

## Relatively exact modules

LADISLAV BICAN

*Abstract.* Rim and Těpy [10] investigated relatively exact modules in connection with the existence of torsionfree covers. In this note we shall study some properties of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  of submodules of a torsionfree module  $M$  consisting of all submodules  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $M/N$  is torsionfree and such that every torsionfree homomorphic image of the relative injective hull of  $M/N$  is relatively injective. The results obtained are applied to the study of relatively exact covers of torsionfree modules. As an application we also obtain some new characterizations of perfect torsion theories.

*Keywords:* Hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$ -injective module,  $\tau$ -exact module, preradical, exact torsion theory, perfect torsion theory

*Classification:* 16S90, 18E40, 16D80

In what follows,  $R$  stands for an associative ring with the identity element and  $R\text{-mod}$  denotes the category of all unital left  $R$ -modules. By the word “module” we shall always mean the left  $R$ -module. If  $N$  is a submodule of a module  $M$  and  $u \in M$  is an arbitrary element, then  $(N : u) = \{r \in R \mid ru \in N\}$  denotes the (*left*) *annihilator ideal* of the element  $u$  over the submodule  $N$ . The basic properties of rings and modules can be found in [1].

A class  $\mathcal{G}$  of modules is called *abstract*, if it is closed under isomorphic copies. If  $\mathcal{G}$  is an abstract class of modules, then a homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow M$  with  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  is called a  $\mathcal{G}$ -*precover* of the module  $M$ , if for each homomorphism  $f : F \rightarrow M$  with  $F \in \mathcal{G}$  there exists a homomorphism  $g : F \rightarrow G$  such that  $\varphi g = f$ . A  $\mathcal{G}$ -precover  $\varphi$  of  $M$  is said to be the  $\mathcal{G}$ -*cover*, if every endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  with  $\varphi f = \varphi$  is the automorphism of the module  $G$ . An abstract class  $\mathcal{G}$  of modules is called a *precover (cover) class*, if every module has a  $\mathcal{G}$ -precover ( $\mathcal{G}$ -cover). A more detailed study of precovers and covers can be found in [13].

By a *preradical*  $r$  in the category  $\mathcal{C}$  of modules with  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$  is meant any subfunctor of the identity functor. This means, that  $r$  assigns to each object  $M$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  its submodule  $r(M)$  in such a way, that  $f(r(M)) \subseteq r(N)$  for any object  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  and any homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$ . A preradical  $r$  is said to be *idempotent* if  $r(r(M)) = r(M)$  for each  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  and it is called a *radical* if  $r(M/r(M)) = 0$  for every  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ .

---

The research has been partially supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, grant #GAČR/201/03/0937 and also by the institutional grant MSM 113 200 007.

