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Abstract. We show that evolutionary Hirota type Euler–Lagrange equations in (2 + 1) dimensions have a symplectic Monge–Ampère form. We consider integrable equations of this type in the sense that they admit infinitely many hydrodynamic reductions and determine Lax pairs for them. For two seven-parameter families of integrable equations converted to two-component form we have constructed Lagrangians, recursion operators and bi-Hamiltonian representations. We have also presented a six-parameter family of tri-Hamiltonian systems.
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1 Introduction

We study recursion operators, Lax pairs and bi-Hamiltonian representations for (2 + 1)-dimensional equations of the evolutionary Hirota type

\[ u_{tt} = f(u_{t1}, u_{t2}, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{22}). \] (1.1)

Here \( u = u(t, z_1, z_2) \) and the subscripts denote partial derivatives of \( u \), namely, \( u_{ij} = \partial^2 u / \partial z_i \partial z_j \), \( u_{ti} = \partial^2 u / \partial t \partial z_i \). Equations of this type arise in a wide range of applications including non-linear physics, general relativity, differential geometry and integrable systems. Some examples are the Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya (dKP) equation in non-linear acoustics and the theory of Einstein–Weyl structures and the Boyer–Finley equation in the theory of self-dual gravity.

A lot of work has been done by E. Ferapontov et al. for studying integrability of equation (1.1) which is understood as the existence of infinitely many hydrodynamic reductions (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and references therein). Ferapontov et al. in [3] derived integrability condition which is equivalent to the property of equation (1.1) to be linearizable by contact transformations. This does not mean that there is a straightforward way to obtain bi-Hamiltonian structures of the nonlinear equations (1.1) by a direct transfer of such structures from the linear equation, because an arbitrary transformation in the space of second partial derivatives of the unknown will not preserve the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the equation.

Our goal here is to study bi-Hamiltonian structures of the integrable equations of the form (1.1) together with Lax pairs and recursion operators. We utilize the method which we used earlier [13, 17, 18] for constructing a degenerate Lagrangian for two-component evolutionary form of the equation and using Dirac’s theory of constraints [1] in order to obtain Hamiltonian form of the system.
Our approach here starts with description of all equations (1.1) which have the Euler–Lagrange form [14]. We do not consider here the equations of the form (1.1) which become Lagrangian only after multiplication by an integrating factor of variational calculus, postponing the appropriate generalization for a further publication. We find that the Lagrangian evolutionary Hirota-type equations have a symplectic Monge–Ampère form and we determine their Lagrangians. Then we study recursion relations for symmetries and Lax pairs for the Lagrangian equations. Here our starting point is to convert the symmetry condition into a “skew-factorized” form. This approach extends the method of A. Sergyeyev [16] for constructing recursion operators. According to [16] one constructs the recursion operator from a certain Lax pair which, in turn, is typically built from the original Lax pair for the equation under study. On the other hand, below we construct such a special Lax pair using the skew-factorized form of the linearized equation (symmetry condition) rather than a previously known Lax pair, and then apply the construction from [16] to obtain the recursion operator.

The next step is to transform Lagrangian equations converted into a two-component form to a Hamiltonian system. Finally, composing a recursion operator with a Hamiltonian operator we obtain the second Hamiltonian operator and also find the corresponding Hamiltonian density. Thus, we end up with a bi-Hamiltonian representation of an integrable equation (1.1) in a two-component form. In this way, we obtain two seven-parameter families of bi-Hamiltonian systems and a six-parameter family of tri-Hamiltonian systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show that all equations (1.1) of the Euler–Lagrange form have the symplectic Monge–Ampère form and we derive a Lagrangian for such equations. In Section 3, we analyze the symmetry condition for the Lagrangian equations. In Section 4, using an integrability condition, we convert the symmetry condition into a “skew-factorized” form and immediately extract Lax pair and recursion relations for symmetries from the symmetry condition in this form. In Section 5, we convert our equation in a two-component form and derive a degenerate Lagrangian for this system. In Section 6, we transform the Lagrangian system into Hamiltonian system using the Dirac’s theory of constraints. We obtain the Hamiltonian operator and corresponding Hamiltonian density. In Section 7, we derive a recursion operator in a 2 × 2 matrix form using recursion relations for the two-component form of the equation. In Section 8, by composing the recursion operator with the Hamiltonian operator we obtain the second Hamiltonian operator and the corresponding Hamiltonian density with one additional “Hamiltonian” constraint for coefficients. Thus, we obtain a seven-parameter family of bi-Hamiltonian systems because of the two constraints on nine coefficients: integrability condition and Hamiltonian condition.

We consider also an alternative skew-factorized representation of the symmetry condition which implies different Lax pair, another recursion operator and a different seven-parameter family of bi-Hamiltonian systems under a different additional constraint on the coefficients. If, in addition, we require that both additional constraints coincide and are compatible with the integrability condition, we obtain a six-parameter family of tri-Hamiltonian systems.

In Sections 4, 7, and 8, we treat separately each of the two generic cases of equation (2.2) when none of the coefficients vanishes, particular cases when either or which are obtained as a specialization of one of the generic cases, and the special case which cannot be obtained from the generic cases but should be treated independently.

2 Lagrangian equations of evolutionary Hirota type

We start with equation (1.1) in the form

\[ F = -u_{tt} + f(u_{t1}, u_{t2}, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{22}) = 0. \]  

(2.1)
The Fréchet derivative operator (linearization) of equation (2.1) reads

\[ D_F = -D_t^2 + f_{u_{11}} D_t D_1 + f_{u_{12}} D_t D_2 + f_{u_{11}} D_1^2 + f_{u_{12}} D_1 D_2 + f_{u_{22}} D_2^2, \]

where \( D_t \equiv D_{2t}, \ D_1 \) denote operators of total derivatives. The adjoint Fréchet derivative operator has the form

\[ D_F^* = -D_t^2 + D_t D_1 f_{u_{11}} + D_t D_2 f_{u_{12}} + D_1^2 f_{u_{11}} + D_1 D_2 f_{u_{12}} + D_2^2 f_{u_{22}}. \]

According to Helmholtz conditions [14], equation (2.1) is an Euler-Lagrange equation for a variational problem iff its Fréchet derivative is self-adjoint, \( D_F^* = D_F \), or explicitly, equating to zero coefficients of \( D_t, \ D_1, \ D_2 \) and the term without operators of total derivatives, we obtain four equations on \( f \)

\[
D_1[f_{u_{11}}] + D_2[f_{u_{22}}] = 0, \\
D_t[f_{u_{11}}] + 2D_1[f_{u_{11}}] + D_2[f_{u_{12}}] = 0, \\
D_t[f_{u_{12}}] + 2D_2[f_{u_{22}}] + D_1[f_{u_{12}}] = 0, \\
D_t D_1[f_{u_{11}}] + D_t D_2[f_{u_{12}}] + D_1^2[f_{u_{11}}] + D_1 D_2[f_{u_{12}}] + D_2^2[f_{u_{22}}] = 0. 
\]

The general solution of these equations for \( f \) implies the Lagrangian evolutionary Hirota equation (1.1) to have symplectic Monge–Ampère form

\[
u_{tt} = c_1(u_{11} u_{12} - u_{22} u_{11}) + c_2(u_{11} u_{22} - u_{2t} u_{12}) + c_3(u_{11} u_{22} - u_{12}^2) + c_4 u_{1t} + c_5 u_{2t} + c_6 u_{11} + c_7 u_{12} + c_8 u_{22} + c_9. 
\]

A Lagrangian for the equation (2.2) is readily obtained by applying the homotopy formula [14] for \( F = -u_{tt} + f \)

\[ L[u] = \int_0^1 u \cdot F[\lambda u] d\lambda \]

with the result

\[
L = -\frac{1}{2} uu_{tt} + \frac{u}{3} [c_1(u_{11} u_{12} - u_{22} u_{11}) + c_2(u_{11} u_{22} - u_{2t} u_{12}) + c_3(u_{11} u_{22} - u_{12}^2)] \\
+ \frac{u}{2} (c_4 u_{1t} + c_5 u_{2t} + c_6 u_{11} + c_7 u_{12} + c_8 u_{22}) + c_9 u. 
\]

