

APPLICATIONS OF THE ROPER-SUFFRIDGE EXTENSION  
OPERATOR TO ALMOST STARLIKE MAPPINGS OF COMPLEX  
ORDER  $\lambda$

CAMELIA MĂDĂLINA BĂLĂEȚI AND VERONICA OANA NECHITA

ABSTRACT. The Roper-Suffridge extension operator provides a way of extending a (locally) univalent function  $f \in H(U)$  to a (locally) biholomorphic mapping  $F \in H(B^n)$ . In this paper we consider certain generalizations of the operator and we show that if  $f$  is an almost starlike function of complex order  $\lambda$  then  $F$  is an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$ . Various particular cases will be also considered.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 32H02, 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbf{C}^n$  denote the space of  $n$ -complex variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  with respect to the Euclidean inner product  $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{w}_j$  and the norm  $\|z\| = \langle z, z \rangle^{1/2}$ .

For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $\tilde{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$  such that  $z = (z_1, \tilde{z}) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . The open ball  $\{z \in \mathbf{C}^n : \|z\| < r\}$  is denoted by  $B_r^n$  and the unit ball  $B_1^n$  by  $B^n$ . In the case of one complex variable, let  $U_r := B_r^1$  and  $U := U_1$ . If  $G$  is an open set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , let  $H(G)$  be the set of holomorphic maps from  $G$  into  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . If  $f \in H(B^n)$ , we say that  $f$  is normalized if  $f(0) = 0$  and  $Df(0) = I_n$ .

Let  $S(B^n)$  be the set of normalized biholomorphic mappings on  $B^n$ . We denote the classes of normalized convex and starlike mappings on  $B^n$  by  $K(B^n)$  and  $S^*(B^n)$  respectively. In one variable, we write  $S(U) = S$ ,  $K(U) = K$  and  $S^*(U) = S^*$ .

**Definition 1.1** *Let  $f : B^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  be a normalized locally biholomorphic mapping and let  $A : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  be a linear operator such that  $\operatorname{Re}\langle A(z), z \rangle > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . We say that  $f$  is spirallike with respect to  $A$  if*

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle [Df(z)]^{-1} Af(z), z \rangle > 0, \quad z \in B^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

*In the case that  $A = e^{i\delta} I_n$ , where  $|\delta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we say that  $f$  is spirallike of type  $\delta$ .*

Note that any spirallike mapping with respect to a linear operator  $A$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}\langle A(z), z \rangle > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$  is biholomorphic (see [11]). We denote by  $\widehat{S}_\delta$ , the class of spirallike mappings of type  $\delta$ ,  $|\delta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Further details about spirallike mappings with respect to linear operators may be found in [11] and [4].

We introduced in [1] a new class of normalized locally biholomorphic mappings as it follows.

**Definition 1.2** *Let  $f$  be a normalized locally biholomorphic mapping on  $B^n$ , and let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ , with  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq 0$ . The function  $f$  is said to be an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$  if*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1 - \lambda)\langle [Df(z)]^{-1}f(z), z \rangle\} > -\operatorname{Re}\lambda\|z\|^2, \quad z \in B^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

It is easy to see that in the case of one variable, the above inequality reduces to the following

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[(1 - \lambda)\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)}\right] \geq -\operatorname{Re}\lambda, \quad z \in U.$$

The interest of the study of almost starlikeness of complex order  $\lambda$  arises from the fact that every almost starlike mapping  $f$  of complex order  $\lambda$  is also spirallike with respect to the operator  $A = (1 - \lambda)I_n$ , and hence  $f$  is biholomorphic on  $B^n$  (see [1]).

**Remark 1.3** If  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$  in Definition 1.1, where  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , we obtain the notion of almost starlikeness of order  $\alpha$  (see [12]). On the other hand, if  $\lambda = i \tan \delta$ ,  $\delta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$  we obtain the usual notion of spirallikeness of type  $\delta$  and when  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain the usual notion of starlikeness.

In 1995, K.A. Roper and T.J. Suffridge [10] introduced an extension operator. This operator is defined for normalized locally univalent functions  $f$  on the unit disc  $U$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  by

$$\Phi_n(f)(z) = \left(f(z_1), \sqrt{f'(z_1)}\tilde{z}\right)$$

where  $z = (z_1, \tilde{z})$  belongs to the unit ball  $B^n$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $z_1 \in U$ ,  $\tilde{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$  and the branch of the square root is chosen such that  $\sqrt{f'(0)} = 1$ .

