

On Sums of k -EP Matrices

A.R. MEENAKSHI AND S. KRISHNAMOORTHY

Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University,
26, North Second Cross, Mariyappa Nagar, Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, Tamil Nadu, South India

Abstract. Necessary and Sufficient conditions for the sums of k -EP matrices to be k -EP are discussed. As an application it is shown that sum and parallel sum of parallel summable k -EP matrices are k -EP.

1. Introduction

Throughout we shall deal with $\mathbf{C}_{n \times n}$, the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Let \mathbf{C}_n be the space of complex n -tuples. For $A \in \mathbf{C}_{n \times n}$, let A^T , A^* denote the transpose, conjugate transpose of A , let A^- be a generalized inverse ($AA^-A = A$) and $A^\#$ be the Moore-Penrose inverse of A [5]. A matrix A is called EP_r if $\rho(A) = r$ and $N(A) = N(A^*)$ or $R(A) = R(A^*)$ where $\rho(A)$ denotes the rank of A ; $N(A)$ and $R(A)$ denote the null space and range space of A respectively. Throughout let ' k ' be a fixed product of disjoint transpositions in $S_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and K be the associated permutation matrix. A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{C}_{n \times n}$ is k -hermitian if $a_{ij} = \bar{a}_{k(j), k(i)}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A theory for k -hermitian matrices is developed in [1]. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbf{C}_n$, let us define the function $\mathbf{k}(x) = (x_{k(1)}, x_{k(2)}, \dots, x_{k(n)})^T \in \mathbf{C}_n$. A matrix $A \in \mathbf{C}_{n \times n}$, is said to be k -EP if it satisfies the condition $Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^* \mathbf{k}(x) = 0$ or equivalently $N(A) = N(A^* K)$. In addition to that, A is k -EP $\Leftrightarrow KA$ is EP or AK is EP and A is k -EP $\Leftrightarrow A^*$ is k -EP. Moreover, A is said to be k -EP $_r$ if A is k -EP and of rank r . For further properties of k -EP matrix one may refer [4]. In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for sums of k -EP matrix to be k -EP. As an application it is shown that sum and parallel summable k -EP matrices are k -EP.

2. Sums of k -EP matrices

Lemma 2.1. Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in \mathbf{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $A = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i$. Consider the following conditions:

$$(a) \quad N(A) \subseteq N(A_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$(b) \quad N(A) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i);$$

$$(c) \quad \rho(A) = \rho \left(\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m \end{array} \right);$$

$$(d) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m A_i^* A_j = 0;$$

$$(e) \quad \rho(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho(A_i).$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) Conditions (a), (b) and (c) are equivalent.
- (ii) Condition (d) implies (a), but condition (a) does not implies (d).
- (iii) Condition (e) implies (a), but condition (a) does not implies (e).

Proof.

$$(i) \quad (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c): \quad N(A) \subseteq N(A_i) \text{ for each } i \Rightarrow N(A) \subseteq \bigcap N(A_i).$$

Since $N(A) = N(\sum A_i) \supseteq N(A_1) \cap N(A_2) \cdots \cap N(A_m)$, it follows that $N(A) \supseteq \bigcap N(A_i)$.

Always $\bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i) \subseteq N(A)$. Hence $N(A) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i)$. Thus (b) holds.

Now,

$$N(A) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i) = N \left(\begin{array}{c} [A_1] \\ \vdots \\ [A_m] \end{array} \right)$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(A) = \rho \left(\begin{array}{c} [A_1] \\ \vdots \\ [A_m] \end{array} \right) \text{ and (c) holds.}$$

Conversely, Since $\rho \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m \end{bmatrix} \right) = \rho(A)$ and

$$N \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m \end{bmatrix} \right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i) \subseteq N(A) \Rightarrow N(A) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i)$$

and (b) holds.

Hence, $N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i and (a) holds.

(ii) **(d) \Rightarrow (a):**

Since $\sum_{i \neq j} A_i^* A_j = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^* A &= (\sum A_i)^* (\sum A_i) \\ &= (\sum A_i^*) (\sum A_i) \\ &= \sum A_i^* A_i \end{aligned}$$

$$N(A) = N(A^* A) = N(\sum A_i^* A_i)$$

$$= N \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= N \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= N(A_1) \cap N(A_2) \cdots \cap N(A_m)$$

$$= \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i).$$

Hence $N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i and (a) holds.

(a) \Rightarrow (d): Let us consider the following example.

$$\text{Let } A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad A_1 + A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$. Also $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$. But $A_1^* A_2 + A_2^* A_1 \neq 0$.