### 3 Symmetry condition

In the following it will be useful to introduce operator of derivative in the direction of the vector \( \vec{c} = (c_1, c_2) \): \( \nabla_c = \vec{c} \cdot \nabla = c_1 D_1 + c_2 D_2 \), so that equation (2.2) may be written as

\[
u_{tt} = u_{1t} \nabla_c(u_2) - u_{2t} \nabla_c(u_1) + c_3(u_{11} u_{22} - u_{12}^2) \\
+ c_4 u_{1t} + c_5 u_{2t} + c_6 u_{11} + c_7 u_{12} + c_8 u_{22} + c_9. 
\]

Symmetry condition is the differential compatibility condition of (2.2) and the Lie equation \( u_\tau = \varphi \), where \( \varphi \) is the symmetry characteristic and \( \tau \) is the group parameter. It has the form of Fréchet derivative (linearization) of equation (3.1)

\[
\varphi_{tt} = \nabla_c(u_2) \varphi_{1t} + u_{1t} \nabla_c(\varphi_2) - \nabla_c(u_1) \varphi_{2t} - u_{2t} \nabla_c(\varphi_1) \\
+ c_3(u_{22} \varphi_{11} + u_{11} \varphi_{22} - 2u_{12} \varphi_{12}) + c_4 \varphi_{1t} + c_5 \varphi_{2t} + c_6 \varphi_{11} + c_7 \varphi_{12} + c_8 \varphi_{22}. 
\]
It is convenient to introduce the following differential operators

\[ L_{12(1)} = u_{12}D_1 - u_{11}D_2, \quad L_{12(2)} = u_{22}D_1 - u_{12}D_2, \]

\[ L_{12(t)} = u_{2t}D_1 - u_{1t}D_2 = v_2D_1 - v_1D_2, \quad v = u_t, \]

so that the symmetry condition (3.2) becomes

\[
\{ c_1(D_tL_{12(1)} - D_1L_{12(t)}) + c_2(D_tL_{12(2)} - D_2L_{12(t)}) + c_3(D_1L_{12(2)} - D_2L_{12(1)}) - D_t^2 \\
+ c_4D_1D_t + c_5D_2D_t + c_6D_t^2 + c_7D_1D_2 + c_8D_t^2 \} \varphi = 0.
\]  

(3.3)

4 Recursion relations and Lax pairs

E. Ferapontov et al. in [3] have derived an integrability condition for the symplectic Monge–Ampère equation of the following general form (equation (21) in [3])

\[
\epsilon \det \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ u_{12} & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ u_{13} & u_{23} & u_{33} \end{bmatrix} + h_1(u_{22}u_{33} - u_{23}^2) + h_2(u_{11}u_{33} - u_{13}^2) + h_3(u_{11}u_{22} - u_{12}^2) \\
+ g_1(u_{11}u_{23} - u_{12}u_{13}) + g_2(u_{22}u_{13} - u_{12}u_{23}) + g_3(u_{33}u_{12} - u_{13}u_{23}) \\
+ s_1u_{11} + s_2u_{22} + s_3u_{33} + \tau_1u_{23} + \tau_2u_{13} + \tau_3u_{12} + \nu = 0
\]  

(4.1)

with constant coefficients. Here integrability means that the equation (4.1) admits infinitely many hydrodynamic reductions [5]. For our evolutionary equation (2.2) the coefficients in (4.1) are

\[
h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = g_3 = 0, \quad g_1 = -c_1, \quad g_2 = c_2, \quad s_1 = c_6, \quad s_2 = c_8, \quad s_3 = -1, \quad \tau_1 = c_5, \quad \tau_2 = c_4, \quad \tau_3 = c_7, \quad \nu = c_9.
\]  

(4.2)

The integrability condition given in [3, formula (22)] for the equation (4.1) has the form

\[
\begin{align*}
&h_1^2 s_1^2 + h_2^2 s_2^2 + h_3^2 s_3^2 + g_1^2 s_2 s_3 + g_2^2 s_1 s_3 + g_3^2 s_1 s_2 - 2(h_3 h_2 s_1 s_2 + h_1 h_3 s_1 s_3 + h_2 h_3 s_2 s_3) \\
&+ 4s_1 s_2 s_3 + 4\nu h_1 h_2 h_3 + \epsilon \nu \tau_1 \tau_2 - \nu h_1 g_2 g_3 - \epsilon^2 \nu^2 - \nu (g_1^2 h_1 + g_2^2 h_2 + g_3^2 h_3) \\
&- (g_1 \tau_1 + g_2 \tau_2 + g_3 \tau_3 + 2\epsilon \nu)(h_1 s_1 + h_2 s_2 + h_3 s_3 - \epsilon \nu) \\
&+ 2(g_1 h_1 s_1 \tau_1 + g_2 h_2 s_2 \tau_2 + g_3 h_3 s_3 \tau_3) + \tau_1^2 h_2 h_3 + \tau_2^2 h_1 h_3 + \tau_3^2 h_1 h_2 \\
&- \epsilon(\tau_1^2 s_1 + \tau_2^2 s_2 + \tau_3^2 s_3) + s_1 \tau_1 g_2 g_3 + s_2 \tau_2 g_1 g_3 + s_3 \tau_3 g_1 g_2 \\
&- (g_1 h_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 + g_2 h_2 \tau_1 \tau_3 + g_3 h_3 \tau_1 \tau_2) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.3)

For the equation (2.2) due to identifications (4.2), the integrability condition (4.3) becomes

\[
c_2(c_1 c_7 - c_2 c_6 + c_3 c_4) = c_1(c_1 c_8 + c_3 c_5) - c_3^2.
\]  

(4.4)

We show explicitly that any equation of the form (2.2) satisfying (4.4) is also integrable in the traditional sense by constructing Lax pair for such an equation.

4.1 Generic case

In the integrability condition (4.4) we assume

\[
c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot c_3 \neq 0.
\]
The following procedure extends A. Sergyeyev’s method for constructing recursion operators [16]. Namely, unlike [16], we start with the skew-factorized form of the symmetry condition and extract from there a Lax pair for symmetries instead of building it from a previously known Lax pair. After that we construct a recursion operator from this newly found Lax pair using Proposition 1 from [16].

The linear operator of the symmetry condition (3.3) for integrable equations of the form (2.2) can be presented in the “skew-factorized” form

\[(A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1)\phi = 0. \tag{4.5}\]

If we introduce two-dimensional vector operators \(\vec{R} = (A_1, A_2)\) and \(\vec{S} = (B_1, B_2)\), then the skew-factorized form (4.5) becomes the cross (vector) product \((\vec{R} \times \vec{S})\phi = 0\). Here differential operators \(A_i\) and \(B_i\) are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= c_1 D_t - c_3 D_2, & A_2 &= -(c_2 D_t + c_3 D_1), \\
B_1 &= c_1 (c_3 L_{12(2)} - c_1 L_{12(t)}) + c_1 c_6 D_1 + (c_1 c_7 - c_2 c_6 + c_3 c_4) D_2, \\
B_2 &= c_1 (c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(t)}) + (c_3 c_4 - c_2 c_6) D_1 - c_1 c_3 D_2 - c_3 D_t. \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
\]

These operators satisfy the commutator relations

\[
[A_1, A_2] = 0, \quad [A_1, B_2] - [A_2, B_1] = 0, \quad [B_1, B_2] = 0, \tag{4.7}
\]

where the last equation is satisfied on solutions of the equation (2.2).

It immediately follows that the following two operators also commute on solutions

\[
X_1 = \lambda A_1 + B_1, \quad X_2 = \lambda A_2 + B_2, \quad [X_1, X_2] = 0, \tag{4.8}
\]

and therefore constitute Lax representation for equation (2.2) with \(\lambda\) being a spectral parameter.

