

# Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature and projective invariants

B. Najafi and A. Tayebi

**Abstract.** In this paper, we define a new projective invariant and call it  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature. We prove that a Finsler manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$  is of constant flag curvature if and only if its  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature vanishes. Various kinds of projectively flatness of Finsler metrics and their equivalency on Riemannian metrics are also studied.

**M.S.C. 2010:** 53B40, 53C60.

**Key words:** Projective transformation; scalar flag curvature.

## 1 Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in Finsler geometry is to study and characterize Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature. The best well-known result towards this question is due to Akbar-Zadeh, which classified compact Finsler manifolds with non-positive constant flag curvature [3]. In a 25 year research, initiated by famous Yasuda-Shimada's theorem [16] and finished by Bao-Robless-Shen's theorem [7], Randers metrics of constant flag curvature have been classified.

On the other hand, there are some well-known projective invariants of Finsler metrics namely, Douglas curvature [5][6][10], Weyl curvature, generalized Douglas - Weyl curvature [4][13] and another projective invariant which is due to Akbar-Zadeh [1]. In [21], Weyl introduces a projective invariant for Riemannian metrics. Then Douglas extends Weyl's projective invariant to Finsler metrics [10]. Finsler metrics with vanishing projective Weyl curvature are called *Weyl metrics*. In [18], Z. Szabó proves that Weyl metrics are exactly Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature.

In [3], Akbar-Zadeh introduces the non-Riemannian quantity  $\mathbf{H}$  which is obtained from the mean Berwald curvature by the covariant horizontal differentiation along geodesics. This is a positively homogeneous scalar function of degree zero on the slit tangent bundle, and recently has been studied [11][12]. Akbar-Zadeh proves that for a Weyl manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , the flag curvature is constant if and only if  $\mathbf{H} = 0$ . The natural question is: Is there any projectively invariant quantity which characterizes Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature?

---

Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.15, No.2, 2010, pp. 90-99 (electronic version); pp. 82-91 (printed version).

© Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2010.

In this paper, using Akbar-Zadeh's method in [1], we define a new projective invariant and call it  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature (see the equation (3.14)). We show that the  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature is another candidate for characterizing Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature. More precisely, we prove the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a Finsler manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $F$  is of constant flag curvature if and only if  $\widetilde{W} = 0$ .*

By Akbar-Zadeh's theorem and Theorem 1.1, we have the following

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a Finsler manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $F$  is of scalar flag curvature. Then  $\mathbf{H} = 0$  if and only if  $\widetilde{W} = 0$ .*

Throughout this paper, we use the Berwald connection on Finsler manifolds [19][20]. The  $h$ - and  $v$ -covariant derivatives of a Finsler tensor field are denoted by “ $|$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ,” respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $C^\infty$  manifold. Denote by  $T_x M$  the tangent space at  $x \in M$ , and by  $TM = \cup_{x \in M} T_x M$  the tangent space of  $M$ . A *Finsler metric* on  $M$  is a function  $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which has the following properties: (i)  $F$  is  $C^\infty$  on  $TM_0$ ; (ii)  $F$  is positively 1-homogeneous on the fibers of tangent bundle  $TM$ , and (iii) for each  $y \in T_x M$ , the following quadratic form  $g_y$  on  $T_x M$  is positive definite,

$$g_y(u, v) := \frac{1}{2} [F^2(y + su + tv)]|_{s,t=0}, \quad u, v \in T_x M.$$

Given a Finsler manifold  $(M, F)$ , then a global vector field  $\mathbf{G}$  is induced by  $F$  on  $TM_0$ , which in a standard coordinate  $(x^i, y^i)$  for  $TM_0$  is given by

$$\mathbf{G} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

where  $G^i(x, y)$  are local functions on  $TM_0$  satisfying  $G^i(x, \lambda y) = \lambda^2 G^i(x, y)$ , for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Functions  $G^i$  are given by

$$(2.1) \quad G^i := \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \left\{ 2 \frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l} \right\} y^j y^k,$$

where  $g_{ij}$  is the vertical Hessian of  $F^2/2$  and  $g^{ij}$  denotes its inverse.  $\mathbf{G}$  is called the associated *spray* to  $(M, F)$ . The projection of an integral curve of  $\mathbf{G}$  is called a *geodesic* in  $M$ . In local coordinates, a curve  $c(t)$  is a geodesic if and only if its coordinates  $(c^i(t))$  satisfy  $\ddot{c}^i + 2G^i(\dot{c}) = 0$  [14].

For a vector  $v^i$  vertical and horizontal covariant derivative with respect to Berwald connection are given by

$$v^i_{\cdot k} = \dot{\partial}_k v^i, \quad v^i_{|k} = d_k v^i + G^i_{jk} v^j,$$

where  $d_k = \partial_k - G^m_k \dot{\partial}_m$ ,  $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ ,  $\dot{\partial}_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$ ,  $G^i_k = \dot{\partial}_k G^i$  and  $G^i_{jk} = \dot{\partial}_j G^i_k$ .

In [1], Akbar-Zadeh considers a non-Riemannian quantity  $\mathbf{H}$  which is obtained from the mean Berwald curvature by the covariant horizontal differentiation along geodesics. This is a positively homogeneous scalar function of degree zero on the slit tangent bundle. The quantity  $\mathbf{H} = H_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$  is defined as the covariant derivative of  $\mathbf{E}$  along geodesics, where  $E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_m G_{ij}^m$  [12]. More precisely  $H_{ij} := E_{ij|m} y^m$ . In local coordinates, we have

$$2H_{ij} = y^m \frac{\partial^4 G^k}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k \partial x^m} - 2G^m \frac{\partial^4 G^k}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^m} - \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial^3 G^k}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^m} - \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial^4 G^k}{\partial y^i \partial y^k \partial y^m}.$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor of Berwald connection are given by

$$K_{hjk}^i = d_j G_{hk}^i + G_{hk}^m G_{mj}^i - d_k G_{hj}^i + G_{hj}^m G_{mk}^i.$$

Let  $K_{jk}^i = K_{0jk}^i$  and  $K_k^i = K_{0k}^i$ . Then we have

$$K_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{3} \{ \dot{\partial}_j K_k^i - \dot{\partial}_k K_j^i \}.$$

Then, the Riemann curvature operator of Berwald connection at  $y \in T_x M$  is defined by  $\mathbf{K}_y = K_k^i dx^k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} |_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$ , which is a family of linear maps on tangent spaces. The flag curvature in Finsler geometry is a natural extension of the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry, which is first introduced by L. Berwald [8]. For a flag  $P = \text{span}\{y, u\} \subset T_x M$  with flagpole  $y$ , the *flag curvature*  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(P, y)$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{K}(P, y) := \frac{\mathbf{g}_y(u, \mathbf{K}_y(u))}{\mathbf{g}_y(y, y)\mathbf{g}_y(u, u) - \mathbf{g}_y(y, u)^2}.$$

When  $F$  is Riemannian,  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(P)$  is independent of  $y \in P$ , which is just the sectional curvature of  $P$  in Riemannian geometry. We say that a Finsler metric  $F$  is of *scalar curvature* if for any  $y \in T_x M$ , the flag curvature  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x, y)$  is a scalar function on the slit tangent space  $TM_0$ . If  $\mathbf{K} = \text{constant}$ , then  $F$  is said to be of *constant flag curvature*.

The projective Weyl curvature is defined as follows

$$W_{jkl}^i := K_{jkl}^i - \frac{1}{1-n^2} \left\{ -\delta_j^i (\tilde{K}_{kl} - \tilde{K}_{lk}) - \delta_k^i \tilde{K}_{jl} + \delta_l^i \tilde{K}_{jk} - y^r \dot{\partial}_j (\tilde{K}_{kl} - \tilde{K}_{lk}) \right\}$$

where  $\tilde{K}_{jk} := nK_{jk} + K_{kj} + y^r \dot{\partial}_j K_{kr}$ . As it is well known, a Finsler metric is of scalar flag curvature if and only if  $W_{jkl}^i = 0$ .