(iii) (e) \Rightarrow (a):

If rank is additive, that is $\rho(A) = \sum \rho(A_i)$, then by [3], $R(A_i) \cap R(A_j) = \{0\}$, $i \neq j \Rightarrow N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i and (a) holds.

(a) \Rightarrow (e): Consider the example,

$$\text{Let } A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_1 + A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$ and $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$.

But $\rho(A_1 + A_2) \neq \rho(A_1) + \rho(A_2)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in \mathbf{C}_{n \times n}$ be k -EP matrices. If any one of the conditions (a) to (e) of Lemma 2.1 holds, then

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \text{ is } k\text{-EP.}$$

Proof. Since each A_i is k -EP, $N(A_i) = N(A_i^* K)$ for each i .

Now, $N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow N(A) &\subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N(A_i^* K) \\ &\subseteq N(A^* K) \end{aligned}$$

and $\rho(A) = \rho(A^*K)$. Hence $N(A) = N(A^*K)$. Thus A is k -EP. Hence the Theorem.

Remark 2.3. In particular, if A is non-singular the conditions automatically hold and A is k -EP. Theorem 2.2 fails if we relax the conditions on the A_i 's.

Example 2.4. Consider $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $k = (1, 2)$, then the associated permutation matrix

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad KA_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is EP.}$$

Therefore, A_1 is k -EP.

$$KA_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is not EP. Therefore } A_2 \text{ is not } k\text{-EP.}$$

$$A_1 + A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } K(A_1 + A_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is not EP. Therefore $(A_1 + A_2)$ is not k -EP. However,

$$N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1^*K) \subseteq N(A_1) \quad \text{and} \quad N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2^*K) \subseteq N(A_2).$$

Moreover, $\rho\left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \rho(A_1 + A_2)$.

Remark 2.5. Theorem 2.2 fails if we relax the condition that A_i 's are k -EP. For, let

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let the associated permutation matrix be $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$KA_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is not EP.}$$

Therefore A_1 is not k -EP.

$$KA_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is not EP.}$$

Therefore A_2 is not k -EP.

$$A_1 + A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K(A_1 + A_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not EP. Therefore, $(A_1 + A_2)$ is not k -EP. But $A_1^* A_2 + A_2^* A_1 = 0$.

Remark 2.6. The conditions given in Theorem 2.2 are only sufficient for the sum of k -EP matrices to be k -EP, but not necessary is illustrated in the following example.

Example 2.7. Let $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. For $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, A_1 and A_2 are k -EP₂. The conditions in Theorem 2.2 does not hold. However $(A_1 + A_2)$ is k -EP.

Remark 2.8. If A_1 and A_2 are k -EP matrices, then by Theorem 2.4(p.221,[4]), $A_1^* = H_1 K A_1 K$ and $A_2^* = H_2 K A_2 K$ where H_1 and H_2 are non-singular $n \times n$ matrices.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } H_1 = H_2, \text{ then } A_1^* + A_2^* &= H_1 K (A_1 + A_2) K \\ \Rightarrow (A_1 + A_2)^* &= H_1 K (A_1 + A_2) K \Rightarrow (A_1 + A_2) \text{ is } k\text{-EP.} \end{aligned}$$

If $(H_1 - H_2)$ is non-singular, then the above conditions are also necessary for the sum of k -EP matrices to be k -EP is given in the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.9. Let K be the permutation matrix associated with the fixed transposition ' k '. Let $A_1^* = H_1 K A_1 K$ and $A_2^* = H_2 K A_2 K$ such that $(H_1 - H_2)$ is non-singular. Then $(A_1 + A_2)$ is k -EP if and only if $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for some (and hence both) $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Since $A_1^* = H_1KA_1K$ and $A_2^* = H_2KA_2K$, by Remark 2.8, A_1 and A_2 are k -EP matrices. Since, $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$ by Theorem 2.2, $(A_1 + A_2)$ is k -EP. Conversely, let us assume that $(A_1 + A_2)$ is k -EP. By Remark 2.8, there exists a non-singular matrix G such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A_1 + A_2)^* = GK(A_1 + A_2)K \\
\Rightarrow & A_1^* + A_2^* = GK(A_1 + A_2)K \\
\Rightarrow & H_1KA_1K + H_2KA_2K = GK(A_1 + A_2)K \\
\Rightarrow & (H_1KA_1 + H_2KA_2)K = GK(A_1 + A_2)K \\
\Rightarrow & H_1KA_1 + H_2KA_2 = GKA_1 + GKA_2 \\
\Rightarrow & (H_1K - GK)A_1 = (GK - H_2K)A_2 \\
\Rightarrow & (H_1 - G)KA_1 = (G - H_2)KA_2 \\
\Rightarrow & LKA_1 = MKA_2 \text{ where} \\
& L = H_1 - G \text{ and} \\
& M = G - H_2 \\
\text{Now } & (L + M)(KA_1) = LKA_1 + MKA_1 \\
& = MKA_2 + MKA_1 \\
& = MK(A_1 + A_2) \\
\text{and } & (L + M)(KA_2) = LK(A_1 + A_2)
\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, $L + M = H_1 - G + G - H_2 = H_1 - H_2$ is non-singular. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
N(A_1 + A_2) & \subseteq N(MK(A_1 + A_2)) \\
& = N((L + M)KA_1) \\
& = N(KA_1) \\
& = N(A_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$. Similarly $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$. Hence the Theorem.

