

# Symplectic diffeomorphisms and Weinstein 1-form

Fidele Balibuno Luganda and Leonard Todjihounde

1       **Abstract.** In [5] the authors showed that the Liouville 1-form lying on the  
2 cotangent bundle is derived from physical potential and is related to the  
3 symplectomorphism through the flux homomorphism. On the other hand,  
4 in [7, 8], A. Weinstein constructed a chart from the group of symplectic dif-  
5 feomorphisms isotopic to the identity by using Lagrangian sub-manifolds  
6 geometry and from which he derived a closed 1-form called the Weinstein  
7 1-form. In this paper, we establish a relation between the Liouville 1-form  
8 and the Weinstein 1-form through an explicit formula from which we de-  
9 rive a new characterization of symplectomorphism and a new formula of  
10 the flux homomorphism.

11 **M.S.C. 2010:** 53D12, 37J10, 53D05.

12  
13 **Key words:** Lagrangian submanifolds; Weinstein chart; symplectic diffeomorphism;  
14 Weinstein neighborhood.

## 15 1 Introduction

16 Symplectic geometry, as defined by *Dusa M. Duff*, is an even dimensional geometry  
17 living on an even manifold. It is a geometry of a non degenerated and closed 2-form.  
18 It arose in the 1800's with the work of *Joseph Louis Lagrange*, *Simeon Denis Poisson*  
19 and *William Rowan Hamilton*. The word symplectic have been introduced to the  
20 mathematical society by *Hermann Weyl* in 1946. In 1953, *J.M. Souriau* introduced  
21 symplectic geometry as a strong tool to study mechanic by geometrical methods.  
22 Concerning symplectic diffeomorphisms, they appeared the first time in the work of  
23 *H. Poincaré* studying celestial mechanic. After then, they become a subject of further  
24 studies in symplectic geometry. In this paper, we mainly focus on their modern devel-  
25 opment. Especially, on one hand, we establish the relationship between the *Weinstein*  
26 1-form and symplectomorphisms. On the other hand, we establish the relationship  
27 between the *Liouville* 1-form and symplectic diffeomorphism by using the flux homo-  
28 morphism.

29  
30 The relation between symplectomorphism isotopic to the identity and *Weinstein*

1-form appears in *A. Banyaga's* monography [1] from which the *Weinstein* 1-form has been deduced from Lagrangian submanifolds and from the *Kostant-Weinstein-Sternberg* theorem. Unfortunately, the problem of the *A. Banyaga* and *A. Bounemoura* [2] presentation of the *Weinstein* 1-form doesn't give explicitly its existence. Herein, we exhibit explicit formulas related to the *Weinstein* 1-form and study the local geometry of the *Weinstein* chart at the identity. Related to the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms is the flux homomorphism introduced by *E. Calabi* and studied by *T. Rybicki* [4] to characterize *Poisson* isotopies.

In this paper, we give a new formula of the flux homomorphism from the composition of the universal cover of the group of symplectomorphisms with the *Weinstein* 1-form and the projection of the space of closed 1-forms on the *De Rham* cohomology.

In the context of the flux homomorphism, the relationship between the *Liouville* 1-form and symplectic diffeomorphisms is a measure to the obstruction of diffeomorphisms to preserve the *Liouville* 1-form. In other words, this obstruction is expressed by the non triviality of the cohomology class of the *Weinstein* 1-form defined by the use of the flux homomorphism.

This work is organized as follows:

1. A review of symplectic geometry and the *Weinstein* chart.
2. Statements of the main results.
3. Flux homomorphism associated with the *Weinstein* chart.
4. Conclusion and perspective.
5. References.

## 2 A brief review of the Weinstein chart and symplectic geometry

**Definition 2.1.** A symplectic form on the manifold  $M$  of even dimension is a 2-form  $\Omega$  on  $M$  such that:

1. for  $x \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Omega}_x : T_x M &\longrightarrow T_x^* M \\ X_x &\longmapsto \tilde{\Omega}_x(X_x),\end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{\Omega}_x(X_x) : T_x M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Y_x \longmapsto \tilde{\Omega}_x(X_x)(Y_x) = \Omega_x(X_x, Y_x)$ , is an isomorphism i.e.  $\Omega$  is non degenerated.

