

ON MODIFIED SZASZ–MIRAKYAN OPERATORS

Zbigniew Walczak¹

Abstract. We consider certain modifications of Szasz-Mirakyan operators S_n in exponential weighted spaces C_q of continuous functions and operators T_n in L^p spaces of Lebesgue integrable functions.

We give theorems on approximation properties of these operators.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 41A36

Key words and phrases: Szasz-Mirakyan operator, degree of approximation, exponential weighted space.

1. Introduction

1.1. Let $q > 0$ be a fixed number,

$$(1) \quad v_q(x) := e^{-qx}, \quad x \in R_0 := [0, +\infty).$$

and let C_q be the space of all real-valued functions f continuous on R_0 for which fv_q is uniformly continuous and bounded on R_0 and the norm

$$(2) \quad \|f\|_q \equiv \|f(\cdot)\|_q := \sup_{x \in R_0} v_q(x) |f(x)|.$$

Let $L^p(R_0)$, with a fixed $p \geq 1$, be the space of all real-valued functions f for which $|f|^p$ is Lebesgue integrable on R_0 and the norm

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{L^p} := \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In papers [1] and [2] are concerned with approximation properties of the Szasz-Mirakyan operators

$$(4) \quad S_n(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(nx) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad x \in R_0, \quad n \in N,$$

($N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ for the functions $f \in C_q$, $q \geq 0$, where

$$(5) \quad \varphi_k(t) := e^{-t} \frac{t^k}{k!}, \quad t \in R_0, \quad k \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}.$$

¹Institute of Mathematics, Poznań University of Technology, Piotrowo 3A, 60-965 Poznań, Poland

In [2], the authors was proved that S_n is a positive linear operator from the space C_q into C_r provided that $r > q > 0$ and $n > q (\ln(r/q))^{-1}$. Also, they proved the direct and inverse approximation theorems for S_n and $f \in C_q$, $q > 0$, but by applying the norm of the space C_r , $r > q$.

In [3] and [4], the authors examined properties of the Szasz-Mirakyan-Kantorovich operators

$$(6) \quad T_n(f; x) := n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(nx) \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} f(t) dt, \quad x \in R_0, \quad n \in N,$$

for $f \in L^p(R_0)$, $p \geq 1$. Theorems on convergence almost everywhere and convergence in L^p -norm of the sequence $(T_n(f))_1^{\infty}$ were proved in [3], while some approximation theorems for $T_n(f)$ and $f \in L^1(R_0)$ were given in [4].

1.2. In this paper we modify definitions (4) and (6). Let $q \geq 0$ be a fixed number. For $f \in C_q$ we define the operators

$$(7) \quad S_n[f; a_n, b_n, q](x) \equiv S_n(f; a_n, b_n, q, x) := \\ := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) f\left(\frac{k}{b_n + q}\right), \quad x \in R_0, \quad n \in N,$$

where $(a_n)_1^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_1^{\infty}$ are given increasing and unbounded numerical sequences such that $b_n \geq a_n \geq 1$, and $(a_n/b_n)_1^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing and

$$(8) \quad \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1 + o\left(\frac{1}{b_n}\right).$$

We shall prove that $S_n[f; a_n, b_n, q]$, $n \in N$, is a positive linear operator from the space C_q into C_q .

In the space $L^p(R_0)$ with a fixed $p \geq 1$ we define operators

$$(9) \quad T_n[f; a_n, b_n](x) \equiv T_n(f; a_n, b_n, x) := \\ := b_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) \int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} f(t) dt, \quad x \in R_0, \quad n \in N,$$

where φ_k is given by (5) and $(a_n)_1^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_1^{\infty}$ are sequences as in definition $S_n[f; a_n, b_n, q]$.

Formulas (7) and (9) for $q = 0$ and $a_n = b_n = n$, $n \in N$, yield (4) and (6).

It is obvious that the operators $T_n[f; a_n, b_n]$, $n \in N$, can be considered in the spaces C_q , $q \geq 0$. In Section 3 of this paper we shall consider these operators in the spaces $L^p(R_0)$.

Operators S_n defined by (7) we shall consider in Section 2. In particular, we shall prove theorems on degree of approximation of $f \in C_q$ by S_n using the modulus of continuity of f ,

$$\omega_1(f; C_q; t) := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \|\Delta_h f(\cdot)\|_q, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and the modulus of smoothness of f

$$\omega_2(f; C_q; t) := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|_q, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$\Delta_h f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x); \quad \Delta_h^2 f(x) := f(x) - 2f(x+h) + f(x+2h).$$

In this paper we shall denote by $M_k(\alpha, \beta)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, suitable positive constants depending only on indicated parameters α, β .

