GMRES(M) ALGORITHM WITH CHANGING THE RESTART CYCLE ADAPTIVELY
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Abstract. A restarted GMRES(m) algorithm is often an effective means for solving nonsymmetric linear systems of equations. However, this algorithm sometimes experiences stagnation or slow convergence, if too small restart cycle m is chosen. Unfortunately, it can be very difficult to know how to choose m a priori. In this paper, we present new adaptive strategy which the restart cycle m can be both increases and decreased by using the tolerance of estimated number of iterations to achieve convergence. Numerical comparisons of the new algorithm and the standard one are given on the parallel computer Origin 2000. These results show that this new algorithm has a reasonable convergence rather than the other algorithms.
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1. Introduction. We consider the linear systems of equations

\[ Ax = b, \quad x, b \in \mathbb{R} \]

where A is a large, sparse, and nonsymmetric coefficient matrix. Due to the size of the matrix A, direct solvers become prohibitively high costly, because of the amount of work and storage required. As an alternative we consider the algorithms which we call Krylov subspace iterative methods. For this algorithm, given an initial approximation \( x_0 \), and let \( r_0 = b - Ax_0 \) be the related initial residual vector. Introduce the Krylov subspaces

\[ K_m(A, r_0) \equiv \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, A^2r_0, \ldots, A^{m-1}r_0\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, \]

related with the matrix A and residual vector \( r_0 \).

GMRES (generalized minimal residual) procedure is one of the Krylov subspace methods. Usually, we use the restarted GMRES(m) algorithm by Saad and Schultz [2], which is described in next section. This algorithm is the most popular Krylov subspace iterative algorithm for the solution of linear systems with a nonsymmetric matrix. The analysis and implementation of the restarted GMRES(m) algorithm, and the same as modifications, continue to receive considerable attention of researchers.

In this paper, we describe new adaptive procedure for determining restart cycle during the iterations with the GMRES(m) algorithm. The standard implementation of the GMRES(m) algorithm [2], described in section 2, is based on the Arnoldi process. In section 3, we proposed adaptive procedure for determining restart cycle during the GMRES(m) process. In section 4, we present a wide range of numerical experiments using test matrices from the boundary value problem of partial differential equations.

2. GMRES(m) Algorithm. In this section, we briefly discuss some aspects related to the GMRES algorithm. The GMRES process, proposed by Saad and Schultz [2], starts from an initial approximation \( x_0 \) and initial residual vector \( r_0 = \)
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choose $x_0$, 
\[ r_0 := b - Ax_0; \]
\[ \beta := \|r_0\|; \quad v_1 := r_0 / \beta; \]
start
for $i := 1$ to $m$ do
begin
    $\hat{v} := Av_i$;
    for $j := 1$ to $i$ do
    begin
        $h_{j,i} := \hat{v}^T v_j$;
        $\hat{v} := \hat{v} - h_{j,i} v_j$;
    end
    $h_{i+1,i} := \|\hat{v}\|$
    $v_{i+1} := \hat{v} / h_{i+1,i}$;
    compute $y_i = \min_y \|\beta e_1 - \hat{R}_i y\|$; 
    if $\|b - Ax_i\| \leq tol$ then
    stop iteration
endif
end
\[ x_0 := x_m; \quad r_0 := b - Ax_0; \]
\[ \beta := \|r_0\|; \quad v_1 := r_0 / \beta; \]
goto start

FIG. 1. GMRES($m$) algorithm

$b - Ax_0$ and characterizes the $k$th approximate solution as $x_k = x_0 + z_k$, where $z_k$ solves

\[
\min_{z \in K_k} ||b - A(x_0 + z)||_2 = \min_{z \in K_k} ||r_0 - Az||_2,
\]

where $K_k$ is the $k$th Krylov subspace determined by the coefficient matrix $A$ and initial residual vector $r_0$. There are number of ways of implementing GMRES algorithm, but in each one generates a basis of $K_k$ and then replaces (3) by an unconstrained $k$-dimensional least squares problem. The most popular way is that the modified Gram-Schmidt process is used in the construction of an orthogonal basis for Krylov subspace. If exact arithmetic is used, then the GMRES algorithm will converge in at most $n$ iterations. However, since the work of GMRES algorithm is high cost both in computation and in its memory requirements, we often use a restarted version, which we call GMRES($m$) algorithm, in which the Krylov subspace is restricted to be fixed dimension $m$ and the Arnoldi process is restarted using the latest iterate $x_m$ as a new initial approximation $x_0 (= x_m)$ for the restart. The standard GMRES($m$) algorithm [2] is shown in Figure 1. Unfortunately, it can be very difficult to know how to choose $m$ a priori and if too small value is chosen, the convergence of GMRES($m$) algorithm may stagnate.