2.  $d\Omega = 0$  i.e  $\Omega$  is a closed 2-form.

The pair  $(M, \Omega)$  with  $M$  a  $C^\infty$  manifold of even dimension and  $\Omega$  a symplectic form on  $M$  is called a symplectic manifold.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(M_1, \Omega_1)$  and  $(M_2, \Omega_2)$  be symplectic manifolds. Then, the product  $(M_1 \times M_2, \Omega_{\lambda, \mu})$  with*

$$\Omega_{\lambda, \mu} = \lambda\pi_1^*\Omega_1 + \mu\pi_2^*\Omega_2$$

64 *is a symplectic manifold.*

65

66 *In particular,  $(M \times M, \Omega_{1, -1})$  is a symplectic manifold with  $\Omega_{1, -1} = \pi_1^*\Omega - \pi_2^*\Omega$*   
 67 *it's symplectic form.*

68

69 Among the examples of symplectic manifolds, the cotangent bundle plays a crucial  
 70 role. In fact, let the projection  $q : T^*M \rightarrow M$  be given.

71 The *Liouville* 1-form denoted  $\lambda_M$  on  $T^*M$  is defined by  $\lambda_M(a) = \langle \theta_x, (d_a q)(\xi) \rangle$  with  
 72  $\xi \in T_a(T^*M)$  and  $a = (x, \theta_x)$ , with  $x \in M$  and  $\theta_x \in T_x^*M$ .

73 Locally, the *Liouville* 1-form is given by the proposition below:

**Proposition 2.2.** *There exists local coordinates of  $T^*M$  such that in these coordi-  
 nates*

*$(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , the Liouville 1-form is locally written:*

$$\lambda_M = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i dx_i.$$

74 *Hence,  $\Omega_M = d\lambda_M$  is a symplectic form on  $T^*M$ .*

75 **Example 2.2.** The pair  $(T^*M, \Omega_M)$  is a symplectic manifold.

76 **Definition 2.3.** Let  $(M, \Omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. A diffeomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow M$   
 77 is said to be a *symplectomorphism* if  $\phi^*\Omega = \Omega$ .

78 The set of symplectic diffeomorphisms is a group of infinite dimension denoted by  
 79  $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(M)$ .

80

81 *A. Weinstein* has shown [7, 8] that this group is locally arcwise connected using  
 82 Lagrangian submanifolds geometry we explore in the sequel.

83 Denote by

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma_\phi = \{(x, y) \in M \times M, y = \phi(x)\}$$

84 The graph of the diffeomorphism  $\phi$ .

85 About Lagrangian submanifolds, we have:

86 **Definition 2.4.** Let  $N$  be a submanifold of  $(M, \Omega)$ . An immersion  $j : N \hookrightarrow M$  is  
 87 said to be Lagrangian if  $j^*\Omega = 0$  and  $\dim N = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$ .

88 The submanifold  $j(N)$  is called a Lagrangian submanifold.

89 *Sniatyki* and *W. M. Tulczjew* obtained the characterization of symplectic diffeomor-  
 90 phisms by Lagrangian submanifolds. Precisely, they stated the following theorem:

91 **Theorem 2.3** (Sniatyki, Tulczjew). *A diffeomorphism is symplectic iff it's graph  $\Gamma_\phi$*   
 92 *is a Lagrangian submanifold.*

*Proof.* 1. The condition is necessary.

Let  $j : M \hookrightarrow \Gamma_\phi \subset M \times M$  be the immersion of the graph  $\Gamma_\phi$  in  $M \times M$ . Then, setting  $\underline{\Omega} = \pi^*\Omega - \pi^*\Omega$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} j^*\underline{\Omega} &= j^*(\pi_1^*\Omega - \pi_2^*\Omega) = j^*\pi_1^*\omega - j^*\pi_2^*\Omega \\ &= (\pi_1 \circ j)^*\Omega - (\pi_2 \circ j)^*\Omega = \Omega - \phi^*\Omega = 0. \end{aligned}$$

2. Conversely, suppose the graph  $\Gamma_\phi$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $M \times M$ . Then, by a straightforward calculation, we have:

$$0 = j^*\underline{\Omega} = \Omega - \phi^*\Omega.$$

Hence,  $\phi^*\Omega = \Omega$  i.e.  $\phi$  is a symplectomorphism. A particular case of Lagrangian submanifold of  $M \times M$  is provided by the diagonal

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta = \{(x, x) \in M \times M, \phi = id\}.$$

We herein call the first characterization of symplectomorphism the *Sniatyki - Tulczjew* theorem.