2. Operators $S_n[f; a_n, b_n, q]$

We assume that $q \geq 0$ and sequences $(a_n)_1^\infty$, $(b_n)_1^\infty$ are fixed. We shall write $S_n(f; x)$ instead of $S_n(f; a_n, b_n, q; x)$.

2.1. First we shall give some auxiliary results. By elementary calculations we obtain the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let $q \geq 0$ be a fixed number. Then*

$$(10) \quad S_n(1; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) = 1,$$

$$(11) \quad S_n(t-x; x) = \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q} - 1 \right) x,$$

$$(12) \quad S_n((t-x)^2; x) = \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q} - 1 \right)^2 x^2 + \frac{a_n x}{(b_n+q)^2},$$

$$S_n((t-x)^4; x) = \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q} - 1 \right)^4 x^4 + \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q} - 1 \right)^2 \frac{6a_n x^3}{(b_n+q)^2} + \left(\frac{7a_n}{b_n+q} - 4 \right) \frac{a_n x^2}{(b_n+q)^3} + \frac{a_n x}{(b_n+q)^4},$$

$$(13) \quad S_n(e^{qt}; x) = e^{q_n x},$$

$$(14) \quad S_n((t-x)^2 e^{qt}; x) = \left\{ \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q} e^{q/(b_n+q)} - 1 \right)^2 x^2 + \frac{a_n x}{(b_n+q)^2} e^{q/(b_n+q)} \right\} e^{q_n x},$$

for all $x \in R_0$ and $n \in N$, where

$$(15) \quad q_n := a_n \left(e^{q/(b_n+q)} - 1 \right).$$

Lemma 2. For the operators S_n defined by (7) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n S_n(t-x; x) = -qx, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n S_n((t-x)^2; x) = x,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^2 S_n((t-x)^4; x) = 3x^2,$$

at every point $x \in R_0$.

Now we shall prove two main lemmas.

Lemma 3. Let $q \geq 0$ be a fixed number. Then

$$(16) \quad \|S_n[1/v_q]\|_q \leq 1 \quad n \in N,$$

and

$$(17) \quad \|S_n[f]\|_q \leq \|f\|_q,$$

for every $f \in C_q$ and $n \in N$.

Formulas (7) and (5) and the inequality (17) show that S_n , $n \in N$, defined by (7) is a positive linear operator from the space C_q into C_q .

Proof. First we shall prove (16).

If $q = 0$, then by (1) and (10) follows (16). If $q > 0$, then by (1) and (13) and (15) we get

$$v_q(x) S_n \left(\frac{1}{v_q(t)}; x \right) = e^{(q_n - q)x}, \quad x \in R_0, \quad n \in N,$$

and

$$e^{q/(b_n+q)} - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{q}{b_n+q} \right)^k < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q}{b_n+q} \right)^k = \frac{q}{b_n}, \quad n \in N,$$

which by $0 < a_n/b_n \leq 1$ and by (15) implies

$$0 < q_n < \frac{a_n q}{b_n} \leq q \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

Hence

$$v_q(x)S_n\left(\frac{1}{v_q(t)};x\right)\leq 1 \quad \text{for } x\in R_0, \quad n\in N,$$

which yields (16) for $q > 0$ and $n \in N$.

The inequality (17) follows by (16) and by the inequality

$$\|S_n[f]\|_q \leq \|f\|_q \|S_n[1/v_q]\|_q, \quad n \in N. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $q \geq 0$ and $(a_n)_1^\infty, (b_n)_1^\infty$ are fixed. Then there exists a positive constant $M_1(b_1, q)$ such that*

$$(18) \quad v_q(x)S_n\left(\frac{(t-x)^2}{v_q(t)};x\right)\leq M_1(b_1, q)\left(\frac{x^2}{(b_n+q)^2}+\frac{x}{b_n+q}\right)$$

for all $x \in R_0$ and $n \in N$.

Proof. If $q = 0$, then by (12) and properties of the sequences $(a_n)_1^\infty$ and $(b_n)_1^\infty$ we immediately obtain (18).

