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Abstract. This note is meant to correct a mistake in [1]. A corrected version of [1] can be found on the archive: arXiv:1506.02713.

In Step 2 of Theorem 1.2 on page 808 of [1], we claimed that the map of Equation (3.3) (the map $\Psi$ in Equation (1) below) is an isomorphism. This is not true, as pointed out to us by H. Spink and D. Tseng. However, we will see below that it is a bijective morphism. This has the effect that one needs to add the assumption that $\text{char}(K) = 0$ in Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.3, and Theorem 1.7 of [1]. The corresponding point counts over $\mathbb{F}_q$ still hold.

Step 2 of Theorem 1.2. As to the proof of Theorem 1.2 on page 808 of [1], the entirety of Step 2 should be deleted and replaced by the following.

Let $k \geq 0$. Define a morphism

$$\Psi : \mathbb{A}^{m(d-nk)} \times \mathbb{A}^k \to \mathbb{A}^{md}$$

by

$$\Psi(f_1, \ldots, f_m, g) := (f_1 g^n, \ldots, f_m g^n).$$

The restriction of $\Psi$ to $Polyn_{d-ckn,m} \times \mathbb{A}^k$ gives a morphism

$$\Psi : Polyn_{d-ckn,m} \times \mathbb{A}^k \to R_{n,k}^{d,m} - R_{n,k+1}^{d,m}$$

(1)

where the target is the space of $m$-tuples of degree $d$ polynomials with a common $n$-fold factor of degree equal to $k$, with no other common $n$-fold factors. We think of the map $\Psi^{-1}$ as the (non-algebraic) map that extracts a common $n$-fold factor from a tuple of polynomials. We claim that:

(i) For any field $k$ the morphism $\Psi$ is bijective.

(ii) For $k = \mathbb{C}$, the map $\Psi$ is a homeomorphism in the classical topology.
These facts will allow us to analyze $Poly^{d,m}_n$ recursively. Note that the case $k = 0$ follows by definition:

$$Poly^{d,m}_n := R^{d,m}_{n,0} - R^{d,m}_{n,1}.$$ 

To see (i): It is clear from the definitions that $\Psi$ is surjective. The map $\Psi$ is injective because there is a unique $n$-fold degree $k$ factor in each $f_i g^n$, so if $f_i g^n = u_i v^n$ then this implies $g = v$ and so $f_i = u_i$.

To see (ii): First note that the spaces of polynomials in the range and domain of $\overline{\Psi}$ have Galois covers given by the corresponding spaces of (all possible orderings of) roots, with deck group the appropriate product of symmetric groups. The map $\Psi$ lifts to a map between these spaces of roots:

$$\Phi : \mathbb{A}^{m(d-nk)} \times \mathbb{A}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{md}$$

given by

$$\Phi((\vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{r}_m), \vec{s}) := ((\vec{r}_1, (\vec{s})^n), \ldots, (\vec{r}_m, (\vec{s})^n))$$

where $\vec{r}_i$ is the vector of $d$ roots of $f_i$; the vector of roots of $g$ is denoted $\vec{s}$; and where $(\vec{s})^n$ denotes the vector $(\vec{s}, \ldots, \vec{s})$, where $\vec{s}$ is repeated $n$ times.

It follows that the map $\Phi$ is closed, and hence the map $\overline{\Psi}$ is closed, and hence the map $\Psi$ is closed. Since $\Psi$ is bijective, it follows that $\Psi$ is a homeomorphism.

**Step 3 of Theorem 1.2.** In Step 3 on page 808, one should insert the following after Equation (3.6).

We now claim that, when $\text{char}(K) = 0$ then

$$[Poly^{d-kn,m}_n] \cdot L^k = [R^{d,m}_{n,k}] - [R^{d,m}_{n,k+1}]$$

To see this, first note that we proved in Step 2 that the map $\Psi$ in (1) is a bijective morphism on $K$-points for all fields $K$. It is known (see, e.g., Remark 4.1 of [2]) that if $\text{char}(K) = 0$ then a bijective morphism of $K$-varieties induces an equality $[X] = [Y]$ in the Grothendieck ring of $K$-varieties.

The line “Plugging in the expression from Equation (3.3) into Equation (3.6)” should now read: “Plugging in the expression from Equation (2) into Equation 3.6”

**References**


(Benson Farb) Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.
farb@math.uchicago.edu

(Jesse Wolfson) Department of Mathematics, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA.
wolfson@uci.edu

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2019/25-10.html.