

A REMARK ON THE INTERSECTION OF THE CONJUGATES OF THE BASE OF QUASI-HNN GROUPS

R. M. S. MAHMOOD

Received 25 September 2003

Quasi-HNN groups can be characterized as a generalization of HNN groups. In this paper, we show that if G^* is a quasi-HNN group of base G , then either any two conjugates of G are identical or their intersection is contained in a conjugate of an associated subgroup of G .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F65, 20E07, 20E08.

1. Introduction. In [8, Lemma 3.15, page 152], Scott and Wall proved that if $G = G_1 *_C G_2$ is a nontrivial free product with amalgamation group, then either $gG_1g^{-1} \cap G_i$ is a subgroup of a conjugate of C , or $i = 1$ and $g \in G_1$, so that $gG_1g^{-1} \cap G_i = G_1$. In this paper we generalize such a result to groups acting on trees with inversions and then apply the result we obtain to a new class of groups called quasi-HNN groups, introduced in [2]. This paper is divided into five sections. In [Section 2](#), we give basic definitions. In [Section 3](#), we have notations related to groups acting on trees with inversions. In [Section 4](#), we discuss the intersections of vertex stabilizers of groups acting on trees with inversions. In [Section 5](#), we apply the results of [Section 4](#) to a tree product of groups and of quasi-HNN groups.

2. Groups acting on graphs. In this section, we begin by recalling some definitions taken from [3, 7]. First we give formal definitions related to groups acting on graphs with inversions. By a *graph* X we understand a pair of disjoint sets $V(X)$ called the set of *vertices* and $E(X)$ called the set of *edges*, with $V(X)$ nonempty, equipped with two maps $E(X) \rightarrow V(X) \times V(X)$, $y \rightarrow (o(y), t(y))$, and $E(X) \rightarrow E(X)$, $y \rightarrow \bar{y}$, satisfying the conditions $\overline{\bar{y}} = y$ and $o(\bar{y}) = t(y)$ for all $y \in E(X)$. The case $\bar{y} = y$ is possible for some $y \in E(X)$. For $y \in E(X)$, $o(y)$ and $t(y)$ are called the *ends* of y and \bar{y} is called the *inverse* of y . There are obvious definitions of trees, morphisms of graphs, and $\text{Aut}(X)$, the set of all automorphisms of the graph X which is a group under the composition of morphisms. We say that a group G *acts* on a graph X if there is a group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$. If $x \in X$ (vertex or edge) and $g \in G$, we write $g(x)$ for $(\phi(g))(x)$. Thus if $g \in G$ and $y \in E(X)$, then $g(o(y)) = o(g(y))$, $g(t(y)) = t(g(y))$, and $g(\bar{y}) = \overline{g(y)}$. The case $g(y) = \bar{y}$ for some $g \in G$ and $y \in E(X)$ may occur. That is, G acts with inversions on X .

We have the following definitions related to the action of the group G on the graph X .

- (1) If $x \in X$ (vertex or edge), define $G(x)$ to be the set $G(x) = \{g(x) : g \in G\}$. This set is called the *orbit* that contains x .

- (2) If $x, y \in X$, define $G(x, y)$ to be the set $G(x, y) = \{g \in G : g(x) = y\}$, and $G(x, x) = G_x$, the stabilizer of x . Thus, $G(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x and y are in the same orbit. If $y \in E(X)$ and $u \in \{o(y), t(y)\}$, then it is clear that $G_{\bar{y}} = G_y$ and $G_y \leq G_u$.
- (3) If X is connected, then a subtree T of X is called a tree of *representatives* for the action of the group G on X if T contains exactly one vertex from each vertex orbit, and the subgraph Y of X containing T is called a *fundamental domain* if each edge of Y has at least one end in T , and Y contains exactly one edge y from each edge orbit such that $G(y, \bar{y}) = \emptyset$, and exactly one pair x, \bar{x} from each edge orbit such that $G(x, \bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$.

3. Notations. Let G be a group acting on a tree X with inversions, let T be a tree of representatives for the action of G on X , and let Y be a fundamental domain. We have the following notations.

