

$$\Delta_k^h u_{m_j} \longrightarrow \Delta_k^h u \text{ a.e.}$$

We have that  $\{\Delta_k^h u_{m_j}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\Omega', \omega)$ , for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ . In fact, using the first case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_k^h u_{m_r} - \Delta_k^h u_{m_s}\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} &= \|\Delta_k^h(u_{m_r} - u_{m_s})\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} \\ &\leq C \|D_k(u_{m_r} - u_{m_s})\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\ &= C \|D_k u_{m_r} - D_k u_{m_s}\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\ &\longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } m_r, m_s \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists  $g \in L^p(\Omega', \omega)$  such that  $\Delta_k^h u_{m_j} \longrightarrow g$  in  $L^p(\Omega', \omega)$ . Consequently, there exists a subsequence  $\Delta_k^h u_{m_{j_r}} \longrightarrow g$  a.e. We can conclude that  $\Delta_k^h u = g$  a.e. Hence

$$\Delta_k^h u_{m_j} \longrightarrow \Delta_k^h u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega', \omega).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} &= \lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta_k^h u_{m_j}\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} \\ &\leq C \lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} \|D_k u_{m_j}\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\ &= C \|D_k u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} \leq C \|D_k u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}$ . □

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $u \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in A_p$  and suppose there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega', \omega)} \leq C, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  and  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$  (with  $C$  independent of  $h$ ). Then there exists  $v \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  such that  $D_k u = v$  in the weak sense, that is,  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $\|D_k u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \leq C$ .

PROOF: The proof of this lemma follows the lines of Lemma 7.24 in [5]. □

**Remark 3.7.** We use the notation

$$\mathcal{D}^k(\Omega, \omega) = \left\{ g \in W^k(\Omega) : \frac{D^\alpha g}{\omega} \in L^2(\Omega, \omega), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k \right\}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $W^k(\Omega)$  denotes the linear space of  $k$  times weakly derivative functions. For  $k = 0$ , we have  $g \in \mathcal{D}^0(\Omega, \omega)$  if  $g/\omega \in L^2(\Omega, \omega)$ . □

We are able now to prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$  be a weak solution of the equation  $Lu = g$  in  $\Omega$ , and assume that*

- (a)  $g \in \mathcal{D}^0(\Omega, \omega)$ ;
- (b)  $\omega$  is a weight uniformly  $A_2$  in each coordinate;
- (c)  $|\Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x)| \leq C_1 \omega(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$  a.e.,  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ , with a constant  $C_1$  independent of  $\Omega'$  and  $h$ ;
- (d)  $|b(x)| \leq C\omega(x)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , where  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ .

Then for any subdomain  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$  we have  $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega', \omega)$  and

$$(3.4) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega', \omega)} \leq \mathbf{C} \left( \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|g/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \right)$$

for  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(n, C_M, \lambda, \Lambda, C_1, d')$ , and  $d' = \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ .

PROOF: Since  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$  is a weak solution of the equation  $Lu = g$ , we have by

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_i u(x) D_j v(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) D_i u(x) v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x) v(x) dx$$

for all  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$  (in particular for  $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_i u(x) D_j v(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [g(x)v(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) D_i u(x)v(x)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

In (3.5) let us replace  $v$  by  $\Delta_k^{-h} v$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), with  $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\text{supp } v \subset \subset \Omega$  and

let  $|2h| < \text{dist}(\text{supp } v, \partial\Omega)$ . We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left( \int_{\Omega} [g(x) + b_i(x)D_i u(x)] \Delta_k^{-h} v(x) dx \right) \\
 & = - \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) D_i u(x) D_j (\Delta_k^{-h} v(x)) dx \\
 & = - \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) D_i u(x) \Delta_k^{-h} D_j v(x), dx \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2(b)}) \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} \Delta_k^h (a_{ij} D_i u)(x) D_j v(x) dx \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2(a)}) \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} \left( a_{ij}(x + h e_k) \Delta_k^h D_i u(x) + D_i u(x) \Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x) \right) D_j v(x) dx \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} \left( [h \Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x) + a_{ij}(x)] \Delta_k^h D_i u(x) + D_i u(x) \Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x) \right) D_j v(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

