

On subprojective transformations

Iulia Elena Hiricǎ

Dedicated to the memory of Radu Rosca (1908-2005)

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to study subgeodesically related spaces. Using some results of Levi-Civita and Vrănceanu an example of projectively equivalent Riemann metrics is given. ξ -subcharacteristic vector fields are studied for some deformation algebras and it is also illustrated the relation with the concept of ξ -subgeodesically related connexions.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B05, 53B20, 53B21.

Key words: subprojective transformations, ξ -subcharacteristic vector fields, Weyl structures, deformation algebras.

1 Introduction

Let M be a connected paracompact, smooth manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{X}(M)$ be the Lie algebra of vector fields on M , $\mathcal{T}^{(p,q)}(M)$ the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -module of tensor fields of type (p, q) on M , $\Lambda^p(M)$ the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -module of p -forms on M and $H^p(M)$ the p -th de Rham cohomology group of M .

Let Γ_{jk}^i be the components of an affine symmetric connection ∇ and ξ^i be the components of a vector field ξ . One can associate the differential system of equations

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^k}{dt} = a \frac{dx^i}{dt} + b \xi^i,$$

a and b being functions of t , which defines the ξ -subgeodesics.

K. Yano introduced the subprojective transformations of connections, which preserve the ξ -subgeodesics

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i + \delta_j^i \omega_k + \delta_k^i \omega_j + \theta_{jk} \xi^i,$$

where ω_i and θ_{jk} are the components of a 1-form and of a symmetric tensor field of type $(0,2)$, respectively.

Two Riemannian spaces (M, g) and (M, \bar{g}) are ξ -subgeodesically related, the tensor of correspondence θ_{jk} , being $-g_{jk}$, if the Levi-Civita connections associated to g and \bar{g} satisfy the Yano formulae (1.2). Therefore there exists a diffeomorphism f between these two spaces which maps ξ -subgeodesics onto ξ -subgeodesics. f is called the subgeodesic mapping.

If $\xi^i = 0$, then the Yano formulae become the Weyl formulae and spaces are geodesically related.

In the present paper subgeodesically and geodesically spaces are considered. The Levi-Civita and Vrăncăanu canonical forms are given for certain projectively equivalent metrics on some Weyl manifolds.

It is also illustrated the close ties that exist between the ξ -subcharacteristic vector fields and ξ -subgeodesically related connections.

2 On ξ -subcharacteristic vector fields

Let A be a $(1, 2)$ -tensor field on M . The $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -modul $\mathcal{X}(M)$ becomes a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -algebra if we consider the multiplication rule given by $X \circ Y = A(X, Y)$, $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. This algebra is denoted by $\mathcal{U}(M, A)$ and it is called the algebra associated to A . If ∇ and ∇' are two linear connections on M and $A = \nabla' - \nabla$, then $\mathcal{U}(M, A)$ is called the deformation algebra defined by the pair (∇, ∇') .

A vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ is called ξ -subcharacteristic in the deformation algebra $\mathcal{U}(M, A)$ if there exists two functions $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad A(X, X) = \lambda X + \mu \xi.$$

Remark 2.1 1) If X is a nonvanishing ξ -subcharacteristic vector field i.e. is a vector field of ξ -subcharacteristic direction, then (2.1) is equivalent to

$$A(X, X) \otimes X - X \otimes A(X, X) = \mu(\xi \otimes X - X \otimes \xi).$$

2) The trajectories of vector fields of ξ -subcharacteristic directions, called the ξ -subcharacteristic curves, satisfy the following differential system of equations

$$(2.2) \quad B_{ksth}^{ijpq} \frac{dx^k}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt} \frac{dx^r}{dt} \frac{dx^h}{dt} = 0,$$

where $B_{ksth}^{ijpq} = (A_{ks}^i \delta_r^j - A_{ks}^j \delta_r^i)(\delta_h^q \xi^p - \delta_h^p \xi^q) - (A_{ks}^p \delta_r^q - A_{ks}^q \delta_r^p)(\delta_h^i \xi^j - \delta_h^j \xi^i)$.