- (1) For any vertex v of X , let v^* be the unique vertex of T such that $G(v, v^*) \neq \emptyset$. That is, v and v^* are in the same vertex orbit.
- (2) For each edge y of Y , define the following:
 - (i) $[y]$ is an element of $G(t(y), (t(y))^*)$. That is, $[y]((t(y))^*) = t(y)$ is chosen as follows:
 - (a) if $o(y) \in V(T)$, then $[y] = 1$ in case $y \in E(T)$, and $y = \bar{y}$ if $G(y, \bar{y}) \neq \emptyset$,
 - (b) if $o(y) \notin V(T)$, then $[y] = [\bar{y}]^{-1}$ if $G(y, \bar{y}) = \emptyset$, otherwise $[y] = [\bar{y}]$ if $G(y, \bar{y}) \neq \emptyset$;
 - (ii) $-y$ is the edge $-y = [y]^{-1}(y)$ if $o(y) \in V(T)$, otherwise $-y = y$;
 - (iii) $+y$ is the edge $+y = [y](-y)$. It is clear that $t(-y) = (t(y))^*$, $o(+y) = (o(y))^*$, $G_{-y} \leq G_{(t(y))^*}$, $(-\bar{y}) = +(\bar{y})$, and $G_{+y} \leq G_{(o(y))^*}$. Moreover, if $G(y, \bar{y}) \neq \emptyset$, or $y \in E(T)$, then $G_{-y} = G_{+y} = G_y$;
 - (iv) ϕ_y is the map $\phi_y : G_{-y} \rightarrow G_{+y}$ given by $\phi_y(g) = [y]g[y]^{-1}$;
 - (v) δ_y is the element $\delta_y = [y][\bar{y}]$. It is clear that ϕ_y is an isomorphism and $\delta_y = 1$ if $G(y, \bar{y}) = \emptyset$. Otherwise $\delta_y = [y]^2$.

4. On the intersection of vertex stabilizers of groups acting on trees with inversions. In this section, G will be a group acting on a tree X with inversions, T is a tree of representatives for the action of G on X , and Y is a fundamental domain. We have the following definition.

DEFINITION 4.1. A word w of G means an expression of the form $w = g_0 \cdot y_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot y_2 \cdot g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot y_n \cdot g_n$, $n \geq 0$, $y_i \in E(Y)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

- (1) $g_0 \in G_{(o(y_1))^*}$,
- (2) $g_i \in G_{(t(y_i))^*}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- (3) $(t(y_i))^* = (o(y_{i+1}))^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Define $o(w) = (o(y_1))^*$ and $t(w) = (t(y_n))^*$.

If $o(w) = t(w)$, then w is called a closed word of G of type v , $v = o(w)$.

The following concepts are related to the word w defined above:

- (i) n is called the *length* of w and is denoted by $|w| = n$,

- (ii) w is called a *trivial* word of G if $|w| = 0$ (or $w = g_0$),
- (iii) the *value* of w , denoted by $[w]$, is defined to be the element of G :

$$[w] = g_0[y_1]g_1[y_2]g_2 \cdots [y_n]g_n \tag{4.1}$$

- (iv) the inverse of w , denoted by w^{-1} , is defined to be the word of G :

$$w^{-1} = g_n^{-1} \cdot \bar{y}_n \cdot \delta_{y_n}^{-1} g_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots \cdots g_2^{-1} \cdot \bar{y}_2 \cdot \delta_{y_2}^{-1} g_1^{-1} \cdot \bar{y}_1 \cdot \delta_{y_1}^{-1} g_0^{-1}, \tag{4.2}$$

- (v) w is called *reduced* if w contains no subword of the form $y_i \cdot g_i \cdot \bar{y}_i$ if $g_i \in G_{-y_i}$, or $y_i \cdot g_i \cdot y_i$ if $g_i \in G_{y_i}$ if $G(y_i, \bar{y}_i) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let w be a nontrivial reduced word of G and let $a \in G_{o(w)}$ be such that $[w]^{-1}a[w] \in G_{[w](t(w))}$. Then there exists a reduced path x_1, \dots, x_n in X from $o(w)$ to $[w](t(w))$ such that $a \in G_{x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

PROOF. Let $w = g_0 \cdot y_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot y_2 \cdot g_2 \cdots \cdots y_n \cdot g_n$, $n \geq 1$. By assumption, $[w]^{-1}a[w] = b$, where $b \in G_{[w](t(w))}$. Consider the word