(3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) D_j v(x) \Delta_k^h D_i u(x) dx = - \left( \int_{\Omega} [g(x) + b_i(x) D_i u(x)] \Delta_k^{-h} v(x) dx \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} \Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x) D_i u(x) D_j v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} h \Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x) \Delta_k^h D_i u(x) D_j v(x) dx \right) \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} |g(x) + b_i(x) D_i u(x)| |\Delta_k^{-h} v(x)| dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x)| |D_i u(x)| |D_j v(x)| dx \\
 & \quad + |h| \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_k^h a_{ij}(x)| |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |D_j v(x)| dx \\
 & = \text{I} + \text{II} + C_1 |h| \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |D_j v(x)| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the integrals I and II. Considering  $f = g + b_i D_i u$ , by (a) and (d), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{I} & = \int_{\Omega} |f| |\Delta_k^{-h} v| dx \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|f|}{\omega} \right) \omega^{1/2} |\Delta_k^{-h} v| \omega^{1/2} dx \\
 & \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{f}{\omega} \right)^2 \omega dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\text{supp}(v)} |\Delta_k^{-h} v|^2 \omega dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq C_M \|f/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_k v|^2 \omega dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.5}) \\
 & = C_M \left( \|g/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + C_1 \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} \right) \|D_k v\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}.
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{II} &= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_k^h a_{ij}| |D_i u| |D_j v| dx \leq \int_{\Omega} C_1 \omega |D_i u| |D_j v| dx \\
 &= C_1 \int_{\Omega} |D_i u| \omega^{1/2} |D_j v| \omega^{1/2} dx \\
 &\leq C_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_i u|^2 \omega dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_j v|^2 \omega dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq C_1 \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} \|D_j v\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the estimates of I and II in (3.6), we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad &\int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \Delta_k^h D_i u(x) D_j v(x) dx \\
 &\leq C \left( \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|g/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \right) \|Dv\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \\
 &\quad + C_1 |h| \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |D_j v(x)| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $a = \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|g/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}$ .

Let  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ . To proceed further let us take a function  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , satisfying  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi \equiv 1$  in  $\Omega'$  and with  $\|D\psi\|_\infty \leq 2/d'$ , where  $d' = \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ , and set  $v = \psi^2 \Delta_k^h u$  (with  $|2h| < \text{dist}(\text{supp } \psi, \partial\Omega)$ ). We have

$$D_j v = (2\psi D_j \psi) \Delta_k^h u + \psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} \left( a_{ij}(x) \psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u) D_i (\Delta_k^h u) + 2a_{ij}(x) \psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u \Delta_k^h D_i u \right) dx \\
 &\leq Ca \|2\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u + \psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \\
 &\quad + C_1 |h| \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |2\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u + \psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u)| dx \\
 &\leq Ca \left( \|\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + \|\psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \right) \\
 &\quad + C_1 |h| \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |2\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u + \psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u)| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) [\psi D_i (\Delta_k^h u)] [\psi D_j (\Delta_k^h u)] dx \\
 &\leq Ca \left( \|\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + \|\psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \right) \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} |a_{ij}(x)| |\psi D_i \Delta_k^h u| |D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u| dx \\
 &\quad + C_1 |h| \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h u(x)| |2\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u + \psi^2 D_j (\Delta_k^h u)| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2) we have  $|a_{ij}(x)| \leq C\omega(x)$ , and we can estimate the integral on the right hand side by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |a_{ij}(x)| |\psi D_i(\Delta_k^h u)| |D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u| dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\psi D_i(\Delta_k^h u)| |D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u| \omega dx \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi D_i(\Delta_k^h u)|^2 \omega dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u|^2 \omega dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & = C \|\psi D_i(\Delta_k^h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) [\psi D_j(\Delta_k^h u)] [\psi D_i(\Delta_k^h u)] dx \\ & \leq Ca \|\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \\ (3.8) \quad & + Ca \|\psi^2 D_j(\Delta_k^h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \\ & + 2C \|\psi D_i(\Delta_k^h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \\ & + C_1 |h| \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |2\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u + \psi^2 D_j(\Delta_k^h u)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the integral on the right hand side in (3.8) can be estimated

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |2\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u + \psi^2 D_j(\Delta_k^h u)| dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} 2\omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u(x)| |\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u| + \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\Delta_k^h D_i u| |\psi^2 D_j(\Delta_k^h u)| dx \\ & = 2 \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\psi \Delta_k^h D_i u| |D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u| dx + \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |\psi \Delta_k^h D_i u| |\psi D_j(\Delta_k^h u)| dx \\ & \leq 2 \|\psi \Delta_k^h D_i u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)} \\ & \quad + \|\psi \Delta_k^h D_i u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \|\psi \Delta_k^h D_j u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this result in (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) [\psi D_j \Delta_k^h u] [\psi D_i \Delta_k^h u] dx \\ & \leq Ca \|\psi D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)} \\ & \quad + Ca \|\psi^2 D_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2C\|\psi D_i \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)} \\
 &+ 2C_1|h|\|\psi \Delta_k^h D_i u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)} \\
 &+ C_1|h|\|\psi \Delta_k^h D_i u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \|\psi \Delta_k^h D_j u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by condition (1.2), we then have

$$\int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x)[\psi D_j(\Delta_k^h u)][\psi D_i(\Delta_k^h u)] dx \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\psi D(\Delta_k^h u)|^2 \omega dx.$$