The geometric interpretation of vector fields of ξ -subcharacteristic direction is given by the following result

Proposition A[8] *Let ∇ and ∇' be two symmetric linear connections on M and $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $X_p \neq 0$, $\forall p \in M$ such that X and ξ are either independent $\forall p \in M$ or dependent $\forall p \in M$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1) X is a vector field of ξ -subcharacteristic direction in the deformation algebra $\mathcal{U}(M, \nabla' - \nabla)$.

2) Let any $p \in M$. If c is a (ξ, ∇) -subgeodesic verifying

$$c(t_0) = p, \frac{dc}{dt} \Big|_{t_0} = aX_p, a \in \mathbf{R}^*,$$

then the point p is (ξ, ∇') -subgeodesic i.e. ξ_p belongs to the osculating plane of the curve c at p .

The following result illustrates the relation between the ξ -subcharacteristic vector fields and the ξ -subgeodesically related connections:

Proposition B [8] *Let ∇ and ∇' be two symmetric linear connections on M and $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1) *All the elements of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(M, \nabla' - \nabla)$ are ξ -subcharacteristic vector fields.*

2) *In every point $p \in M$ there exists a curve ξ -subcharacteristic tangent to a given direction.*

3) *There exists a symmetric $(0,2)$ -tensor field θ and a 1-form ω on M such that*

$$\nabla'_X Y - \nabla_X Y = \omega(X)Y + \omega(Y)X + \theta(X, Y)\xi, \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

4) *∇' and ∇ have the same ξ -subgeodesics.*

3 On geodesically and subgeodesically related Riemann spaces

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . A Weyl manifold is a triple (M, \widehat{g}, W) , where $\widehat{g} = \{e^u g \mid u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\}$ is the conformal class defined by g and $W : \widehat{g} \rightarrow \Lambda^1(M)$ is a Weyl structure on the conformal manifold (M, \widehat{g}) , hence

$$(3.1) \quad W(e^u g) = W(g) - du, \forall u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M).$$

A linear connection ∇ on M is compatible with the Weyl structure W if

$$(3.2) \quad \overset{W}{\nabla} g + W(g) \otimes g = 0.$$

There exists a unique torsion free linear connection $\overset{W}{\nabla}$, verifying (3.2), given by the formula:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 2g(\overset{W}{\nabla}_X Y, Z) &= X(g(Y, Z)) + Y(g(X, Z)) - Z(g(X, Y)) + \\ &+ W(g)(X)g(Y, Z) + W(g)(Y)g(X, Z) - W(g)(Z)g(X, Y) + \\ &+ g([X, Y], Z) + g([Z, X], Y) - g([Y, Z], X), \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M). \end{aligned}$$

$\overset{W}{\nabla}$ is called the Weyl conformal connection. This connection is invariant under a "gauge transformation" $g \rightarrow e^u g$. So, the 1-form $W(g)$ is required to change by (1.1).

Weyl introduced a 2-form $\psi(W)$ on M by setting $\psi(W) = dW(g)$, $g \in \widehat{g}$, and called it the distance curvature. This is a gauge invariant. If $\psi(W) = 0$, then by (1.1), the cohomology class $[W(g)] \in H^1(M)$ of the closed form $W(g)$ does not depend on the choice of a metric in \widehat{g} . For simplicity, we write $ch(W) = [W(g)]$. The 2-form $\psi(W)$ and the class $ch(W)$ are the obstructions for a Weyl structure to be a Riemannian structure. Indeed:

Proposition C [2] *Let (M, \widehat{g}, W) be a Weyl manifold and $\overset{W}{\nabla}$ be the Weyl conformal connection. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

1) $\psi(W) = 0$ and $ch(W) = 0$;

2) There is a Riemann metric in \widehat{g} such that $\overset{W}{\nabla} g = 0$.

Let π be a 1-form on M . We denote by $\overset{L}{\nabla}$ the connection compatible with the Weyl structure W , which is π -semi-symmetric i.e. the torsion tensor is required to be $\overset{L}{T}(X, Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y, \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 2g(\overset{L}{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = & X(g(Y, Z)) + Y(g(X, Z)) - Z(g(X, Y)) + \\ & + W(g)(X)g(Y, Z) + W(g)(Y)g(X, Z) - \\ & - W(g)(Z)g(X, Y) + 2\pi(Y)g(X, Z) - \\ & - 2\pi(Z)g(X, Y) + g([X, Y], Z) + g([Z, X], Y) - g([Y, Z], X) \end{aligned}$$

holds. The relation between these two connections is given by

$$(3.5) \quad \overset{L}{\nabla}_X Y = \overset{W}{\nabla}_X Y + \pi(Y)X - g(X, Y)\pi^\sharp,$$

where $g(Z, \pi^\sharp) = \pi(Z), \forall Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