3. Adaptive Restarted GMRES Algorithm. Basically, the strategy of adaptive GMRES is proposed by Joubert [7]. However, this strategy is still more expensive and more complicated. Recently, Sosonkina et al. [10] has proposed the new adap-
tive strategy which is based on different criteria than that proposed Joubert [7]. The new adaptive algorithm which is given in Figure 2 utilizes a stagnation test for insufficient residual norm reduction over a restart cycle of \( m \) iterations. This adaptive GMRES(\( m \)) algorithm is called A-GMRES(\( m, itmax \)), where \( itmax \) is the estimated maximum number of iterations. Sosonkina et al. [10] proposed the formula which computes the estimated number of iterations to achieve convergence. The following formula looks like a little bit different notation from the original one [10], but it is equivalent to original formula which is given in [10].

\[
iter = m \times \frac{\log[tol/\|r_{new}\|]}{\log[\|r_{new}\|/(1.0 + 10u)\|r_{old}\|]},
\]

where \( tol \) is the tolerance of convergence (usually we set up to convergence criterion), and \( u \) is the machine epsilon. Moreover, \( \|r_{new}\| \) is the residual in this step, and \( \|r_{new}\| \) is the residual norm when it restarted before one. The basic idea of the adaptive GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) algorithm is to use the formula (4). It may be advantageous not to fix \( m \) at the start of the process, but choose \( m \) for each restart depending on the information from the formula (4). Slow improvement of the convergence of GMRES(\( m \)), which shows that an increase in the restart cycle \( m \) may be valuable, is noticed with a similar check. This near stagnation check used a different, smaller multiple (\( smv \)) of the remaining allowed number of iterations. If the near stagnation occurs, the restart cycle \( m \) is increased by some value \( m_{delta} \) and then the same restart cycle continues. Such incrementing is used whenever needed if the restart cycle \( m \) is less than some maximum value \( m_{max} \). When the maximum value \( m_{max} \) is achieved, the adaptive GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) proceeds as GMRES(\( m_{max}, itmax \)). The value of the parameters \( smv \) and \( m_{delta} \) are established experimentally and are able to remain unchanged for most problems. In our numerical experiments, we will set \( smv = 1 \) using practical evaluation, and also give the incrementing value, \( m_{delta} = 2 \).

If for larger \( m \) a significant reduction of residual norm can be obtained locally, it is also worth switching to larger \( m \). However, the disadvantage of adaptive GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) algorithm sometimes much more work to attain convergence, because the A-GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) algorithm has only the increasing strategy of parameter \( m \). In such a case, we must have a lot of computation time and storage requirements for larger \( m \). The basic idea of our proposed the strategy considered in this paper is that the restart cycle \( m \) can be both increased and decreased. In Fig. 3, we give the description of our proposed algorithm which is based on the adaptive GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) algorithm with using the modified Gram-Schmidt process. The new adaptive implementation of GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) algorithm is called A-GMRES(\( m, lmax, itmax \)). While performing A-GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) process, this is the method that the restart cycle \( m \) is set back to initial value whenever the number of restart is reached to \( lmax \). First of all, we now select the value of \( lmax \), which is the maximum number of restart. Whenever the number of restart is reached to \( lmax \), the restart cycle \( m \) is set back to the initial value, and then continue to the A-GMRES(\( m, itmax \)) process using the estimated number of iterations (4).

4. Preconditioning. We are very interested in preconditioning to the original system to accelerate the convergence of algorithm. To solve the linear system of equations (1), we can generally apply an iterative procedure like GMRES(\( m \)), on the preconditioned system of equations

\[
(5) \quad AMy = b, \quad x = My,
\]
where $M$ should be a right preconditioner for the coefficient matrix $A$. An efficient algorithm to derive such a matrix $M$ consists of computing sparse approximate inverse $M \approx A^{-1}$, which proposed by Huckle [12]. A natural way to achieve parallelism is to compute an approximate inverse $M$ of $A$, such that $AM \approx I$ in some sense. The computation of $Mz$ is then easy to parallelize and will not expensive if $M$ is large and sparse. For example, if the problem comes from the boundary value problem of discretization of partial differential equation, it is commonly meaningful to search for a sparse approximate inverse. The approach of Huckle [12]'s approximate inverse is the minimization problems

\begin{equation}
\min_{M} \|AM - E\|_F^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \min_{e_k} \|AM_k - e_k\|_2^2
\end{equation}

where $M$ is an approximate inverse with a given sparsity pattern, and $E$ is an identity matrix. This problem is incommodiously parallel. If we allow only a few non-zero entries in the $k$th column $M_k$ of $M$, then the minimization problem (6) reduces to

**Fig. 2. A-GMRES(m, imax) method**

**Fig. 3. A-GMRES(m, lmax, imax) method**
the following $n$ small least squares problems:

\[
\min \|A K_k - e_k\|_2, \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n)
\]

