

## SOME ELEMENTARY PROOFS OF PUISEUX'S THEOREMS

BY KRZYSZTOF JAN NOWAK

**Abstract.** This paper presents a short elementary proof of the Newton–Puisseux theorem to the effect that the quotient field of the ring of Puiseux series with complex coefficients is algebraically closed. As a consequence, we deduce the classical Puiseux theorem on parametrization of one-dimensional analytic germs.

We begin with setting up the notation:

$\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$  denote the rings of formal and convergent power series, respectively;

$\mathbb{C}((z))$  and  $\mathbb{C}(\{z\})$  are their quotient fields;

a formal (or convergent) Puiseux series is any series of the form  $f(z^{1/r})$  with  $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ) and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

$\mathbb{C}[[z^*]]$  and  $\mathbb{C}\{z^*\}$  denote the rings of formal and convergent Puiseux series, respectively;

$\mathbb{C}((z^*))$  and  $\mathbb{C}(\{z^*\})$  are their quotient fields.

Any element  $\phi(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z^*))$  can be written as  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \cdot z^{k/r}$  with  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ; when  $a_n \neq 0$ , we say that  $\phi(z)$  is of order  $n/r$ , ord  $\phi(z) = n/r$ . The units of the rings  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[[z^*]]$  and  $\mathbb{C}\{z^*\}$  are exactly the elements of order zero.

**NEWTON–PUISEUX THEOREM.** (see e.g. [4], p. 61) *The fields  $\mathbb{C}((z^*))$  and  $\mathbb{C}(\{z^*\})$  are algebraically closed.*

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove that any monic polynomial

$$P(z, T) = T^n + a_1(z)T^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n(z)$$

of degree  $n > 1$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}((z^*))$  (or  $\mathbb{C}(\{z^*\})$ ) is reducible. Making use of the Tschirnhausen transformation of variables  $T' = T + 1/n \cdot a_1(z)$ , we

can assume that  $a_1(z) \equiv 0$ . Put  $r_k := \text{ord } a_k(z) \in \mathbb{Q}$  unless  $a_k(z) \equiv 0$ , and  $r := \min\{r_k/k\}$ ; obviously,  $r_k/k - r \geq 0$  and we have equality for at least one  $k$ . Take a positive integer  $q$  so large that all the Puiseux series  $a_k(z)$  are of the form  $f_k(z^{1/q})$  with  $f_k(z)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z^*\}$ ), and let  $r = p/q$  with  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . After the transformation of variables  $z = w^q$ ,  $T = U \cdot w^p$ , we get  $P(z, T) = w^{np} \cdot Q(w, U)$ , where

$$Q(w, U) = U^n + b_2(w)U^{n-2} + \cdots + b_n(w)$$

with  $b_k(w) = a_k(w^q)w^{-kp}$ . Since  $\text{ord } b_k(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\text{ord } b_k(w) = q \cdot r_k - p \cdot k = qk(r_k/k - r) \geq 0,$$

$Q(w, U)$  is a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ); furthermore,  $\text{ord } b_k(z) = 0$  for at least one  $k$ , and thus  $b_k(0) \neq 0$  for every such  $k$ . Therefore the complex polynomial

$$Q(0, U) = U^n + b_2(0)U^{n-2} + \cdots + b_n(0) \neq (U - c)^n$$

for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , and consequently,  $Q(0, U)$  is the product of two relatively prime complex polynomials. Hence and by Hensel's lemma (see e.g. [1], Chap. I, §5.6),  $Q(w, U)$  is the product of two polynomials  $Q_1(w, U) \cdot Q_2(w, U)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ). Then

$$P(z, T) = z^{nr} \cdot Q_1(z^{1/q}, z^{-r}T) \cdot Q_2(z^{1/q}, z^{-r}T),$$

and the theorem follows. □

In the sequel,  $\epsilon_n$  shall denote an  $n$ -th primitive root of unity.

LEMMA. *If  $f(z)$  is an element of  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ) and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

$$Q(z, T) := (T - f(z)) \cdot (T - f(\epsilon_r z)) \cdots (T - f(\epsilon_r^{r-1} z))$$

*is a monic polynomial in  $T$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[[z^r]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z^r\}$ ).*

PROOF. For a proof, consider the elementary symmetric polynomials  $s_j(U_1, \dots, U_r)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) in variables  $U_1, \dots, U_r$ ; let  $S_j : \mathbb{C}[[z]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  be defined by

$$S_j(f(z)) := s_j(f(z), f(\epsilon_r z), \dots, f(\epsilon_r^{r-1} z)).$$

It is to be shown that  $S_j(f(z)) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^r]]$  for all  $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ . Since the mappings  $S_j$  are continuous in the maximal-adic topology of  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ , it is sufficient to prove the above assertion only for polynomials  $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ . But this follows from the fact that

$$\sigma_i : \mathbb{C}(z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(z), \quad \sigma_i(z) = \epsilon_r^i \cdot z \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1)$$

form the Galois group  $G$  of the field  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  over  $\mathbb{C}(z^r)$ . Indeed, if  $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ , then  $S_j(f(z))$  is, of course, an invariant of  $G$  whence

