Combinatorial Interpretation of Generalized Pell Numbers

Jhon J. Bravo$^1$ and Jose L. Herrera
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad del Cauca
Popayán
Colombia
jbravo@unicauca.edu.co
joseherrera@unicauca.edu.co

José L. Ramírez
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional de Colombia
Bogotá
Colombia
jlramirezr@unal.edu.co

Abstract
In this note we give combinatorial interpretations for the generalized Pell sequence of order $k$ by means of lattice paths and generalized bi-colored compositions. We also derive some basic relations and identities by using Riordan arrays.

1 Introduction
There are many integer sequences that are used in many fields of modern science. For instance, the Fibonacci sequence $F = (F_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is one of the most famous and curious numerical sequences in mathematics, and has been widely studied in the literature. The Fibonacci

$^1$Corresponding author.
numbers can be interpreted combinatorially as the number of ways to tile a board of length $n$ and height 1 using only squares (length 1, height 1) and dominoes (length 2, height 1). They also count the number of binary sequences with no consecutive zeros, the number of sequences of 1’s and 2’s that sum to a given number, and the number of independent sets of a path graph, among others.

The Fibonacci sequence has been generalized in many ways, some by preserving the initial conditions, and others by preserving the recurrence relation. Cooper and Howard [3] and Dresden and Du [5] investigated a generalization of the Fibonacci sequence given by a recurrence relation of a higher order. They considered, for an integer $k \geq 2$, the $k$-generalized Fibonacci sequence, which is like the Fibonacci sequence but starts with the terms 0,0,0,0,1 (a total of $k$ terms), and each term afterwards is the sum of the $k$ preceding terms. These numbers can also be interpreted combinatorially as the number of ways to tile a board of length $n$ and height 1 using tiles of length at most $k$. This combinatorial interpretation has been used to provide simple and intuitive proofs of several identities involving $k$-generalized Fibonacci numbers (see [7]). Other generalizations of the Fibonacci sequence have also been studied (see, for example, [2, 8, 14, 17]).

Also, there is the Pell sequence, which is as important as the Fibonacci sequence. The Pell sequence $P_n = (P_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is defined by the recurrence $P_n = 2P_{n-1} + P_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$ with $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 1$ as initial conditions. For the beauty and rich applications of these numbers and their relatives one can see Koshy’s books [10, 11]. The Pell sequence appears in OEIS as A000129. The first few terms of this sequence are

$$0, 1, 2, 5, 12, 29, 70, 169, 408, 985, 2378, 5741, \ldots$$

This sequence has many interesting combinatorial and arithmetical properties; see, e.g., [11]. For example, it is possible to prove that $P_{n+1}$ counts the number of bi-colored compositions of a positive integer $n$. By a bi-colored composition of a positive integer $n$ we mean a sequence of positive integers $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_\ell)$ such that $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_\ell = n$, $\sigma_i \in \{1, 2\}$, and the summand 1 can come in one of 2 different colors. The colors of the summand 1 are denoted by subscripts $1_1$ and $1_2$. For example, the bi-colored compositions of 3 are

$$2 + 1_1, \ 2 + 1_2, \ 1_1 + 2, \ 1_2 + 2, \ 1_1 + 1_1 + 1_1, \ 1_2 + 1_1 + 1_1, \ 1_1 + 1_2 + 1_1, \ 1_1 + 1_1 + 1_2.$$

This combinatorial interpretation can be translated into the language of tilings. As mentioned before, it is well-known that the Fibonacci number $F_{n+1}$ can be interpreted as the number of tilings of a board of length $n$ with cells labeled 1 to $n$ from left to right with only squares and dominoes [1]. If we use white and black squares and non-colored dominoes we obtain a different combinatorial interpretation for the Pell numbers. For example, Figure 1 shows the different ways to tiling a 3-board.
In this paper, we are interested in a generalization of the Pell sequence called the $k$-generalized Pell sequence or, for simplicity, the $k$-Pell sequence $P^{(k)}(n) = (P^{(k)}_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ defined by the recurrence