### 3 C-projective Weyl curvature

Let  $\phi : F^n \rightarrow \bar{F}^n$  be a diffeomorphism. We call  $\phi$  a projective mapping if there exists a positive homogeneous scalar function  $P(x, y)$  of degree one satisfying

$$\bar{G}^i = G^i + P y^i.$$

In this case,  $P$  is called the projective factor ([17]). Under a projective transformation with projective factor  $P$ , the Riemannian curvature tensor of Berwald connection change as follows

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{K}^i_{hjk} = K^i_{hjk} + y^i \dot{\partial}_h Q_{jk} + \delta_h^i Q_{jk} + \delta_j^i \dot{\partial}_h Q_k - \delta_k^i \dot{\partial}_h Q_j,$$

where  $Q_i = d_i P - P P_i$  and  $Q_{ij} = \dot{\partial}_i Q_j - \dot{\partial}_j Q_i$ . A projective transformation with projective factor  $P$  is said to be  $C$ -projective if  $Q_{ij} = 0$ .

Let  $X$  be a projective vector field on a Finsler manifold  $(M, F)$ . Let the vector field  $X$  in a local coordinate  $(x^i)$  on  $M$  be written in the form  $X = X^i(x) \partial_i$ . Then the complete lift of  $X$  is denoted by  $\hat{X}$  and locally defined by  $\hat{X} = X^i \partial_i + y^j \partial_j X^i \dot{\partial}_i$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}}$  stands for Lie derivative with respect to the complete lift of  $X$ . Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} G^i &= P y^i, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} G^i_k &= \delta_k^i P + y^i P_k, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} G^i_{jk} &= \delta_j^i P_k + \delta_k^i P_j + y^i P_{jk}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} G^i_{jkl} &= \delta_j^i P_{kl} + \delta_k^i P_{jl} + \delta_l^i P_{kj} + y^i P_{jkl}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} K^i_{jkl} = \delta_j^i (P_{l|k} - P_{k|l}) + \delta_l^i P_{j|k} - \delta_k^i P_{j|l} + y^i \dot{\partial}_j (P_{l|k} - P_{k|l}).$$

Since  $Q_{ij} = P_{i|j} - P_{j|i}$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} K^i_{jkl} = \delta_j^i Q_{lk} + \delta_l^i P_{j|k} - \delta_k^i P_{j|l} + y^i \dot{\partial}_j Q_{lk}.$$

We have

$$(3.5) \quad \dot{\partial}_j P_{k|l} = P_{jk|l} - P_r G^r_{jkl}.$$

Contracting  $i$  and  $k$  in (3.4), we get

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} K_{jl} = P_{l|j} - n P_{j|l} + P_{j|l} y^s.$$

Consequently

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} (y^r \dot{\partial}_l K_{jr}) = -(n+1) P_{j|l} y^s.$$

Hence

$$(3.8) \quad P_{j|l} y^s = -\frac{1}{n+1} L(\hat{X})(y^r \dot{\partial}_l K_{jr}),$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} (K_{jl} + \frac{1}{n+1} y^r \dot{\partial}_l K_{jr}) = P_{l|j} - n P_{j|l},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} (K_{lj} + \frac{1}{n+1} y^r \dot{\partial}_j K_{lr}) = P_{j|l} - n P_{l|j}.$$

Using (3.9) and (3.10), one can obtain

$$(3.11) \quad P_{j|l} = \frac{1}{1-n^2} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} \left\{ K_{lj} + \frac{1}{n+1} y^r \dot{\partial}_j K_{lr} + n K_{jl} + \frac{n}{n+1} y^r \dot{\partial}_l K_{jr} \right\}.$$

