

# $\mathcal{I}$ -Sequential Topological Spaces\*

Sudip Kumar Pal †

Received 10 June 2014

## Abstract

In this paper a new notion of topological spaces namely,  $I$ -sequential topological spaces is introduced and investigated. This new space is a strictly weaker notion than the first countable space. Also  $I$ -sequential topological space is a quotient of a metric space.

## 1 Introduction

The idea of convergence of real sequence have been extended to statistical convergence by [2, 14, 15] as follows: If  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers and  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  then  $K_n$  denotes the set  $\{k \in K : k \leq n\}$  and  $|K_n|$  stands for the cardinality of the set  $K_n$ . The natural density of the subset  $K$  is defined by

$$d(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_n|}{n},$$

provided the limit exists.

A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of points in a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $l$  if for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $K(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_k, l) \geq \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero. A lot of investigation has been done on this convergence and its topological consequences after initial works by [5, 13].

It is easy to check that the family  $I_d = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 0\}$  forms a non-trivial admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$  (recall that  $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if (i)  $A, B \in I$  implies  $A \cup B \in I$  and (ii)  $A \in I, B \subset A$  implies  $B \in I$ .  $I$  is called non-trivial if  $I \neq \{\phi\}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \notin I$ .  $I$  is admissible if it contains all the singletons, cf. [8]). Thus one may consider an arbitrary ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and define  $I$ -convergence of a sequence by replacing a set of density zero in the definition of statistical convergence by a member of  $I$ .

In a topological space  $X$ , a set  $A$  is open if and only if every  $a \in A$  has a neighborhood contained in  $A$ .  $A$  is sequentially open if and only if no sequence in  $X \setminus A$  has a limit in  $A$ . In this paper using the idea of ideal convergence in topological spaces (cf. [9]), we define,  $I$ -sequentially open set and hence  $I$ -sequential topological space. Though the concept of these two sets, open and  $I$ -sequentially open are the same in case of metric spaces. We give an example of a topological space which is not  $I$ -sequential.

---

\*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54A20, 40A35, 54E15..

†Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, India

Next we formulate an equivalent result for a topological space to be  $I$ -sequential and show that every  $I$ -sequential topological space is a quotient of a metric space. Finally we give an example of a topological space which is  $I$ -sequential but not first countable.

Throughout the paper we assume  $X$  to be a topological space and  $I$  be a non-trivial admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## 2 Main Results

We first introduce the following definitions.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A set  $O \subset X$  is said to be open in  $X$  if and only if every  $a \in O$  has a neighborhood contained in  $O$ .

**DEFINITION 2.2.**  $O$  is  $I$ -sequentially open if and only if no sequence in  $X \setminus O$  has an  $I$ -limit in  $O$ . i.e. sequence can not  $I$ -converge out of a  $I$ -sequentially closed set.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** A topological space is  $I$ -sequential when any set  $O$  is open if and only if it is  $I$ -sequentially open.

We first show that the concept of these two sets are the same in case of metric spaces.

**THEOREM 2.1.** If  $X$  is a metric space, then the notion of open and  $I$ -sequentially open are equivalent.

**PROOF.** Let  $O$  be open and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $X \setminus O$ . let  $y \in O$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  which contained in  $O$ . Hence  $U$  can not contain any term of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . So  $y$  is not an  $I$ -limit of the sequence and  $O$  is  $I$ -sequentially open. Conversely, if  $O$  is not open then there is an  $y \in O$  such that any neighborhood of  $y$  intersects  $X \setminus O$ . In particular we can pick an element  $x_n \in (X \setminus O) \cap B(y, \frac{1}{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X \setminus O$  converges and hence  $I$ -converges to  $y \in O$ , so  $O$  is not  $I$ -sequentially open.

The implication from open to  $I$ -sequentially open is true in any topological space.

**THEOREM 2.2.** In any topological space  $X$ , if  $O$  is open then  $O$  is  $I$ -sequentially open.

**PROOF.** The proof is similar to the first part of the Theorem 2.1.

Now we give an example of a topological space which is not  $I$ -sequential.

**EXAMPLE 2.1.** Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{cc})$ , the countable complement topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed if and only if  $A = \mathbb{R}$  or  $A$  is countable. Suppose that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has an  $I$ -limit  $y$ . Then the neighborhood  $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \cup \{y\}$  of  $y$  must contain  $x_n$  for infinitely many  $n$ . This is only possible when  $x_n = y$  for  $n$  large enough. Consequently, a sequence in any set  $A$  can only  $I$ -converge to an element of  $A$ , so every

subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is *I*-sequentially open. But as  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable, not every subset is open. So  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{cc})$  is not *I*-sequential.