$$P^{(k)}_n = 2P^{(k)}_{n-1} + P^{(k)}_{n-2} + \cdots + P^{(k)}_{n-k}$$

for all $n \geq 2$, with the initial values $P^{(k)}_0 = 0$, $P^{(k)}_1 = 1$. We refer to $P^{(k)}_n$ as the $n$th $k$-Pell number. In particular, we introduce new combinatorial interpretations for the $k$-Pell sequence by means of lattice paths and generalized bi-colored compositions. We also use Riordan arrays to derive possibly new combinatorial identities and relations for the $k$-Pell numbers.

2 A combinatorial interpretation: lattice paths

Let $S$ be a fixed subset of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. A lattice path $\Gamma$ of length $\ell$ with steps in $S$ is a $\ell$-tuple of directed steps of $S$. That is $\Gamma = (s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$ where $s_i \in S$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let $a(n, m)$ be the number of lattice paths from the point $(0, 0)$ to the point $(n, m)$ with step set $S = \{H = (1, 0), V = (0, 1)\}$. It is clear that $a(n, m) = \binom{n+m}{n}$. Let $A$ be the infinite lower triangular matrix defined by $A := [a(n - m, m)]_{n,m \geq 0} = \left[\binom{n}{m}\right]_{n,m \geq 0}$. The matrix $A$ coincides with the Pascal matrix. Among the many properties of the Pascal matrix, it is known that the sum of the elements on the rising diagonal is the Fibonacci sequence $A000045$, i.e., for $n \geq 1$

$$F_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor} \binom{n-i-1}{i}.$$ 

From this combinatorial interpretation, we conclude that $F_n$ counts the number of lattice paths from $(0, 0)$ to $(n - 2i - 1, i)$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$. For example, Figure 2 shows the paths for $n = 5$, i.e., the paths counted by the Fibonacci number $F_5 = 5$.

![Figure 2: Lattices paths counted by the Fibonacci number $F_5$.](image)
The goal of this section is to generalize the above results for the $k$-Pell numbers. In particular, we introduce a family of matrices $P_k$ from a family of generalized paths. These matrices satisfy that the row sum coincides with the $k$-Pell numbers; see Corollary 5.

Let $\mathbb{P}_k(n,m)$ denote the set of lattice paths from the point $(0,0)$ to the point $(n,m)$ with step set

$$S_k := \{ H = (1,0), V = (0,1), D_1 = (1,1), D_2 = (1,2), \ldots, D_k = (1,k) \}.$$ 

In Figure 3, we show all lattice paths of the set $\mathbb{P}_2(1,3)$.

![Figure 3: Lattices paths in $\mathbb{P}_2(1,3)$.](image)

Let $p_k(n,m)$ be the number of lattice paths of $\mathbb{P}_k(n,m)$, i.e., $p_k(n,m) := |\mathbb{P}_k(n,m)|$. Since the last step on any path from $\mathbb{P}_k(n,m)$ is one of $S_k$, we obtain the recurrence relation:

$$p_k(n,m) = p_k(n-1,m) + p_k(n,m-1) + p_k(n-1,m-1) + p_k(n-1,m-2) + \cdots + p_k(n-1,m-k),$$

with $n \geq 1, m \geq k$, and the initial conditions $p_k(0,m) = 1 = p_k(n,0)$. For example, for $k = 2$ the first few values of the sequence $p_2(n,m)$ are

![Values of $p_2(n,m)$](image)

Let $P_n^{(k)}(x)$ be the ordinary generating function of the sequence $\{p_k(n,m)\}_m$. That is,

$$P_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} p_k(n,i)x^i.$$ 

In Theorem 1 we find an expression for the generating function $P_n^{(k)}(x)$. 