When  $\phi$  is a symplectic diffeomorphism  $C^0$ -close to the identity, the Lagrangian immersion will be denoted by the pair  $(id, \phi)$ .

□

## 2.1 One-forms as sections of the cotangent bundles

Lagrangian submanifolds of the cotangent bundle are obtained this way:

**Theorem 2.4.** *The image  $\alpha(M) \subset T^*M$  of a 1-form  $\alpha$ , seen as section, is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $T^*M$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a closed 1-form.*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in T_xM$ . The pull-back of  $\alpha$  gives the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*(\lambda_M)(x)(v) &= \lambda_M(\alpha(x))((d\alpha)_x(v)) \\ &= \alpha(x)(d(q \circ \alpha)_x(v)) \\ &= \alpha(x)(v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\alpha^*\lambda_M = \alpha$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $v \in T_xM$ . □

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(T^*M, \Omega_M = d\lambda_M)$  be the symplectic structure on  $T^*M$ . Then  $\alpha^*\Omega_M = d\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\alpha^*\lambda_M = \alpha$ ; we've:

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha^*\lambda_M = d\alpha &\implies \alpha^*d\lambda_M = d\alpha \\ &\implies \alpha^*\Omega_M = d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\alpha(M)$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $T^*M$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a closed 1-form. □

**Example 2.5.** The zero section  $\mathcal{O}_M$  of the cotangent bundle is a Lagrangian submanifold.

## 111 2.2 The Weinstein's chart

112 *A. Weinstein, Kostant and Sternberg* have related the above theorems relying on the  
 113 first characterization of symplectic diffeomorphism and that of the characterization of  
 114 Lagrangian submanifold by closed 1-forms. Mainly, they stated the following theorem:

115 **Theorem 2.6.** (*Kostant - Weinstein - Sternberg*) *Let  $S$  be a Lagrangian submanifold*  
 116 *of a symplectic manifold  $(M, \Omega)$ . Let  $S$  be regarded as the zero section in  $(T^*S, \Omega_S)$ .*  
 117 *There exists a diffeomorphism  $k$  of a neighborhood  $U(S)$  of  $S$  in  $M \times M$  into a*  
 118 *neighborhood  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{O}(S)) \subset T^*S$  such that  $k/S = id$  and  $k^*\Omega_S = \Omega$ .*

119  
 120 In fact,  $S$  can be regarded both as a graph of  $M \times M$  and a Lagrangian submanifold  
 121 of  $T^*M$  by means of the *Kostant* map  $k$ .

122  
 123 Inspired by the theorem 2.3 ([5]), the theorem 2.4 ([2]) and the Kostant - Weinstein -  
 124 Sternberg theorem 2.6 ([1]), we have the following construction due to *A. Banyaga* [1]  
 125 and related to the existence of the *Weinstein* chart and hence the *Weinstein* 1-form.

**Theorem 2.7** (*A. Banyaga*). *Let  $\phi$  be a symplectic diffeomorphism isotopic to the*  
*identity in the  $C^0$ -topology. Then, there exists a chart i.e*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} : \mathcal{V} \subset Diff_{\Omega}^{\infty}(M)_0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_c^1(M) \\ \phi &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a symplectic diffeomorphism  $C^1$ -close to the identity and  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  it's La-  
 grangian submanifold  $C^1$ -close to the diagonal in  $M \times M$ . By the *Kostant-Weinstein-*  
*Sternberg* theorem 2.6 and the preservation of Lagrangian submanifolds by symplec-  
 tomorphism,  $k(\Gamma(\phi))$  is a Lagrangian submanifold in  $T^*M$ . Hence, by the theorem  
 2.4 on the characterization of Lagrangian submanifolds in  $T^*M$  by closed 1-form,  
 there exists a closed 1-form whose Lagrangian submanifold is  $k(\Gamma(\phi))$  and denoted  
 by  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)$ . In [3] and [1], the authors, from the above proof, deduced the *Weinstein*  
 chart denoted too by the correspondance:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} : \mathcal{V} \subset Diff_{\Omega}^{\infty}(M)_0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_c^1(M) \\ \phi &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

