

## A VARIANT OF A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF BROWDER-FAN AND REICH

V. M. SEHGAL

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wyoming  
Laramie, Wyoming 82071 U.S.A.

(Received January 8, 1985)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S$  be a convex, weakly compact subset of a locally convex Hausdorff space  $(E, \tau)$  and  $f: S \rightarrow E$  be a continuous multifunction from its weak topology  $\omega$  to  $\tau$ . Let  $p$  be a continuous seminorm on  $(E, \tau)$  and for subsets  $A, B$ , of  $E$ , let  $p(A, B) = \inf\{p(x - y) : x \in A, y \in B\}$ . In this paper, sufficient conditions are developed for the existence of an  $x \in S$  satisfying  $p(x, fx) = p(fx, S)$ . The result is then used to prove several fixed point theorems.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Multifunctions, convex topology, fixed points.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** 47H10, 60H25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(E, \tau)$  be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space with topology  $\tau$  and  $E^* = (E, \tau)^*$  be its topological dual. Let  $\omega = \omega(E, E^*)$  be the weak topology of  $E$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  denote the family of continuous semi-norms generating the topologies  $\tau$  and  $\omega$  respectively. For sets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $E$  and a  $p \in P$ , let  $p(A, B) = \inf\{p(x - y) : x \in A, y \in B\}$ . In this paper, we prove the following result.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $S$  be a nonempty convex,  $\omega$ -compact subset of  $E$  and  $f: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  be a continuous multifunction such that  $f(x)$  is convex and  $\omega$ -compact for each  $x \in S$ . Then for each  $p \in P$  there exists a  $x \in S$  satisfying

$$p(x, fx) = p(fx, S). \quad (1.1)$$

Further if  $p(x, fx) > 0$  then  $x \in \partial(S, \omega) \cap \partial(S, \tau)$  where  $\partial$  denotes the boundary.

It may be remarked the since  $\omega \subseteq \tau$ ,  $f$  in Theorem 1 is also a continuous multifunction from  $(S, \omega) \rightarrow (E, \omega)$ . Consequently it follows by Reich (Lemma 1.6 [1]) that each  $q \in Q$  satisfies (1.1) for some  $x \in S$ . However, since  $Q \subseteq P$ , the lemma in [1] is not applicable for arbitrary  $p \in P$ . In fact, Theorem 1 contains the above lemma [1] (see Corollary 2) and it provides a generalization of a well-known result of Ky Fan [2] for single valued mappings.

### 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

Recall that if  $X, Y$  are topological spaces then a multifunction  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  ( $fx \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x$ ) is upper (lower) semicontinuous iff for each closed (open) sub-

set  $A$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X: f(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$  is a closed (open) subset of  $X$ . It follows by definition that  $f$  is l.s.c. iff  $fx \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for some open set  $U$  of  $Y$  and  $x$  in  $X$  then  $fz \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for each  $z$  in some neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ . Further, it is well-known (i) that if  $f$  is u.s.c. and a net  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  and  $y_\alpha \rightarrow y$  in  $Y$  with  $y_\alpha \in fx_\alpha$  then  $y \in fx$ ; (ii) if  $X$  is compact and  $f$  is u.s.c. with compact values then  $fX$  is compact. A multifunction which is both u.s.c. and l.s.c. is called continuous.

We prove two lemmas that simplify the proof of Theorem 1. Throughout, let  $E$  be as stated in the beginning and  $S$  a nonempty subset of  $E$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $A, B$  be  $\omega$ -compact sets of  $E$  and  $p \in P$ . Then  $p(A, B) = p(x, B) = p(x - y)$  for some  $x \in A, y \in B$ .