The next theorem shows that if the space is first countable then it is *I*-sequential.

**THEOREM 2.3.** Every first countable space is *I*-sequential.

**PROOF.** Let  $A \subset X$  is not open. Then there exists  $y \in A$  such that every neighborhood of  $y$  intersects  $X \setminus A$ . Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable basis at  $y$ . Now for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $x_n \in (X \setminus A) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i)$ . Then for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_n \subset V$  and hence  $x_m \in V$  for every  $m \geq n$ . Clearly  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is *I*-convergent to  $y$ . Therefore  $A$  is not *I*-sequentially open.

In the following Lemma we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a set  $A \subset X$  to be *I*-sequentially open.

**LEMMA 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $A \subset X$  is *I*-sequentially open if and only if every sequence with *I*-limit in  $A$  has all but finitely many terms in  $A$ . Where the index set of the part in  $A$  of the sequence does not belong to *I*.

**PROOF.** If  $A$  is not *I*-sequentially open, then by definition there is a sequence with terms in  $X \setminus A$  but *I*-limit in  $A$ . Conversely, suppose  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence with infinitely many terms in  $X \setminus A$  such that *I*-converges to  $y \in A$  and the index set of the part in  $A$  of the sequence does not belong to *I*. Then  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence in  $X \setminus A$  that must still converges to  $y \in A$ , so  $A$  is not sequentially open.

**THEOREM 2.4.** The following are equivalent for any topological space  $X$ .

- (i)  $X$  is *I*-sequential.
- (ii) For any topological space  $Y$  and function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f$  is continuous if and only if it preserves *I*-convergence.

**PROOF.** Suppose  $X$  is *I*-sequential. Any continuous function preserves *I*-convergence of sequences [1], so we only need to prove that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  preserves *I*-convergence, then  $f$  is continuous. Suppose to the contrary that  $f$  is not continuous. Then there is an open set  $U \subset Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is not open in  $X$ . As  $X$  is *I*-sequential,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is also not *I*-sequentially open, so there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$  that *I*-converges to an  $y \in f^{-1}(U)$ . However  $\{f(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is then a sequence in the closed set  $Y \setminus U$ , so it can not have  $f(y)$  as an *I*-limit. So  $f$  does not preserves *I*-convergence, as required. Thus assertions (ii) holds.

Suppose that the topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is not *I*-sequential. Let  $(X, \tau_{Iseq})$  be the topological space where  $A \subset X$  is open if and only if  $A$  is *I*-sequentially open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Since  $X$  is not *I*-sequential, the topology  $\tau_{Iseq}$  is strictly finer than  $\tau$ . Hence the identity map from  $\tau$  to  $\tau_{Iseq}$  is not continuous. Suppose  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is *I*-convergent to  $y$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then every open neighborhood  $A$  of  $y$  in  $(X, \tau_{Iseq})$  is *I*-sequentially open in  $(X, \tau)$ , so  $A$  contains all but finitely many terms of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Therefore  $X$  is *I*-sequential.

We now give some result to prove the fact that all  $I$ -sequential topological spaces are the quotients of some metric spaces [3, 4].

First we recall the definition of a quotient space. Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ . Consider the set of equivalence classes  $X/\sim$  and the projection mapping  $\Pi : X \rightarrow X/\sim$ . Now we consider  $X/\sim$  as a topological space by defining  $A \subset X/\sim$  to be open if and only if  $\Pi^{-1}(A)$  is open in  $X$  [10].

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** Any quotient space  $X/\sim$  of an  $I$ -sequential topological space  $X$  is  $I$ -sequential.

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $A \subset X/\sim$  is not open. By definition of quotient space  $\Pi^{-1}(A)$  is not open in  $X$ . As  $X$  is  $I$ -sequential, there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X \setminus \Pi^{-1}(A)$  that  $I$ -converges to some  $y \in \Pi^{-1}(A)$ . As  $\Pi$  is continuous it preserve convergence. Hence  $\{\Pi(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $(X/\sim) \setminus A$  with  $I$ -limit  $\Pi(y) \in A$ . Thus  $A$  is not  $I$ -sequentially open. Hence  $X/\sim$  is  $I$ -sequential.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** Every  $I$ -sequential space  $X$  is a quotient of some metric space.