4
Theorem 1. We have
\[ P_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{(1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^k)^n}{(1 - x)^{n+1}}. \]

Proof. From equation (1), we obtain the relation
\[ P_n^{(k)}(x) = P_{n-1}^{(k)}(x) + xP_n^{(k)}(x) + xP_{n-1}^{(k)}(x) + x^2P_{n-1}^{(k)}(x) + \cdots + x^kP_{n-1}^{(k)}(x). \]
Thus
\[ P_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^k}{1 - x}P_{n-1}^{(k)}(x). \]
Since \( P_0 = 1/(1 - x) \), we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 2. The number of lattice paths \( p_k(n, m) \) is given by
\[ p_k(n, m) = \sum_{n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n} \binom{n}{n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_k} \frac{(n + m - t)}{n}, \]
where \( t = \sum_{s=0}^{k} s\ell_s \) and
\[ \binom{n}{n_1, \ldots, n_m} = \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_m!} \]
is the multinomial coefficient.

Proof. From the multinomial theorem, the generating function
\[ \frac{1}{(1 - x)^{n+1}} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n + i}{i} x^i, \]
and Theorem 1, we have that
\[ p_k(n, m) = [x^m] P_n^{(k)}(x) = [x^m] \frac{1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^k)^n}{(1 - x)^{n+1}} \]
\[ = [x^m] \sum_{n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n} \binom{n}{n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_k} \prod_{s=0}^{k} x^{s\ell_s} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n + i}{i} x^i \]
\[ = [x^m] \sum_{n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n}{n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_k} \binom{n + i}{i} x^{t+i}, \]
where \( t = \sum_{s=0}^{k} s\ell_s \). By comparing the \( m \)-th coefficient we obtain the desired result. \( \square \)
For example,
\[ p_2(1,3) = \sum_{\ell_0+\ell_1+\ell_2=1} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 + 3 - (\ell_1 + 2\ell_2) \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 1, 0, 0 \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 0, 1, 0 \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0, 0, 1 \end{array} \right) = 4 + 3 + 2 = 9. \]

In Figure 3, we show the corresponding lattice paths.

Let \( P_k := [q_k(n,m)]_{n,m \geq 0} \) be the array defined by
\[ q_k(n,m) = \begin{cases} p_k(m,n-m), & \text{if } n \geq m; \\ 0, & \text{if } n < m. \end{cases} \]

For example, the first few rows of the array \( P_2 \) are as follows (see also A102036).

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 9 & 15 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 12 & 33 & 28 & 9 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 15 & 60 & 81 & 45 & 11 & 1 \\
1 & 18 & 96 & 189 & 161 & 66 & 13 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This new family of matrices \( P_k \) are an example of a Riordan array. Remember that an infinite lower triangular matrix is called a Riordan array [18] if its \( k \)th column satisfies the generating function \( g(x) (f(x))^k \) for \( k \geq 0 \), where \( g(x) \) and \( f(x) \) are formal power series with \( g(0) \neq 0 \), \( f(0) = 0 \) and \( f'(0) \neq 0 \). The matrix corresponding to the pair \( f(x), g(x) \) is denoted by \( (g(x), f(x)) \). If we multiply \( (g, f) \) by a column vector \( (c_0, c_1, \ldots)^T \) with the generating function \( h(x) \), then the resulting column vector has generating function \( g(x)h(f(x)) \). This property is known as the fundamental theorem of Riordan arrays or summation property.

The product of two Riordan arrays \( (g(x), f(x)) \) and \( (h(x), l(x)) \) is defined by
\[ (g(x), f(x)) \ast (h(x), l(x)) = (g(x)h(f(x)), l(f(x))). \]
We recall that the set of all Riordan matrices is a group under the operator “ \( \ast \) ” [18]. The identity element is \( I = (1, x) \), and the inverse of \( (g(x), f(x)) \) is
\[ (g(x), f(x))^{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{g \circ \overline{f}}(x), \overline{f}(x) \right), \]
where \( \overline{f}(x) \) is the compositional inverse of \( f(x) \). For example, the Pascal matrix is given by the Riordan array
\[ \left( \frac{1}{1 - x}, \frac{x}{1 - x} \right). \]
Several authors have used Riordan arrays to study lattice paths; see for example [4, 6, 13, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