If  $Q_{ij} = 0$ , then (3.4) reduces to the following

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} K_{jkl}^i = \delta_l^i P_{j|k} - \delta_k^i P_{j|l}.$$

Using (3.11) and eliminating  $P_{j|l}$  from (3.12), we are led to the following tensor

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}_{jkl}^i := & K_{jkl}^i - \frac{1}{1-n^2} \delta_l^i \left\{ \widetilde{K}_{jk} + \frac{n}{n+1} y^r (\dot{\partial}_k K_{jr} - \dot{\partial}_j K_{kr}) \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{1-n^2} \delta_k^i \left\{ \widetilde{K}_{jl} + \frac{n}{n+1} y^r (\dot{\partial}_l K_{jr} - \dot{\partial}_j K_{lr}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y^j y^r \dot{\partial}_k K_{jr} = 0$ , if we put  $\widetilde{W}_k^i := \widetilde{W}_{jkl}^i y^j y^l$ , then we have

$$(3.14) \quad \widetilde{W}_k^i = K_k^i - \frac{1}{1-n^2} \left\{ y^i \widetilde{K}_{0k} - \delta_k^i \widetilde{K}_{00} \right\}.$$

The tensor  $\widetilde{W}_k^i$  is said to be *C-projective Weyl curvature* or  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature. According to the way we construct  $\widetilde{W}$ , it is easy to see that  $\widetilde{W}$  is *C-projective invariant tensor*. A Finsler metric  $F$  is called *C-projective Weyl metric* if its *C-projective Weyl-curvature* vanishes. First, we prove that the class of Weyl metrics contains the class of *C-projective Weyl metrics*.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be a C-projective Weyl metric. Then  $F$  is a Weyl metric.*

*Proof.* By assumption, we have the following

$$(3.15) \quad K_k^i - \frac{1}{1-n^2} \left\{ y^i \widetilde{K}_{0k} - \delta_k^i \widetilde{K}_{00} \right\} = 0.$$

Contracting (3.15) with  $y_i$  implies that

$$(3.16) \quad F^2 \widetilde{K}_{0k} - y_k \widetilde{K}_{00} = 0.$$

Hence

$$(3.17) \quad \widetilde{K}_{0k} = F^{-2} y_k \widetilde{K}_{00}.$$

Plugging (3.17) into (3.15), we get

$$(3.18) \quad K_k^i = \frac{1}{1-n^2} \widetilde{K}_{00} h_k^i,$$

which means that  $F$  is of scalar flag curvature. Hence,  $F$  is a Weyl metric.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need to find the  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature of Weyl metrics.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $F$  be a Finsler metric of scalar flag curvature  $\lambda$ . Then  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature is given by*

$$(4.1) \quad \widetilde{W}_k^i = \frac{1}{3}F^2 y^i \lambda_k,$$

where  $\lambda_k := \dot{\partial}_k \lambda$ .

*Proof.* By assumption, the Riemannian curvature of Berwald connection is in the following form.

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{jkl}^i &= \lambda(\delta_k^i g_{jl} - \delta_l^i g_{jk}) + \lambda_j F(\delta_k^i F_l - \delta_l^i F_k) + \frac{1}{3}F^2(h_k^i \lambda_{jl} - h_l^i \lambda_{jk}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}\lambda_l F(2\delta_k^i F_j - 2\delta_j^i F_k - g_{jk} \ell^i) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3}F\lambda_k(2\delta_l^i F_j - 2\delta_j^i F_l - g_{jl} \ell^i). \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_{ij} = \dot{\partial}_j \lambda_i$ . Hence, we have

$$(4.3) \quad K_k^i = \lambda F^2 h_k^i.$$

Then, we get the following relations.