126

□

127 Let  $U_0$  be a neighborhood of the zero section in  $\mathcal{Z}_c^1(M)$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}^{-1}(U_0)$  the  
 128 *Weinstein* domain at  $id_M$ . In the sequel, we will be studying the local geometry of  
 129 the group  $Diff_{\Omega}^{\infty}(M)_0$  using the identity of the *Weinstein* domain.

## 130 3 Main results

131 In this section, we explicitly show the existence of the *Weinstein* 1-form. After then,  
 132 we study the local geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphism isotopic to  
 133 the identity which lies in the *Weinstein* domain.

134 The local geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity  
 135 defined by the *Weinstein* chart is well understood by the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a symplectomorphism  $C^0$ -close to the identity and  $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V})$  be the Weinstein chart with  $\mathcal{V}$  the Weinstein domain. Then,*

$$\mathcal{W}(id) = 0_M$$

136 with  $0_M$  the zero section of the cotangent bundle.

*Proof.* A straightforward calculation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(id) &= (\gamma^{-1} \circ (id, id)) \circ (\pi \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ (id, id)) \\ &= (\gamma^{-1} \circ 0_M) \circ (\pi \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ 0_M) \\ &= 0_M. \end{aligned}$$

137

□

138

139 Beside of this result, we have the following which relates the *Weinstein* 1-form to the  
140 *Liouville* 1-form by an explicit formula:

141 **Proposition 3.2.**

142 1. The Weinstein 1-form  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)$  is  $d$ -exact.

143 2. The pull-back of the Liouville 1-form  $\theta_M$  on the Lagrangian submanifold  $L =$   
144  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)(M)$  is  $d$ -exact on the Lagrangian submanifold  $\Gamma(\phi)$  of  $\phi$  i.e.  $(id, \phi)^*\theta_1$  is  
145  $d$ -exact on  $M$ .

*Proof.* The proof relies on *Sniatyki-Tulczjew* theorem, the theorem on the characterization of Lagrangian submanifolds in  $T^*M$  by means of closed 1-form and the *Kostant-Weinstein-Sternberg* theorem. Set

$$\theta_1 = (\gamma)^*\theta_M.$$

A straightforward computation gives the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(\phi)^*\theta_1 &= \mathcal{W}(\phi)^*\gamma^*\theta_M = [\gamma \circ \mathcal{W}(\phi)]^*\theta_M = (id, \phi)^*\theta_M \\ &= \phi^*(\pi_1^*\theta_M - \pi_2^*\theta_M) = \phi^*\pi_1^*\theta_M - \phi^*\pi_2^*\theta_M = (\pi_1 \circ \phi)^*\theta_M - (\pi_2 \circ \phi)^*\theta_M \\ &= \theta_M - \phi^*\theta_M = \mathcal{W}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

146 Therefore, the relation between the *Liouville* 1-form and the *Weinstein* 1-form is given  
147 by the formula:

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{W}(\phi) = \theta_M - \phi^*\theta_M.$$

148 Hence, the *Weinstein* 1-form is  $d$ -exact i.e. there exists  $S \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $\mathcal{W}(\phi) = dS$ , if  
149 and only if  $\theta_M - \phi^*\theta_M$  is  $d$ -exact  $\Gamma_\phi$  if and only if  $\theta_M$  is  $d$ -exact on the Lagrangian  
150 submanifold  $L = \mathcal{W}(\phi)(M)$ . □

151 **Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\phi$  be a symplectic diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity in the*  
152  *$C^1$ -topology. Then, there exists a closed 1-form denoted by  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)$  and whose graph is*  
153 *a Lagrangian submanifold  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}(\phi)}$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M & \xrightarrow{(id, \phi)} & M \times M & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} & T^*M \\
\downarrow \mathcal{W}(\phi) & & & & \downarrow \pi \\
T^*M & \xleftarrow{\gamma^{-1}} & M \times M & \xleftarrow{(id, \phi)} & M
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Existence of the Weinstein chart.

154 *Proof.* 1. Existence of the *Weinstein* 1-form is guaranteed by the commutative  
155 diagram on the figure 1:

Set

$$\mathcal{W}(\phi) = \left( \gamma^{-1} \circ (id, \phi) \circ (\pi \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ (id, \phi)) \right).$$

156 The 1-form  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)$  is closed since  $\phi \in Diff_{\Omega}^{\infty}(M)_0$  and satisfies Proposition 3.1.  
157  $\square$

158  
159 More, relying on the *Sniatyki* and *W. M. Tulczjew* theorem characterization of the  
160 symplectic diffeomorphisms, the theorem on the characterization of the Lagrangian  
161 submanifolds by closed 1-forms and *Kostant-Weinstein-Sternberg* theorem, we have  
162 established the formula (3.1).

163  
164 From this formula, we have restated and proved the new characterisation of sym-  
165 plectic diffeomorphisms by means of the *Weinstein* 1-form. We have obtained the  
166 following result, thanks to *A. Weinstein*;

167 **Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\phi$  be a diffeomorphism  $C^0$ -close to the identity so that its graph*  
168 *is close enough with the diagonal.*

169  
170 *Then  $\phi$  is a symplectomorphism if and only if the Weinstein 1-form  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)$  is a closed*  
171 *1-form.*

*Proof.* The *Weinstein* 1-form  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)$  is closed if and only if  $d\mathcal{W}(\phi) = 0$ ; and

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathcal{W}(\phi) = 0 &\iff d\theta_M - d\phi^*\theta_M = 0 \\
&\iff \Omega_M - \phi^*\Omega = 0 \\
&\iff \phi^*\Omega = \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

172 Thus,  $\phi$  is a symplectomorphism.  $\square$

173 Thus, *De Rham* cohomology class of the *Weinstein* 1-form  $\mathcal{W}(\phi)$  is non trivial.  
 174 Hence, this non trivial class of *De Rham* cohomology is an obstruction to the diffeo-  
 175 morphism  $\phi$  to be a symplectomorphism. We asked whether the above formula agrees  
 176 with the local geometry of the *Weinstein* chart. We calculated at the identity.

**Corollary 3.5.**

$$\mathcal{W}(id) = 0_M.$$

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{W}(\phi) = \theta_M - \phi^*\theta_M$ , at the identity, we still have:

$$\mathcal{W}(id) = \theta_M - id^*\theta_M = 0_M.$$

177

□

178 Therefore, we've proved that the symplectomorphism in the formula (3.1) lies in  
 179 the *Weinstein* domain. In other words, we have shown that it agrees with the local  
 180 geometry induced by the *Weinstein* chart.

## 181 4 The flux homomorphism associated with the 182 Weinstein chart

183 We introduce herein the relation between the *Weinstein* 1-form and the flux homomor-  
 184 phism studied in great details by *A. Banyaga* in [1], *C. Viterbo* in [6], *A. Bounemoura*  
 185 in [2] and *T. Rybicki* in [4]. A new formulation of the flux homomorphism is given.

186

187 The link between the flux homomorphism and the *Weinstein* 1-form is summarized  
 188 in the following statement:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\theta$  be a closed 1-form on  $M$  and  $\tilde{S}_\theta$  the flux homomorphism. Denote by  $\tilde{D}iff_\theta^\infty(M)_0$  the universal cover of  $Diff_\theta^\infty(M)_0$  and  $\pi : \tilde{D}iff_\theta^\infty(M)_0 \rightarrow Diff_\theta^\infty(M)_0$  the projection of  $\tilde{D}iff_\theta^\infty(M)_0$  into  $Diff_\theta^\infty(M)_0$ .*