If $q > 0$ then by (1) and (14) we have

$$(19) \quad v_q(x)S_n\left(\frac{(t-x)^2}{v_q(t)};x\right)\leq e^{(q_n-q)x}\left\{\left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q}e^{q/(b_n+q)}-1\right)^2x^2+\frac{a_nx}{(b_n+q)^2}e^{q/(b_n+q)}\right\}, \quad x\in R_0, \quad n\in N.$$

In the proof of Lemma 3 it is proved that

$$(20) \quad e^{(q_n-q)x}\leq 1 \quad \text{for } x\in R_0, \quad n\in N.$$

Applying the inequality $e^t - 1 \leq te^t$ for $t \geq 0$ and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q}e^{q/(b_n+q)}-1\right)^2 &= \left\{\left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q}-1\right)e^{q/(b_n+q)}+e^{q/(b_n+q)}-1\right\}^2 \\ &\leq 2e^{2q/(b_n+q)}\left\{\left(\frac{a_n}{b_n+q}-1\right)^2+\frac{q^2}{(b_n+q)^2}\right\} \\ &\leq M_2(b_1, q)\frac{1}{(b_n+q)^2}, \quad n\in N. \end{aligned}$$

From this and by (19), (20) and $b_n \geq a_n \geq 1$, we obtain estimation (18) for $q > 0$. □

2.2. Now we shall prove approximation theorems.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $f \in C_q^2$, $q \geq 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $M_3(b_1, q)$ such that*

$$(21) \quad v_q(x) |S_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_3(b_1, q) \left\{ \|f'\|_q \frac{x}{b_n + q} + \|f''\|_q \left(\frac{x^2}{(b_n + q)^2} + \frac{x}{b_n + q} \right) \right\}$$

for all $x \in R_0$ and $n \in N$.

Proof. From (7) and (5) we get

$$(22) \quad S_n(f; 0) = f(0) \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

For a fixed $x > 0$ and $f \in C_q^2$ we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t - x) + \int_x^t \int_x^s f''(u) du ds, \quad t \in R_0,$$

which yields

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t - x) + \int_x^t (t - u) f''(u) du, \quad t \in R_0.$$

From this and by (10) we deduce that

$$(23) \quad S_n(f(t); x) = f(x) + f'(x) S_n(t - x; x) + S_n \left(\int_x^t (t - u) f''(u) du; x \right)$$

for $n \in N$. By (1) and (2) we can write

$$\left| \int_x^t (t - u) f''(u) du \right| \leq \|f''\|_q \left(\frac{1}{v_q(t)} + \frac{1}{v_q(x)} \right) (t - x)^2.$$

Applying the above inequality and (11), (12) and (18), we derive from (23)

$$\begin{aligned} v_q(x) |S_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \|f'\|_q \left| \frac{a_n}{b_n + q} - 1 \right| x + \\ &\|f''\|_q \left\{ v_q(x) S_n \left(\frac{(t - x)^2}{v_q(t)}; x \right) + S_n((t - x)^2; x) \right\} \leq \\ &\leq M_3(b_1, q) \left\{ \|f'\|_q \frac{x}{b_n + q} + \|f''\|_q \left(\frac{x^2}{(b_n + q)^2} + \frac{x}{b_n + q} \right) \right\} \quad \text{for } n \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of (21) is completed. \square

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $f \in C_q$, with a fixed $q \geq 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $M_4(b_1, q)$ such that*

$$(24) \quad v_q(x) |S_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \\ \leq M_4(b_1, q) \left\{ e^{q\Psi_n(x)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{b_n + q}} \omega_1(f; C_q; \Psi_n(x)) + \omega_2(f; C_q; \Psi_n(x)) \right\},$$

for all $x \in R_0$ and $n \in N$, where

$$(25) \quad \Psi_n(x) = \left(\frac{x^2}{(b_n + q)^2} + \frac{x}{b_n + q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $x > 0$. Similarly as in [1] and [2] we apply the Steklov function of $f \in C_q$:

$$(26) \quad f_h(x) := \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [f(x + s + t) - f(x + 2(s + t))] ds dt$$

for $x \in R_0, h > 0$. From (26) we get

$$f'_h(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [8\Delta_{h/2} f(x + s) - 2\Delta_h f(x + 2s)] ds, \\ f''_h(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} [8\Delta_{h/2}^2 f(x) - \Delta_h^2 f(x)].$$