$$S_j(f(z)) \in \mathbb{C}(z^r) \cap \mathbb{C}[z] = \mathbb{C}[z^r],$$

as desired.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION.** *The rings  $\mathbb{C}[[z^*]]$  and  $\mathbb{C}\{z^*\}$  are integral over the rings  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ , respectively. If a Puiseux series  $\phi(z)$  from  $\mathbb{C}[[z^*]]$  (or from  $\mathbb{C}\{z^*\}$ ) is a root of an irreducible monic polynomial  $P(z, T)$  of degree  $n$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ), then  $\phi(z)$  is of the form  $g(z^{1/n})$  where  $g(z)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ). Moreover, the elements conjugate to  $\phi(z)$  are exactly  $g(\epsilon_n^i z^{1/n})$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .*

**PROOF.** The Puiseux series  $\phi(z)$  is of the form  $f(z^{1/r})$  where  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ). It follows immediately from the above lemma that

$$Q(z, T) := \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (T - f(\epsilon_r^i z^{1/r}))$$

is a monic polynomial in  $T$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ). Therefore the polynomial  $Q(z, T)$  is divisible by  $P(z, T)$  whence every root of  $P(z, T)$  is of the form  $f(\epsilon_r^i z^{1/r})$ .

Conversely, each Puiseux series  $f(\epsilon_r^i z^{1/r})$  is a root of  $P(z, T)$ . Indeed,  $f(z) = f((z^r)^{1/r})$  is a root of the polynomial  $P(z^r, T)$ , and thus  $f(\epsilon_r^i z)$  is a root of  $P((\epsilon_r^i z)^r, T) = P(z^r, T)$ . Hence  $f(\epsilon_r^i z^{1/r})$  is a root of  $P(z, T)$ , as asserted.

Summing up, the set  $X$  of Puiseux series

$$f(\epsilon_r^i z^{1/r}) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1)$$

consists of precisely  $n$  roots of the polynomial  $P(z, T)$ . Consider now an action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  on the set  $X$  defined by the formula

$$(j \bmod r, f(\epsilon_r^i z^{1/r})) \longmapsto f(\epsilon_r^{i+j} z^{1/r}).$$

As the set  $X$  is the orbit of the element  $f(z^{1/r})$ , the stabilizer of  $f(z^{1/r})$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  of index  $n$ , and thus it is the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_s \subset \mathbb{Z}_r$  where  $r = n \cdot s$ . This yields

$$f(\epsilon_s^i z^{1/r}) = f(z^{1/r}) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1).$$

Hence and by the lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} s \cdot f(z^{1/s}) &= f((z^n)^{1/r}) + f(\epsilon_s(z^n)^{1/r}) + \dots + f(\epsilon_s^{s-1}(z^n)^{1/r}) = \\ &= f(z^{1/s}) + f(\epsilon_s z^{1/s}) + \dots + f(\epsilon_s^{s-1} z^{1/s}) \end{aligned}$$

belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ). Therefore,  $f(z^{1/s}) = g(z)$  with  $g(z)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$ ). Consequently,

$$\phi(z) = f(z^{1/r}) = f((z^{1/n})^{1/s}) = g(z^{1/n}),$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We conclude this paper with a corollary concerning parametrization of a one-dimensional analytic germ (cf. [3] or [2], Chap. II, §6).

**PUISEUX THEOREM.** *If  $P(z, T) \in \mathbb{C}\{z\}[T]$  is an irreducible monic polynomial in  $T$  of degree  $n$ , then there exists a convergent power series  $g(z) \in \mathbb{C}\{z\}$  such that*

$$P(z^n, T) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (T - g(\epsilon_n^i z)).$$

**PROOF.** Indeed, according to the Newton–Puiseux theorem, the polynomial  $P(z, T)$  has a root  $\phi(z)$  in  $\mathbb{C}\{z^*\}$ ;  $\phi(z)$  is, of course, a convergent Puiseux series. Now it follows from the proposition that  $\phi(z) = g(z^{1/n})$  for some  $g(z) \in \mathbb{C}\{z\}$ , and that

$$P(z, T) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (T - g(\epsilon_n^i z^{1/n})).$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**REMARK.** The above assertion can be interpreted geometrically as follows. If an irreducible analytic germ  $V$  at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$  is determined by the polynomial  $P(z, T) \in \mathbb{C}\{z\}[T]$ , then

$$(\mathbb{C}, 0) \ni z \mapsto (z^n, g(z)) \in (V, 0)$$

is a parametrization of  $V$  near zero.

## References

1. Grauert H., Remmert R., *Analytische Stellenalgebren*, Springer, 1971.
2. Lojasiewicz S., *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.
3. Nowak K.J., *A simple proof of Puiseux's theorem*, Univ. Jagel. Acta Math. **32** (1995), 199–200.
4. Ruiz J.M., *The basic theory of power series*, Advanced Lect. in Math., Vieweg, 1993.

*Received April 29, 1999*

Instytut Matematyki  
Uniwersytet Jagielloński  
Reymonta 4  
PL-30-059 Kraków, Poland  
e-mail: nowak@im.uj.edu.pl