We now consider the set $J$ of indices with nonzero elements in $M_k$, and the set $I$ is so
called shadow of set $J$ in original matrix $A$, which is defined as the set of the elements
of non-zero rows in the submatrix $A(:, J)$. Therefore, the minimization problem (7) can be rewritten to the following form

\[
\min \|A(I, J) M_k(J) - e_k(I)\|_2 = \min \|\hat{A} M_k - \hat{e}_k\|_2, \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n)
\]

where $\hat{A} = A(I, J)$, $M_k = M_k(J)$, and $\hat{e}_k = e_k(I)$. In order to solve (8), we consider
to use QR decomposition. More detailed explanations of approximate inverse is given in Grote and Huckle [9] and Huckle [12]. In this paper, we use this approximate inverse preconditioning technique for our numerical experiments.

5. Numerical Experiments. In this section, numerical experiments will be shown that compare the methods described in the previous sections on two simple test problems on Origin 2000; see above.

For the test runs, we make use of the initial approximate solution vector as $x_0 = 0$. For the sake of simplicity, we use the following stopping criterion

\[
\|r_m\|_2/\|b\|_2 \leq 10^{-12},
\]

and we set the maximum number of iterations, say $itmax$, to $10\sqrt{N}$ or $30\sqrt{N}$ respectively, where $N$ is the dimension of the coefficient matrix $A$ of linear system of equations (1). We also use the machine epsilon $u = 1.0 \times 10^{-16}$ in the formula (4). For A-GMRES($m$, $itmax$) and A-GMRES($m$, $lmax$, $itmax$) algorithm, we use the initial restart cycle, $m = 4$, and the maximum restart cycle, $mmax = 100$. In addition, we set incrementing value, $mdelta = 2$.

Numerical results is given by the statistics over the three trials of run times. The number of iterations is counted to increment the dimension of the relevant Krylov subspace. Run for which convergence is not possible in maximum number of iterations are labeled by (—). We use the parallel computer Origin 2000 to perform the run presented here, with using eight cell-processors and double precision real arithmetic computation. In the Table 1, we also show the specification of parallel machine Origin 2000.

[Example 1] We now consider the problem, which arises from the finite difference discretization of the boundary value problem in the unit square region $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ (see Joubert [5]).

\[
-u_{xx} - u_{yy} + Du_x(x, y) = G(x, y),
\]

\[
u(x, y)|_{\partial \Omega} = 1 + xy \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\]

We make use of the central differencing to discretize this problem, with uniform mesh $h$ in either direction. We consider the problem of grid size $h = 1/257$, which produces
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>$Dh$</th>
<th>$2^{-6}$</th>
<th>$2^{-5}$</th>
<th>$2^{-4}$</th>
<th>$2^{-3}$</th>
<th>$2^{-2}$</th>
<th>$2^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>iter</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>iter</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>iter</td>
<td>sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRES(10)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>329.0</td>
<td>4199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRES(20)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>457.9</td>
<td>4115</td>
<td>220.3</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRES(40)</td>
<td>597.6</td>
<td>2973</td>
<td>410.8</td>
<td>2163</td>
<td>283.4</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+GMRES(10)</td>
<td>382.1</td>
<td>3988</td>
<td>218.7</td>
<td>2426</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+GMRES(20)</td>
<td>206.0</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>1151</td>
<td>105.2</td>
<td>722</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+GMRES(40)</td>
<td>330.2</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>237.1</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>184.5</td>
<td>771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-GMRES(k, 7680)</td>
<td>591.2</td>
<td>4573</td>
<td>373.2</td>
<td>3540</td>
<td>207.3</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$: 4$\rightarrow$26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 2560)</td>
<td>212.1</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>195.3</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>216.6</td>
<td>853</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$: 4$\rightarrow$20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 7680)</td>
<td>412.6</td>
<td>5454</td>
<td>336.2</td>
<td>4679</td>
<td>161.8</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$: 4$\rightarrow$48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-GMRES(k, 1, 7680)</td>
<td>609.1</td>
<td>7564</td>
<td>472.0</td>
<td>7321</td>
<td>392.4</td>
<td>6739</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 1, 2560)</td>
<td>201.0</td>
<td>2466</td>
<td>179.1</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>1647</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 1, 7680)</td>
<td>336.4</td>
<td>5678</td>
<td>299.8</td>
<td>4922</td>
<td>161.0</td>
<td>2735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Numerical results of example 1.

a matrix of size 65536 after boundary points have been eliminated. The function of right hand side $G(x, y)$ is defined so that the solution is $u(x, y) = 1 + xy$ on $\Omega$.