Consequently

$$(27) \quad \|f_h - f\|_q \leq \omega_2(f, C_q; h),$$

$$(28) \quad \|f'_h\|_q \leq 5h^{-1} e^{qh} \omega_1(f, C_q; h),$$

$$(29) \quad \|f''_h\|_q \leq 9h^{-2} \omega_2(f, C_q; h),$$

for $h > 0$. We see that $f_h \in C_q^2$ if $f \in C_q$. Hence, for $x > 0$ and $n \in N$, we can write

$$(30) \quad v_q(x) |S_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq v_q(x) \{ |S_n(f - f_h; x)| + \\ + |S_n(f_h; x) - f_h(x)| + |f_h(x) - f(x)| \} := A_1 + A_2 + A_3.$$

By (17) and (27) we have

$$A_1 \leq \|f - f_h\|_q \leq \omega_2(f, C_q; h), \quad A_2 \leq \omega_2(f, C_q; h).$$

Applying Theorem 1 and (28) and (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &\leq M_3(b_1, q) \left\{ \|f'_h\|_q \frac{x}{b_n + q} + \|f''_h\|_q (\Psi_n(x))^2 \right\} \leq \\ &\leq M_4(b_1, q) \left\{ e^{qh} h^{-1} \frac{x}{b_n + q} \omega_1(f; C_q; h) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h^{-2} (\Psi_n(x))^2 \omega_2(f; C_q; h) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these and setting $h = \Psi_n(x)$, for fixed $x > 0$ and $n \in N$, we obtain (24) for $x > 0$. The estimation (24) follows for $x = 0$ by (22). \square

Let

$$(31) \quad \lambda(x) := (1 + x^2)^{-1}, \quad x \in R_0.$$

Theorem 3. *Assuming as in Theorem 1, we obtain*

$$(32) \quad \|[S_n(f) - f]\lambda\|_q \leq M_5(b_1, q) \frac{1}{b_n + q} (\|f'\|_q + \|f''\|_q) \quad \text{for } n \in N,$$

where $M_5(b_1, q)$ is a suitable positive constant.

Similarly as in Theorem 2 we obtain

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in C_q$ with a fixed $q > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $M_6(b_1, q)$ such that*

$$(33) \quad \|[S_n(f) - f]\lambda\|_q \leq M_6(b_1, q) \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n + q}} \omega_1\left(f; C_q; 1/\sqrt{b_n + q}\right) + \right. \\ \left. + \omega_2\left(f; C_q; 1/\sqrt{b_n + q}\right) \right\}$$

for all $n \in N$.

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2 and applying (30), (31) and the estimations for A_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, given above, we obtain

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(x)v_q(x)|S_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq 2\omega_2(f; C_q; h) + \lambda(x)A_2 \leq \\ &\leq 2\omega_2(f; C_q; h) + M_7(b_1, q) \left\{ e^{qh} \frac{x}{h(b_n + q)} \omega_1(f; C_q; h) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{h^2(b_n + q)} \omega_2(f; C_q; h) + \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in R_0$, $n \in N$ and $h > 0$. Now, for fixed $n \in N$ setting $h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n + q}}$, we derive (33) from (34) and (22). \square

From Theorem 2 or Theorem 4 we obtain the following

Corollary 1. *Let $f \in C_q$ with a fixed $q \geq 0$. Then for the operators S_n defined by (7) we have*

$$(35) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f; x) = f(x), \quad x \in R_0.$$

The convergence (35) is uniform on every interval $[x_1, x_2]$, $x_2 > x_1 \geq 0$.

2.3. In this section we shall prove the Voronovskaya type theorem for S_n .

Theorem 5. *Suppose that $f \in C_q^2$ with a fixed $q > 0$. Then for S_n defined by (7) we have*

$$(36) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \{S_n(f; x) - f(x)\} = -qx f'(x) + \frac{x}{2} f''(x)$$

for every $x \in R_0$.

