

## NEIGHBORHOODS OF CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

OSMAN ALTINTAS

Department of Mathematics  
Hacettepe University  
Beytepe, Ankara, TÜRKİYE

SHIGEYOSHI OWA

Department of Mathematics  
Kinki University  
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577, JAPAN

(Received December 5, 1993 and in revised form December 6, 1995)

**ABSTRACT.** The object of the present paper is to derive some properties of neighborhoods of analytic functions with negative coefficients in the open unit disk

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES:** Neighborhoods, analytic functions, and starlike functions

**1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES:** Primary 30C45

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A(n)$  be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

that are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . For any  $f(z) \in A(n)$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , we define

$$N_{n,\delta}(f) = \left\{ g \in A(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

which was called  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of  $f(z)$ . So, for  $e(z) = z$ , we see that

$$N_{n,\delta}(e) = \left\{ g \in A(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|b_k| \leq \delta \right\}.$$

The concept of neighborhoods was first introduced by A. W. Goodman [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 598-601] and then generalized by Ruscheweyh [1].

In the present paper, we consider  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhoods for functions with negative coefficients in  $U$ .

### 2. NEIGHBORHOODS FOR CLASSES $S_n^*(\alpha)$ AND $C_n(\alpha)$

Let  $S_n^*(\alpha)$  denote the subclass of  $A(n)$  consisting of functions which satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.1)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ . A function  $f(z)$  in  $S_n^*(\alpha)$  is said to be *starlike of order  $\alpha$*  in  $U$ . A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is said to be *convex of order  $\alpha$*  if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.2)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ . We denote by  $C_n(\alpha)$  the subclass of  $A(n)$  consisting of all such functions

For classes  $S_n^*(\alpha)$  and  $C_n(\alpha)$ , we need the following lemmas by Chatterjea [2] (also, see Srivastava, Owa and Chatterjea [3])

**LEMMA 2.1.** A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is in the class  $S_n^*(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k - \alpha)a_k \leq 1 - \alpha. \tag{2 3}$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is in the class  $C_n(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k(k - \alpha)a_k \leq 1 - \alpha. \tag{2 4}$$

Applying the above lemmas, we prove

**THEOREM 2.1.**  $S_n^*(\alpha) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e)$ , where  $\delta = (n + 1)(1 - \alpha)/(n + 1 - \alpha)$ , and  $S_n^*(0) = N_{n,1}(e)$

**PROOF.** It follows from (2 3) that if  $f(z) \in S_n^*(\alpha)$ , then

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{(n + 1)(1 - \alpha)}{n + 1 - \alpha} = \delta. \tag{2 5}$$

Further, if  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $f(z) \in S_n^*(0)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq 1. \tag{2 6}$$

This gives that  $f(z) \in N_{n,1}(e)$ .

Letting  $n = 1$  in Theorem 2 1, we have

**COROLLARY 2.1.**  $S_1^*(\alpha) \subset N_{1,\delta}(e)$ , where  $\delta = 2(1 - \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)$ , and  $S_1^*(0) = N_{1,1}(e)$

**THEOREM 2.2.**  $C_n(\alpha) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e)$ , where  $\delta = (1 - \alpha)/(n + 1 - \alpha)$

**PROOF.** Noting that  $f(z) \in C_n(\alpha)$  satisfies

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + 1 - \alpha}, \tag{2 7}$$

then  $C_n(\alpha) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e)$

Making  $n = 1$  in Theorem 2 2, we have

**COROLLARY 2.2.**  $C_1(\alpha) \subset N_{1,\delta}(e)$ , where  $\delta = (1 - \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)$ .

**3. NEIGHBORHOODS FOR CLASSES  $R_n(\alpha)$  AND  $P_n(\alpha)$**

A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $R_n(\alpha)$  if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > \alpha \quad (z \in U) \tag{3 1}$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ . A function  $f(z)$  in  $R_n(\alpha)$  is said to be *close-to-convex of order  $\alpha$*  in  $U$  (Duren [4], or Sarangi and Uralegaddi [5]).