Denoting  $b = \|\psi D(\Delta_k^h u)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda b^2 &\leq Ca\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + Cab + 2Cb\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \\
 &+ 2C_1|h|b\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)} + C_1|h|b^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Young's inequality

$$AB = (\varepsilon^{-1}A)(\varepsilon B) \leq \frac{1}{2}[(\varepsilon^{-1}A)^2 + (\varepsilon B)^2], \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

to estimate  $ab$  and  $b\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda b^2 &\leq Ca\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + \frac{C}{2}\varepsilon^{-2}a^2 + \frac{C}{2}\varepsilon^2b^2 \\
 &+ 2C\varepsilon^2b^2 + C\frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{2}\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}^2 \\
 &+ 2C_1|h|b\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + C_1|h|b^2 \\
 &\leq Ca\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)} + \frac{C\varepsilon^{-2}}{2}a^2 + \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{2}b^2 \\
 &+ 2C^2\varepsilon^2b^2 + C\frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{2}\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)}^2 \\
 &+ C_1\varepsilon^2|h|^2b^2 + C_1\frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{2}\|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)}^2 + C_1|h|b^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $h$  such that

$$\frac{C}{2}\varepsilon^2 + 2C\varepsilon^2 \leq \lambda/4 \quad \text{and} \quad |h| < \lambda/8C_1.$$

Then

$$\left( \frac{C}{2}\varepsilon^2 + 2C\varepsilon^2 + C_1|h|^2 + C_1|h| \right) \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

and we can use Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda b^2 &\leq C a \|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} a^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} b^2 + C \varepsilon^{-2} \|D_j \psi \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } \psi, \omega)}^2 \\
 &\leq C a \|D_j \psi\|_\infty \|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } v, \omega)} + \frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} a^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} b^2 + C \varepsilon^{-2} \|D_j \psi\|_\infty^2 \|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2(\text{supp } v, \omega)}^2 \\
 &\leq C a \|D_j \psi\|_\infty \|D_k u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} + \frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} a^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} b^2 \\
 &\quad + C \varepsilon^{-2} \|D_j \psi\|_\infty^2 \|D_k u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|D_k u\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \leq a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\lambda}{2} b^2 &\leq C \|D_j \psi\|_\infty a^2 + \frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} a^2 + C \varepsilon^{-2} \|D_j \psi\|_\infty^2 a^2 \\
 &\leq \left( C \|D_j \psi\|_\infty + \frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} + C \varepsilon^{-2} \|D_j \psi\|_\infty^2 \right) a^2 \\
 &= C a^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$b \leq \left( \frac{2C}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} a.$$

Using  $\psi \equiv 1$  in  $\Omega'$ , we conclude that

$$\|\Delta_k^h(Du)\|_{L^2(\Omega', \omega)} \leq C a, \quad \forall k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \forall \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$$

with  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$  and  $h < \lambda/8C_1$ . By Lemma 3.6 we obtain  $Du \in W^{1,2}(\Omega', \omega)$ . Therefore we have that  $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega', \omega)$  and

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega', \omega)} \leq C a = C \left( \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|g/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \right).$$

□

By a straightforward induction argument, we can then conclude the following extension of Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$  be a weak solution of the equation  $Lu = g$  in  $\Omega$ , and assume that*

- (a)  $\omega$  is a weight uniformly  $A_2$  in each coordinate;

- (b)  $g \in \mathcal{D}^k(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ;  
 (c) there exist  $D^\alpha a_{ij}$  a.e. and  $|\Delta_p^h D^\alpha a_{ij}(x)| \leq C_1 \omega(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ ,  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ , with constant  $C_1$  independent of  $\Omega'$  and  $h$ ;  
 (d) there exist  $D^\alpha b_i$  a.e.,  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - 1$ , and  $|D^\alpha b_i(x)| \leq C_2 \omega(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ .

Then for any subdomain  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ , we have  $u \in W^{k+2,2}(\Omega', \omega)$  and

$$\|u\|_{W^{k+2,2}(\Omega', \omega)} \leq \mathbf{C} \left( \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)} + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha g/\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \right)$$

for  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(n, \lambda, \Lambda, C_M, C_1, C_2, d')$ , and  $d' = \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Fabes E., Jerison D., Kenig C., *The Wiener test for degenerate elliptic equations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), no. 3, 151–182.
- [2] Fabes E., Kenig C., Serapioni R., *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **7** (1982), no. 1, 77–116.
- [3] Franchi B., Serapioni R., *Pointwise estimates for a class of strongly degenerate elliptic operators: a geometrical approach*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **14** (1987), no. 4, 527–568.
- [4] Garcia-Cuerva J., Rubio de Francia J., *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 116, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [5] Gilbarg D., Trudinger N., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [6] Heinonen J., Kilpeläinen T., Martio O., *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [7] Muckenhoupt B., *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [8] Turesson B.O., *Nonlinear potential theory and weighted Sobolev spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1736**, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF LONDRINA (UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE LONDRINA), DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS (DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA), 86051-990 LONDRINA - PR - BRASIL  
 E-mail: accava@gmail.com

(Received December 21, 2005, revised June 20, 2006)