Proof. The equality (22) implies (36) for $x = 0$. Let $x > 0$ be a fixed point. Then by the Taylor formula for $f \in C_q^2$ we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t - x) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x)(t - x)^2 + \varepsilon_1(t; x)(t - x)^2, \quad t \in R_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_1(t) \equiv \varepsilon_1(t; x)$ is a function such that $\varepsilon_1 \in C_q$ and $\varepsilon_1(0) = 0$. From this and by (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f(t); x) &= f(x) + f'(x)S_n(t - x; x) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x)S_n((t - x)^2; x) + \\ &\quad + S_n(\varepsilon_1(t)(t - x)^2; x), \quad n \in N, \end{aligned}$$

and next by Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \{S_n(f; x) - f(x)\} &= -qx f'(x) + \\ &\quad + \frac{x}{2} f''(x) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n S_n(\varepsilon_1(t)(t - x)^2; x). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder inequality, we have

$$|S_n(\varepsilon_1(t)(t - x)^2; x)| \leq \{S_n(\varepsilon_1^2(t); x)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{S_n((t - x)^4; x)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n \in N.$$

By Theorem 2 and $\varepsilon_1^2 \in C_{2q}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(\varepsilon_1^2(t); x) = \varepsilon_1^2(x) = 0.$$

From the above and from Lemma 2 we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n S_n(\varepsilon_1(t)(t - x)^2; x) = 0.$$

Combining these, we obtain (36) for $x > 0$. □

2.4. Now we shall give some properties of derivatives of operators (7).

Theorem 6. *Suppose that $f \in C_q$ with a fixed $q \geq 0$. Then for every $r \in N$ and $n \in N$ we have*

$$(37) \quad \left\| (S_n[f])^{(r)} \right\|_q \leq a_n^r \left\| \Delta_{1/(b_n+q)}^r f(\cdot) \right\|_q,$$

where

$$(38) \quad \Delta_h^r f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^{r-k} f(x + kh).$$

The formula (7) and the inequality (37) show that $S_n[f] \in C_q^\infty$, $n \in N$, if $f \in C_q$.

Proof. From (7) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} S_n(f(t); x) &= -a_n S_n(f(t); x) + \\ &+ a_n S_n(f(t + 1/(b_n + q)); x) = a_n S_n(\Delta_{1/(b_n+q)} f(t); x) \end{aligned}$$

and next for every $r \in N$

$$(39) \quad \frac{d^r}{dx^r} = a_n^r S_n(\Delta_{1/(b_n+q)}^r f(t); x), \quad x \in R_0, \quad n \in N,$$

where $\Delta_h^r f(\cdot)$ is defined by (38). Applying Lemma 3, we derive from (39)

$$\left\| (S_n[f])^{(r)} \right\|_q \leq a_n^r \left\| \Delta_{1/(b_n+q)}^r f(\cdot) \right\|_q$$

for all $n \in N$ and $r \in N$. □

Corollary 2. *If assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied, then*

$$\left\| (S_n[f])^{(r)} \right\|_q \leq \left(1 + e^{q/(b_n+q)}\right)^r a_n^r \|f(\cdot)\|_q$$

for every $n \in N$ and $r \in N$.

From formulas (7) and (39) and by classical theorems of mathematical analysis we obtain

Corollary 3. *Let $f \in C_q$ a with fixed $q \geq 0$. Then:*

(i) *if f is an increasing (decreasing) function on R_0 , then $S_n[f; a_n, b_n, q]$, $n \in N$, is also increasing (decreasing) function on R_0 ;*

(ii) *if f is a convex (concave) function on R_0 , then $S_n[f; a_n, b_n, q]$, $n \in N$, is also a convex (concave) on R_0 .*

Theorem 7. Suppose that $f \in C_q$ with a fixed $q \geq 0$ and $x_0 > 0$ is a point where there exists $f'(x_0)$. Then

$$(40) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_n[f])'(x_0) = f'(x_0).$$

Proof. By assumptions for f we can write

$$f(t) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(t - x_0) + \varepsilon_2(t, x_0)(t - x_0) \quad \text{for } t \in R_0,$$

where ε_2 is function continuous at x_0 and $\varepsilon_2 \in C_q$. From (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S_n[f])'(x) &= -a_n S_n(f(t); x) + \frac{b_n + q}{x} S_n(tf(t); x) = \\ &= (b_n - a_n + q) S_n(f(t); x) + \frac{b_n + q}{x} S_n((t - x)f(t); x) \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in N$. Consequently, we obtain

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_n[f(t)])'(x_0) &= f(x_0) \left\{ b_n - a_n + q + \frac{b_n + q}{x_0} S_n(t - x_0; x_0) \right\} + \\ &+ f'(x_0) \left\{ (b_n - a_n + q) S_n(t - x_0; x_0) + \frac{b_n + q}{x_0} S_n((t - x_0)^2; x_0) \right\} + \\ &+ (b_n - a_n + q) S_n(\varepsilon_2(t)(t - x_0; x_0) + \frac{b_n + q}{x_0} S_n(\varepsilon_2(t)(t - x_0)^2; x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Properties of ε_2 and Corollary 1 imply

$$(42) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(\varepsilon_2(t)(t - x_0); x_0) = 0.$$