**PROOF.** Let  $M$  be the set of all sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  that  $I$ -converges to their first term, i.e.  $x_n \xrightarrow{I} x_0$ . Consider the subspace  $Y = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the standard metric. Thus  $A \subset Y$  is open if and only if  $0 \notin A$  or  $A$  contains all but finitely many elements of  $Y$ . Now consider the disjoint sum

$$S = \bigoplus_{\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in M} \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \times Y.$$

$A \subset S$  is open if and only if for every  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in M$  the set  $\{y \in Y : (\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, y) \in A\}$  is open in  $Y$ . Consider the map  $f : S \rightarrow X$  by  $(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, 0) \rightarrow x_0$  and  $(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \frac{1}{i+1}) \rightarrow x_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $f$  is clearly surjective as for all  $x \in X$  the constant sequence  $I$ -converges to  $x$ , so  $x = f(\{x\}, 0)$ .

Suppose that  $A \subset X$  is open. As  $X$  is  $I$ -sequential, every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  that  $I$ -converging to some  $a \in A$  must have all but finitely many terms in  $A$  where, the index set of the part in  $A$  of the sequence does not belong to  $I$  by Definition 2.2. Hence if  $(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, 0) \in f^{-1}(A)$  we have  $f^{-1}(A)$  contains all but finitely many elements of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \times Y$ . So for each  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in M$ , the set  $\{y \in Y : (\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, y) \in f^{-1}(A)\}$  is open in  $Y$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(A)$  is open in  $S$ . Conversely, if  $A$  is not open in  $X$  then there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X \setminus A$  that  $I$ -converges to some  $a \in A$ . But then  $\{y \in Y : (\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, y) \in f^{-1}(A)\} = \{0\}$  is not open in  $Y$ , so  $f^{-1}(A)$  is not open in  $S$ .

We can now easily prove that  $I$ -sequential topological space is a strictly weaker notion than first countable topological space: There exists an  $I$ -sequential space  $X$  which is not first countable.

**EXAMPLE 2.2.** Consider  $\mathbb{R}$  with standard topology and the quotient relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the equivalence classes are  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\{x\}$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . The quotient space  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$  is  $I$ -sequential as a quotient of a metric space. Suppose that  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

is any countable collection of neighborhood of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Pi^{-1}(U_n)$  is a neighborhood of  $n$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the standard topology, so there is a  $\varepsilon_n > 0$  such that  $B(n, \varepsilon_n) \subset \Pi^{-1}(U_n)$ . Now consider  $\Pi(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B(n, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}))$ , this is a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{N}$  in  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$ , but it does not contain  $U_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not a countable basis at  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Acknowledgement:** This work is funded by University Grants Commission, Govt. of India through the D. S. Kothari Post Doctoral Fellowship. The author is grateful to the referee for his valuable suggestions which considerably improved the presentation of the paper. The author also acknowledge the kind advice of Prof. Indrajit Lahiri for the preparation of this paper.

## References

- [1] V. Balaz, J. Cervenansky, P. Kostyrko and T. Šalát, *I*-convergence and *I*-continuity of real functions, *Acta Math.(Nitra)*, 5(2002), 43–50.
- [2] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.*, 2(1951), 241–244.
- [3] S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice, *Fundamental Mathematica*, 57(1965), 107–115.
- [4] S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice ii, *Fundamental Mathematica*, 61(1967), 51–56.
- [5] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis*, 5(1985), 301–313.
- [6] J. L. Kelly, Convergence in Topology, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 17(1950), 277–283.
- [7] J. L. Kelly, *General Topology*, D. Van Nostand Company Inc., 1955.
- [8] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát and W. Wilczyński, *I*-convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26(2000/2001), 669–685.
- [9] B. K. Lahiri and Pratulananda Das, *I* and *I*\*-convergence in topological spaces, *Math. Bohemica*, 130(2005), 153–160.
- [10] E. J. McShane, Partial orderings and unitarity in topology, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 59(1952), 1–11.
- [11] J. R. Munkres, *Topology*, Prentice Hall, Second Edition 2000.
- [12] S. K. Pal, D. Chandra and S. Dutta, Rough Ideal Convergence, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 42(2013), 633–640.
- [13] T. Šalát, On Statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, *Math. Slovaca*, 30(1980), 139–150.
- [14] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 5(1959), 361–375.

- [15] H. Steinhaus, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, Colloq. Math, 2(1951), 73-74.