Remark 2.10. The condition $(H_1 - H_2)$ to be non-singular is essential in Theorem 2.9 is illustrated in the following example.

Example 2.11. Let $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are both k -EP matrices for $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Further $A_1^* = A_1 = KA_1K$ and $A_2^* = A_2 = KA_2K \Rightarrow H_1 = H_2 = I$.

$(A_1 + A_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is also k -EP. But $N(A_1 + A_2) \not\subseteq N(A_1)$ (or) $N(A_1 + A_2) \not\subseteq N(A_2)$. Thus Theorem 2.9 fails.

3. Parallel summable k -EP matrices

In this section we shall show that sum and parallel sum of parallel summable k -EP matrices are k -EP. First we shall give the definition and some properties of parallel summable matrices as in (p.188, [5]).

Definition 3.1. A_1 and A_2 are said to be parallel summable (p.s.) if $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$ and $N(A_1 + A_2)^* \subseteq N(A_2^*)$ (or) equivalently $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$ and $N(A_1 + A_2)^* \subseteq N(A_1^*)$.

Definition 3.2. If A_1 and A_2 are parallel summable then parallel sum of A_1 and A_2 denoted by $A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2$ is defined as $A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2 = A_1(A_1 + A_2)^- A_2$. The product $A_1(A_1 + A_2)^- A_2$ is invariant for all choices of generalized inverse $(A_1 + A_2)^-$ of $(A_1 + A_2)$ under the conditions that A_1 and A_2 are parallel summable (p.188, [5]).

Properties 3.3. Let A_1 and A_2 be a pair of parallel summable (p.s.) matrices. Then the following hold:

P.1 $A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2 = A_2 \bar{\pm} A_1$

P.2 A_1^* and A_2^* are p.s. and $(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)^* = A_1^* \bar{\pm} A_2^*$

P.3 If U is non-singular then UA_1 and UA_2 are p.s. and $(UA_1 \bar{\pm} UA_2) = U(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)$

P.4 $R(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2) = R(A_1) \cap R(A_2)$
 $N(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2) = N(A_1) + N(A_2)$

P.5 $(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2) \bar{\pm} A_3 = A_1 \bar{\pm} (A_2 \bar{\pm} A_3)$

if all the parallel sum operations involved are defined.

Lemma 3.4. Let A_1 and A_2 be k -EP matrices. Then A_1 and A_2 are p.s if and only if $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for some (and hence both) $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. A_1 and A_2 are p.s. $\Rightarrow N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$ follows from the Definition 3.1. Conversely, if $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$, then $N(KA_1 + KA_2) \subseteq N(KA_1)$. Also $N(KA_1 + KA_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$. Since A_1 and A_2 are k -EP matrices, KA_1 and KA_2 are

EP matrices. $N(KA_1 + KA_2) \subseteq N(KA_1)$ and $N(KA_1 + KA_2) \subseteq N(KA_2)$, therefore $(KA_1 + KA_2)$ is EP.

Hence

$$N(KA_1 + KA_2)^* = N(KA_1 + KA_2) = N(KA_1) \cap N(KA_2) = N(KA_1)^* \cap N(KA_2)^*.$$

Therefore, $N(KA_1 + KA_2)^* \subseteq N(KA_1)^*$, $N(KA_1 + KA_2)^* \subseteq N(KA_2)^*$.

Also, $N(KA_1 + KA_2) \subseteq N(KA)$ by hypothesis. Hence, by Definition 3.1, KA_1 and KA_2 are p.s. $N(KA_1 + KA_2) \subseteq N(KA_1) \Rightarrow N(K(A_1 + A_2)) \subseteq N(KA_1) \Rightarrow N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$. Similarly, $N(A_1 + A_2)^* \subseteq N(A_1^*)$. Therefore, A_1 and A_2 are p.s. Hence the Theorem.