The Roper-Suffridge extension operator has remarkable properties.

1. If  $f$  is a normalized convex function on  $U$ , then  $\Phi_n(f)$  is a normalized convex mapping on  $B^n$ .
2. If  $f$  is a normalized starlike function on  $U$ , then  $\Phi_n(f)$  is a normalized starlike mapping on  $B^n$ .

3. If  $f$  is a normalized Bloch function on  $U$ , then  $\Phi_n(f)$  is a normalized Bloch mapping on  $B^n$ .

These results were proved by K.A. Roper and T.J. Suffridge [10] and I. Graham and G. Kohr [5].

In [6], I. Graham, G. Kohr and M. Kohr generalized the operator  $\Phi_n$ , by

$$\Phi_{n,\gamma}(f)(z) = (f(z_1), (f'(z_1))^\gamma \tilde{z}),$$

where  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $f$ ,  $z$ ,  $z_1$  and  $\tilde{z}$  are defined as above and the branch of the power function is chosen such that  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma|_{z_1=0} = 1$ . They proved that, if  $f$  is a normalized starlike function on  $U$ , then  $\Phi_{n,\gamma}(f)$  is a normalized starlike mapping on  $B^n$ , if  $f$  is a normalized Bloch function on  $U$ , then  $\Phi_{n,\gamma}(f)$  is a normalized Bloch mapping on  $B^n$  and the convexity is not preserved on  $B^n$  for  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Other results about the operator  $\Phi_{n,\gamma}$  were obtained by using Loewner chains. In [12], the authors showed that if  $f$  is an almost starlike function of order  $\alpha$  on  $U$ , then  $\Phi_{n,\gamma}(f)$  is an almost starlike mapping of order  $\alpha$  on  $B^n$ . We proved in [1] that if  $f$  is an almost starlike function of complex order  $\lambda$  on  $U$ , then  $\Phi_{n,\gamma}(f)$  is an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$  on  $B^n$ .

I. Graham and G. Kohr introduced a new extension operator in [5], by modifying the coefficient of  $\tilde{z}$ . They defined

$$\Phi_{n,\beta}(f)(z) = \left( f(z_1), \left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta \tilde{z} \right),$$

where  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f$ ,  $z$ ,  $z_1$  and  $\tilde{z}$  are given as above and the branch of the power function is chosen such that  $\left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta|_{z_1=0} = 1$ . They proved that the operator  $\Phi_{n,\beta}$  is preserving starlikeness and spirallikeness of type  $\delta$  and also that it maps a Bloch function  $f$  to a Bloch mapping on  $B^n$ . Convexity is preserved only for  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ . The case  $\beta = 1$  was previously considered and discussed by J.A. Pfaltzgraff and T.J. Suffridge [9].

Moreover, this operator preserves almost starlikeness of order  $\alpha$  (see [3]) and almost starlikeness of complex order  $\lambda$  (see [1]).

In 2002, I. Graham, H. Hamada, G. Kohr and T.J. Suffridge [7] generalized the Roper-Suffridge extension operator follows

$$\Phi_{n,\beta,\gamma}(f)(z) = \left( f(z_1), \left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta (f'(z_1))^\gamma \tilde{z} \right),$$

where  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,  $f$ ,  $z$ ,  $z_1$  and  $\tilde{z}$  are given as above and the branches of the power functions are chosen such that  $\left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta|_{z_1=0} = 1$  and  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma|_{z_1=0} = 1$ . They proved the next result (see [7]).

**Theorem 1.4** *Assume  $\beta \in [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $\beta + \gamma \leq 1$ . Let  $f$  be a locally univalent function on  $U$ . If  $f \in S^*$  then  $\Phi_{n,\beta,\gamma}(f) \in S^*(B^n)$ .*

The proof uses the characterisation of starlikeness in terms of Loewner chains. However, only for  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = 1/2$ , that is only when the extension operator is the original Roper-Suffridge operator, convexity is preserved. It was shown in [3] that the operator preserves almost starlikeness of order  $\alpha$  and in [1] we proved, by using the theory of Loewner chains, that the operator maps an almost starlike function of complex order  $\lambda$  to an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$  on  $B^n$ .

