

EXISTENCE THEOREMS FOR THE MATRIX RICCATI EQUATION

$$W' + WP(t)W + Q(t) = 0$$

ROBERT A. JONES

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Louisiana Tech University
Ruston, Louisiana 71272

(Received March 18, 1977 and in revised form September 27, 1977)

ABSTRACT. Sufficient conditions are established for the matrix Riccati equation to have a symmetric solution on a given interval. The criteria involve integral conditions on the coefficient matrices of the Riccati equation. The present results are compared with previously known results.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Consider the matrix Riccati equation

$$W' + WP(t)W + Q(t) = 0 \quad (' = \frac{d}{dt}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix functions of the real variable t . In what follows sufficient conditions will be established for (1.1) to have a symmetric solution on a given interval. The criteria involve integral conditions on the matrices $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$. There are very few sufficiency criteria in the literature and even fewer integral criteria. The

results will be compared with previously known results.

As for notation, capital letters will denote matrices and $P > 0$ ($P \geq 0$) indicates that the matrix P is positive definite (non-negative semi-definite). The notation $W < P$ or $P > W$ indicates $P - W > 0$ and similarly for $W \leq P$ or $P \geq W$. The transpose of a matrix P will be denoted by P^T and the $n \times n$ identity matrix will be denoted by I_n . When considering the real interval (a,b) we shall mean that $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$; when considering the real interval $[a,b)$ we shall mean that $-\infty < a < b \leq \infty$ and similarly for $(a,b]$ and $[a,b]$.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

THEOREM 1. Let $P(t)$, $Q(t)$, and $N(t)$ be $n \times n$ real symmetric and continuous matrices on $I = (a,b)$ (or any interval). Suppose for each t in I that $P(t) \geq 0$, $\int_a^t (N(s) + Q(s))ds$ exists and is finite, and

$$N(t) \geq \left[\int_a^t (N(s) + Q(s))ds \right] P(t) \left[\int_a^t (N(s) + Q(s))ds \right].$$

Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I .

PROOF. Let $V(t) = -\int_a^t (N(s) + Q(s))ds$ for each t in $I = (a,b)$ then $V'(t) = -N(t) - Q(t)$ and hence on I

$$V'(t) + V(t)P(t)V(t) + Q(t)$$

$$= \left[\int_a^t (N(s) + Q(s))ds \right] P(t) \left[\int_a^t (N(s) + Q(s))ds \right] - N(t) \leq 0.$$

Let $c \in I = (a,b)$ and let $W(t)$ be a symmetric solution of (1.1) such that $W(c) = V(c)$. By [1;p. 52] (or [4;p.122]) $W(t)$ is defined and satisfies $W(t) \geq V(t)$ on $[c,b)$. An extension of the results of [1;p.52] yields $W(t)$ defined and satisfying $W(t) \leq V(t)$ on $(a,c]$. Thus $W(t)$ exists on $I = (a,b)$.

The validity of the theorem on intervals $[a,b)$, $(a,b]$, or $[a,b]$ is now

evident. QED

Setting $N(t) = Q(t)$ in Theorem 1 we have the following known sufficiency criterion, [3;p.344].

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 1. Let $P(t) = I_n$ and let $Q(t) = Q^T(t)$ be an $n \times n$ real continuous matrix on $I = [0,1]$ with $Q(t) \geq 4 \left[\int_0^t Q(s)ds \right]^2$ for each t in I . Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I .

The following example illustrates that the matrix $Q(t)$ in Theorem 1 need not be non-negative semi-definite.

EXAMPLE. Let $p(t) = 1$, $q(t) = t - 1$, and $n(t) = t + 1$ on $I = [0,r]$ where r is the positive real root of $t^4 - t - 1 = 0$. Note that $1 < r$ and on $[0,r]$

$$0 \geq t^4 - t - 1.$$

Hence

$$t + 1 \geq t^4 = \left[\int_0^t 2s ds \right]^2 = \left[\int_0^t ((s + 1) + (s - 1)) ds \right]^2$$

or

$$n(t) \geq \left[\int_0^t (n(s) + q(s)) ds \right]^2.$$

Thus by Theorem 1, $w' + w^2 + q(t) = 0$ has a solution defined on $I = [0,r]$.