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{jl} &= (n-1)(\lambda g_{jl} + FF_l \lambda_j) + \frac{n-2}{3}(F^2 \lambda_{jl} + 2FF_j \lambda_l), \\ K_{00} &= \lambda(n-1)F^2, \quad \widetilde{K}_{00} = \lambda(n^2-1)F^2, \\ K_{k0} &= \lambda(n-1)FF_k + \frac{2n-1}{3}F^2 \lambda_k, \\ K_{0k} &= \lambda(n-1)FF_k + \frac{n-2}{3}F^2 \lambda_k, \\ \widetilde{K}_{0k} &= (n^2-1)(\lambda FF_k + \frac{1}{3}F^2 \lambda_k). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (4.3) and (4.4) into (3.14), we get the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a  $C$ -projective Weyl manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $F$  is of constant flag curvature.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\widetilde{W}_k^i = \frac{1}{3}F^2 y^i \lambda_k.$$

From assumption, we get  $\lambda_k = 0$ . It means that  $F$  is of isotropic flag curvature. The result follows by Schur's Lemma.  $\square$

Now, let us consider the case  $F$  being of constant flag curvature.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $F$  be a Finsler metric of constant flag curvature  $\mathbf{K} = \lambda$ . Then  $F$  is  $C$ -projective Weyl metric.*

*Proof.* If  $F$  is of constant flag curvature  $\lambda$ , then (4.2) reduces to the following

$$(4.5) \quad K_{jkl}^i = \lambda(g_{jl}\delta_k^i - g_{jk}\delta_l^i).$$

Hence

$$(4.6) \quad K_{jl} = \lambda(1-n)g_{jl}, \quad \tilde{K}_{jk} = \lambda(1-n^2)g_{jl}.$$

Plugging (4.6) into (3.13), we obtain  $\widetilde{W}_{jkl}^i = 0$  and consequently  $\widetilde{W}_k^i = 0$ .  $\square$

## 5 Reduction in Riemannian manifolds

As mentioned before, in Finsler metrics  $F^n$  of scalar flag curvature with  $(n \geq 3)$ , we have this equivalence  $\widetilde{W} = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{H} = 0$ . Observing  $C$ -projective invariance of  $\widetilde{W}$ -curvature, one can conjecture that  $\mathbf{H}$ -curvature must be  $C$ -projective invariant too. Here, we prove that this is true. By definition,  $H_{ij} = E_{ij|s}y^s$ . Under a projective transformation with the projective factor  $P$ , we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_{ij} &= E_{ij} + \frac{n+1}{2}P_{ij}, \\ y^l \bar{d}_l &= y^l d_l - 2Py^m \dot{\partial}_m, \\ \bar{E}_{mj} \bar{G}_i^m &= E_{mj} G_i^m + PE_{ij} + \frac{n+1}{2}(P_{mj} G_i^m + PP_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can prove the following

**Proposition 5.1.**  *$\mathbf{H}$ -curvature is  $C$ -projective invariant.*

*Proof.* Under a projective transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_{ij} &= \bar{E}_{ij|l} y^l \\ &= y^l \bar{d}_l \bar{E}_{ij} - \bar{E}_{mj} \bar{G}_i^m - \bar{E}_{im} \bar{G}_j^m \\ &= (y^l d_l \bar{E}_{ij} - 2Py^m \dot{\partial}_m \bar{E}_{ij}) - \bar{E}_{mj} \bar{G}_i^m - \bar{E}_{im} \bar{G}_j^m \\ &= y^l d_l E_{ij} + \frac{n+1}{2} y^l d_l P_{ij} + 2PE_{ij} + (n+1)PP_{ij} - \bar{E}_{mj} \bar{G}_i^m - \bar{E}_{im} \bar{G}_j^m \\ &= y^l d_l E_{ij} - E_{mj} G_i^m - E_{im} G_j^m + \frac{n+1}{2} (y^l d_l P_{ij} - P_{mj} G_i^m - P_{im} G_j^m) \\ (5.1) \quad &= H_{ij} + \frac{n+1}{2} (y^l d_l P_{ij} - P_{mj} G_i^m - P_{im} G_j^m). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} y^l \dot{\partial}_i Q_{jl} &= y^l d_l P_{ij} - P_{mj} G_i^m - y^l d_j P_{il} \\ &= y^l d_l P_{ij} - P_{mj} G_i^m - P_{mi} G_j^m \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (5.2) into (5.1) yields

$$(5.3) \quad \bar{H}_{ij} = H_{ij} + \frac{n+1}{2} y^l \dot{\partial}_i Q_{jl}.$$