Analogously as in the proof of Theorem 5 we obtain

$$(43) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n S_n(\varepsilon_2(t)(t - x_0)^2; x_0) = 0.$$

Applying (8), (11), (12), (42) and (43) we immediately obtain (40) from (41). \square

3. Operators $T_n[f; a_n, b_n]$

We shall assume that the sequences $(a_n)_1^\infty$ and $(b_n)_1^\infty$ given in formula (9) for $T_n[f; a_n, b_n]$ are fixed. For these operators we shall give analogies of some results proved in [3].

3.1. First we shall give some elementary properties of T_n . From (9) we get

$$T_n(1; a_n, b_n; x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in R_0, n \in N.$$

Lemma 5. Let $p \geq 1$ be a fixed number. $T_n[f; a_n, b_n]$, $n \in N$, is a positive linear operator from the space $L^p(R_0)$ into C_0 , i.e. C_q with $q = 0$. Moreover

$$(44) \quad \|T_n[f; a_n, b_n]\|_0 \leq b_n^{1/p} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad n \in N.$$

Proof. We shall prove only (44). From (9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n(f; a_n, b_n; x)| &\leq b_n^{1/p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) \left\{ \int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} |f(t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p} \leq \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^p} b_n^{1/p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) = \|f\|_{L^p} b_n^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in L^p(R_0)$, $p \geq 1$, $x \in R_0$ and $n \in N$, which implies (44). \square

Lemma 6. Let $p \geq 1$ be a fixed number. $T_n[f; a_n, b_n]$, $n \in N$, is a positive linear operator from the space $L^p(R_0)$ into $L^p(R_0)$. Moreover

$$(45) \quad \|T_n[f; a_n, b_n]\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{b_n}{a_n} \|f\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{b_1}{a_1} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for every $f \in L^p(R_0)$ and $n \in N$

Proof. Let $p = 1$. Then, applying the equality

$$(46) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) = \frac{1}{a_n}, \quad k \in N_0, \quad n \in N,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n[f; a_n, b_n]\|_{L^1} &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left| b_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) \int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} f(t) dt \right| dx \leq \\ &\leq b_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} |f(t)| dt \right) \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) dx = \frac{b_n}{a_n} \|f\|_{L^1}, \quad n \in N. \end{aligned}$$

If $p > 1$, then by (3), (10) and (46) and by Jensen inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n[f; a_n, b_n]\|_{L^p}^p &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) b_n \int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} f(t) dt \right|^p dx \leq \\ &\int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_k(a_n x) \left| b_n \int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} f(t) dt \right|^p \right) dx \leq \\ &\leq b_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{k/b_n}^{(k+1)/b_n} |f(t)|^p dt \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \varphi_k(a_n x) dx \right) \leq \frac{b_n}{a_n} \|f\|_{L^p}^p, \quad n \in N. \end{aligned}$$

By properties of $(b_n/a_n)_1^\infty$ the proof of (45) is completed. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $f \in L^1(R_0)$ and let*

$$(47) \quad F(x) := \int_0^x f(t)dt, \quad x \in R_0.$$

Then $F \in C_0$, i.e. $F \in C_q$ with $q = 0$, and there exist operators $S_n[F; a_n, b_n; 0]$, $n \in N$, defined by (7). Moreover

$$(48) \quad (S_n[F; a_n, b_n, 0])'(x) = \frac{a_n}{b_n} T_n(f; a_n, b_n; x)$$

for every $x \in R_0$ and $n \in N$.