We denote by $\overset{L}{\nabla}$ the transposed connection of $\overset{L}{\nabla}$ i.e.

$$(3.6) \quad \overset{L}{\nabla}_X Y = \overset{L}{\nabla}_Y X + [X, Y].$$

The relations (3.5) and (3.6) lead to

$$(3.7) \quad \overset{L}{\nabla}_X Y = \overset{W}{\nabla}_X Y + \pi(X)Y - g(X, Y)\pi^\sharp.$$

Let us denote by $\overset{s}{\nabla}$ the symmetric connection associated to $\overset{L}{\nabla}$ i.e.

$\overset{s}{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2}(\overset{L}{\nabla} + \overset{L}{\nabla})$. Hence

$$(3.8) \quad \overset{s}{\nabla}_X Y = \overset{W}{\nabla}_X Y + \frac{1}{2}\pi(X)Y + \frac{1}{2}\pi(Y)X - g(X, Y)\pi^\sharp.$$

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Let (M, \widehat{g}, W) be a Weyl manifold and $\pi \in \wedge^1(M)$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection associated to g . From (3.3) one gets

$$(3.9) \quad \overset{W}{\nabla}_X Y = \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_X Y + \varphi(X)Y + \varphi(Y)X - g(X, Y)\varphi^\sharp,$$

where $2\varphi = W(g)$ and $g(\varphi^\sharp, X) = \varphi(X), \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. The relation (3.8) leads to

$$(3.10) \quad \overset{s}{\nabla}_X Y = \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_X Y + (\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\pi)(X)Y + (\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\pi)(Y)X - g(X, Y)(\pi + \varphi)^\sharp.$$

Let us suppose that $\overset{s}{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to another Riemannian metric \tilde{g} on M . Let $g_{ij}, \tilde{g}_{ij}, \varphi_i, \pi_i$ be the local components of g, \tilde{g}, φ and π respectively, in a local system of coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^n) . We denote with $\left| \begin{smallmatrix} i \\ jk \end{smallmatrix} \right|, \left| \begin{smallmatrix} i \\ jk \end{smallmatrix} \right|$ the Christoffel symbols of the metrics

$$(3.11) \quad ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j, d\tilde{s}^2 = \tilde{g}_{ij}dx^i dx^j.$$

The relation (3.10) becomes

$$(3.10)' \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{i} \\ jk \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} i \\ jk \end{array} \right| + \delta_j^i(\varphi_k + \frac{1}{2}\pi_k) + \delta_k^i(\varphi_j + \frac{1}{2}\pi_j) - g_{jk}(\pi^i + \varphi^i),$$

where $\pi^i = g^{ij}\pi_j$, $\varphi^i = g^{ij}\varphi_j$. Considering $i = j$ in (3.10)' and summing, one gets

$$(3.10)'' \quad n\varphi_k + \frac{n-1}{2}\pi_k = \left| \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{i} \\ ik \end{array} \right| - \left| \begin{array}{c} i \\ ik \end{array} \right| = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left(\ln \sqrt{\frac{\det(\tilde{g}_{ij})}{\det(g_{ij})}} \right).$$

Let us denote with $\xi = (\pi + \varphi)^\sharp$. The formula (3.10)' implies

$$(3.12) \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{i} \\ jk \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} i \\ jk \end{array} \right| + \delta_j^i\omega_k + \delta_k^i\omega_j - g_{jk}\xi^i,$$

where $\omega_i = \varphi_i + \frac{1}{2}\pi_i$, $\xi^i = \varphi^i + \pi^i$. Therefore the metrics (3.11) are ξ^i -subgeodesically related. There exist differentiable mappings u and h , with variables (x^1, \dots, x^n) , such that $\xi_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}$ and $\omega_i = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i}$ [9].

Therefore $\varphi_i = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(u+h)}{\partial x^i}$, $\pi_i = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(u-h)}{\partial x^i}$, $\forall i = \overline{1, n}$.