Symmetry condition in the form (4.5) not only provides the Lax pair for equation (2.2) but also leads directly to recursion relations for symmetries

\[
A_1 \tilde{\phi} = B_1 \phi, \quad A_2 \tilde{\phi} = B_2 \phi, \tag{4.9}
\]

where \(\tilde{\phi}\) is a potential for \(\phi\). This follows from a special case of Proposition 1 of [16] which we recapitulate here together with its proof for the readers’ convenience. Indeed, equations (4.9) together with (4.7) imply \((A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1)\phi = [A_1, A_2]\tilde{\phi} = 0\), so \(\phi\) is a symmetry characteristic. Moreover, due to (4.9)

\[
(A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1)\tilde{\phi} = ([A_1, B_2] - [A_2, B_1] + B_2 A_1 - B_1 A_2)\tilde{\phi} = [B_2, B_1]\phi = 0,
\]

which shows that \(\tilde{\phi}\) satisfies the symmetry condition (4.5) and hence is also a symmetry. Thus, \(\phi\) is a symmetry whenever so is \(\tilde{\phi}\) and vice versa. The equations (4.9) define an auto-Bäcklund transformation between the symmetry conditions written for \(\phi\) and \(\tilde{\phi}\). Hence, the auto-Bäcklund transformation for the symmetry condition is nothing else than a recursion operator. Finally, we must remark that this approach to recursion operators originated from the much older work published in 90th [8, 12, 15].

The skew-factorized form of the symmetry condition is by no means unique. We can derive another version by using the discrete symmetry transformation

\[
\begin{align*}
c_1 &\leftrightarrow -c_2, & c_4 &\leftrightarrow c_5, & c_6 &\leftrightarrow c_8, & D_1 &\leftrightarrow -D_2, & D_t &\leftrightarrow -D_t, & v &\leftrightarrow -v, \\
L_{12(1)} &\leftrightarrow L_{12(2)}. \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
\]
while the operator $L_{12(t)}$ is not changed. Applying (4.10) to operators (4.6) we obtain a new set of operators

$$A_1 = c_2 D_t + c_3 D_1, \quad A_2 = c_3 D_2 - c_1 D_t,$$

$$B_1 = -c_2 \left(c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(t)} \right) + (c_2 c_7 - c_1 c_8 - c_3 c_5) D_1 + c_2 c_8 D_2,$$

$$B_2 = c_2 \left(c_1 L_{12(t)} - c_3 L_{12(2)} \right) - c_2 c_6 D_1 - (c_1 c_8 + c_3 c_5) D_2 + c_3 D_t,$$

(4.11)

which also satisfy the skew-factorized form (4.5) of the symmetry condition (3.3) and the same commutator relations (4.7). Using these operators in (4.8) and (4.9) we obtain the second Lax pair and another set of recursion relations for symmetries, respectively.

It may be interesting to note algebraic relations between the two recursion operators, namely, determined by the set (4.6), marked below with the superscript (1), and by the set (4.11), marked with the superscript (2)

$$A_1^{(2)} = -A_2^{(1)}, \quad A_2^{(2)} = -A_1^{(1)},$$

$$c_2 B_1^{(1)} + c_1 B_2^{(2)} = c_3 A_1^{(1)}, \quad c_2 B_2^{(1)} + c_1 B_1^{(2)} = c_3 A_2^{(1)}.$$

4.2 Particular cases

We now consider particular cases $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$ and $c_2 = 0$, $c_1 \neq 0$.

In the first case integrability condition (4.4) reads

$$c_1 = 0, \quad c_2 \neq 0 \implies c_2 (c_2 c_6 - c_3 c_4) = c_3^2.$$

(4.12)

If we set $c_1 = 0$ in our first set of operators (4.6) from the generic case, these operators become linearly dependent and the skew-factorized representation (4.5) does not reproduce the symmetry condition (3.2). Therefore, we have to put $c_1 = 0$ in the second set of operators (4.11) with the result

$$A_1 = c_2 D_t + c_3 D_1, \quad A_2 = c_3 D_2,$$

$$B_1 = -c_2 \left(c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(t)} \right) + (c_2 c_7 - c_3 c_5) D_1 + c_2 c_8 D_2,$$

$$B_2 = c_3 D_t - c_2 c_3 L_{12(2)} - c_2 c_6 D_1 - c_3 c_5 D_2.$$

(4.13)

Operators (4.13) satisfy skew-factorized form (4.5) of the symmetry condition (3.3) and the commutator relations (4.7). Therefore, as is shown above, equations (4.9) yield the recursion relations for symmetries

$$(c_2 D_t + c_3 D_1) \hat{\varphi} = \{-c_2 \left(c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(t)} \right) + (c_2 c_7 - c_3 c_5) D_1 + c_2 c_8 D_2 \} \varphi,$$

$$c_3 D_2 \hat{\varphi} = \left(c_3 D_t - c_2 c_3 L_{12(2)} - c_2 c_6 D_1 - c_3 c_5 D_2 \right) \varphi,$$

and the operators

$$X_1 = \lambda (c_2 D_t + c_3 D_1 - c_2 \left(c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(t)} \right) + (c_2 c_7 - c_3 c_5) D_1 + c_2 c_8 D_2,$$

$$X_2 = \lambda c_3 D_2 + c_3 D_t - c_2 c_3 L_{12(2)} + c_2 c_6 D_1 + c_3 c_5 D_2$$

commute on solutions and so constitute Lax pair for the equation (2.2) at $c_1 = 0$.

In the second case $c_2 = 0$, integrability condition (4.4) has the form

$$c_2 = 0, \quad c_1 \neq 0 \implies c_1 (c_1 c_8 + c_3 c_5) = c_3^2.$$

(4.14)

We set $c_2 = 0$ in our first set of operators (4.6) in the generic case to obtain

$$A_1 = c_1 D_t - c_3 D_2, \quad A_2 = -c_3 D_1,$$
\[ B_1 = c_1 (c_3 L_{12(2)} - c_1 L_{12(t)}) + c_1 c_6 D_1 + (c_1 c_7 + c_3 c_4) D_2, \]
\[ B_2 = c_1 c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_3 c_4 D_1 - c_1 c_8 D_2 - c_3 D_t. \]  
(4.15)

Operators (4.15) also satisfy skew-factorized form (4.5) of the symmetry condition (3.3) and the commutator relations (4.7). Therefore, as is shown above, using these operators in (4.8) and (4.9) we obtain the Lax pair and recursion relations for symmetries in this case.

We could not use the second family of operators (4.11) at \( c_2 = 0 \) because skew-factorized form (4.5) would give identical zero instead of reproducing the symmetry condition.

We note again that the skew-factorized form of the invariance condition (4.5) is still not unique. One could obtain such a form at \( c_2 = 0 \) with a different choice of the operators \( A_i \) and \( B_i \)

\[ A_1 = c_1 D_1, \quad A_2 = c_3 D_2 - c_1 D_t, \]
\[ B_1 = c_1^2 L_{12(1)} + (c_1 c_5 - c_3) D_2 - c_1 D_t, \]
\[ B_2 = c_1 \{ c_3 L_{12(2)} - c_1 L_{12(t)} + c_4 D_1 + c_6 D_1 + c_7 D_2 \}. \]  
(4.16)

Recursion relations and the Lax pair are still valid with the new definitions (4.16). Since the latter choice leads to more complicated recursion operator and second Hamiltonian operator, we stick to our previous definitions (4.15).

Finally, the case \( c_1 = 0 \) together with \( c_2 = 0 \) implies \( c_3 = 0 \) from the integrability condition (4.4) and hence corresponds to linear equation (2.2).