Remark 3.5. Lemma 3.4 fails if we relax the condition that A_1 and A_2 are k -EP.

Let $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Let the associated permutation matrix be

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A_1 is k -EP. A_2 is not k -EP. $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_1)$ and $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$, but $N(A_1 + A_2)^* \not\subseteq N(A_1^*)$; $N(A_1 + A_2)^* \not\subseteq N(A_2^*)$. Hence A_1 and A_2 are not parallel summable.

Theorem 3.6. Let A_1 and A_2 be p.s. k -EP matrices. Then $(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)$ and $(A_1 + A_2)$ are k -EP.

Proof. Since A_1 and A_2 are p.s. k -EP matrices, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} N(A_1 + A_2) &\subseteq N(A_1) && \text{and} && N(A_1 + A_2) &\subseteq N(A_2). \\ N(K(A_1 + A_2)) &\subseteq N(KA_1) && \text{and} && N(K(A_1 + A_2)) &\subseteq N(KA_2). \\ N(KA_1 + KA_2) &\subseteq N(KA_1) && \text{and} && N(KA_1 + KA_2) &\subseteq N(KA_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $KA_1 + KA_2 = K(A_1 + A_2)$ is EP. Then $(A_1 + A_2)$ is k -EP. Since A_1 and A_2 are p.s. k -EP matrices, KA_1 and KA_2 are p.s. EP matrices.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
R(KA_1)^* &= R(KA_1) \text{ and } R(KA_2)^* = R(KA_2) \\
R(KA_1 \bar{\pm} KA_2)^* &= R((KA_1)^* \bar{\pm} (KA_2)^*) && \text{[By P.2]} \\
&= R((KA_1)^*) \cap R((KA_2)^*) && \text{[By P.4]} \\
&= R(KA_1) \cap R(KA_2) && \text{[Since } KA_1 \text{ and } KA_2 \text{ are EP]} \\
&= R(KA_1 \bar{\pm} KA_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $KA_1 \bar{\pm} KA_2$ is EP $\Rightarrow K(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)$ is EP $\Rightarrow (A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)$ is k -EP. Thus $(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)$ is k -EP whenever A_1 and A_2 are k -EP. Hence the Theorem.

Corollary 3.7. *Let A_1 and A_2 be k -EP matrices such that $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$. If A_3 is k -EP commuting with both A_1 and A_2 , then $A_3(A_1 + A_2)$ and $A_3(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2) = (A_3A_1 \bar{\pm} A_3A_2)$ are k -EP.*

Proof. A_1 and A_2 are k -EP with $N(A_1 + A_2) \subseteq N(A_2)$. By Theorem 2.2, $(A_1 + A_2)$ is k -EP. Now KA_1 , KA_2 and $K(A_1 + A_2)$ are EP. Since A_3 commutes with A_1 , A_2 and $(A_1 + A_2)$, KA_3 commutes with KA_1 , KA_2 and $K(A_1 + A_2)$ and by Theorem (1.3) of [2], $K(A_3A_1)$, $K(A_3A_2)$ and $K(A_3(A_1 + A_2))$ are EP. Therefore, $(A_3A_1, A_3A_2, A_3(A_1 + A_2))$ are k -EP. Now by Theorem 3.6 $(A_3A_1 \bar{\pm} A_3A_2)$ is k -EP. By P.3 (Properties 3.3),

$$K(A_3(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)) = K(A_3A_1 \bar{\pm} A_3A_2).$$

Since $A_3A_1 \bar{\pm} A_3A_2$ is k -EP, $K(A_3A_1 \bar{\pm} A_3A_2)$ is EP $\Rightarrow K(A_3(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2))$ is EP $A_3(A_1 \bar{\pm} A_2)$ is k -EP. Hence the corollary.

References

1. R.D. Hill and S.R. Waters, On k -Real and k -Hermitian matrices, *Linear Alg. Appl.* **169** (1992), 17-29.
2. I.J. Katz and M.H. Pearl, On EP_r and normal EP_r matrices, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stds.* **70B** (1966), 47-77.
3. G. Marsaglia and G.P.H. Styan, Equalities and inequalities for ranks of matrices, *Linear Multilinear Alg.* **2** (1974), 269-292.
4. A.R. Meenakshi and S. Krishnamoorthy, On k -EP matrices, *Linear Alg. Appl.* **269** (1998), 219-232.
5. C.R. Rao and S.K. Mitra, *Generalized Inverse of Matrices and its Applications*, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1971.