Recall that a *hereditary torsion theory*  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  for the category  $R\text{-mod}$  consists of two abstract classes  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , the  $\tau$ -torsion class and the  $\tau$ -torsionfree class, respectively, such that  $\text{Hom}(T, F) = 0$  whenever  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , the class  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under submodules, factor-modules, extensions and arbitrary direct sums, the class  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under submodules, extensions and arbitrary direct products and for each module  $M$  there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$  such that  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . It is easy to see that every module  $M$  contains the unique largest  $\tau$ -torsion submodule (isomorphic to  $T$ ), which is called the  $\tau$ -torsion part of the module  $M$  and which is usually denoted by  $\tau(M)$ . To each hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$  it is associated the *Gabriel filter*  $\mathcal{L}$  of left ideals of the ring  $R$  consisting of all the left ideals  $I \leq R$  with  $R/I \in \mathcal{T}$ . Recall that  $\tau$  is said to be of *finite type*, if  $\mathcal{L}$  contains a cofinal subset of finitely generated left ideals. If  $N$  is a submodule of the module  $M \in \mathcal{F}$ , then the  $\tau$ -closure  $\text{Cl}^M(N)$  of the submodule  $N$  in the module  $M$  is given by the formula  $\text{Cl}^M(N)/N = \tau(M/N)$ . A submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is said to be  $\tau$ -pure ( $\tau$ -closed) in  $M$ , if the factor-module  $M/N$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree. Further,  $N$  is said to be  $\tau$ -dense in  $M$ , if the factor-module  $M/N$  is  $\tau$ -torsion. It is well-known that to each module  $M$  there exists an essential monomorphism  $\iota : M \rightarrow E(M)$  of  $M$  into its *injective hull*  $E(M)$ . If  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is a hereditary torsion theory, then a module  $Q$  is called  $\tau$ -injective, if it is injective with respect to all short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , where  $C \in \mathcal{T}$ . Note that the *Baer Test Lemma* says, that the module  $Q$  is  $\tau$ -injective, if it is injective with respect to all inclusions  $I \rightarrow R$ , where  $I$  is a left ideal of  $R$  with  $R/I \in \mathcal{T}$ , i.e. with respect to all inclusions  $I \rightarrow R$ , where  $I$  belongs to the Gabriel filter  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponding to  $\tau$ . If  $M$  is an arbitrary  $\tau$ -torsionfree module, then the module  $E_\tau(M)$  given by the formula  $E_\tau(M)/M = \tau(E(M)/M)$  is the  $\tau$ -injective hull of the module  $M$ . The class of all  $\tau$ -injective modules will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_\tau$ . Following [10] we say, that a  $\tau$ -torsionfree module is  $\tau$ -exact, if any of its  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic images is  $\tau$ -injective. The class of all such modules will be denoted by  $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ . A hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$  is called *exact*, if  $E(Q)/Q$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree  $\tau$ -injective whenever  $Q$  is so. Note that in this case the equality  $\mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathcal{I}_\tau \cap \mathcal{F}$  holds. An exact torsion theory which is of finite type is called *perfect*. Finally, we denote by  $Q_\tau(R)$  the  $\tau$ -injective hull of the factor-module  $R/\tau(R)$ . For more details on preradicals and torsion theories see e.g. [9] or [8].

Rim and Teply [10] proved that a necessary condition for the existence of  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers is that any directed union of  $\tau$ -exact modules is  $\tau$ -injective. In this note we denote by  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  the set of all  $\tau$ -pure submodules  $N$  of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree module  $M$  having the property, that the relative injective hull  $E_\tau(M/N)$  is  $\tau$ -exact. We shall show that  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  is a filter in the lattice  $\mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$  of all  $\tau$ -pure submodules of  $M$  and we characterize the members of  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  as those elements  $N \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$ , for which every  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of  $E_\tau(M/N)$  is isomorphic to  $E_\tau(M/K)$  for some  $K \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$  containing  $N$ . Using these results

we shall study some properties of the idempotent preradical  $r$  on the subcategory  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $R\text{-mod}$ , where  $r(M)$  denotes the submodule of the module  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  generated by all  $\tau$ -exact submodules of  $M$ . Note that this preradical can be viewed as a direct generalization of that in the category  $\text{Ab}$  of all abelian groups which to each torsionfree abelian group assigns its largest divisible subgroup. The results are applied to obtain some new characterizations of perfect torsion theories using the  $\tau$ -torsionfree and  $\tau$ -exact covers.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of modules. If  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is a hereditary torsion theory for the category  $R\text{-mod}$ , then the following hold:*

- (i) if  $A, C \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$ ;
- (ii) if  $B \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  and  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$ ;
- (iii) if  $A \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ .

PROOF: (i) Let  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  together with the inclusion map  $\iota : I \rightarrow R$  be arbitrary and let  $f : I \rightarrow B$  be an arbitrary homomorphism. Now  $C \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  yields  $g\iota = pf$  for some  $g : R \rightarrow C$  and consequently  $g = ph$  for some  $h : R \rightarrow B$ ,  $R$  being projective. Thus  $p(f - h\iota) = pf - g\iota = 0$  gives  $f - h\iota = ik$  for a homomorphism  $k : I \rightarrow A$ . Since  $A$  is  $\tau$ -injective, there is a homomorphism  $l : R \rightarrow A$  with  $l\iota = k$  and the homomorphism  $il + h : R \rightarrow B$  extends  $f$  in view of the equalities  $(il + h)\iota = ik + h\iota = f$ .