### 4.3 Special case \( c_3 = 0 \)

In the integrability condition (4.4) we assume

\[ c_1 \cdot c_2 \neq 0, \quad c_3 = 0 \implies c_1 c_2 c_7 = c_1^2 c_8 + c_2^2 c_6. \]

Then the linear operator of the symmetry condition (3.3) can be presented in the skew-factorized form (4.5) with the following operators \( A_i \) and \( B_i \)

\[ A_1 = c_1 D_t, \quad A_2 = c_1 D_1 + c_2 D_2 = \nabla_c, \]
\[ B_1 = c_1 c_2 L_{12(t)} - c_2 c_6 D_1 - c_1 c_8 D_2, \]
\[ B_2 = c_2 \{ c_1 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)} + c_4 D_1 + c_5 D_2 - D_t \}. \]  
(4.17)

Operators (4.17) satisfy the commutator relations (4.7) and hence, as is shown above, the equations (4.9) produce the recursion relations for symmetries

\[ c_1 D_t \tilde{\varphi} = \{ c_1 c_2 L_{12(t)} - c_2 c_6 D_1 - c_1 c_8 D_2 \} \varphi, \]
\[ \nabla_c (\tilde{\varphi}) = c_2 \{ c_1 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)} + c_4 D_1 + c_5 D_2 - D_t \} \varphi, \]  
(4.18)

and the operators

\[ X_1 = \lambda c_1 D_t + c_1 c_2 L_{12(t)} - c_2 c_6 D_1 - c_1 c_8 D_2, \]
\[ X_2 = \lambda \nabla c + c_2 \{ c_1 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)} + c_4 D_1 + c_5 D_2 - D_t \}. \]

commute on solutions and so constitute Lax representation for the equation (2.2) at \( c_3 = 0 \).

We note that if we considered this case as a particular case at \( c_3 = 0 \) of the operators (4.6) or (4.11) of the generic case, then operators \( A_i, B_i \) would be linearly dependent and the skew-factorized form (4.5) would not yield the symmetry condition (3.3).
5 Two-component form

Introducing the additional dependent variable \( v = u_t \), we convert equation (3.1) into the evolutionary system

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_t &= v, \\
    v_t &= v_1 \nabla_c(u_2) - v_2 \nabla_c(u_1) + c_3(u_{11}u_{22} - u_{12}^2) \\
    &\quad + c_4v_1 + c_5v_2 + c_6u_{11} + c_7u_{12} + c_8u_{22} + c_9.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1)

Lie equations become \( u_\tau = \varphi, v_\tau = \psi \), so that \( u_t = v \) implies the first invariance condition \( \varphi_t = \psi \).

The second invariance condition is obtained by differentiating the second equation in (5.1) with respect to the group parameter \( \tau \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    \psi_t &= \nabla_c(u_2)\psi_1 + v_1 \nabla_c(\varphi_2) - \nabla_c(u_1)\psi_2 - v_2 \nabla_c(\varphi_1) \\
    &\quad + c_3(u_{22}\varphi_{11} + u_{11}\varphi_{22} - 2u_{12}\varphi_{12}) + c_4\psi_1 + c_5\psi_2 + c_6\varphi_{11} + c_7\varphi_{12} + c_8\varphi_{22}.
\end{align*}
\]

The Lagrangian for system (5.1) is obtained by a suitable modification of the Lagrangian (2.3) of the one-component equation (2.2), skipping some total derivative terms

\[
L = u_t v - \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{u_t}{3}\{c_1(u_{22}u_{11} - u_{11}u_{12}) + c_2(u_{22}u_{12} - u_{11}u_{22})\} \\
- \frac{u_t}{2}(c_4u_1 + c_5u_2) + \frac{c_3}{3}u(u_{11}u_{22} - u_{12}^2) + \frac{u}{2}(c_6u_{11} + c_7u_{12} + c_8u_{22}) + c_9u. 
\]

(5.2)

6 Hamiltonian representation

To transform from Lagrangian to Hamiltonian description, we define canonical momenta

\[
\begin{align*}
    \pi_u &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_t} = v + \frac{1}{3}\{c_1(u_{22}u_{11} - u_{11}u_{12}) + c_2(u_{22}u_{12} - u_{11}u_{22})\} - \frac{1}{2}(c_4u_1 + c_5u_2), \\
    \pi_v &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_t} = 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]

(6.1)

which satisfy canonical Poisson brackets

\[
[\pi_i(z), u^k(z')] = \delta_i^k\delta(z - z'),
\]

where \( u^1 = u, u^2 = v, z = (z_1, z_2) \), the only nonzero Poisson bracket being \([\pi_u, u] = \delta(z_1 - z_1')\delta(z_2 - z_2')\). The Lagrangian (5.2) is degenerate because the momenta cannot be inverted for the velocities. Therefore, following the theory of Dirac’s constraints [1], we impose (6.1) as constraints

\[
\Phi_u = \pi_u - v - \frac{1}{3}\{c_1(u_{22}u_{11} - u_{11}u_{12}) + c_2(u_{22}u_{12} - u_{11}u_{22})\} + \frac{1}{2}(c_4u_1 + c_5u_2), \\
\Phi_v = \pi_v,
\]

and calculate Poisson bracket for the constraints

\[
\begin{align*}
    K_{11} &= [\Phi_u(z_1, z_2), \Phi_u'(z_1', z_2')], \\
    K_{12} &= [\Phi_u(z_1, z_2), \Phi_v'(z_1', z_2')], \\
    K_{21} &= [\Phi_v(z_1, z_2), \Phi_u'(z_1', z_2')], \\
    K_{22} &= [\Phi_v(z_1, z_2), \Phi_v'(z_1', z_2')].
\end{align*}
\]
We obtain the following matrix of Poisson brackets, which for convenience we multiply by the overall factor \((-1)\)

\[
K = \begin{pmatrix} -K_{11} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(6.2)

where

\[
K_{11} = c_1(u_{11}D_2 - u_{12}D_1) + c_2(u_{12}D_2 - u_{22}D_1) - c_4D_1 - c_5D_2.
\]

The Hamiltonian operator is the inverse to the symplectic operator \(J_0 = K^{-1}\)

\[
J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -K_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -c_1L_{12(1)} + c_2L_{12(2)} + c_4D_1 + c_5D_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(6.3)

Operator \(J_0\) is Hamiltonian if and only if its inverse \(K\) is symplectic [7], which means that the volume integral \(\Omega = \int\int\int \omega dV\) of \(\omega = (1/2)du^i \wedge K_{ij}du^j\) should be a symplectic form, i.e., at appropriate boundary conditions \(d\omega = 0\) modulo total divergence. Another way of formulation is to say that the vertical differential of \(\omega\) should vanish [9]. In \(\omega\) summations over \(i, j\) run from 1 to 2 and \(u^1 = u, u^2 = v\). Using (6.2), we obtain

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{2}[c_1(u_{12}du \wedge du_1 - u_{11}du \wedge du_2) + c_2(u_{22}du \wedge du_1 - u_{12}du \wedge du_2) - c_4du \wedge du_1 - c_5du \wedge du_2 - 2du \wedge dv].
\]

(6.4)

Taking exterior derivative of (6.4) and skipping total divergence terms, we have checked that \(d\omega = 0\) which proves that operator \(K\) is symplectic and hence \(J_0\) defined in (6.3) is indeed a Hamiltonian operator.

The first Hamiltonian form of this system is

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = J_0 \begin{pmatrix} \delta_uH_1 \\ \delta_vH_1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where we still need to determine the corresponding Hamiltonian density \(H_1\). We convert \(L\) from (5.2) to the form

\[
L = u_\pi u - \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{c_3}{3}u(u_{11}u_{22} - u_{12}^2) + \frac{u}{2}(c_6u_{11} + c_7u_{12} + c_8u_{22}) + c_9u,
\]

and apply the formula \(H_1 = \pi_\pi u_t + \pi_v v_t - L\), where \(\pi_v = 0\), with the final result

\[
H_1 = \frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{c_3}{3}u(u_{11}u_{22} - u_{12}^2) - \frac{1}{2}u(c_6u_{11} + c_7u_{12} + c_8u_{22}) - c_9u.
\]

7 Recursion operators in \(2 \times 2\) matrix form

7.1 Generic case: \(c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot c_3 \neq 0\)

We define two-component symmetry characteristic \((\varphi, \psi)^T\) (where \(^T\) means transposed matrix) with \(\psi = \varphi_t\) and \((\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})^T\) with \(\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\varphi}_t\) for the original and transformed symmetries, respectively. The recursion relations (4.9) with the use of (4.6) for the operators \(A_i, B_i\) take the form

\[
c_1\tilde{\psi} - c_3D_2\tilde{\varphi} = B_1\varphi \equiv \{c_1(c_3L_{12(2)} - c_1L_{12(1)}) + c_1c_6D_1 + (c_3c_4 + c_1c_7 - c_2c_6)D_2\}\varphi, (7.1)
\]

\[
-c_2\tilde{\psi} - c_3D_1\tilde{\varphi} = B_2\varphi \equiv \{c_1(c_3L_{12(1)} + c_2L_{12(2)}) + (c_3c_4 - c_2c_6)D_1 - c_1c_8D_2\}\varphi - c_3\psi.
\]
Combining these two equations to eliminate first $\tilde{\psi}$ and then $\tilde{\varphi}$, we obtain

\[
\nabla_c(\tilde{\varphi}) = c_1 \{ \nabla_c(u_1)\varphi_2 - \nabla_c(u_2)\varphi_1 \} - c_1 c_4 \varphi_1 - (c_1 c_5 - c_3) \varphi_2 + c_2 \psi,
\]
\[
\nabla_c(\tilde{\psi}) = c_1 \{ \nabla_c(u_1 \varphi_2 - u_2 \varphi_1) + c_3 (u_2 \varphi_{11} + u_1 \varphi_{22} - 2 u_2 \varphi_{12})
\]
\[
+ c_6 \varphi_{11} + c^7 \varphi_{12} + c_8 \varphi_{22} \} + c_3 \psi_2,
\]

where the subscripts of $\varphi$ and $\psi$ denote partial derivatives. Applying the inverse operator $\nabla_c^{-1}$, which is defined to satisfy the relations $\nabla_c^{-1} \nabla_c = 1$, we obtain the explicit form of recursion relations

\[
\tilde{\varphi} = \nabla_c^{-1} \{ c_1 \{ \nabla_c(u_1)\varphi_2 - \nabla_c(u_2)\varphi_1 - c_4 \varphi_1 - c_5 \varphi_2 \} + c_3 \varphi_2 + c_1 \psi \},
\]
\[
\tilde{\psi} = c_1 (u_1 \varphi_2 - u_2 \varphi_1) + \nabla_c^{-1} \{ c_3 (u_2 \varphi_{11} + u_1 \varphi_{22} - 2 u_2 \varphi_{12})
\]
\[
+ c_6 \varphi_{11} + c^7 \varphi_{12} + c_8 \varphi_{22} \} + c_3 \psi_2. \tag{7.2}
\]

Here an important remark is due. The operator $\nabla_c^{-1}$ can make sense merely as a formal inverse of $\nabla_c$. Thus, the relations (7.2) are formal as well. The proper interpretation of the quantities like $\nabla_c^{-1}$ and of (7.2) requires the language of differential coverings, see the original papers [8, 12] and the recent survey [9].

In a two component form, the recursion relations (7.1) read

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{\varphi} \\
\tilde{\psi}
\end{pmatrix} = R
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi \\
\psi
\end{pmatrix} \quad \tag{7.3}
\]

with the recursion operator $R$ in the $2 \times 2$ matrix form

\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
R_{11} & c_1 \nabla_c^{-1} \\
R_{21} & c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_2
\end{pmatrix} \quad \tag{7.4}
\]

with the matrix elements

\[
R_{11} = \nabla_c^{-1} \{ c_1 \{ \nabla_c(u_1)D_2 - \nabla_c(u_2)D_1 - c_4 D_1 - c_5 D_2 \} + c_3 D_2 \},
\]
\[
R_{21} = c_1 (u_1 D_2 - u_2 D_1) + \nabla_c^{-1} \{ c_3 (u_2 D_1^2 + u_1 D_2^2 - 2 u_2 D_1 D_2)
\]
\[
+ c_6 D_1^2 + c^7 D_1 D_2 + c_8 D_2^2 \}. \tag{7.5}
\]

Next, we use the alternative set (4.11) of operators $A_i$, $B_i$ in the recursion relations (4.9) presented in a two-component form

\[
c_2 \tilde{\psi} + c_2 D_1 \tilde{\varphi} = B_1 \varphi \equiv \{- c_2 (c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)}) + (c_2 c_7 - c_1 c_8 - c_3 c_5) D_1 + c_2 c_8 D_2 \} \varphi,
\]
\[
c_3 D_2 \tilde{\varphi} - c_1 \tilde{\psi} = B_2 \varphi \equiv \{ c_2 (c_1 L_{12(1)} - c_3 L_{12(2)}) - c_2 c_6 D_1 - (c_1 c_8 + c_3 c_5) D_2 \} \varphi + c_3 \psi.
\]

Combining these two equations to eliminate first $\tilde{\psi}$ and then $\tilde{\varphi}$, we obtain the explicit form of recursion relations

\[
\tilde{\varphi} = \nabla_c^{-1} \{ -c_2 (c_1 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)}) - (c_2 c_4 + c_3) D_1 - c_2 c_5 D_2 \} \varphi + c_2 \nabla_c^{-1} \psi,
\]
\[
\tilde{\psi} = \nabla_c^{-1} D_1 \left\{ c_2 (c_1 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)}) + \left( c_4 + \frac{c_3}{c_2} \right) D_1 + c_5 D_2 \right\}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)} + \frac{1}{c_2} (c_2 c_7 - c_1 c_8 - c_3 c_5) D_1 + c_8 D_2 \right\} \varphi - c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_1 \psi,
\]

and we immediately extract the second recursion operator $R'$ in the $2 \times 2$ matrix form

\[
R' = \begin{pmatrix}
R'_{11} & c_2 \nabla_c^{-1} \\
R'_{21} & -c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_1
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.6}
\]
where
\[
R'_{11} = -c_2 \nabla_c^{-1} \left\{ c_1 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)} + \left( c_4 + \frac{c_3}{c_2} \right) D_1 + c_5 D_2 \right\},
\]
\[
R'_{21} = c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_1 \left\{ c_1 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)} + \left( c_4 + \frac{c_3}{c_2} \right) D_1 + c_5 D_2 \right\}
- (c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)}) + \frac{1}{c_2} (c_2 c_7 - c_1 c_8 - c_3 c_5) D_1 + c_8 D_2.
\] (7.7)

### 7.2 Particular cases

We consider again particular cases $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$ and $c_2 = 0$, $c_1 \neq 0$.

As we know from Section 4, the first case, $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$ should be considered as a particular case of the second recursion operator $R'$ from (7.6) and (7.7) with the result
\[
R' = \begin{pmatrix}
- c_2 D_2^{-1} \left( L_{12(2)} + \frac{c_6}{c_3} D_1 \right) - c_5, & D_2^{-1} \\
R'_{21}, & - \frac{c_3}{c_2} D_2^{-1} D_1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where
\[
R'_{21} = c_3 D_2^{-1} D_1 \left( L_{12(2)} + \frac{c_6}{c_3} D_1 \right) - (c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(2)}) + c_7 D_1 + c_8 D_2
\]
and the integrability condition (4.12) has been used.