We next give the following two lemmas. Lemma 1.5 gives the well-known Herglotz representation and Lemma 1.6 can be obtained easily.

**Lemma 1.5**[2] *Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on the unit disc  $U$ . Then  $\operatorname{Re}f(z) \geq 0, \forall z \in U$ , if and only if there exists an increasing function,  $\mu$ , on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , which satisfies  $\mu(2\pi) - \mu(0) = \operatorname{Re}f(0)$ , such that*

$$f(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-i\theta}}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} d\mu(\theta) + i\operatorname{Im}f(0), \quad z \in U.$$

**Lemma 1.6** *Suppose  $w \in \mathbf{C}$ , then we have*

1.  $\operatorname{Re}(1 - w^2)(1 - \bar{w})^2 = (1 - |w|^2)|1 - w|^2$ ;
2.  $\operatorname{Re}(1 + 2w - w^2)(1 - \bar{w})^2 = (1 - |w|^2)^2 - 2|w|^2|1 - w|^2$ .

The aim of the present paper is to show that the operator  $\Phi_{n,\beta,\gamma}$  preserves the notion of almost starlikeness of complex order  $\lambda$  from dimension one into the  $n$ -dimensional case. This provides a way to obtain concrete examples of almost starlike mappings of complex order on the unit ball  $B^n$ . Various particular cases will be also considered.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin this section with the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq 0$  and let  $f$  be an almost starlike function of complex order  $\lambda$  on the unit disc  $U$ . Then  $F$  is an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$  on  $B^n$ , where*

$$F(z) = \Phi_{n,\beta,\gamma}(f)(z) = \left( f(z_1), \left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta (f'(z_1))^{\gamma\tilde{z}} \right)$$

$z = (z_1, \tilde{z}) \in B^n$ ,  $z_1 \in U$ ,  $\tilde{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $\beta + \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $f(z_1) \neq 0$  for  $z_1 \in U \setminus \{0\}$  and the branches of the power functions  $\left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1}\right)^\beta$ ,  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma$  are chosen to satisfy the conditions  $\left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1}\right)^\beta|_{z_1=0} = 1$  and  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma|_{z_1=0} = 1$  respectively.

*Proof.* By the definition of almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$ , we need to prove that the following inequality holds,

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1 - \lambda)\langle [DF(z)]^{-1}F(z), z \rangle\} \geq -\operatorname{Re}\lambda\|z\|^2 \tag{1}$$

or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re}(1 - \lambda)\bar{z}[DF(z)]^{-1}F(z) \geq -\operatorname{Re}\lambda\|z\|^2 \tag{2}$$

for all  $z \in B^n$ .

For  $z = (z_1, \tilde{z})$  we have two cases.

**Case I:** If  $\tilde{z} = 0$ , then we can get the conclusion easily.

**Case II:** Suppose  $\tilde{z} \neq 0$ .

Obviously, the mapping  $F$  is holomorphic at every point  $z = (z_1, \tilde{z}) \in \bar{B}^n$  with  $\tilde{z} \neq 0$ . Let  $z = \zeta u$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $\|u\| = 1$ , and  $\zeta \in \bar{U} \setminus \{0\}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(1 - \lambda)\bar{z}[DF(z)]^{-1}F(z) \geq -\operatorname{Re}\lambda\|z\|^2 &\Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{\operatorname{Re}(1 - \lambda)\bar{z}[DF(z)]^{-1}F(z) + \operatorname{Re}\lambda\|z\|^2}{\|z\|^2} \geq 0 &\Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{\operatorname{Re}(1 - \lambda)\bar{\zeta}\bar{u}[DF(\zeta u)]^{-1}F(\zeta u) + \operatorname{Re}\lambda|\zeta|^2}{|\zeta|^2} \geq 0 &\Leftrightarrow \\ \operatorname{Re}\frac{(1 - \lambda)\bar{u}[DF(\zeta u)]^{-1}F(\zeta u)}{\zeta} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the expression

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{(1 - \lambda)\bar{u}[DF(\zeta u)]^{-1}F(\zeta u)}{\zeta} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda$$

is the real part of a holomorphic function with respect to  $\zeta$ , it is a harmonic function. By the minimum principle for harmonic functions, we know that it attains its minimum on  $|\zeta| = 1$ , so we only need to prove (1) for all  $z = (z_1, \tilde{z}) \in \partial B^n$ ,  $\tilde{z} \neq 0$ .