(ii) Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{\iota} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R/I & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & \downarrow h & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B & \xrightarrow{p} & C & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where  $I \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\iota$  is the inclusion map,  $\pi$  is the canonical projection and  $f : I \rightarrow A$  is an arbitrary homomorphism. Then  $B \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  gives  $g\iota = if$  for some  $g : R \rightarrow B$  and  $pg\iota = pif = 0$  yields  $h\pi = pg$  for some  $h : R/I \rightarrow C$ . However,  $R/I \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  give  $h = 0$  and consequently  $ik = g$  for a homomorphism  $k : R \rightarrow A$ . Finally,  $i(f - k\iota) = if - g\iota = 0$  yields  $f = k\iota$ ,  $i$  being a monomorphism.

(iii) Denoting  $L = p^{-1}(\tau(C))$ , the  $\tau$ -injectivity of  $A$  yields the existence of a homomorphism  $f : L \rightarrow A$  such that  $fj = 1_A$ ,  $j$  being the embedding of  $A$  into  $L$ . Thus  $L = j(A) \oplus U$  and  $U \cong L/j(A) \cong \tau(C) \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{F} = 0$  shows that  $C$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree. □

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory for the category  $R\text{-mod}$  and let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of modules. Then the following hold:*

- (i) if  $B \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$  and  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$ ;
- (ii) if  $A, C \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$ .

PROOF: Without loss of generality we may assume that  $i$  is the inclusion map.

(i) If  $K$  is any  $\tau$ -pure submodule of  $A$ , then  $B/K$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree as an extension of  $A/K$  by  $C$ . Hence  $B/K \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  by the hypothesis and so  $A/K \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  by Lemma 1(ii).

(ii) Let  $K$  be a  $\tau$ -pure submodule of the module  $B$ . Since  $(A + K)/K \cong A/(A \cap K)$  lies in  $\mathcal{I}_\tau$  by the hypothesis,  $A + K$  is  $\tau$ -pure in  $B$  by Lemma 1(iii). Thus  $B/(A + K) \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  as a  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of  $C$  and consequently  $B/K \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  by Lemma 1(i). □

*Notation.* Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory and let  $M \in R\text{-mod}$  be arbitrary. We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$  the set of all  $\tau$ -pure submodules of the module  $M$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{P}_\tau(M) = \{N \leq M \mid M/N \in \mathcal{F}\}$  and by  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(M) = \{N \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M) \mid E_\tau(M/N) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau\}$  the subset of  $\mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$  consisting of all submodules  $N \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$  such that the  $\tau$ -injective hull of the factor-module  $M/N$  is  $\tau$ -exact.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory. If  $M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree module, then  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  is a filter in the lattice  $\mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$ .*

PROOF: Let  $N \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  and  $K \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$  with  $N \subseteq K$  be arbitrary. We are going to consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M/N & \xrightarrow{i} & E_\tau(M/N) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ M/K & \xrightarrow{j} & E_\tau(M/K) \end{array}$$

where  $i, j$  are inclusion maps and  $\pi$  is the canonical projection. The  $\tau$ -density of  $i$  gives the existence of a homomorphism  $\varphi$  making the square commutative. Now  $M/K \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi$  yields that  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $E_\tau(M/K)$ , while  $E_\tau(M/N) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$  yields that  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is  $\tau$ -pure in  $E_\tau(M/K)$  by Lemma 1(iii). Thus  $\varphi$  is surjective and so  $K \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$ .