The second case, $c_2 = 0$, $c_1 \neq 0$ should be considered as a particular case of the first recursion operator $R$ from (7.4) and (7.5) with the result
\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
R'_{11} & D_1^{-1} \\
R'_{21}, & c_3 D_1^{-1} D_2
\end{pmatrix}
\]
with the matrix elements
\[
R'_{11} = - D_1^{-1} \{ c_1 L_{12(1)} + (c_1 c_5 - c_3) D_2 \} - c_1 c_4,
\]
\[
R'_{21} = - c_1 L_{12(2)} + c_6 D_1 + c_7 D_2 + D_1^{-1} \{ c_3 (u_{22} D_1^2 + u_{11} D_2^2 - 2 u_{12} D_1 D_2) + c_8 D_2^2 \}.
\]

### 7.3 Special case: $c_1 \cdot c_2 \neq 0$, $c_3 = 0$

Recursion relations (4.18) in a two-component form become
\[
c_1 \tilde{\psi} = c_1 c_2 (v_2 \varphi_1 - v_1 \varphi_2) - c_2 c_6 \varphi_1 - c_1 c_8 \varphi_2,
\]
\[
\nabla_c (\tilde{\varphi}) = c_2 \{ \nabla_c (u_2) \varphi_1 - \nabla_c (u_1) \varphi_2 + c_4 \varphi_1 + c_5 \varphi_2 - \psi \}.
\] (7.8)

The explicit two-component form of the recursion relations (7.8) is
\[
\tilde{\varphi} = \nabla_c^{-1} c_2 \{ \nabla_c (u_2) \varphi_1 - \nabla_c (u_1) \varphi_2 + c_4 \varphi_1 + c_5 \varphi_2 - \psi \},
\]
\[
\tilde{\psi} = c_2 (v_2 \varphi_1 - v_1 \varphi_2) - \frac{c_2 c_6}{c_1} \varphi_1 - c_8 \varphi_2.
\] (7.9)

In the matrix form (7.3), the recursion operator arising from (7.9) reads
\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
(c_2 \nabla_c^{-1} \{ \nabla_c (u_2) D_1 - \nabla_c (u_1) D_2 + c_4 D_1 + c_5 D_2 \}, & - c_2 \nabla_c^{-1} \\
c_2 (v_2 D_1 - v_1 D_2) - \frac{c_2 c_6}{c_1} D_1 - c_8 D_2, & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (7.10)
8 Bi-Hamiltonian systems

8.1 Generic case

8.1.1 First family of bi-Hamiltonian systems

The second Hamiltonian operator $J_1$ is obtained by composing the recursion operator (7.4) with the first Hamiltonian operator $J_1 = RJ_0$

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
J_{11}^{11} & J_{11}^{12} \\
J_{11}^{21} & J_{11}^{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & c_1 \nabla_c^{-1} \\
R_{21} & c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -c_2(u_2)D_1 - \nabla_c(u_1)D_2 + c_4D_1 + c_5D_2 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where we have used an alternative equivalent expression for the matrix element $J_{11}^{22}$, with the final result

\[
J_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
-c_1 \nabla_c^{-1} & c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_2 \\
-c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_2 & J_{11}^{22}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(8.1)

where

\[
J_{11}^{22} = c_3 L_{12(2)} - c_1 L_{12(t)} + \nabla_c^{-1} \left\{ c_1 (c_6 D_1^2 + c_7 D_1 D_2 + c_8 D_2^2) + c_3 D_2 (c_4 D_1 + c_5 D_2) \right\}.
\]

Here operator $J_1$ is manifestly skew symmetric. A check of the Jacobi identities and compatibility of the two Hamiltonian structures $J_0$ and $J_1$ is straightforward but too lengthy to be presented here. The method of the functional multi-vectors for checking the Jacobi identity and the compatibility of the Hamiltonian operators is developed by P. Olver in [14, Chapter 7] and has been applied recently for checking bi-Hamiltonian structure of the general heavenly equation [18] and the first heavenly equation of Plebański [17] under the well-founded conjecture that this method is applicable for nonlocal Hamiltonian operators as well.

The next problem is to derive the Hamiltonian density $H_0$ corresponding to the second Hamiltonian operator $J_1$ such that implies the bi-Hamiltonian representation of the system (5.1)

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = J_0 \begin{pmatrix} \delta_u H_1 \\ \delta_v H_1 \end{pmatrix} = J_1 \begin{pmatrix} \delta_u H_0 \\ \delta_v H_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ v_t \end{pmatrix},
\]

(8.2)

where $v_t$ should be replaced by the right-hand side of the second equation in (5.1). Then we could conclude that our system (5.1) is also integrable in the sense of Magri [10, 11].

**Proposition 8.1.** Bi-Hamiltonian representation (8.2) of the system (5.1) is valid under the constraint

\[
c_9 = \frac{1}{c_1} \left\{ c_6 (c_3 - c_1 c_5) + c_4 (c_1 c_7 - c_2 c_6 + c_3 c_4) \right\}
\]

(8.3)

with the following Hamiltonian density

\[
H_0 = v \left\{ \frac{1}{c_1} \nabla_c(u) + \frac{c_4}{c_1} z_2 + \frac{(c_3 - c_1 c_5)}{c_1^2} z_1 + s_0 \right\} - \frac{c_3}{2 c_1^2} u_2 \nabla_c(u) + \frac{c_3 c_4}{c_1^2} u.
\]

(8.4)

**Proof.** We will need the following simple relation between $J_{11}^{22}$ and the operator $B_1$ from (4.6), which is involved in the recursion relations (4.9) and Lax pair (4.8)

\[
J_{11}^{22} = \frac{1}{c_1} (B_1 + \nabla_c^{-1} c_3 D_2^2).
\]

(8.5)
Acting by the first row of $J_1$ on the column of variational derivatives of $H_0$ in (8.2) and applying $\nabla_c$ we obtain

$$\nabla_c(J_1^{11} \delta_u H_0 + J_1^{12} \delta_v H_0) \equiv c_3 D_2 \delta_v H_0 - c_1 \delta_u H_0 = \nabla_c(v) = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2. \quad (8.6)$$

On account of the relation (8.5), the second row of the last equation in (8.2) reads

$$J_1^{21} \delta_u H_0 + J_1^{22} \delta_v H_0 \equiv \frac{1}{c_1} B_1 \delta_v H_0 + \frac{c_3}{c_1} D_2 \nabla_c^{-1} (c_3 D_2 \delta_v H_0 - c_1 \delta_u H_0) = v_t,$$

which with the use of (8.6) becomes

$$\frac{1}{c_1} B_1 (\delta_v H_0) + \frac{c_3}{c_1} v_2 = v_t \quad \iff \quad B_1 (\delta_v H_0) = c_1 v_1 - c_3 v_2. \quad (8.7)$$

We assume a linear dependence of $H_0$ on $v$

$$H_0 = b[u]v + c[u] \quad \implies \quad \delta_v H_0 = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial v} = b[u], \quad (8.8)$$

where $b$ and $c$ depend only on $u$ and its derivatives.

We note that adding to $H_0$ the term $au^2$ with constant $a$ does not contribute to the Hamiltonian flow

$$J_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta_u H_0 \\ \delta_v H_0 \end{array} \right)$$

and hence this is unnecessary.

Plugging (8.8) into equation (8.7) and using the definition of $B_1$ from (4.6), we obtain

$$c_1 \{ c_3 (u_{22} D_1[b] - u_{12} D_2[b]) + c_1 (v_1 D_2[b] - v_2 D_1[b]) \} + c_1 c_6 D_1[b] + (c_1 c_7 - c_2 c_6 + c_3 c_4) D_2[b] = c_1 \{ v_1 \nabla_c(u_2) - v_2 \nabla_c(u_1) + c_3 (u_{11} u_{22} - u_{12}^2) \\ + c_4 v_1 + c_5 v_2 + c_6 u_{11} + c_7 u_{12} + c_8 u_{22} + c_9 \} - c_3 v_2. \quad (8.9)$$

Splitting equation (8.9) with respect to $v_1$ and $v_2$ and collecting separately terms with $v_1$ and $v_2$ implies the following two equations

$$D_1[b] = \frac{1}{c_1} \left\{ \nabla_c(u_1) + \frac{(c_3 - c_1 c_5)}{c_1} \right\}, \quad D_2[b] = \frac{1}{c_1} (\nabla_c(u_2) + c_4). \quad (8.10)$$

Integrating these two equations we obtain

$$b = \frac{1}{c_1} \nabla_c(u) + \frac{c_4}{c_1} z_2 + \frac{(c_3 - c_1 c_5)}{c_1^2} z_1 + s_0, \quad (8.11)$$

where $s_0$ is a constant of integration. Plugging (8.10) into the remaining terms in (8.9), we note that the terms $c_1 c_3 (u_{11} u_{22} - u_{12}^2)$ and all terms proportional to $u_{11}$ and $u_{12}$ are canceled identically while the terms proportional to $u_{22}$ cancel due to integrability condition (4.4). The remaining constant terms in (8.9) imply the relation (8.3) which is an additional (“Hamiltonian”) condition for integrable system (5.1) to have bi-Hamiltonian form.