We deal with  $C$ -projective mapping, i.e.,  $Q_{ij} = 0$ . Hence  $\bar{H}_{ij} = H_{ij}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

A locally projectively flat Finsler manifold  $(M, F)$  with the projective factor  $P$  is said to be locally  $C$ -projectively flat if  $P$  satisfies  $Q_{ij} = 0$ , this means  $F$  is locally  $C$ -projectively related to a locally Minkowskian metric.

**Example.** Let  $\Theta$  be the Funk metric on the Euclidean unit ball  $B^n(1)$ , i.e.,

$$\Theta(x, y) := \frac{\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2)} + \langle x, y \rangle}{1 - |x|^2}, \quad y \in T_x B^n(1) \simeq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\langle, \rangle$  and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean inner product and norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively. For a constant vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $F$  be the Finsler metric given by

$$(5.4) \quad F := \left\{ 1 + \langle a, x \rangle + \frac{\langle a, y \rangle}{\Theta} \right\} \{ \Theta + \Theta_{x^k} x^k \}.$$

In [15], Shen proves that  $F$  is projectively flat with projective factor  $P = \Theta$ . A direct computation shows that  $Q_{ij} = 0$ . Hence,  $F$  is locally  $C$ -projectively flat. Moreover, Shen proves that  $F$  is of constant flag curvature  $\mathbf{K} = 0$ .

Every locally Minkowskian metric has vanishing  $\mathbf{H}$ -curvature. It is well known that every locally projectively flat Finsler metric is of scalar flag curvature. In the case of locally  $C$ -projectively flat Finsler metrics we have the following

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $F$  be a locally  $C$ -projectively flat Finsler metric. Then  $F$  is of constant flag curvature.*

In studying the subgroups of the group of projective transformations, Akbar-Zadeh considers projective vector fields satisfying  $P_{ij} = 0$  and calls this kind of vector fields, restricted projective vector field [1]. The condition  $P_{ij} = 0$  means that the projective factor  $P$  is linear, which is always true in Riemannian manifolds. Hence, in Riemannian manifolds, every projective transformation is restricted.

Let us define locally restricted projectively flatness similar to  $C$ -projectively flatness. Note that Finsler metric given in Example 1 is not locally restricted projectively flat. In fact, a restricted projective vector field with  $P = a_i(x)y^i$  is  $C$ -projective vector field, if  $a_i(x)$  is gradient, that is  $P = d\sigma$  for some scalar function on the underlying manifold.

Using (3.11) and eliminating  $P_{j|l}$  from (3.4), Akbar-Zadeh introduces the following tensor

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^*W_{jkl}^i &:= K_{jkl}^i - \frac{1}{n^2 - 1} \left\{ \delta_k^i (nK_{jl} + K_{lj}) - \delta_l^i (nK_{jk} + K_{kj}) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n + 1} \delta_j^i (K_{kl} - K_{lk}). \end{aligned}$$



Under a  $C$ -projective mapping, we have

$$(5.6) \quad {}^* \overline{W}^i_{jkl} = {}^* W^i_{jkl} + 2\delta_k^i \dot{\partial}_l Q_j - 2\delta_l^i \dot{\partial}_j Q_k.$$

This means that  ${}^* W^i_{jkl}$  is not a  $C$ -projective invariant. In fact,  ${}^* W^i_{jkl}$  is a restricted projective invariant. We call  ${}^* W^i_{jkl}$  *restricted projective Weyl-curvature*. The geometric importance of the restricted projective Weyl-curvature is to characterize Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature, i.e., a Finsler metric  $F^n$  with ( $n \geq 3$ ) is of constant flag curvature if and only if  $F$  has vanishing restricted projective Weyl-curvature ([2] page 209).