Proof. It is well know that F defined by (47) is continuous and bounded function on R_0 if $f \in L^1(R_0)$, i.e. $F \in C_0$ if $f \in L^1(R_0)$. From this and by Lemma 3 and Theorem 6 we deduce that there exists $S_n[F; a_n, b_n; 0]$, $n \in N$, defined by (7) and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} S_n(F(t); a_n, b_n, 0; x) &= a_n S_n(\Delta_{1/b_n} F(t); a_n, b_n, 0; x) = \\ &= \frac{a_n}{b_n} T_n(f(t); a_n, b_n; x), \quad x \in R_0, n \in N. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3.2. In [3] the operator $T_n[f]$ defined by (6) for $f \in L^1(R_0)$ was written by the formula

$$(49) \quad T_n(f; x) = \int_0^{+\infty} K_n(x; s) f(s) ds, \quad x \in R_0, n \in N,$$

where

$$K_n(x; s) = ne^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}$$

for $k/n < s \leq (k+1)/n$, $k \in N_0$; $K_n(x; 0) = 0$, $x \geq 0$. For the operators (49) it was proved in the following [3]:

Lemma 8. *If $f \in L^1(R_0)$, then*

$$\sup_{n \in N} |T_n(f; x)| \leq 3\Theta(f; x), \quad x \in R_0,$$

where

$$(50) \quad \Theta(f; x) := \sup_{0 < s < \infty, s \neq x} \frac{1}{s-x} \int_x^s |f(y)| dy.$$

3.3. It is obvious that the operator $T_n[f; a_n, b_n]$ defined by (9) can be written as:

$$(51) \quad T_n(f; a_n, b_n; x) = \int_0^{+\infty} W_n(x; s; a_n, b_n) f(s) ds$$

for $f \in L^1(R_0)$, $x \in R_0$, $n \in N$, where

$$W_n(x; s; a_n, b_n) := b_n e^{-a_n x} \frac{(a_n x)^k}{k!}$$

for $k/b_n < s \leq (k+1)/b_n$, $k \in N_0$; $W_n(x; 0; a_n, b_n) = 0$ for $x \in R_0$.

Applying (51) and arguing similarly as in the proof of Lemma 8 (see [3], p.p. 550, 551 - Lemma 4 and Lemma 5) we can prove

Lemma 9. *Let $f \in L^1(R_0)$. Then there exists a positive constant $M_8(a_1, b_1)$ such that*

$$\sup_{n \in N} |T_n(f; a_n, b_n; x)| \leq M_8(a_1, b_1) \Theta(f; x), \quad x \in R_0,$$

where $\Theta(f; \cdot)$ is defined by (50).

3.4. Now we shall prove the main theorems for $T_n[f; a_n, b_n]$, which are analogies of the Butzer theorems given in [3].

Theorem 8. *Suppose that $f \in L^1(R_0)$. Then*

$$(52) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(f; a_n, b_n; x) = f(x)$$

at every point $x \in R_0$ where

$$(53) \quad F'(x) = f(x).$$

Hence (52) follows almost everywhere on R_0 .

Proof. The properties of F given in Lemma 7 and by Theorem 7 imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_n(F; a_n, b_n, 0))'(x) = F'(x)$$

at every $x \in R_0$, where $F'(x)$ there exists. From this and by (48) and (8) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(f; a_n, b_n; x) = F'(x) = f(x)$$

at every $x \in R_0$ where (53) follows. Since (53) follows almost everywhere on R_0 for $f \in L^1(R_0)$, we have (52) almost everywhere on R_0 . \square

Theorem 9. *Suppose that $f \in L^1(R_0)$ and $f \in L^p(R_0)$ with a fixed $p > 1$. Then*

$$(54) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n[f; a_n, b_n] - f\|_{L^p} = 0.$$

Proof. It is known ([5], [3]) that if $f \in L^p(R_0)$, $p > 1$, then the function $\Theta(f; \cdot)$ defined by (50) belongs also to $L^p(R_0)$ and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (\Theta(f; x))^p dx \leq 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^{+\infty} |f(x)|^p dx.$$

From this and by Lemma 6, Lemma 9 and Theorem 8 and by the Lebesgue theorem on convergence of sequence in L^p -space we immediately derive the desired assertion (54). \square

References

- [1] Becker, M., Global approximation theorems for Szász - Mirakyan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 27(1) (1978), 127–142.
- [2] Becker, M., Kucharski, D., Nessel, R. J., Global approximation theorems for the Szász-Mirakyan operators in exponential weight spaces. In: *Linear Spaces and Approximation Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, 1977*, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel ISNM, 40(1978), 319–333.
- [3] Butzer, P. L., On the extensions of Bernstein polynomials to the infinite interval. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5 (1954), 547–553.
- [4] Herman, T., On the Szász - Mirakyan operator, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 32(1–2) (1978), 163–173.
- [5] Zygmund, A., *Trigonometric series*, Vol. I, Moscow, 1965.

Received by the editors September 30, 2002