From the definition of Riordan array and Theorem 1 we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** The matrix \( P_k \) is a Riordan array given by

\[
P_k = \left( \frac{1}{1-x}, \frac{1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^k}{1-x} \right).
\]

**Proof.** The \((n,m)\)-th entry of the Riordan array is given by

\[
[x^n] \frac{1}{1-x} \left( x \frac{1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^k}{1-x} \right)^m = [x^{n-m}] \frac{(1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^k)^m}{(1-x)^{m+1}}
\]

\[
= [x^{n-m}] P_m^{(k)}(x)
\]

\[= p_k(m, n-m) = q_k(n, m).
\]

Hence the matrices are the same. \(\square\)

Let \( R_k(x) \) be the generating function for the rows sums of the matrix \( P_k \). In Theorem 4 we give an expression for this generating function.

**Theorem 4.** The generating function \( R_k(x) \) is given by

\[
R_k(x) = \frac{1}{1 - 2x - x^2 - \cdots - x^{k+1}}.
\]

**Proof.** From the summation property for the Riordan arrays we have

\[
R_k(x) = P_k \left( \frac{1}{1-x} \right) = \frac{1}{1-x} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x + \frac{1}{1-x} + \cdots + \frac{1}{1-x^k}} \right) = \frac{1}{1 - 2x - x^2 - \cdots - x^{k+1}}.
\]

\(\square\)

By using standard methods, it is possible to prove that the ordinary generating function of the \( k \)-Pell sequence is

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} P_n^{(k)} x^n = \frac{1}{1 - 2x - x^2 - \cdots - x^k}.
\]

Thus we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.** The \( k \)-Pell numbers \( P_n^{(k)} \) coincide with the row sum of the matrix \( P_{k-1} \).

For example, the row sum of the matrix \( P_2 \) coincides with the 3-Pell numbers \( A077939: \)

\[1, 2, 5, 13, 33, 84, 214, 545, 1388, 3535, 9003, \cdots\]

In Corollary 6 we deduce a possibly new combinatorial identity for the \( k \)-Pell numbers.
Corollary 6. The $k$-Pell numbers $P^{(k)}_n$ are given by the combinatorial identity

$$P^{(k)}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell_0 + \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{k-1} = i} \binom{i}{\ell_0, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{k-1}} \binom{n-t}{i},$$

where $t = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j \ell_j$.

Proof. From Corollaries 2 and 5 we have

$$P^{(k)}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q_{k-1}(n, i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{k-1}(i, n-i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell_0 + \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{k-1} = i} \binom{i}{\ell_0, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{k-1}} \binom{n-t}{i}.$$

Finally, from the relation $P^{(k)}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{k-1}(i, n-i)$ we deduce the following combinatorial interpretation.

Theorem 7. The $k$-Pell number $P^{(k)}_{n+1}$ counts the number of lattice paths from the point $(0, 0)$ to $(n-i, i)$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, with step set

$$S_k = \{H = (1, 0), V = (0, 1), D_1 = (1, 1), D_2 = (1, 2), \ldots, D_k = (1, k)\}.$$ 

For example, the 3-Pell number $P^{(3)}_4 = 13$ counts the paths of Figure 4.

![Figure 4: Lattices paths counted by $P^{(3)}_4$.](image)

We recall that the Fibonacci numbers are equal to the sum on the rising diagonal in the Pascal matrix. In Theorem 8 we give an analogue of this result for the $k$-Pell sequence.

Theorem 8. The $k$-Pell numbers $P^{(k)}_n$ coincide with the sum of the elements on rising diagonal lines in the Riordan array

$$Q_k := \left( \frac{1}{1-2x}, \frac{x^2 + \cdots + x^{k-2}}{1-2x} \right).$$
Proof. The generating function of the sum of the elements on rising diagonal lines in the above Riordan array is

$$\frac{1}{1 - 2x} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^2 \left( \frac{1 + x + x^2 + \ldots + x^{k-2}}{1 - 2x} \right)} \right) = \frac{1}{1 - 2x - x^2 - \ldots - x^k} = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n^{(k)} x^n.$$ 

\[\Box\]

For example, the diagonal sum of the Riordan array \(Q_2\) (see also \[A038207\]) coincides with the classical Pell numbers

\[Q_2 = \left( \frac{1}{1 - 2x} : \frac{x}{1 - 2x} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 12 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 16 & 32 & 24 & 8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 32 & 80 & 80 & 40 & 10 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 64 & 192 & 240 & 160 & 60 & 12 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 128 & 448 & 672 & 560 & 280 & 84 & 14 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The diagonal sum of the Riordan array \(Q_3\) coincides with the 3-Pell numbers

\[Q_3 = \left( \frac{1}{1 - 2x} : \frac{1 + x}{1 - 2x} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 16 & 8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 16 & 44 & 37 & 11 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 32 & 112 & 134 & 67 & 14 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 64 & 272 & 424 & 305 & 106 & 17 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 128 & 640 & 1232 & 1168 & 584 & 154 & 20 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.
\]
The Riordan arrays obtained in this section show interesting patterns if you evaluated their entries mod 2. In Figure 5 we show the fractal structure of the matrix $P_2$. Notice that Merlini and Nocentini [12] have studied some relations between Riordan arrays and fractal patterns. In a forthcoming paper we will study the $p$-adic valuation for the $k$-Pell sequence.

3 The generalized bi-colored compositions

The goal of this section is to consider a generalization of the concept of a bi-colored composition in order to give another combinatorial interpretation of the $k$-Pell numbers. Here and below, $n$ denotes a positive integer. In fact, we defined a generalized bi-colored composition of $n$ as a sequence of positive integers $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_\ell)$ such that $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_\ell = n$, and the summand 1 can take two colors. The colors of the summand 1 are denoted by subscripts $1_1$ and $1_2$. Further, the positive integers $\sigma_i$ are called parts of the composition.

We let $A_n$ denote the set of all generalized bi-colored compositions of $n$ and let $C(n)$ denote the number of elements in $A_n$, i.e., $C(n) := |A_n|$. We also use $C_k(n)$ to denote the number of generalized bi-colored compositions of $n$ with parts in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$.

For example,

$$A_3 = \{3, 2 + 1_1, 2 + 1_2, 1_1 + 2, 1_1 + 2_1 + 1_1 + 1_1, 1_1 + 1_1 + 1_2, 1_1 + 1_2 + 1_1, 1_2 + 1_1 + 1_2, 1_2 + 1_1 + 1_2, 1_2 + 1_1 + 1_2 + 1_2 + 1_2\}.$$

Therefore, $C(3) = 13$. Finally, let $F_n$ denote the set of classical compositions of $n$ with parts in $\{1, 2\}$. It is well-known that

$$|F_n| = F_{n+1} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1.$$
With the above notation, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** There is a bijection from $\mathbb{A}_n$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2n}$. So

$$|\mathbb{A}_n| = |\mathbb{F}_{2n}| = F_{2n+1} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1.$$  

**Proof.** The result clearly holds for $n = 1$, so we assume that $n \geq 2$. We shall define the map $\varphi$ from $\mathbb{A}_n$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2n}$ as follows:

$$
(1, 1) \mapsto (2), \quad (1, 2) \mapsto (1, 1), \quad (2) \mapsto (1, 2), \quad (3) \mapsto (1, 2, 2), \quad \ldots, (n) \mapsto (1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1)
$$

For every composition $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_\ell)$ in $\mathbb{A}_n$, we define

$$\varphi(\sigma) = (\varphi(\sigma_1), \varphi(\sigma_2), \ldots, \varphi(\sigma_\ell)).$$

For example,

$$\varphi(3, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 4) = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1).$$

Note that if $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}_n$, then $\varphi(\sigma)$ is a composition of $2n$ with parts in $\{1, 2\}$, i.e., $\varphi(\sigma) \in \mathbb{F}_{2n}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}_n$. Thus $\varphi$ is well defined.

Let $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m), (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s) \in \mathbb{A}_n$ and suppose that $\varphi(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) = \varphi(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s)$. By definition, we get that $m = s$ and $\varphi(\alpha_i) = \varphi(\beta_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. Hence $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for all $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and so $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s)$. Thus $\varphi$ is injective.

It remains to prove that $\varphi$ is surjective. In order to do so, let $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell) \in \mathbb{F}_{2n}$. Notice that $\beta_1 = 1$ or $\beta_1 = 2$. Suppose first that $\beta_1 = 1$. In this case, since $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2n}$, we have that $\beta_i = 1$ for some $i \in \{2, \ldots, \ell\}$. Let $j \in \{2, \ldots, \ell\}$ be the lowest index such that $\beta_j = 1$. If $j = \ell$, then $\beta = \varphi(\ell - 1)$. If $j = 2$, then we get that $\beta = (\varphi(1_1), \beta')$ for some $\beta' \in \mathbb{F}_{2n-2}$. Now, if $2 < j < \ell$, then $\beta = (\varphi(j - 1), \beta')$ for some $\beta' \in \mathbb{F}_{2n-2j+2}$. If, on the contrary, $\beta_1 = 2$, then we have that $\beta = (\varphi(1_2), \beta')$ for some $\beta' \in \mathbb{F}_{2n-2}$. We conclude from the previous analysis that $\beta = \varphi(\ell - 1)$ or $\beta = (\varphi(1_1), \beta')$ for some $\alpha_1 \in \{1_1, 1_2, j - 1\}$ and $\beta' \in \mathbb{F}_{2n-2\alpha_1}$. If $\beta = \varphi(\ell - 1)$, then we are through. Otherwise, we repeat the argument given above with $\beta$ replaced by $\beta'$. Repeating the above argument, as many times as needed, we finally obtain that $\beta = \varphi(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ for some $m \geq 2$ and $\alpha_i \in \{1_1, 1_2, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Thus $\varphi$ is surjective, and so the proof of Theorem 9 is complete. For example, if $\beta = (2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2)$, then

$$\beta = (\varphi(1_2), \varphi(2), \varphi(1_1), \varphi(3), \varphi(1_2)).$$

By using the above theorem and taking into account that the compositions of $n$ use parts at most $n$, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 10. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Then

$$C_k(n) = |A_n| = F_{2n+1} \quad \text{holds for all } n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k.$$ 

The following result establishes a relationship between compositions with parts in the set $\{1, 1_2, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and the $k$-generalized Pell numbers.

Theorem 11. The generalized Pell number $P_{n+1}^{(k)}$ counts the number of compositions of $n$ with parts in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that the summand 1 can take two colors. Namely,

$$C_k(n) = P_{n+1}^{(k)}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $\sigma$ be a generalized bi-colored composition of $n$ with parts in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. If $\sigma$ starts with 1, then it must be followed by a bi-colored generalized composition of $n-1$ with parts in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Since the summand 1 can take two colors, we have $2C_k(n-1)$ possibilities for $\sigma$ in this case. Now, if $\sigma$ starts with $\sigma_1 \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k\}$, then $\sigma$ must be followed by a composition of $n-\sigma_1$. Thus, by the addition principle, the number of generalized bi-colored compositions of $n$ with parts in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ is given by $C_k(n) = 2C_k(n-1) + C_k(n-2) + \cdots + C_k(n-k)$. Finally, note that $C_k(n)$ satisfies the $k$-generalized Pell recurrence with $C_k(1) = 2 = P_2^{(k)}$ and $C_k(2) = 5 = P_3^{(k)}$. This proves (2).

Finally, from Corollary 10 we deduce the following statement, which was also proved by Kiliç [9] by using arithmetic arguments.

Corollary 12. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Then

$$P_{n+1}^{(k)} = F_{2n+1} \quad \text{holds for all } 1 \leq n \leq k.$$ 
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