Further, a function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is said to be a member of the class  $P_n(\alpha)$  if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U) \tag{3 2}$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$

It is easy to see that

**LEMMA 3.1.** A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is in the class  $R_n(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq 1 - \alpha. \tag{3 3}$$

**LEMMA 3.2.** A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is in the class  $P_n(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq 1 - \alpha. \tag{3 4}$$

From the above lemmas, we see that  $R_n(\alpha) \subset P_n(\alpha)$

Now, we derive

**THEOREM 3.1.**  $P_n(\alpha) = N_{n,\delta}(e)$ , where  $\delta = 1 - \alpha$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is clear from Lemma 3.1

**THEOREM 3.2.**  $N_{n,\delta}(e) \subset P_n(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha = (n + 1 - \delta)/(n + 1)$

**PROOF.** If  $f(z) \in N_{n,\delta}(e)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \delta, \quad (3.5)$$

which gives that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} = 1 - \frac{n+1-\delta}{n+1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus we see that  $f(z) \in P_n(\alpha)$

Making  $n = 1$  in Theorem 3.2, we have

**COROLLARY 3.1.**  $N_{1,\delta}(e) \subset P_1(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha = (2 - \delta)/2$

#### 4. NEIGHBORHOODS FOR CLASSES $K_n(\alpha, \beta)$ AND $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$

Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be given by (1.1) and

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (b_k \geq 0). \quad (4.1)$$

If a function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U) \quad (4.2)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  and  $g(z) \in S_n^*(\beta) (0 \leq \beta < 1)$ , then we say that  $f(z) \in K_n(\alpha, \beta)$ . If we take  $g(z) = z$ , then  $K_n(\alpha, \beta)$  becomes  $R_n(\alpha)$ . Further, a function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$  if it satisfies

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha \quad (z \in U) \quad (4.3)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  and  $g(z) \in S_n^*(\beta) (0 \leq \beta < 1)$ . If we put  $g(z) = z$ , then  $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$  becomes  $P_n(\alpha)$ .

For classes  $K_n(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$ , we prove

**THEOREM 4.1.**  $K_n(\alpha, \beta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e)$ , where

$$\delta = \{n(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \beta)\}/(n + 1 - \beta).$$

**PROOF.** If  $f(z) \in K_n(\alpha, \beta)$ , then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k b_k z^{k-1}} \right\} > \frac{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k b_k} \geq \alpha. \quad (4.4)$$

It follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k &\leq 1 - \alpha + \alpha \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k b_k \\
&\leq 1 - \alpha + \alpha \frac{1 - \beta}{n + 1 - \beta} \\
&\leq \frac{n(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \beta)}{n + 1 - \beta} = \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

This gives  $f(z) \in N_{n,\delta}(e)$

Putting  $n = 1$  in Theorem 4.1, we have

**COROLLARY 4.1.**  $K_1(\alpha, \beta) \subset N_{1,\delta}(e)$ , where  $\delta = (2 - \alpha - \beta)/(2 - \beta)$

Finally we derive

**THEOREM 4.2.**  $N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset S_n(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $g(z) \in S_n^*(\beta)$  and

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{(n + 1 - \beta)\delta}{n(n + 1)}. \tag{4.6}$$

**PROOF.** Let  $f(z)$  be in  $N_{n,\delta}(g)$  for  $g(z) \in S_n^*(\beta)$

Then we know that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{n + 1 - \beta}. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k} \leq \frac{\delta}{n + 1} \cdot \frac{n + 1 - \beta}{n} \\
&= \frac{(n + 1 - \beta)\delta}{n(n + 1)} = 1 - \alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

This implies that  $f(z) \in S_n(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Letting  $n = 1$  in Theorem 4.2, we have

**COROLLARY 4.2.**  $N_{1,\delta}(g) \subset S_1(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $g(z) \in S_1^*(\beta)$  and  $\alpha = 1 - (2 - \beta)\delta/2$

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** This work was supported, in part, by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture under Grant-in-Aid for General Scientific Research

#### REFERENCES

- [1] RUSCHEWEYH, ST, Neighbourhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1981), 521-527
- [2] CHATTERJEA, S K, On starlike functions, *J. Pure Math.* **1** (1981), 23-26
- [3] SRIVASTAVA, H M, OWA, S and CHATTERJEA, S K, A note on certain classes of starlike functions, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* **77** (1987), 115-124
- [4] DUREN, P L, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, and Tokyo, 1983
- [5] SARANGI, S M and URALEGADDI, B A, The radius of convexity and starlikeness for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Atti Accad. Nazion. Lincei* **65** (1978), 38-42