Now let  $N, K \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  be arbitrary. We shall consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M/(N \cap K) & \xrightarrow{i} & E_\tau(M/(N \cap K)) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ M/N \oplus M/K & \xrightarrow{j} & E_\tau(M/N) \oplus E_\tau(M/K) \end{array}$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are inclusion maps and the monomorphism  $\alpha$  is given by the natural formula  $\alpha(u + N \cap K) = (u + N, u + K)$  for each  $u \in M$ . There is a homomorphism  $\beta$  making the square commutative, the inclusion  $i$  being  $\tau$ -dense. Now  $j\alpha$  is injective,  $i$  is essential and consequently  $\beta$  is a monomorphism. Thus  $\text{Im } \beta \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$  yields that  $\text{Im } \beta$  is  $\tau$ -pure in  $E_\tau(M/N) \oplus E_\tau(M/K)$  by Lemma 1(iii) and Lemma 2(i) finishes the proof, the last module being  $\tau$ -exact by Lemma 2(ii). □

**Proposition 4.** *If  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is a hereditary torsion theory, then for every  $I \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(R)$  and every  $a \in R$  the annihilator left ideal  $(I : a)$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(R)$ .*

PROOF: Clearly,  $R(a+I) \leq R/I$  yields  $E_\tau(R(a+I)) \leq E_\tau(R/I)$  and so  $E_\tau(R(a+I)) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$  by Lemma 1(iii) and Lemma 2(i). The isomorphism  $E_\tau(R/(I : a)) \cong E_\tau(R(a+I))$  now gives that  $(I : a) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(R)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory, let  $N$  be a  $\tau$ -pure submodule of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree module  $M$  and let  $L$  be any  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of  $E_\tau(M/N)$ . Then there is a submodule  $K \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$  containing  $N$  such that  $L$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $E_\tau(M/K)$  containing  $M/K$ .*

PROOF: Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K/N & \xrightarrow{j} & M/N & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & M/K & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{i} & E_\tau(M/N) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with a given epimorphism  $\pi$  and  $U = \text{Ker } \pi$ . Further,  $K/N = (M/N) \cap U$ ,  $j, i, \alpha, \beta$  are inclusion maps and  $\sigma$  is the natural projection. Since  $\pi\beta j = \pi i \alpha = 0$ , there is  $\gamma : M/K \rightarrow L$  making the right square commutative and it clearly remains to verify that  $\gamma$  is an essential and a  $\tau$ -dense monomorphism, because in this case  $K \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$ ,  $M/K$  being isomorphic to a submodule of the  $\tau$ -torsionfree module  $L$ .

Assume first, that  $\gamma(v + K) = 0$  for some  $v \in M$ . Then  $\pi\beta(v + N) = \gamma\sigma(v + N) = 0$  and so  $\beta(v + N) = i(u)$  for some  $u \in U$ . Hence  $u = v + N$  lies in  $K/N$ ,  $v + K = \sigma j(v + N) = 0$  and  $\gamma$  is a monomorphism.

Let  $l \in L \setminus \gamma(M/K)$  be arbitrary. Then  $l = \pi(x)$  for some  $x \in E_\tau(M/N)$  and obviously,  $J = (M/N : x)$  is essential in  $R$  and lies in the Gabriel filter  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $r \in J$  is arbitrary, then  $rx \in M/N$  yields  $rl = \pi(rx) \in \pi\beta(M/N)$ . However,  $\pi\beta(M/N) = \gamma\sigma(M/N) = \gamma(M/K)$  and consequently  $r \in (\gamma(M/K) : l)$ . This means that  $J \subseteq (\gamma(M/K) : l)$  and  $\gamma(M/K)$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $L$ . Moreover,  $(\gamma(M/K) : l) \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $(0 : l) \notin \mathcal{L}$  yields the existence of an element  $r \in R$  with  $0 \neq rl \in \gamma(M/K)$ ,  $\gamma(M/K)$  is essential in  $L$  and the proof is now complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory and let  $N$  be a  $\tau$ -pure submodule of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree module  $M$ . Then  $N \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  if and only if every  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of  $E_\tau(M/N)$  is isomorphic to  $E_\tau(M/K)$  for some  $K \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$  containing  $N$ .*