Using the result (8.11) for $b$ in our ansatz (8.8) for $H_0$ yields

$$H_0 = v \left\{ \frac{1}{c_1} \nabla_c(u) + \frac{c_4}{c_1} z_2 + \frac{(c_3 - c_1 c_5)}{c_1^2} z_1 + s_0 \right\} + c[u]. \quad (8.12)$$
From (8.6) we have
\[ \delta_u H_0 \equiv \delta_u (b[u]v) + \delta_u (c[u]) = \frac{1}{c_1} \{ c_3 D_2 [b] - \nabla_c (v) \}, \tag{8.13} \]
where (8.11) implies that
\[ \delta_u (bv) = -\frac{1}{c_1} \nabla_c (v). \]
After cancelations, equation (8.13) yields
\[ \delta_u (c[u]) = \frac{c_3}{c_1} D_2 [b] \equiv \frac{c_3}{c_1} (\nabla_c (u_2) + c_4), \tag{8.14} \]
where \( D_2 [b] \) from (8.10) is used. This result obviously suggests \( c[u] \) to be of the form
\[ c[u] = \alpha u_1 u_2 + \beta u_2^2 + \gamma (u), \tag{8.15} \]
with constant \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), so that \( \delta_u (c) = -D_1 (\alpha u_2) - D_2 (\alpha u_1 + 2 \beta u_2) + \gamma' (u) \). Plugging the latter expression in the equation (8.14) we find the coefficients in (8.15) to be
\[ \alpha = -\frac{c_3}{2 c_1}, \quad \beta = -\frac{c_2 c_3}{2 c_1^2}, \quad \gamma (u) = \frac{c_3 c_4}{c_1^2} u + \gamma_0, \]
with the constant of integration \( \gamma_0 \), so that \( c[u] \) in (8.15) becomes
\[ c[u] = -\frac{c_3}{2 c_1^2} u_2 \nabla_c (u) + \frac{c_3 c_4}{c_1^2} u + \gamma_0. \]
We have now completely determined the Hamiltonian density \( H_0 \) in (8.12) to be (8.4), where we have skipped the additive constant \( \gamma_0 \).

With the Hamiltonian density \( H_0 \) from (8.4), corresponding to the second Hamiltonian operator \( J_1 \), the system (5.1) admits bi-Hamiltonian representation (8.2), provided that the integrability condition (4.4) and bi-Hamiltonian constraint (8.3) are satisfied in the generic case. Thus, we obtain the first seven-parameter family of bi-Hamiltonian systems.

### 8.1.2 Second family of bi-Hamiltonian systems

Composing an alternative recursion operator \( R' \), determined by (7.6) and (7.7), with the first Hamiltonian operator \( J_0 \) we obtain an alternative second Hamiltonian operator \( J'_1 = R' J_0 \) with the resulting expression
\[ J'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -c_2 \nabla_c^{-1} & -c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_1 \\ c_3 \nabla_c^{-1} D_1 & \frac{1}{c_2} \left( c_3 ^2 \nabla_c^{-1} D_2^2 + B_1 \right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.16} \]
where \( B_1 \) is defined in (4.11). Next task is to determine the Hamiltonian density \( H'_0 \) corresponding to \( J'_1 \) in the bi-Hamiltonian representation
\[ J_0 \begin{pmatrix} \delta_v H_1 \\ \delta_v H_1 \end{pmatrix} = J'_1 \begin{pmatrix} \delta_v H'_0 \\ \delta_v H'_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.17} \]
A check of the Jacobi identities for \( J'_1 \) and compatibility of the two Hamiltonian structures \( J_0 \) and \( J'_1 \) is straightforward and too lengthy to be presented here.
**Proposition 8.2.** Bi-Hamiltonian representation (8.17) of the system (5.1) is valid under the constraint
\[
c_0 = \frac{1}{c_2} \left\{ c_8(c_2c_4 + c_3) + c_5(c_1c_8 - c_2c_7 + c_3c_5) \right\}
\]
with the following Hamiltonian density
\[
H'_0 = \frac{v}{c_2} \left\{ \nabla_c(u) - c_5z_1 + \left( c_4 + \frac{c_3}{c_2} \right) z_2 \right\} + s_0v + \frac{c_3}{2c_2}(u_1\nabla_c(u) + 2c_5u). \tag{8.19}
\]

**Proof.** Acting by the first row of \(J'_1\) on the column of variational derivatives of \(H'_0\) in (8.17) and applying \(\nabla_c\) we obtain
\[
\delta_u H'_0 = -\frac{1}{c_2}(c_3D_1\delta_v H'_0 + \nabla_c v).
\] (8.20)

The second row of equation (8.17) becomes
\[
c_3\nabla_c^{-1}D_1\delta_u H'_0 + \frac{1}{c_2}(c_2^2\nabla_c^{-1}D_1^2 + B_1)\delta_v H'_0 = v_t,
\]
which with the use of (8.20) becomes
\[
B_1(\delta_v H'_0) = c_2v_t + c_3v_1. \tag{8.21}
\]

We again assume \(H'_0\) to be linear in \(v\)
\[
H'_0 = b[u]v + c[u] \quad \implies \quad \delta_v H'_0 = \frac{\partial H'_0}{\partial v} = b[u], \tag{8.22}
\]
where \(b\) and \(c\) depend only on \(u\) and its derivatives. Plugging (8.22) into equation (8.21), using the expression for \(B_1\) from (4.11) and \(v_t\) from our equation (5.1), we obtain the equation linear in \(v_1\) and \(v_2\), similar to (8.9). Equating the coefficients of \(v_1\) and \(v_2\) in this linear equation on both sides, we obtain
\[
D_1[b] = \frac{1}{c_2}(\nabla_c(u_1) - c_5), \quad D_2[b] = \frac{1}{c_2} \left\{ \nabla_c(u_2) + c_4 + \frac{c_3}{c_2} \right\}. \tag{8.23}
\]

Integrating these equations we obtain
\[
b = \frac{1}{c_2} \left\{ \nabla_c(u) - c_5z_1 + \left( c_4 + \frac{c_3}{c_2} \right) z_2 \right\} + s_0. \tag{8.24}
\]

Plugging the expressions (8.23) in remaining terms of the equation linear in \(v_1\) and \(v_2\), we find that all variable terms cancel and we end up with the relation (8.18) among constant terms. This is again an additional condition for integrable system (5.1) to have bi-Hamiltonian form which is in general different from (8.3) obtained for our first choice of the operators \(A_i, B_i\). Thus, we obtain two different families of seven-parameter bi-Hamiltonian systems.

Plugging the expression (8.24) for \(b\) into the formula (8.22) for \(H'_0\), calculating \(\delta_u H'_0\) and using equation (8.20), we obtain
\[
\delta_u c[u] = -\frac{c_3}{c_2^2}(c_1u_{11} + c_2u_{12} - c_5),
\]
which implies
\[
c[u] = \frac{c_3}{2c_2}(u_1\nabla_c(u) + 2c_5u) + \gamma_0. \tag{8.25}
\]

Using our results (8.24) for \(b\) and (8.25) for \(c[u]\) in the formula (8.22), we obtain the Hamiltonian density \(H'_0\) to be (8.19) for the alternative bi-Hamiltonian system (8.17) in the generic case. Here again we have skipped the additive constant \(\gamma_0\). \qed
8.1.3 A family of tri-Hamiltonian systems

If we impose an additional condition on the coefficients such that both constraints (8.3) and (8.18) coincide, we eliminate $c_9$ and consider the resulting condition together with the integrability condition (4.4) and the constraint (8.3). With these three conditions, we obtain a six-parameter family of tri-Hamiltonian systems

$$(u_t) = J_0 \left( \frac{\delta_u H_1}{\delta_y H_1} \right) = J_1 \left( \frac{\delta_u H_0}{\delta_y H_0} \right) = J'_1 \left( \frac{\delta_u H'_0}{\delta_y H'_0} \right)$$

with compatibility of the three Hamiltonian operators $J_0, J_1, J'_1$ been checked. An explicit solution of the two constraints together with the integrability condition (4.4) for the tri-Hamiltonian system has the form

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{c_2 c_3} \left( c^2_2 c_6 - c^2_1 c_8 - c_1 c_3 c_5 \right), \quad c_7 = \frac{1}{c_1 c_2} \left( 2c^2_1 c_8 + 2c_1 c_3 c_5 - c^2_3 \right),$$

$$c_9 = \frac{(c_3 c_5 + c_1 c_8)}{c_1 c_2 c_3} \left\{ c_3 (c_3 - c_1 c_5) - c^2_1 c_8 \right\} + \frac{c_6 c_8}{c_3}$$

with the six free parameters $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_5, c_6, c_8$.