PROOF. Choose sequences  $\{x_n\} \subseteq A, \{y_n\} \subseteq B$  such that  $p(x_n - y_n) \downarrow p(A, B)$ . We may assume that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly for some  $x \in A$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  weakly for some  $y \in B$ . By Hahn Banach Theorem (see [3], Cor. 2, p. 29) there exists a  $x^* \in E^*$  with  $x^*(x - y) = p(x - y)$  and  $|x^*(u)| \leq p(u)$  for each  $u \in E$ . Consequently, since  $x_n - y_n \rightarrow x - y$  weakly,

$$p(x, B) \leq p(x - y) = x^*(x - y) = \lim |x^*(x_n - y_n)| \leq \underline{\lim} p(x_n - y_n) = p(A, B) \leq p(x, B)$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $S$  be  $\omega$ -compact subset of  $E$  and  $f: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  be a l.s.c. multifunction with weakly compact values. If a net  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  weakly in  $S$ , then for each  $p \in P$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $p(fx_\alpha, S) \leq p(fx, S) + \epsilon$  eventually.

PROOF. It follows by Lemma 1 that there is a  $y \in fx$  with  $p(fx, S) = p(y, S)$ . Let  $U = \{x \in E: p(x - y) < \epsilon\}$ . Then  $U$  is  $\tau$ -open and  $y \in fx \cap U$ . Hence by l.s.c.,  $fx_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset$  eventually. For such  $\alpha$ , let  $y_\alpha \in fx_\alpha \cap U$ . Then eventually,

$$p(fx_\alpha, S) \leq p(y_\alpha, S) \leq p(y_\alpha - y) + p(y, S) \leq p(fx, S) + \epsilon.$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $p \in P$ . Define a multifunction  $g: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (S, \omega)$  by  $g(x) = \{y \in S: p(y, fx) = p(fx, S)\}$ .

Then by Lemma 1,  $g(x) \neq \emptyset$  and is clearly convex. Further, since  $S$  is  $\tau$ -closed and for any  $y, z \in g(x)$ , the triangular inequality implies

$$|p(y, fx) - p(z, fx)| \leq p(y - z).$$

It follows  $g(x)$  is  $\tau$ -closed convex and hence a  $\omega$ -compact subset of  $S$ . We show that  $g$  is u.s.c. Let  $C$  be a weakly closed (hence weakly compact) subset of  $S$ .

We show that  $x \in g^{-1}(C)$ , that is  $g(x) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . Choose for each  $\alpha$ ,  $y_\alpha \in gx_\alpha \cap C$ .

We may assume that  $y_\alpha \rightarrow y$  weakly for some  $y \in C$ . Also since  $p(y_\alpha, fx_\alpha) = p(fx_\alpha, S)$ , there exists  $z_\alpha \in fx_\alpha$  with  $p(y_\alpha - z_\alpha) = p(fx_\alpha, S)$ . Further  $f: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (E, \omega)$  being u.s.c., it follows that  $fS$  is weakly compact and hence we may assume that  $z_\alpha \rightarrow z$  weakly for some  $z \in fx$ . Thus  $y_\alpha - z_\alpha \rightarrow y - z$  weakly. Choose as before a  $x^* \in E^*$  such that  $x^*(y - z) = p(y - z)$  and  $|x^*(u)| \leq p(u)$  for each  $u \in E$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$  such that  $p(fx_\alpha, S) \leq p(fx, S) + \epsilon$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Conse-

quently, for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$   $|x^*(y_\alpha - z_\alpha)| \leq p(fx_\alpha, S) \leq p(fx, S) + \epsilon$  and hence

$$p(y, fx) \leq p(y - z) = \lim |x^*(y_\alpha - z_\alpha)| \leq p(fx, S) + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and  $p(fx, S) \leq p(y, fx)$ , we have  $p(y, fx) = p(fx, S)$  that is  $y \in g(x) \cap C$ . Thus  $g$  is u.s.c. Hence by Glicksberg [4] there exists a  $x \in S$  with  $x \in g(x)$ . This implies  $p(x, fx) = p(fx, S)$ .