PROOF: Assume first, that  $N \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$ . By the preceding proposition every  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image  $L$  of  $E_\tau(M/N)$  is, up to an isomorphism, contained in  $E_\tau(M/K)$  and contains  $M/K$  for a suitable submodule  $K \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(M)$ . It

follows from Proposition 3 that  $K \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)$ . But then  $L$  is obviously  $\tau$ -dense and  $\tau$ -pure in  $E_\tau(M/K)$  and hence  $L = E_\tau(M/K)$ . The converse is obvious.  $\square$

Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory for the category  $R\text{-mod}$ . For an arbitrary  $\tau$ -torsionfree module  $M$  we denote by  $r(M)$  the submodule of  $M$  generated by all  $\tau$ -exact submodules of  $M$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory for the category  $R\text{-mod}$  and let  $M$  be an arbitrary  $\tau$ -torsionfree module. Then*

- (i)  $r(M) = \sum \text{Cl}^M(Ra)$ , where  $a$  ranges through all the elements of the module  $M$  with  $\text{Cl}^M(Ra) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$ ;
- (ii)  $r$  is an idempotent preradical on the subcategory  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $R\text{-mod}$ ;
- (iii) if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a cover class, then  $r(M) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$  for each module  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $r$  is an idempotent radical on  $\mathcal{F}$ ;
- (iv) if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a cover class, then for each module  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  the embedding  $\varphi : r(M) \rightarrow M$  is the  $\tau$ -exact cover of  $M$ .

PROOF: (i) Letting  $s(M) = \sum \text{Cl}^M(Ra)$  we clearly have  $s(M) \subseteq r(M)$ . To prove the converse we first note, that if  $N \leq M$ ,  $N \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$ , and  $0 \neq a \in N$ , then  $\text{Cl}^N(Ra) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$  by Lemma 2(i). Since  $Ra \cong R/(0 : a)$ , we see that  $(0 : a) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(R)$ . So, for an arbitrary element  $a \in r(M)$  we have  $a = a_1 + \dots + a_n$ , where  $(0 : a_i) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(R)$  and consequently  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (0 : a_i) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(R)$  by Proposition 3. Moreover, the inclusion  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (0 : a_i) \subseteq (0 : a)$  yields that  $(0 : a) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(R)$  by Proposition 3 again, and hence  $\text{Cl}^M(Ra) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$ , showing that  $a \in s(M)$ , as we wished to show.

(ii) If  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is an arbitrary homomorphism of  $\tau$ -torsionfree modules, then by (i) we have  $f(r(M)) = f(\sum \text{Cl}^M(Ra)) \subseteq \sum f(\text{Cl}^M(Ra)) \subseteq r(N)$ . Clearly,  $r(M) = \sum \text{Cl}^M(Ra) \subseteq r(r(M))$ , which proves the idempotency of  $r$ .

(iii) By [10] (see also [7, Proposition 3]) the class  $\mathcal{E}_\tau$  is closed under directed unions of submodules, and consequently under arbitrary direct sums. Since  $r(M)$  is expressible as a homomorphic image of the direct sum of  $\tau$ -exact modules  $\text{Cl}^M(Ra)$ , it is  $\tau$ -exact. By Lemma 2(ii) the factor-module  $M/r(M)$  contains no non-zero  $\tau$ -exact submodule and consequently  $r$  is a radical on the class  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(iv) Let  $Q \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$  and  $f : Q \rightarrow M$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{F}$ , be arbitrary. Then  $\text{Im } f \in \mathcal{F}$  yields  $\text{Im } f \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$  by the definition and consequently  $f(Q) \subseteq r(M)$  yields that  $\varphi$  is an  $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ -precover of the module  $M$ . The rest is obvious.  $\square$

To conclude this note we are going to give some new characterizations of perfect torsion theories.

**Theorem 8.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  for the category  $R\text{-mod}$ :*

- (i)  $\tau$  is perfect;
- (ii)  $\tau$  is exact and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a cover class;

- (iii) every non-zero  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of a  $\tau$ -injective module has a non-zero  $\tau$ -exact cover and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a cover class;
- (iv) every non-zero  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of  $Q_\tau(R)$  has a non-zero  $\tau$ -exact cover and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the cover class;
- (v)  $Q_\tau(R)$  is  $\tau$ -exact and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the cover class.