8.2 Particular cases

The first case $c_1 = 0, c_2 \neq 0$ we should treat as a particular case of the second bi-Hamiltonian family. Therefore, we put $c_1 = 0$ in the second Hamiltonian operator $J'_1$ from (8.16)

$$J'_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
-D_2^{-1} & -\frac{c_3}{c_2} D_2^{-1} D_1 \\
\frac{c_3}{c_2} D_2^{-1} D_1 & \frac{c^2_3}{c_2} D_2^{-1} D_1^2 + \frac{1}{c_2} B_1
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $B_1$ is defined in (4.13), so that explicitly we have

$$(J'_1)^{22} = -(c_3 L_{12(1)} + c_2 L_{12(t)}) + \left( c_7 - \frac{c_3 c_5}{c_2} \right) D_1 + c_8 D_2.$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian density is a particular case of the density $H'_0$ from (8.19) at $c_1 = 0$

$$H'_0 = v \left\{ u_2 - \frac{c_5}{c_2} z_1 + \frac{c_6}{c_3} z_2 \right\} + s_0 v + \frac{c_3}{2c_2} u_1 u_2 + \frac{c_3 c_5}{c_2} u,$$

where the integrability condition $c_3 (c_2 c_4 + c_3) = c^2_2 c_6$ has been used and an additional constraint has the form

$$c_9 = \frac{1}{c_2} \{ c_8 (c_2 c_4 + c_3) + c_5 (c_3 c_5 - c_2 c_7) \}.$$
where $B_1$ is defined in (4.15), so that we have explicitly

$$(J_1)^{22} = \frac{c_2}{c_1^2}D_1^{-1}D_2^2 + c_3L_{12(2)} - c_1L_{12(6)} + c_6D_1 + \left( c_7 + \frac{c_3c_4}{c_1} \right)D_2.$$  

The corresponding Hamiltonian density is a particular case of the density $H_0$ from (8.4) at $c_2 = 0$

$$H_0 = v \left( u_1 + \frac{c_4}{c_1}z_2 + \frac{c_8}{c_3}z_1 + s_0 \right) - \frac{c_3}{2c_1}u_1u_2 + \frac{c_3c_4}{c_1^2}u,$$

where integrability condition (4.14) has been used, with the additional constraint

$$c_9 = \frac{1}{c_1} \left( c_6(c_3 - c_1c_5) + c_4(c_1c_7 + c_3c_4) \right).$$

### 8.3 Special case $c_3 = 0$

The second Hamiltonian operator is a composition $J_1 = RJ_0$ of the recursion operator (7.10) for this special case with the first Hamiltonian operator $J_0$. Multiplication of these two matrices yields

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} c_2\n^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{c_1}B_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(J_1)^{22} = -c_2 \left( v_1D_2 - v_2D_1 + \frac{c_6}{c_1}D_1 + \frac{c_8}{c_2}D_2 \right) = \frac{1}{c_1}B_1$$

with $B_1$ defined in (4.17).

The remaining problem is to obtain the Hamiltonian density $H_0$ corresponding to the second Hamiltonian operator $J_1$ according to (5.1)

$$J_1 \left( \frac{\delta_u H_0}{\delta v H_0} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ v_t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.26)$$

**Proposition 8.3.** Bi-Hamiltonian representation (8.26) of the system (5.1) is valid under the constraint

$$c_9 = \frac{(c_1c_4c_8 - c_2c_5c_6)}{c_1c_2} \quad (8.27)$$

with the following Hamiltonian density

$$H_0 = \frac{v}{c_2} \left( -\n(c) + c_5z_1 - c_4z_2 + s_0 \right). \quad (8.28)$$

**Proof.** The equation following from the first row of the matrix equation (8.26) is $c_2\n^{-1}\delta_u H_0 = v$ or equivalently

$$\delta_u H_0 = \frac{1}{c_2} \n(v) = \frac{c_1}{c_2}v_1 + v_2. \quad (8.29)$$

The second row of (8.26) yields

$$\frac{1}{c_1}B_1(\delta_v H_0) = v_t. \quad (8.30)$$
We assume again a linear dependence of $H_0$ on $v$

$$H_0 = b[u]v + c[u] \implies \delta_vH_0 = b[u],$$

(8.31)

where $b$ and $c$ may depend on $u$ and its partial derivatives.

Using (8.31) and also using the definition of $B_1$ and $v_t$, given by (5.1), on the left-hand side and right-hand side of (8.30), respectively, we obtain

$$c_2\left(v_1D_2[b] - v_2D_1[b]\right) + \frac{c_2c_6}{c_1}D_1[b] + c_8D_2[b]$$

$$= -\left\{v_1\nabla_c(u_2) - v_2\nabla_c(u_1) + c_4v_1 + c_5v_2 + c_6u_{11} + c_7u_{12} + c_8u_{22} + c_9\right\}. \tag{8.32}$$

Splitting this equation with respect to $v_1$ and $v_2$ we obtain two equations

$$D_1[b] = -\frac{1}{c_2}\nabla_c(u_1) + \frac{c_5}{c_2}, \quad D_2[b] = -\frac{1}{c_2}\nabla_c(u_2) - \frac{c_4}{c_2},$$

which are integrated in the form

$$b = \frac{1}{c_2}\left\{-\nabla_c(u) + c_5z_1 - c_4z_2 + s_0\right\}. \tag{8.33}$$

Using (8.33), we check that all the remaining nonconstant terms in (8.32) cancel and we obtain an additional relation (8.27) between coefficients. Plugging the expression (8.33) for $b$ into (8.31) for $H_0$ we obtain

$$H_0 = \frac{v}{c_2}\left\{-\nabla_c(u) + c_5z_1 - c_4z_2 + s_0\right\} + c[u]. \tag{8.34}$$

Computing $\delta_uH_0$ from (8.34) and plugging the result into (8.29), we conclude that $\delta_u[c[u] = 0$ and hence we may choose $c[u] = 0$. Thus, we end up with the formula (8.28).

9 Conclusion

We have shown that all the Euler–Lagrange equations of the evolutionary Hirota type in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions have the symplectic Monge–Ampère form. The symmetry condition for such an equation is converted to a skew-factorized form. Then recursion relations and Lax pairs are obtained as immediate consequences of this representation. We have converted the equation into a two-component evolutionary form and obtained Lagrangian and recursion operator for this two-component system. The Lagrangian is degenerate because the momenta cannot be inverted for the velocities. Applying to this degenerate Lagrangian the Dirac’s theory of constraints, we have obtained a symplectic operator and its inverse, the latter being a Hamiltonian operator $J_0$. We have found the corresponding Hamiltonian density $H_1$, thus presenting our system in a Hamiltonian form. Composing the recursion operator $R$ with $J_0$, we have obtained the second Hamiltonian operator $J_1 = RJ_0$. We have found the Hamiltonian density $H_0$ corresponding to $J_1$ and thereby obtained bi-Hamiltonian representation of our system under one constraint on the coefficients, which is additional to the integrability condition. Thus, we end up with a seven-parameter class of bi-Hamiltonian systems in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions.

Representation of symmetry condition in an alternative skew-factorized form produces another seven-parameter family of bi-Hamiltonian systems which satisfy another constraint, additional to the integrability condition. This constraint is different from the additional constraint for the first family of bi-Hamiltonian systems. If we require both constraints to coincide, then, accounting also for the integrability condition, we end up with a six-parameter family of tri-Hamiltonian systems.

We apply similar methods to Hirota equations in $3 + 1$ dimensions with similar results. This work is in progress and will be published elsewhere.
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