Now let  $F$  be a Riemannian metric. By Beltrami's well-known theorem, locally projectively flat Riemannian manifolds are exactly Riemannian manifolds of constant sectional curvature. Summarizing up, we get the following reduction theorem in Riemannian manifolds.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be Riemannian manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

1.  $F$  is locally projectively flat.
2.  $F$  is locally restricted projectively flat.
3.  $F$  is locally  $C$ -projectively flat.

This is not true in generic Finslerian manifolds. The non-equivalence between these kind of projective mappings in Finsler manifolds reveals the complexity of Finsler spaces.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors express their sincere thanks to Professors H. Akbar-Zadeh, Z. Shen and referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

## References

- [1] H. Akbar-Zadeh, *Champ de vecteurs projectifs sur le fibre unitaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 65 (1986), 47-79.
- [2] H. Akbar-Zadeh, *Initiation to Global Finslerian Geometry* North-Holand Mathematical Library, Vol 68 (2006).
- [3] H. Akbar-Zadeh, *Sur les espaces de Finsler A courbures sectionnelles constantes* Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci. 74 (1988), 271-322.
- [4] S. Bácsó and I. Papp, *A note on generalized Douglas space*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica Vol. 48 (1-2), 2004, pp. 181-184.
- [5] V. Balan, M. Crane, V. Patrangenaru and X. Liu, *Projective shape manifolds and coplanarity of landmark configurations. A nonparametric approach*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 14, 1 (2009), 1-10.
- [6] S. Bácsó and M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces of Douglas type, A generalization of notion of Berwald space*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 51 (1997), 385-406.
- [7] D. Bao, C. Robles and Z. Shen, *Zermelo navigation on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 66 (2004), 391-449.

- [8] L. Berwald, *Über Parallelübertragung in Räumen mit allgemeiner Massbestimmung*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 34(1926), 213-220.
- [9] B. Bidabad, *Complete Finsler manifolds and adapted coordinates*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 14, 1 (2009), 21-29.
- [10] J. Douglas, *The general geometry of path*, Ann. Math. 29(1927-28), 143-168.
- [11] X. Mo, *On the non-Riemannian quantity  $H$  of a Finsler metric*, Differential Geometry and its Application, 27 (2009), 7-14.
- [12] B. Najafi, Z. Shen and A. Tayebi, *Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature with special non-Riemannian curvature properties*, Geom. Dedicata. 131 (2008), 87-97.
- [13] B. Najafi, Z. Shen and A. Tayebi, *On a projective class of Finsler metrics*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 70 (2007), 211-219.
- [14] Z. Shen, *Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [15] Z. Shen, *Projectively flat Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 355, 4 (2003), 1713-1728
- [16] H. Shimada, *Short review of Yasuda-Shimada Theorem and related topics*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica Vol. 48 (12), 2004, 17-24
- [17] S.P. Singh, *Projective motion in bi-recurrent Finsler space*, Diff. Geom. Dyn. Syst. 12 (2010), 221-227.
- [18] Z. Szabó, *Ein Finslerscher Raum ist gerade dann von skalarer Krümmung, wenn seine Weyl'sche Projectivkrümmung verschwindet*, Acta Sci. Math. 39 (1977), 163-168.
- [19] A. Tayebi, E. Azizpour and E. Esrafilian, *On a family of connections in Finsler geometry*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 72 (2008), 1-15.
- [20] A. Tayebi and B. Najafi, *Shen's Processes on Finslerian Connections*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 36 (2010), 1-17.
- [21] H. Weyl, *Zur Infinitesimal geometrie*, Göttinger Nachrichten. (1921), 99-112.

*Authors' addresses:*

Behzad Najafi  
 Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics,  
 Shahed University, Tehran, Iran.  
 E-mail: najafi@shahed.ac.ir

Akbar Tayebi  
 Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics,  
 Qom University, Qom, Iran.  
 E-mail: akbar.tayebi@gmail.com