PROOF: (i) implies (ii). By hypothesis,  $\tau$  is perfect, hence it is exact and of finite type and consequently [12] and [5] applies.

(ii) implies (iii). This is trivial, since  $\mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathcal{I}_\tau \cap \mathcal{F}$  in this case.

(iii) implies (iv) obviously.

(iv) implies (v). If  $U \subsetneq Q_\tau(R)$  is the  $\tau$ -exact cover of  $Q_\tau(R)$ , then  $Q_\tau(R)/U \neq 0$  has zero  $\tau$ -exact cover by Theorem 7(iii), which contradicts the hypothesis.

(v) implies (i). Let  $Q$  be an arbitrary  $\tau$ -torsionfree  $\tau$ -injective module. If  $0 \neq a \in Q$  is arbitrary, then  $Ra \in \mathcal{F}$  is a homomorphic image of  $R/\tau(R)$ . Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R/\tau(R) & \xrightarrow{i} & Q_\tau(R) \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 Ra & \xrightarrow{j} & E_\tau(Ra)
 \end{array}$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are the inclusion maps and  $\pi$  is the natural epimorphism. Clearly, there is a homomorphism  $\varphi : Q_\tau(R) \rightarrow E_\tau(Ra)$  making the square commutative and  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is  $\tau$ -pure in  $E_\tau(Ra)$  by the hypothesis and Lemma 1(iii). On the other hand,  $Ra \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi$  means that  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $E_\tau(Ra)$  and consequently  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism showing that  $E_\tau(Ra) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau$ . From this we infer, that  $Q$  is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of  $\tau$ -exact modules of the form  $E_\tau(Ra)$  and so it is  $\tau$ -exact by [7, Proposition 3]. Thus  $\mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathcal{I}_\tau \cap \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\tau$  is therefore exact. Moreover, by [10], any directed union of  $\tau$ -torsionfree  $\tau$ -injective modules is  $\tau$ -injective and the torsion theory  $\tau$  is of finite type by [9, Proposition 42.9].

□

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory for the category  $R\text{-mod}$  of all left  $R$ -modules over a left hereditary ring  $R$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is the cover class if and only if  $\tau$  is of finite type.*

PROOF: By [9, Corollary 44.2] the torsion theory  $\tau$  is exact and it suffices to use Theorem 8. □

*Note.* In the category  $Z\text{-mod}$  of all abelian groups with the ordinary torsion theory  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $r(M)$  is simply the divisible part of the given torsionfree abelian group  $M$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson F.W., Fuller K.R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol.13, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [2] Bican L., *Torsionfree precovers*, to appear.
- [3] Bican L., El Bashir R., Enochs E., *All modules have flat covers*, Proc. London Math. Society **33** (2001), 649–652.
- [4] Bican L., Torrecillas B., *Precovers*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **53 (128)** (2003), 191–203.
- [5] Bican L., Torrecillas B., *On covers*, J. Algebra **236** (2001), 645–650.
- [6] Bican L., Torrecillas B., *On the existence of relative exact covers*, Acta Math. Hungar. **95** (2002), 178–186.
- [7] Bican L., Torrecillas B., *Relative exact covers*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **42** (2001), 477–487.
- [8] Bican L., Kepka T., Němec P., *Rings, Modules, and Preradicals*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [9] Golan J., *Torsion Theories*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 29, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1986.
- [10] Rim S.H., Teply M.L., *On coverings of modules*, Tsukuba J. Math. **24** (2000), 15–20.
- [11] García Rozas J.R., Torrecillas B., *On the existence of covers by injective modules relative to a torsion theory*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), 1737–1748.
- [12] Teply M.L., *Torsion-free covers II*, Israel J. Math. **23** (1976), 132–136.
- [13] Xu J., *Flat Covers of Modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1634, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83,  
186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail:* bican@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received May 26, 2003, revised September 16, 2003)