We consider $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$. One has

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\widetilde{i}} \\ jk \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} i \\ jk \end{array} \right| + \delta_j^i\xi_k + \delta_k^i\xi_j - g_{jk}\xi^i,$$

where $\left| \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\widetilde{i}} \\ jk \end{array} \right|$ are the Christoffel symbols associated to \tilde{g} . Therefore one gets

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{i} \\ jk \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\widetilde{i}} \\ jk \end{array} \right| + \delta_j^i\sigma_k + \delta_k^i\sigma_j,$$

where $\sigma_i = \omega_i - \xi_i$. Hence the metrics

$$(3.15) \quad d\tilde{s}^2 = \tilde{g}_{ij}dx^i dx^j, d\tilde{\tilde{s}}^2 = \tilde{\tilde{g}}_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$

are geodesically related. So, the metrics (3.15) can be reduced to the canonical forms of Levi-Civita and Vrănceanu (according to the fact that the Riemann space $(M, \tilde{\tilde{g}})$ is of category n or category $m < n$).

$$(3.16) \quad dV^2 = a_1(x^1)f'(x^1)(dx^1)^2 + \dots + a_n(x^n)f'(x^n)(dx^n)^2, \\ dL^2 = \frac{1}{x^1 \dots x^n} \left\{ \frac{a_1(x^1)f'(x^1)}{x^1} (dx^1)^2 + \dots + \frac{a_n(x^n)f'(x^n)}{x^n} (dx^n)^2 \right\},$$

where $f(x) = (x - x^1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - x^n)$ or

$$(3.17) \quad dV^2 = a_i(x^i)F'(x^i)(dx^i)^2 + F(c^2)c_{\lambda\mu}(x^{m+1}, \dots, x^p)dx^\lambda dx^\mu + \\ + F(k^2)c_{\alpha'\beta'}(x^{p+1}, \dots, x^n)dx^{\alpha'} dx^{\beta'}, \\ dL^2 = \frac{1}{x^1 \dots x^m} \left\{ \frac{a_i(x^i)F'(x^i)}{x^i} (dx^i)^2 + \frac{F(c^2)}{c^2} c_{\lambda\mu}(x^{m+1}, \dots, x^p)dx^\lambda dx^\mu + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{F(k^2)}{k^2} c_{\alpha'\beta'}(x^{p+1}, \dots, x^n)dx^{\alpha'} dx^{\beta'} \right\},$$

where $F(x) = (x - x^1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - x^m)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $m + 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq p$, $p + 1 \leq \alpha', \beta' \leq n$ and c^2 and k^2 are nonvanishing constants. Therefore the metrics (3.11) can be reduced to

$$ds^2 = e^{-2u(x^1, \dots, x^n)} dV^2, d\tilde{s}^2 = dL^2.$$

Hence we obtain:

Theorem 3.1 *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian space and W a Weyl structure on the conformal manifold (M, \hat{g}) . Let π be a 1-form on M , $\overset{L}{\nabla}$ be the π -semi-symmetric conformal connection, $\overset{s}{\nabla}$ be the symmetric connection associated to $\overset{L}{\nabla}$. We suppose that $\overset{s}{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to another Riemannian metric \tilde{g} on M . Then*

i) The 1-forms $W(g)$ and π are exact.

ii) The metrics (3.11) can be reduced to $ds^2 = e^{-2u(x^1, \dots, x^n)} dV^2$, $d\tilde{s} = dL^2$, where dV^2 and dL^2 are the canonical forms of Levi-Civita and Vranceanu, given by (3.16) or (3.17), according to the case when the equation $\det(\tilde{g}_{ij} - r^2 g_{ij}) = 0$ has distinct roots or has $m < n$ equal roots.