In Table 2, we show the numerical results of the problem with left approximate inverse and also nonpreconditioned problem for various $Dh$. From these results, in case of $Dh = 2^{-6}$ and $2^{-5}$, we can get good convergence results for using large restart cycle. On the other hand, in case of $Dh = 2^{-2}$ and $2^{-1}$, we also get the good results for the use of small restart cycle. For the approximate inverse preconditioned problems, in most cases our proposed algorithm work quite well. The average of the convergence for our algorithm is quite nicely in the both preconditioned and nonpreconditioned problem.

Fig. 4–7 shows the convergence behavior of residual norm versus computation...
time and iterations. These figures, especially the computation time, show that the new adaptive algorithm keeps the size of residual norm better behaved than the other algorithms over the course of run. Fig. 8–9 also gives representative plot of the restart cycle $m$ versus number of iterations. In Fig. 8, we see that the restart cycle $m$ of A-GMRES$(m, 1, 7680)$ algorithm is oscillated heavily, and the algorithm converges even worse than A-GMRES$(m, 7680)$ algorithm. On the other hand, in Fig. 9, it is interesting to note that the restart cycle $m$ of A-GMRES$(m, 1, 7680)$ algorithm has large oscillation a little bit after 300 iterations, and then the iteration counts proceed about 100 steps, restart cycle $m$ will be decreased enough in the bottom level. In this case, we must keep in mind the convergence may be faster and therefore, the computation time needed to find accurate approximation may decrease.

[Example 2] Secondly, we consider a more difficult problem with Dirichlet boundary condition in the unit square region $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

\[
-u_{xx} - u_{yy} + D((y - 1/2)u_x(x, y) + (x - 1/3)(x - 2/3)u_y(x, y)) = G(x, y),
\]

\[
u(x, y)|_{\partial \Omega} = 1 + xy,
\]
where the function $G(x, y)$ is defined by the solution $u(x, y) = 1 + xy$. The region $\Omega$ is discretized by five point central difference method with $256 \times 256$ grid points.

In Table 3, we give numerical results for various GMRES algorithms applied to this problem. The GMRES(10) applied to various $Dh$ fails to converge. In this problem, our proposed algorithm does not converge faster than the other algorithms. In this problem, it is not obvious that the formula (4) is the correct switching or not. We further discuss this issue in our future paper.

Fig. 10–11 shows the behavior of convergence versus computation time and iterations. In the convergence plots of these figures, we can see that all of the preconditioned problems converge quite nicely, although linearly. Finally, Fig. 12 also shows representative plots of the behavior of restart cycle versus number of iterations.

6. Concluding Remark. This paper has built on the previous work of Sosonkina [10] to develop the new adaptive GMRES(m, lmax, itmax) algorithm which is based on the estimation of (4). This modification leads to reasonable improvements over the GMRES(m, itmax) method. From our numerical experiments we have learned that our proposed algorithm may be an attractive procedure for solving
Table 3
Numerical results of example 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>$D_h$</th>
<th>$2^{-6}$</th>
<th>$2^{-5}$</th>
<th>$2^{-4}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>iter</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>iter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRES(10)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRES(20)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRES(40)</td>
<td>1766.1</td>
<td>7276</td>
<td>1500.7</td>
<td>6419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+GMRES(10)</td>
<td>1687.7</td>
<td>7075</td>
<td>1540.6</td>
<td>6432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+GMRES(20)</td>
<td>1008.0</td>
<td>3643</td>
<td>946.4</td>
<td>3237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+GMRES(40)</td>
<td>710.2</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>721.2</td>
<td>1871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-GMRES(k, 7680)</td>
<td>1154.6</td>
<td>6921</td>
<td>1315.6</td>
<td>6912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$: 4→24</td>
<td>$k$: 4→28</td>
<td>$k$: 4→28</td>
<td>$k$: 4→28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 2560)</td>
<td>614.4</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>581.2</td>
<td>2042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$: 4→122</td>
<td>$k$: 4→222</td>
<td>$k$: 4→224</td>
<td>$k$: 4→224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 7680)</td>
<td>1548.9</td>
<td>5889</td>
<td>1467.4</td>
<td>6199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$: 4→48</td>
<td>$k$: 4→48</td>
<td>$k$: 4→48</td>
<td>$k$: 4→48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-GMRES(k, 1, 7680)</td>
<td>1090.2</td>
<td>7613</td>
<td>976.1</td>
<td>7530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 1, 2560)</td>
<td>628.6</td>
<td>2503</td>
<td>613.1</td>
<td>2441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AINV+A-GMRES(k, 1, 7680)</td>
<td>1556.6</td>
<td>6699</td>
<td>1476.6</td>
<td>6422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further analysis should be required a stabilized strategy for both increasing and decreasing the restart cycle $m$ depending on the measures of development.
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Fig. 12. Example 2 ($D_{h} = 2^{-2}$): The behavior of restart frequency vs. number of iterations