From

$$F(z) = \begin{pmatrix} f(z_1) \\ \left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1}\right)^\beta (f'(z_1))^\gamma \tilde{z} \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$DF(z) = \begin{pmatrix} f'(z_1) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1}\right)^\beta (f'(z_1))^\gamma \left[\beta \left(\frac{f'(z_1)}{f(z_1)} - \frac{1}{z_1}\right) + \gamma \frac{f''(z_1)}{f'(z_1)}\right] \tilde{z} & \left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1}\right)^\beta (f'(z_1))^\gamma I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$[DF(z)]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{f'(z_1)} & 0 \\ \left[\beta \left(\frac{1}{z_1 f'(z_1)} - \frac{1}{f(z_1)}\right) - \gamma \frac{f''(z_1)}{(f'(z_1))^2}\right] \tilde{z} & \left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1}\right)^{-\beta} (f'(z_1))^{-\gamma} I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda)[DF(z)]^{-1}F(z) + \operatorname{Re}\lambda z &= \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z_1)}{f'(z_1)} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda z_1 \\ (1 - \lambda) \left[\beta \left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1 f'(z_1)} - 1\right) - \gamma \frac{f''(z_1)f(z_1)}{(f'(z_1))^2}\right] \tilde{z} + (1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda)\tilde{z} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{(1 - \lambda)\tilde{z}[DF(z)]^{-1}F(z) + \operatorname{Re}\lambda\|z\|^2\} &= \operatorname{Re}\{(1 - \lambda)|z_1|^2 \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1 f'(z_1)} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda|z_1|^2 + \\ &(1 - \lambda)\|\tilde{z}\|^2 \left[\beta \left(\frac{f(z_1)}{z_1 f'(z_1)} - 1\right) - \gamma \frac{f''(z_1)f(z_1)}{(f'(z_1))^2}\right] + (1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda)\|\tilde{z}\|^2\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\{[(1 - \lambda)|z_1|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|\tilde{z}\|^2\beta] \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1 f'(z_1)} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda|z_1|^2 + \\ &[1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda - \beta(1 - \lambda)]\|\tilde{z}\|^2 - \gamma\|\tilde{z}\|^2(1 - \lambda) \frac{f''(z_1)f(z_1)}{(f'(z_1))^2}\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\{[|z_1|^2 + (1 - |z_1|)^2\beta] (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1 f'(z_1)} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda|z_1|^2 + \\ &[1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda - \beta(1 - \lambda)](1 - |z_1|^2) - \gamma(1 - |z_1|^2)(1 - \lambda) \frac{f''(z_1)f(z_1)}{(f'(z_1))^2}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let

$$p(z_1) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1 f'(z_1)} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} p'(z_1) &= (1 - \lambda) \frac{(f'(z_1))^2 z_1 - f(z_1)f'(z_1) - f(z_1)f''(z_1)z_1}{(z_1 f'(z_1))^2} \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \left[ \frac{1}{z_1} - \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1^2 f'(z_1)} - \frac{f(z_1)f''(z_1)}{z_1 (f'(z_1))^2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

thus we have

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z_1)f''(z_1)}{(f'(z_1))^2} = 1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda - p(z_1) - z_1 p'(z_1). \quad (4)$$

In addition, we know that  $p \in H(U)$  and  $\operatorname{Re} p(z_1) \geq 0, \forall z_1 \in U$ , then, by Lemma 1.5, there exists an increasing function  $\mu$ , on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , which satisfies  $\mu(2\pi) - \mu(0) = \operatorname{Re} p(0) = p(0) = 1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda$ , such that

$$p(z_1) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + z_1 e^{-i\theta}}{1 - z_1 e^{-i\theta}} d\mu(\theta), \quad z_1 \in U \quad (5)$$

and

$$p'(z_1) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2e^{-i\theta}}{(1 - z_1 e^{-i\theta})^2} d\mu(\theta). \quad (6)$$