Remark 3.1. Let us consider the first formula (3.17) for $c = k$. Multiplying all the variables x^1, \dots, x^n with the same constant, we can suppose that c is the unit. Therefore the metric dV^2 can be written

$$(3.18) \quad dV^2 = a_i(x^i) F'(x^i) (dx^i)^2 + F(1) c_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta.$$

One gets the next result, under the same hypothesis of the previous theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *The metric $ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ can be written $ds^2 = e^{-2u(x^1, \dots, x^n)} dV^2$, where dV^2 is given by the first formula of (3.16) or by the expression (3.18), if the equation $\det(\tilde{g}_{ij} - r^2 g_{ij}) = 0$ has distinct roots or has $m < n$ equal roots, respectively.*

The last result underlines the connection between the concept of ξ - subcharacteristic vector fields and of those of deformation algebra on Weyl manifolds:

Theorem 3.3. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian space and W a Weyl structure on the conformal manifold (M, \hat{g}) . Let π be a 1-form on M , $\overset{L}{\nabla}$ be the π -semi-symmetric conformal connection, $\overset{s}{\nabla}$ be the symmetric connection associated to $\overset{L}{\nabla}$. We suppose that $\overset{s}{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to another Riemannian metric \tilde{g} on M . Let $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ be a connection conformally related to the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$.*

Then the deformation algebras $\mathcal{U}(M, \overset{s}{\nabla} - \overset{\circ}{\nabla})$ and $\mathcal{U}(M, \overset{\circ}{\nabla} - \overset{\circ}{\nabla})$ have the same ξ -subcharacteristic vector fields, where $\xi = (\pi + \frac{1}{2}W(g))^\sharp$.

Proof. One considers $\tilde{A} = \overset{s}{\nabla} - \overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{A}} = \overset{\circ}{\nabla} - \overset{\circ}{\nabla}$.

$\tilde{\tilde{A}}$ and $\overset{s}{\nabla}$ being geodesically related, one has

$$\tilde{\tilde{A}}(X, Y) - \tilde{A}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\pi(X)Y + \frac{1}{2}\pi(Y)X.$$

Let $X \in \mathcal{U}(M, \tilde{A})$ be a ξ -subcharacteristic vector field. So, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ such that $\tilde{A}(X, X) = \lambda X + \mu \xi$, where $\lambda = (W(g) + \pi)(X)$ and $\mu = -g(X, X)$.

Therefore $\tilde{A}(X, X) = \nu X + \mu\xi$, where $\nu = (W(g) + \frac{3}{2}\pi)(X)$ and X is a ξ -subcharacteristic vector field of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(M, \tilde{A})$.

The converse inclusion is analogous.

References

- [1] B. Alexandrov and S. Ivanov, *Weyl structures with positive Ricci tensor*, Diff. Geom. Appl., 18, 3 (2003), 343-350.
- [2] T. Higa, *Weyl manifolds and Einstein Weyl manifolds*, Comm. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli, 42, 2 (1993), 143-160.
- [3] I.E. Hiričă, *On geodesically and subgeodesically related pseudo-Riemannian spaces*, Rendiconti del Sem. Mat. Messina, 5, II (1999), 99-107.
- [4] I.E. Hiričă, *Notes on subgeodesic and geodesic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Workshop "Diff. Geometry, Global Analysis and Lie Algebras", 1996 (Gr. Tsagas, Ed.), Geom. Balkan Press (1999), 205-212.
- [5] I.E. Hiričă and L. Nicolescu, *On Weyl structures*, Rendiconti Circ. Mat. Palermo Serie II, Tomo LIII (2004), 390-400.
- [6] S. Kim, *Volume and projective equivalence between Riemann manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 27, 1 (2005), 47-52.
- [7] V.S. Matveev, *Projectively equivalent metrics on the torus*, Diff. Geom. Appl., 20, 3 (2004), 251-265.
- [8] L. Nicolescu, *Sur les transformations subprojectives d'une connexion lineaire*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, Tome 21 (99), nr. 1-2 (1977), 83-91.
- [9] L. Nicolescu, *Les espaces de Riemann en representation subgeodesiques*, Tensor N.S., 32 (1978), 183-187.
- [10] C. Udriște, *Geometric Dynamics*, Mathematics and its Appl. (Dordrecht) 513. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers XVI, 2000.
- [11] G. Vrănceanu, *Lecons de Geometrie Diff.*, Vol. 1, 2, Bucharest, 1957.
- [12] M.P. Wojtkowski, *Rigidity of some Weyl manifolds with nonpositive sectional curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133, nr. 1 (2005), 3395-3402.

Author's address:

Iulia Elena Hiričă

University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics, Department of Geometry,

14 Academiei Street, RO-010014, Bucharest 1, Romania.

email: ihirica@fmi.unibuc.ro