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= g_n^{-1} \cdot \bar{y}_n \cdot \delta_{y_n}^{-1} g_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots \cdots g_2^{-1} \cdot \bar{y}_2 \cdot \delta_{y_2}^{-1} g_1^{-1} \cdot \bar{y}_1 \\ &\quad \cdot \delta_{y_1}^{-1} g_0^{-1} a g_0 \cdot y_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot y_2 \cdot g_2 \cdots \cdots y_n \cdot g_n b^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Then w_0 is a nontrivial closed word of G such that $[w_0] = 1$, the identity element of G . Therefore by [4, Corollary 1], w_0 is not reduced. Since w is reduced, then w^{-1} is reduced. Therefore the only possibility that makes w_0 not reduced is $L_i^{-1}aL_i \in G_{-(\bar{y}_i)} = G_{-(\bar{y}_i)} = G_{+y_i}$, where $L_i = g_0[y_1]g_1[y_2]g_2 \cdots [y_{i-1}]g_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ with the convention that $[y_0] = 1$. Then $a \in L_i G_{+y_i} L_i^{-1} = G_{L_i(+y_i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By taking $x_i = L_i(+y_i)$, we see that $a \in G_{x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By the corollary of [5, Theorem 1], x_1, \dots, x_n is a reduced path in X from $o(w)$ to $[w](t(w))$. This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 4.3. *For any two vertices u and v of X , $G_u = G_v$ or $G_u \cap G_v$ is contained in G_x , where x is an edge in the reduced path in X joining u and v .*

PROOF. If $G_u = G_v$, we are done. Let $G_u \neq G_v$ and $h \in G_u \cap G_v$. Then it is clear that $u \neq v$. We need to show that h is in G_x , where x is an edge in the reduced path in X joining u and v . We have $u = f(u^*)$ and $v = g(v^*)$, where f and g are in G and u^* and v^* are the unique vertices of T such that $G(u, u^*) \neq \emptyset$ and $G(v, v^*) \neq \emptyset$. Then $h = f a f^{-1} = g b g^{-1}$, where $a \in G_{u^*}$ and $b \in G_{v^*}$. By [5, Lemma 2], there exists a reduced word $w = g_0 \cdot y_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot y_2 \cdot g_2 \cdots \cdots y_n \cdot g_n$ of G such that $o(w) = u$, $t(w) = v$, and $[w] = g \cdot w$ is nontrivial. For, if w is trivial, then $u^* = v^*$ and $f^{-1}g \in G_{u^*}$. This implies that $f^{-1}g(v^*) = u^*$, or equivalently $u = v$. This contradicts the assumption that $u \neq v$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a reduced path p_1, \dots, p_n in X joining $o(w) = u^*$ and $[w](t(w)) = f^{-1}g(v^*)$ such that $a \in G_{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $x_i = f(p_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then it is clear that x_1, \dots, x_n is the reduced path in X joining u and v and $h \in G_{x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This implies that $G_u \cap G_v \leq G_{x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This completes the proof. \square

We have the following corollaries of [Theorem 4.3](#).

COROLLARY 4.4. For any edge x of X , $G_{o(x)} = G_{t(x)}$ or $G_{o(x)} \cap G_{t(x)} = G_x$.

COROLLARY 4.5. Let u and v be two vertices of X and let x_1, \dots, x_n be the reduced path in X joining u and v such that $G_u \neq G_v$. Then $G_u \cap G_v \leq \prod_{i=1}^n G_{x_i}$.

COROLLARY 4.6. Let u and v be two vertices of X such that $G_u \neq G_v$ and let x be an edge in the reduced path in X joining u and v . Then $G_u \cap G_v \leq G_x$.

COROLLARY 4.7. Let u be a vertex of X and let v be a vertex of T . Then $G_u \cap G_v \leq G_x$, where x is an edge in the reduced path in X joining u and v , or $u^* = v$ and $G_u \cap G_v = G_v$.

COROLLARY 4.8. Let u be a vertex of X . Then $G_u \cap G_{u^*} \leq G_x$, where x is an edge in the reduced path in X joining u and u^* , or $u^* = u$ and $G_u \cap G_{u^*} = G_u$.