Now, suppose  $p(x, fx) > 0$ . Then  $fx \cap S = \emptyset$ . Choose by Lemma 1, a  $y \in fx$  satisfying  $p(x - y) = p(x, fx)$ . Now, if  $x \in \text{int}(S, \omega)$  or  $\text{int}(S, \tau)$ , then since  $S$  being weakly closed and convex, there is a  $z \in (x, y) \cap S$  with  $0 < p(fx, S) \leq p(y - z) < p(x - y) = p(fx, S)$ , a contradiction. This proves the result.

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $S$  be a convex and weakly compact set in  $E$  and  $f: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  be a continuous multifunction with convex and  $\omega$ -compact values. Then either  $f$  has a fixed point or there exists a  $p \in P$  and  $x \in S$  satisfying  $0 < p(x, fx) = p(fx, S)$ .

**PROOF.** For each  $p \in P$ , let  $x_p \in S$  satisfying (1). If  $p(x_p, fx_p) = 0$  for each  $p \in P$ , then using the implication that  $f: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (S, \omega)$  is continuous, it follows that  $A_p = \{x \in S: p(x, fx) = 0\}$  is nonempty, weakly compact and the family  $\{A_p: p \in P\}$  has finite intersection property. Consequently, there exists  $x \in S$  with  $p(x, fx) = 0$  for each  $p \in P$ . Now, if  $x \notin fx$ , then since  $x - fx$  is  $\tau$ -closed and convex and  $0 \notin x - fx$ , there exists (see [3], Cor. 1, p. 30) a  $x^* \in E^*$  such that  $0 \notin \{x^*(x - y): y \in fx\}$ . Let  $p = |x^*|$ . Then  $p \in P$  and  $p(x, fx) \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

The following corollaries result from Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 2.** (Reich [1]). Let  $S$  be a compact and convex in  $(E, \tau)$  and  $f: (S, \tau) \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  be a continuous multifunction with convex and compact values. Then either  $f$  has a fixed point or there exists a  $p \in P$  and  $x \in S$  satisfying  $0 < p(x, fx) = p(fx, S)$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** (Waters [5]). Let  $S$  be a compact and convex subset of  $(E, \tau)$  and  $f: (S, \tau) \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  be a continuous multifunction with convex and weakly compact values. Then for each  $p \in P$ , there exists a  $x \in S$  satisfying (1.1).

**PROOF.** It suffices to show that the hypotheses in Corollary 2 and Corollary 3 imply that  $f: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  is a continuous multifunction. Let  $A$  be  $\tau$ -closed in  $E$ . Then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\tau$ -compact subset of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is weakly closed, it follows that  $f^{-1}(A)$  is weakly closed. Thus  $f$  is u.s.c. Similarly if  $A$  is  $\tau$ -open set in  $E$  then  $S \setminus f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(E \setminus A)$  is  $\omega$ -closed and hence  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\omega$ -open. Thus  $f$  is l.s.c.

In the setting of semi-reflexive locally convex spaces, we have

**COROLLARY 4.** Let  $S$  be a closed, bounded and convex subset of a semi-reflexive locally convex space  $E$ . If  $f: (S, \omega) \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  is continuous multifunction with closed, bounded and convex values then for each  $p \in P$ , there exists  $x \in S$  satisfying (1.1).

\*Theorem 1 of this paper was presented at the summer meeting of the Amer. Math. Society, (1983), Albany, New York.

## REFERENCES

1. REICH, S. Fixed point theorems in locally convex spaces, Math. Z. 125 (1972), pp. 17-31.
2. KY FAN Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder, Math. Z. 112 (1969), pp. 234-240.
3. ROBERTSON, A. P., and W. J. Topological Vector Spaces, Cambridge, University Press (1966).
4. GLICKSBERG, I. L. A further generalization of Kakutani's fixed point theorem with application to Nash equilibrium points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), pp. 170-174.
5. WATERS, C. Ph.D. Thesis (1984), University of Wyoming.