Substituting (4), (5), and (6) into (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re}\{(1 - \lambda)\bar{z}[DF(z)]^{-1}F(z) + \operatorname{Re}\lambda\|z\|^2\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\{[|z_1|^2 + \beta(1 - |z_1|^2)](p(z_1) - \operatorname{Re}\lambda) + \operatorname{Re}\lambda|z_1|^2 + \\ &[1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda - \beta(1 - \lambda)](1 - |z_1|^2) - \gamma(1 - |z_1|^2) [1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda - p(z_1) - z_1 p'(z_1)]\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\{p(z_1)[|z_1|^2 + \beta(1 - |z_1|^2) + \gamma(1 - |z_1|^2)] + z_1 p'(z_1)\gamma(1 - |z_1|^2) + \\ &\quad (1 - |z_1|^2)(1 - \lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda)(1 - \beta - \gamma)\} \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}\{[|z_1|^2 + (\beta + \gamma)(1 - |z_1|^2)]p(z_1) + \gamma(1 - |z_1|^2)z_1 p'(z_1)\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{2\pi} \{[|z_1|^2 + (\beta + \gamma)(1 - |z_1|^2)] \frac{1 + z_1 e^{-i\theta}}{1 - z_1 e^{-i\theta}} + 2\gamma(1 - |z_1|^2) \frac{z_1 e^{-i\theta}}{(1 - z_1 e^{-i\theta})^2}\} d\mu(\theta) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{(1 - z_1 e^{-i\theta})^2} \{[|z_1|^2 + (\beta + \gamma)(1 - |z_1|^2)](1 - z_1^2 e^{-2i\theta}) + \\ &\quad 2\gamma(1 - |z_1|^2)z_1 e^{-i\theta}\} d\mu(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $w = z_1 e^{-i\theta}$  in the above equality, then we have  $w \in U$ . Considering that  $\mu$  is an increasing function, we need only to prove that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{(1-w)^2} \{ [|w|^2 + (\beta + \gamma)(1 - |w|^2)](1 - w^2) + 2\gamma(1 - |w|^2)w \} \geq 0, \quad w \in U.$$

By Lemma 1.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{(1-w)^2} \{ [|w|^2 + (\beta + \gamma)(1 - |w|^2)](1 - w^2) + 2\gamma(1 - |w|^2)w \} = \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{(1-w)^2} \{ |w|^2(1 - w^2) + \beta(1 - |w|^2)(1 - w^2) + \gamma(1 - |w|^2)(1 - 2w - w^2) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{|1-w|^4} \operatorname{Re} \{ |w|^2(1 - w^2)(1 - \bar{w})^2 + \beta(1 - |w|^2)(1 - w^2)(1 - \bar{w})^2 + \\ & \quad \gamma(1 - |w|^2)(1 + 2w - w^2)(1 - \bar{w})^2 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{|1-w|^4} \{ |w|^2(1 - |w|^2)|1 - w|^2 + \beta(1 - |w|^2)^2|1 - w|^2 + \\ & \quad \gamma(1 - |w|^2)[(1 - |w|^2)^2 - 2|w|^2|1 - w|^2] \} \\ &= \frac{1 - |w|^2}{|1 - w|^4} \{ (1 - 2\gamma)|w|^2|1 - w|^2 + \beta(1 - |w|^2)|1 - w|^2 + \gamma(1 - |w|^2)^2 \} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can now conclude that  $F$  is an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$  on  $B^n$ .

**Remark 2.2** We mention that if  $\lambda = \alpha/(1-\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , in Theorem 2.1, we deduce that the operator  $\Phi_{n,\beta,\gamma}$  preserves the usual notion of almost starlikeness of order  $\alpha$  (see [3]).

We next present certain particular cases of Theorem 2.1.

If we take  $\lambda = i \tan \delta$ , with  $|\delta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain:

**Corollary 2.3** *Let  $f$  be a spirallike function of type  $\delta$  on the unit disc  $U$ , then*

$$F(z) = \Phi_{n,\beta,\gamma}(f)(z) = \left( f(z_1), \left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta (f'(z_1))^{\gamma \tilde{z}} \right)$$

*is a spirallike mapping of type  $\delta$  on  $B^n$ , where  $z = (z_1, \tilde{z}) \in B^n$ ,  $z_1 \in U$ ,  $\tilde{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $\beta + \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $f(z_1) \neq 0$  when  $z_1 \in U \setminus \{0\}$  and the branches of the power functions  $\left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta$ ,  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma$  are chosen to satisfy  $\left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta |_{z_1=0} = 1$  and  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma |_{z_1=0} = 1$  respectively.*



Taking  $\lambda = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result due to Graham, Hamada, Kohr, and Suffridge.

**Corollary 2.4** [7] Let  $f$  be a starlike function on the unit disc  $U$ , then

$$F(z) = \Phi_{n,\beta,\gamma}(f)(z) = \left( f(z_1), \left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta (f'(z_1))^{\gamma\tilde{z}} \right)$$

is a starlike mapping on  $B^n$ , where  $z = (z_1, \tilde{z}) \in B^n$ ,  $z_1 \in U$ ,  $\tilde{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $\beta + \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $f(z_1) \neq 0$  when  $z_1 \in U \setminus \{0\}$  and the branches of the power functions  $\left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta$ ,  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma$  are chosen to satisfy  $\left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta \Big|_{z_1=0} = 1$  and  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma \Big|_{z_1=0} = 1$  respectively.

For  $\beta = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.5** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq 0$  and let  $f$  be an almost starlike function of complex order  $\lambda$  on the unit disc  $U$ , then

$$F(z) = \Phi_{n,\gamma}(f)(z) = (f(z_1), (f'(z_1))^\gamma \tilde{z})$$

is an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$  on  $B^n$ , where  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , and the branch of the power function  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma$  is chosen to satisfy  $(f'(z_1))^\gamma \Big|_{z_1=0} = 1$ .

If we take  $\gamma = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the next particular case.

**Corollary 2.6** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq 0$  and let  $f$  be an almost starlike function of complex order  $\lambda$ , on the unit disc  $U$ , then

$$F(z) = \Phi_{n,\beta}(f)(z) = \left( f(z_1), \left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta \tilde{z} \right)$$

is an almost starlike mapping of complex order  $\lambda$  on  $B^n$ , where  $\beta \in [0, 1]$  and the branch of the power function is chosen such that  $\left( \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} \right)^\beta \Big|_{z_1=0} = 1$ .

**Acknowledgements.** This work is supported by the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, UEFISCSU-CNCSIS Grant PN-II-ID 524/2007.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.M. Bălăeți, V.O. Nechita, *Loewner chains and almost starlike mappings of complex order  $\lambda$* , Carpathian J.Math. 26 (2010), no.2, 146-157.
- [2] P.L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1983.
- [3] S. Feng, T. Liu, *The generalized Roper-Suffridge extension operator*, Acta Mathematica Scientia 2008, 28B (1), 63-80.
- [4] I. Graham, G. Kohr, *Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions* Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2003.
- [5] I. Graham, G. Kohr, *Univalent mappings associated with the Roper-Suffridge extension operator*, J. Anal. Math. 81 (2000), 331-342.
- [6] I. Graham, G. Kohr, M. Kohr, *Loewner chains and the Roper-Suffridge extension operator* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 247 (2000), 448-465.
- [7] I. Graham, H. Hamada, G. Kohr, T.J. Suffridge, *Extension operators for locally univalent mappings*, Michigan Math. J. 50 (2002), 37-55.
- [8] T.S. Liu, Q.H. Xu, *Loewner chains associated with the generalized Roper-Suffridge extension operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2006), 107-120.
- [9] J.A. Pfaltzgraff, T.J. Suffridge, *An extension theorem and linear invariant families generated by starlike maps*, Ann. Univ. Marie Curie-Skłodowska Sect A, 53 (1999), 193-207.
- [10] K.A. Roper, T.J. Suffridge, *Convex mappings on the unit ball of  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , J. Anal. Math. 65 (1995), 333-347.
- [11] T.J. Suffridge, *Starlikeness, convexity and other geometric properties of holomorphic maps in higher dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 599, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976, 146-159.
- [12] Q.H. Xu, T.S. Liu, *Loewner chains and a subclass of biholomorphic mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 334 (2007), 1096-1105.

Camelia Mădălina Bălăeți  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Petroșani  
20 University Str., 332006, Petroșani, Romania  
email: *madalina@upet.ro*

Veronica Oana Nechita  
Department of Mathematics  
Babeș-Bolyai University  
1 M. Kogălniceanu Str., 400084, Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
email: *vnechita@math.ubbcluj.ro*