A companion result to Theorem 1 is the following.

THEOREM 2. Let $P(t)$, $Q(t)$, and $N(t)$ be $n \times n$ real symmetric and continuous matrices on $I = (a,b)$ (or any interval). Suppose for each t in I that $P(t) \geq 0$, $\int_t^b (N(s) + Q(s))ds$ exists and is finite, and

$$N(t) \geq \left[\int_t^b (N(s) + Q(s))ds \right] P(t) \left[\int_t^b (N(s) + Q(s))ds \right].$$

Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I .

PROOF. Let $V(t) = \int_t^b (N(s) + Q(s))ds$ for each t in $I = (a,b)$. Then $V' + VP(t)V + Q(t) = VP(t)V - N(t) \leq 0$ on I . The proof now proceeds as in the proof of Theorem 1. QED

The following corollary of Theorem 2 is also a known sufficiency criterion, [3;p.344].

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 2: Let $P(t) = I_n$ and let $Q(t) = Q^T(t)$ be an $n \times n$ real continuous matrix on $I = (0, \infty)$. Suppose $\int_1^\infty Q(s) ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t Q(s) ds$ exists and is finite, and either

$$(\alpha) \quad Q(t) \geq 4 \left[\int_t^\infty Q(s) ds \right]^2 \quad \text{on } I \text{ or}$$

$$(\beta) \quad -3I_n \leq 4t \int_t^\infty Q(s) ds \leq I_n \quad \text{on } I.$$

Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I .

PROOF. (a) In Theorem 2 take $a = 0$, $b = \infty$, $P(t) = I_n$ and $N(t) = Q(t)$.

(b) Since $-\frac{3}{4t} I_n \leq \int_t^\infty Q(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{4t} I_n$ then

$$0 \leq -\int_t^\infty Q(s) ds + \frac{1}{4t} I_n \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \frac{3}{4t} I_n + \int_t^\infty Q(s) ds.$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \frac{3}{16t^2} I_n + \frac{1}{4t} \int_t^\infty Q(s) ds - \frac{3}{4t} \int_t^\infty Q(s) ds - \left[\int_t^\infty Q(s) ds \right]^2,$$

or

$$\left[\int_t^\infty Q(s) ds + \frac{1}{4t} I_n \right]^2 \leq \frac{1}{4t^2} I_n.$$

Thus

$$\left[\int_t^\infty \left(Q(s) + \frac{1}{4s^2} I_n \right) ds \right]^2 \leq \frac{1}{4t^2} I_n.$$

In Theorem 2 take $a = 0$, $b = \infty$, $P(t) = I_n$, and $N(t) = \frac{1}{4t^2} I_n$. QED

THEOREM 3. Let $P(t)$, $Q(t)$, and $M(t)$ be $n \times n$ real symmetric and continuous matrices on $I = (a, b)$ (or $I = (a, b]$). Suppose for each t in I that $P(t) \geq 0$,

$\int_a^t (M(s) + P(s))ds$ exists and is finite, is invertible, and

$$Q(t) \leq \left[\int_a^t (M(s) + P(s))ds \right]^{-1} M(t) \left[\int_a^t (M(s) + P(s))ds \right]^{-1}.$$

Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I.

PROOF. Let $V(t) = \left[\int_a^t (M(s) + P(s))ds \right]^{-1}$ for each t in $I = (a,b)$ and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1. QED

As an application of the above result we have the following corollary.

The proof follows from Theorem 3 with $M(t) = \alpha t^r I_n$ and a well-known comparison theorem, [3;p.340].