COROLLARY 4.9. For any edge y of Y , $G_{(o(y))^*} = G_{(t(y))^*}$, or $G_{(o(y))^*} \cap G_{(t(y))^*} \leq G_m$, where m is an edge in the reduced path in T joining $(o(y))^*$ and $(t(y))^*$.

5. Applications. In this section [Theorem 4.3](#) and its corollaries are applied to a non-trivial tree product of groups introduced in [1] and of quasi-HNN groups introduced in [2].

In [5, Lemma 8], Mahmood showed that if $G = \prod_{i \in I}^* (A_i, U_{jk} = U_{kj})$ is a nontrivial tree product of the groups $A_i, i \in I$, then there exists a tree X on which G acts without inversions such that any tree of representatives for the action of G on X equals the fundamental domain and for every vertex u of X and every edge x of X , G_u is a conjugate of A_i for some i in I and G_x is a conjugate of U_{ik} for some i, k in I .

In [6, Lemma 5.1], Mahmood and Khanfar showed that if G^* is the quasi-HNN group $G^* = \langle G, t_i, t_j \mid \text{rel } G, t_i A_i t_i^{-1} = B_i, t_j C_j t_j^{-1} = C_j, t_j^2 = c_j, i \in I, j \in J \rangle$, then there exists a tree X on which G^* acts with inversions such that G^* is transitive on $V(X)$ and for every vertex v of X and every edge x of X , G_v^* is a conjugate of G and G_x^* is a conjugate of $A_i, i \in I$, or a conjugate of $C_j, j \in J$.

Then by [Theorem 4.3](#), the following two propositions hold.

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let $G = \prod_{i \in I}^* (A_i, U_{jk} = U_{kj})$ be a nontrivial tree product of the groups $A_i, i \in J$. Then for any g in G and i and s in I , either $gA_i g^{-1} \cap A_s$ is contained in a conjugate of U_{jk} or $i = j, g \in A_i$, and $gA_i g^{-1} \cap A_i = A_i$. Moreover, if A_i and A_j are adjacent, then $A_i \cap A_j = U_{ij}$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let G^* be the quasi-HNN group

$$G^* = \langle G, t_i, t_j \mid \text{rel } G, t_i A_i t_i^{-1} = B_i, t_j C_j t_j^{-1} = C_j, t_j^2 = c_j, i \in I, j \in J \rangle. \tag{5.1}$$

Then for any $g \in G^*$, $gGg^{-1} \cap G$ is contained either in a conjugate of $A_i, i \in I$, or in a conjugate of $C_j, j \in J$, or $g \in G$ and $gGg^{-1} \cap G = G$.

REMARK 5.3. If $J = \emptyset$, then G^* is the HNN group $G^* = \langle G, t_i \mid \text{rel } G, t_i A_i t_i^{-1} = B_i, i \in I \rangle$. Then, for any $g \in G^*$, either $gGg^{-1} \cap G$ is contained in a conjugate $A_i, i \in I$, or $g \in G$ and $gGg^{-1} \cap G = G$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank the referees for their sincere evaluation and constructive comments which improved the paper considerably.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *The subgroups of a free product of two groups with an amalgamated subgroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 227-255.
- [2] M. I. Khanfar and R. M. S. Mahmood, *On quasi HNN groups*, Kuwait J. Sci. Engrg. **29** (2002), no. 2, 13-24.
- [3] R. M. S. Mahmood, *Presentation of groups acting on trees with inversions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **113** (1989), no. 3-4, 235-241.
- [4] ———, *The normal form theorem of groups acting on trees with inversions*, J. Univ. Kuwait Sci. **18** (1991), 7-16.
- [5] ———, *The subgroup theorem for groups acting on trees*, Kuwait J. Sci. Engrg. **25** (1998), no. 1, 17-33.
- [6] R. M. S. Mahmood and M. I. Khanfar, *Subgroups of quasi-HNN groups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **31** (2002), no. 12, 731-743.
- [7] J.-P. Serre, *Arbres, Amalgames*, SL_2 , Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1977.
- [8] C. T. C. Wall, *Homological Group Theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.

R. M. S. Mahmood: Ajman University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 5102, Abu Dhabi, UAE

E-mail address: rasheedmsm@yahoo.com