*Let  $\mathcal{Z}_c^1(M)$  be the space of closed 1-forms and  $p : \mathcal{Z}_c^1(M) \rightarrow H_c^1(M)$  the projection of  $\mathcal{Z}_c^1(M)$  into the De Rham cohomology  $H_c^1(M)$  with compact support. We denote by  $\mathcal{W}$  the Weinstein parametrization. The following formula holds:*

$$\tilde{S}_\theta = p \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \pi.$$

189 *Proof.* We have to prove that the diagram below is commutative:



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D\tilde{f}f_{\theta}^{\infty}(M)_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Diff_{\theta}^{\infty}(M)_0 \\
\downarrow \tilde{S}_{\theta} & & \downarrow \mathcal{W} \\
H_c^1(M) & \xleftarrow{p} & \mathcal{Z}_c^1(M)
\end{array}$$

In other words;

$$\tilde{S}_{\theta} = p \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \pi.$$

190 So, let  $\{\phi_t\}$  be the homotopy class of the symplectic isotopy  $(\phi_t)$ . We have by direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(p \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \pi)(\{\phi_t\}) &= (p \circ \mathcal{W})(\pi(\phi_t)) \\
&= p \circ \mathcal{W}(\phi_t) \\
&= [\mathcal{W}(\phi_t)] \\
&= \tilde{S}_{\theta}(\{\phi_t\})
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\tilde{S}_{\theta} = p \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \pi.$$

Since the Calabi invariant  $\tilde{S}_{\theta}$  descends to the homomorphism  $S_{\theta}$  and the relation  $\pi' \circ \tilde{S}_{\theta} = S_{\theta} \circ \pi$  holds, by a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi' \circ \tilde{S}_{\theta} &= \pi' \circ (p \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \pi) \\
&= (\pi' \circ p \circ \mathcal{W}) \circ \pi = S_{\theta} \circ \pi.
\end{aligned}$$

191 Therefore,  $S_{\theta} = \pi' \circ p \circ \mathcal{W}$ . □

192 *A. Banyaga* in his marvelous monograph [1] obtained the same result using the *Moser*  
193 1-form and Lagrangian immersions. *A. Bounemoura* obtained the same formula in [2]  
194 and *C. Viterbo* in [6].

## 195 5 Conclusion and perspective

196 In this paper, we have mainly stated and proved the characterization of symplectic  
197 diffeomorphism by means of the Weinstein 1-form and Lagrangian submanifolds. In  
198 the counterpart of this work, the characterization of symplectic diffeomorphism have  
199 been obtained before by Śniatycki and Tulczyjew in their joint work.

200 We also found new formulas which link the flux homomorphism to the Weinstein  
201 chart. However, we suspect that these formulas can be used to show that the flux ho-  
202 momorphism kernel is arc wise connected, and hope that our results greatly contribute  
203 to the development of symplectic topology.

204 **References**

- 205 [1] A. Banyaga, *The Structure of Classical Diffeomorphism Groups*, Springer, 1997.  
206 [2] A. Bounemoura, *Simplicite des groupes de transformations de surfaces*, Technical  
207 report, 2008.  
208 [3] A. S. Demir, *On Relative Hamiltonian Diffeomorphisms*, Technical report.  
209 [4] T. Rybicki, *On foliated, Poisson and Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*, Differential  
210 Geometry and its Applications, 15 (1) (2001), 33-46.  
211 [5] J. Śniatycki and W. Tulczyjew, *Generating forms of Lagrangian submanifolds*,  
212 Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 22(3), (1972), 267-275.  
213 [6] C. Viterbo, *An Introduction to Symplectic Topology through Sheaf Theory*, Tech-  
214 nical report, Princeton, 2010.  
215 [7] A. Weinstein, *Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds*, Advances  
216 in Mathematics, 6 (3) (1971), 329-346.  
217 [8] A. Weinstein *Lagrangian submanifolds and Hamiltonian systems*, The Annals of  
218 Mathematics, 98 (3) (1973), 377.

219 *Authors' address:*

220 Fidele Balibuno Luganda and Leonard Todjihounde  
221 Université d'Abomey Calavi,  
222 Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques(IMSP),  
223 01 BP 613, Porto-Novo, Bénin.  
224 E-mail: fidelelugandabalibuno@gmail.com , leonardt@imsp-uac.org