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 3. Let $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ be $n \times n$ real symmetric and continuous matrices on $I = (0,b)$ (or $I = (0,b]$). Suppose α and $r (\neq -1)$ are real numbers such that for each t in I $1 + \alpha t^r > 0$, $0 \leq P(t) \leq Q(t) \leq I_n$, and

$$Q(t) \leq \frac{\alpha t^{r-2}}{\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{r+1} t^r\right)^2} I_n.$$

Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I.

The following example provides a comparison of the criterion given in Theorem 3 and the known result found in [3;p.344].

EXAMPLE. Consider

$$w' + w^2 + \frac{3}{2t^{3/2}(1 + t^{1/2})^2} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

By Corollary 6 with $b = 1$, $r = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 3/2$ (2.2) has a solution defined on $I = (0,1]$ and hence on $[1/10^4,1]$. Now

$$\int_{1/10^4}^1 \frac{3dt}{2t^{3/2}(1 + t^{1/2})^2} \geq \frac{3}{2} \int_{1/10^4}^1 \frac{dt}{4t^{3/2}} = \frac{3}{4} (99) > 8.$$

Also

$$4 \left[\int_{1/10^4}^1 dt \right]^{-1} = \frac{4}{1 - 1/10^4} < 8.$$

Thus

$$4 \left[\int_{1/10^4}^1 dt \right]^{-1} \not\leq \int_{1/10^4}^1 \frac{3dt}{2t^{3/2}(1+t^{1/2})^2}$$

and hence the sufficient condition as stated in [3;p.344] cannot be satisfied on $[1/10^4, 1]$.

Before stating the final result we note two additional applications of Theorem 3. Setting $\alpha = 1$, $r = 0$ and $b = \infty$ in the Corollary to Theorem 3 we obtain Kneser's criterion ($Q(t) \leq 1/4t^2 I_n$). Then a case when $P(t)$ is not dominated by I_n is the following.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 3. Let $P(t) = \beta t^q I_n$ on $I = (0, b)$ (or $I = [0, b]$) where β and $q (\neq -1)$ are real constants. Let $Q(t) = Q^T(t)$ be an $n \times n$ real continuous matrix on I . Suppose for each t in I that $P(t) \geq 0$, $\beta t^q + \alpha t^r > 0$ where α and $r (\neq -1)$ are real constants. Suppose further that for each t in I

$$Q(t) \leq \alpha t^r \left[\frac{\alpha t^r}{r+1} + \frac{\beta t^q}{q+1} \right]^{-2} I_n.$$

Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I .

Finally we have the following companion result to Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. Let $P(t)$, $M(t)$, and $Q(t)$ be $n \times n$ real symmetric and continuous matrices on $I = (a, b)$ (or $I = [a, b]$). Suppose for each t in I that

$P(t) \geq 0$, $\int_t^b (M(s) + P(s)) ds$ exists, is finite, invertible, and

$$Q(t) \leq \left[\int_t^b (M(s) + P(s)) ds \right]^{-1} P(t) \left[\int_t^b (M(s) + P(s)) ds \right]^{-1}.$$

Then (1.1) has a symmetric solution defined on I.

PROOF. Let

$$V(t) = - \left[\int_t^b (M(s) + P(s)) ds \right]^{-1}$$

for each t in I and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3. QED

It should be noted that in the main results stated above the matrix Q(t) is not required to be non-negative semi-definite in contrast to that requirement on Q(t) in (α) of the Corollary to Theorem 2, in [3;p.344], [2;p.89] and [2;p.83].

REFERENCES

1. Coppel, W. A. Disconjugacy, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
2. Jones, R. A. Comparison Theorems for Matrix Riccati Equations, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 29 (1975).
3. Reid, W. T. Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1971.
4. Reid, W. T. Riccati Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
5. Sternberg, R. L. Variational Methods and Non-Oscillation Theorems for Systems of Differential Equations, Duke Math. J. 19 (1952) 311-322.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Existence theorems, Symmetric Solutions, Comparison Theorems, Differential Equations.

AMS(MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (1970). 34A10.

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2009
Publication Date	September 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru