

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF POINT SEMIUNIFORMITIES

JENNIFER P. MONTGOMERY

Louisiana State University at Alexandria  
Division of Sciences  
8100 Highway 71 South  
Alexandria, Louisiana 71302-9633

(Received October 21, 1994)

**ABSTRACT.** The concept of a uniformity was developed by A. Weil and there have been several generalizations. This paper defines a point semiuniformity and gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a topological space to be point semiuniformizable. In addition, just as uniformities are associated with topological groups, a point semiuniformity is naturally associated with a semicontinuous group. This paper shows that a point semiuniformity associated with a semicontinuous group is a uniformity if and only if the group is a topological group.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES:** Uniformity, point semiuniformity, vicinities, point semi-uniformizable, homogeneous, topological group, semicontinuous group, semifundamental system, point regular, bihomogeneous.

**1991 AMS MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES:** Primary 53E15; Secondary 54H11.

**1. Introduction.** In 1937, A. Weil [1] generalized the concept of a metric space by defining a topology-generating structure called a uniformity. There have been several generalizations of uniformities. For example, a semiuniformity,  $\mathcal{U}$ , for a set  $X$  is a filter of supersets of the diagonal in  $X \times X$  such that for each  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , there is a  $V$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $V^{-1} = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in V\} \subseteq U$ . As with a uniformity and its other generalizations, there is a natural way to try to construct neighborhoods of points. Namely, for each  $x$  in  $X$  and  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  we define a slice,  $U[x]$ , to be  $\{y \mid (x, y) \in U\}$ . For a semiuniformity, the collection  $\{U[x]\}$  does generate a topology on  $X$  but we are left with the unsatisfactory situation that some of the slices are not neighborhoods in this topology. In [2], W. Page gets around this problem by calling a semiuniformity a  $t$ -semiuniformity (for topological semiuniformity) if all the slices turn out to be neighborhoods, and he proves that a space is  $t$ -semiuniformizable (there is a  $t$ -semiuniformity which generates the topology) if and only if the space satisfies a certain separation property. We take a different approach. We define a point semiuniformity,  $\mathcal{P}$ , to be a semiuniformity with the added condition that for every  $S \in \mathcal{P}$  there is a  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  having for each  $(x, y) \in T$  a  $V \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $(x, y) \circ V$  and  $V \circ (x, y)$  are contained in  $S$ . We will show that the slices gotten from  $\mathcal{P}$  will always be neighborhoods in the topology generated by  $\mathcal{P}$  and that a space is point semiuniformizable if and only if it satisfies the same separation property referred to above.

The natural association of uniformities with topological groups, or more exactly, with a fundamental system of a topological group is well known. We show that a point semiuniformity is just as naturally associated with a semicontinuous group [3] (called semitopological groups by Bourbaki [4] and L. Fuchs [5]). A semicontinuous group is a group with a topology making inversion and left and right multiplication by single elements continuous. We show that the point semiuniformity associated with a semicontinuous group is a uniformity if and only if the group is a topological group.

## 2. Point Semiuniformity

We begin by formalizing our definitions. A *point semiuniformity* for a set  $X$  is a filter  $\mathcal{P}$  of subsets of  $X \times X$  such that  $\forall S \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

- 1)  $\Delta \subseteq S$
- 2)  $\exists T \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $T^{-1} \subseteq S$
- 3)  $\exists T \in \mathcal{P}$  such that for each  $(x, y) \in T$  there is a  $V \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $V \circ (x, y) \subseteq S$  and  $(x, y) \circ V \subseteq S$ .

A pair  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  consisting of a set  $X$  and a point semiuniformity  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $X \times X$  is called a *point semiuniform space*. We call  $\beta$  a *base* for a point semiuniformity  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if the collection of all supersets of elements of  $\beta$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is clear that any filter base satisfying the three conditions above is a base for a point semiuniformity.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a point semiuniformity for a set  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{S[x] \mid S \in \mathcal{P}\}$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{P}_x \mid x \in X\}$  forms a neighborhood base for a topology  $\tau$  on  $X$ .

**PROOF.** Clearly, for all  $S, T \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $x \in S[x]$  and  $S[x] \cap T[x] = (S \cap T)[x]$ . Now let  $S[x] \in \mathcal{P}_x$ . Since  $S \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $\exists T \in \mathcal{P}$  with the property that for each  $(a, b) \in T$ ,  $\exists U_{(a, b)} \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $U_{(a, b)} \circ (a, b) \subseteq S$ . In addition, since  $(x, x) \in T$  then  $\exists U_{(x, x)} \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $U_{(x, x)} \circ (x, x) \subseteq S$ . Since  $U_{(x, x)} \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $\exists V \in \mathcal{P}$  with the property given any  $(s, t) \in V$   $\exists W_{(s, t)} \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $W_{(s, t)} \circ (s, t) \subseteq U_{(x, x)}$ . Now  $V[x] \in \mathcal{P}_x$  and thus we must show that a neighborhood of each point of  $V[x]$  is contained in  $S[x]$ . Suppose that  $y \in V[x]$  then  $(x, y) \in V$ . Now  $\exists W_{(x, y)} \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $W_{(x, y)} \circ (x, y) \subseteq U_{(x, x)}$ . It suffices to show  $W_{(x, y)}[y] \subseteq S[x]$ . Therefore, let  $z \in W_{(x, y)}[y]$  and so  $(y, z) \in W_{(x, y)}$  which implies that  $(x, z) \in W_{(x, y)} \circ (x, y) \subseteq U_{(x, x)}$ . Hence,  $(x, z) = (x, z) \circ (x, x) \in U_{(x, x)} \circ (x, x) \subseteq S$ . Consequently,  $z \in S[x]$ . ■

A uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  is a semiuniformity with the property that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is a  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V \circ V \subseteq U$ . Thus, we see that every uniformity is a point semiuniformity and every  $t$ -semiuniformity is a point semiuniformity. We now turn our attention to which topologies can be generated by these point semiuniformities. Any topology thus induced is called a *point semiuniform topology* and the space is called a *point semiuniformizable topological space*. The finite complement topology on an infinite space is point semiuniformizable, but since the space is not completely regular, it is not uniformizable.

In [2], Page shows that a space is  $t$ -semiuniformizable if and only if  $\forall x, y \in X, x \in Cl_{\tau}\{y\}$  iff  $y \in Cl_{\tau}\{x\}$ . We restate this closure condition in an equivalent form.

DEFINITION. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is point regular (or  $p$ -regular) if and only if for every  $V \in \tau$  and for every  $x \in V, Cl_{\tau}\{x\} \subseteq V$ .

The next proposition states some of the basic topological properties possessed by  $p$ -regular spaces.

PROPOSITION 2.

- i) Every regular space or  $T_1$ -space is a  $p$ -regular space.
- ii) A  $p$ -regular,  $T_0$ -space is a  $T_1$ -space.
- iii) The continuous closed image of a  $p$ -regular space is a  $p$ -regular space, but the quotient of a  $p$ -regular space need not be  $p$ -regular.
- iv) Products and subspaces of  $p$ -regular spaces are  $p$ -regular.
- v) Although homogeneous spaces need not be  $p$ -regular, bihomogeneous spaces are  $p$ -regular.

In [2], Page shows that a space  $X$  is  $t$ -semiuniformizable if and only if it is  $p$ -regular. In his proof, he constructs a  $t$ -semiuniformity as follows: For each  $x \in X$ , let  $u_x$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  and let  $R = \cup(x \times u_x)$  and  $S = R \cup R^{-1}$ . The collection  $\beta$  of all such  $S \subseteq X \times X$  forms a base for a  $t$ -semiuniformity which induces the original topology  $\tau$ . However, a  $t$ -semiuniformity need not be a point semiuniformity as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 3. Consider  $R$ , the real numbers, with the usual topology. For each  $r \in R$ , choose neighborhoods,  $u_r$ , as follows: Let  $u_1 = R$ . For each element of the sequence  $\langle 1 - 1/n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , choose open interval neighborhoods so that

$$1/2 \notin u_0, \quad 0, 2/3 \notin u_{1/2}, \quad 1/2, 3/4 \notin u_{2/3}, \dots$$

$$(k-2)/(k-1), \quad k/(k+1) \notin u_{k-1/k}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

For  $y \in R - \{1, 0, 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, \dots\}$ , choose any neighborhood  $u_y$  of  $y$ . Now, let  $R = \cup_{r \in R}(r \times u_r)$  and let  $S = R \cup R^{-1}$ . Let  $\beta$  be the collection of all such  $S$ . Let  $B \in \beta$  and we may as well assume  $B \subseteq S$ . Then there exists  $x$  such that  $x = 1 - 1/(m+1)$ ,  $m$  a positive integer, and such that  $u_x$ , the neighborhood of  $x$ , is strictly contained in  $B[1]$ , the neighborhood of 1, since any neighborhood of 1 contains a tail of the sequence  $\langle 1 - 1/n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Then,  $(x, 1) \notin x \times u_x$  but  $(x, 1) \in B[1] \times 1$ . In order to have a point semiuniformity, we need  $D \circ (x, 1) \subseteq S$  for some  $D \in \beta$ . The composition

$$D \circ (x, 1) = ( \{U_{y \in RY} \times D[Y]\} \cup \{U_{y \in RD} D[Y] \times Y\} ) \circ (x, 1)$$

$$= ( x \times D[1] ) \cup \{ (x, y) \mid 1 \in D[y] \}$$

and recall that  $S = (U(r \times u_r)) \cup (U(u_r \times r))$ . Now if  $x \times D[1] \subseteq \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} u_r \times r$  then  $\forall z \in D[1]$ ,  $x \in u_z$ . But  $x = 1 - 1/m' \in D[1]$  for some integer  $m' > m$  and  $x \notin u_z$ . Also,  $(x, x) \notin x \times u_x$ . Hence, although  $(x, x) \in x \times D[1] \subseteq D \circ (x, 1)$ ,  $(x, x) \notin (\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} (r \times u_r)) \cup (\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} (u_r \times r))$ . Thus,  $D \circ (x, 1) \not\subseteq S$ .

This example shows that Page's construction of a  $t$ -semiuniformity may not be a point semiuniformity. Although  $t$ -semiuniformities and point semiuniformities are not the same, they are related as the next theorem shows.

**THEOREM 4.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is point semiuniformizable if and only if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $p$ -regular.

**PROOF.** Since one direction is trivial, we only need to show that a  $p$ -regular space is point semiuniformizable.

Thus, let  $\beta$  be the collection of all neighborhoods of  $\Delta$  in  $\tau \times \tau$ . Clearly,  $\beta$  is a filter that satisfies property 1) and 2) of the definition of a point semiuniformity. Therefore, to show property 3), let  $B \in \beta$  which implies that there exists  $U \in \tau \times \tau$  with  $\Delta \subseteq U \subseteq B$ . Let  $C = U \cap U^{-1}$ . Then  $C$  is open and symmetric and  $\Delta \subseteq C \subseteq B$ . Pick  $(x, y) \in C$ . We need to find  $D \in \beta$  with  $D \circ (x, y) \subseteq B$  or equivalently,  $D[y] \subseteq C[x] \subseteq B[x]$  (The proof of  $(x, y) \circ D \subseteq B$  is similar using inverse notation). Let  $D = C - \{y \times (X - C[x])\}$ .

a) To show that  $D[y] \subseteq C[x]$ , suppose that  $z \notin C[x]$ . Then  $(y, z) \in y \times (X - C[x])$  and so  $(y, z) \notin D$ . Thus,  $z \notin D[y]$ .

b) To show that  $D$  is a neighborhood of  $\Delta$ , consider  $D' = C - \{Cl_\tau\{y\} \times (X - C[x])\}$ .

Since  $y \times (X - C[x]) \subseteq Cl_\tau\{y\} \times (X - C[x])$ , we have that  $D' \subseteq D$ . Thus, since  $D'$  is open, we only need to show that  $\Delta \subseteq D'$ .

Case 1] Suppose  $(z, z) \in \Delta$  with  $z \in Cl_\tau\{y\}$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $p$ -regular,  $y \in Cl_\tau\{z\}$  and since  $(x, y) \in C$  implies that  $y \in C[x]$ , then  $z \in C[x]$ . Thus,  $(z, z) \in C - \{Cl_\tau\{y\} \times (X - C[x])\} = D'$ .

Case 2] Suppose  $(z, z) \in \Delta$  with  $z \notin Cl_\tau\{y\}$ . Then  $(z, z) \in D'$  and hence,  $\Delta \subseteq D'$ .

Clearly,  $B[x] \in \tau$ ,  $\forall B \in \beta$  and  $B \in \tau \times \tau$  which implies that the topology on  $X$  generated by  $\beta$  is contained in  $\tau$ . Conversely, let  $x \in W \in \tau$ . Choose a cover of  $X$  by  $\tau$ -open neighborhoods  $\{V_y \mid y \in X\}$  such that  $V_x \subseteq W$  and  $x \in X - V_y$  for  $y \notin Cl_\tau\{x\}$  and  $V_y = V_x$  if  $y \in Cl_\tau\{x\}$  (or equivalently,  $x \in Cl_\tau\{y\}$ ). Let  $S = \bigcup_{y \in X} V_y \times V_y$ . Clearly,  $\Delta \subseteq S$  and  $S$  is open in  $X \times X$ . Also,  $S[x] = \bigcup_{x \in V_y} V_y = V_x$ . Hence,  $x \in S[x] = V_x \subseteq W$ . Therefore,  $W$  is open in the topology on  $X$  generated by  $\beta$ . Thus,  $\tau$  is contained in the topology on  $X$  generated by  $\beta$ . ■

Although we used neighborhoods of  $\Delta$  in the product topology in the proof above, we could have used neighborhoods of  $\Delta$  in  $(\tau \times \text{discrete}) \cap (\text{discrete} \times \tau)$  which would give us a finer point semiuniformity inducing the same topology..

Theorem 4 proves that  $t$ -semiuniformizable and point semiuniformizable are equivalent notions for a topological space;

however, this is their only similarity. From the examples we note that vicinities in a  $t$ -semiuniform base are constructed simply by forming "crosses" along the diagonal; whereas, in a point semiuniform base vicinities are more carefully constructed possessing "crosses" at each point.

**PROPOSITION 5.** Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a collection of point semiuniformities for  $X$ . Let  $\beta$  be the collection of all finite intersections of elements of  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ .  $\beta$  is a base for a point semiuniformity which is the join of the family  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ .

Let  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a family of point semiuniformities for the set  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of all point semiuniformities coarser than each  $V_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is a nonempty collection since  $\{X \times X\}$  is a point semiuniformity coarser than each  $V_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Then the meet of the family  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is  $\bigvee_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U$ .

Thus, if we let  $X$  be a fixed set and we consider the collection of point semiuniformities for  $X$ , then this collection forms a complete lattice when ordered by set inclusion.

**THEOREM 6.** If  $\beta$  is a finite base for a point semiuniformity then  $\beta$  is a base for a uniformity.

**PROOF.** Let  $B \in \beta$ . Since  $\beta$  is a base for a point semiuniformity, then there exists  $C \in \beta$  such that for each  $(x, y) \in C$  there exists  $D_{(x, y)} \in \beta$  with  $D_{(x, y)} \circ (x, y) \subseteq B$ . Now, since  $\beta$  is a finite base, then the set  $S = \{ D_{(x, y)} \mid (x, y) \in C \text{ and } D_{(x, y)} \circ (x, y) \subseteq B \}$  is finite. Thus, there exists  $E \in \beta$  with  $E \subseteq (\cap S) \cap C$ . Let  $(s, t) \in E \circ E$ . Then there exists  $w$  such that  $(s, w) \in E$  and  $(w, t) \in E$ . Since  $E \subseteq (\cap S) \cap C$ , which implies that  $(s, w) \in C$ , then  $(w, t) \in D_{(s, w)}$ . Therefore,  $(s, t) = (w, t) \circ (s, w) \in D_{(s, w)} \circ (s, w) \subseteq B$ . ■

**COROLLARY 7.** On a finite set, point semiuniformities and uniformities coincide.

### 3. Semicontinuous Groups

It is well known that group topologies on a group  $G$  are characterized by fundamental systems and fundamental systems give rise to left and right uniformities which give the same topology. A semifundamental system  $\mathcal{S}$  for a group  $G$  is a collection of subsets of  $G$  each containing the identity and satisfying the following properties:

- 1) If  $U, V \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $\exists W \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $W \subseteq U \cap V$
- 2) If  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $a \in U$  then  $\exists V \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $Va \subseteq U$
- 3) If  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $\exists V \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $V^{-1} \subseteq U$
- 4) If  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $x \in G$  then  $\exists V \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $xVx^{-1} \subseteq U$ .

E. Clay [3] showed that every semicontinuous group has a semifundamental system. Let  $U$  be an element of a semifundamental system for a semicontinuous group. Since inversion is continuous, we

can always find a symmetric  $V$  such that  $V \subseteq U$  by letting  $V = U \cap U^{-1}$ . Consequently, we can always assume that our semifundamental system is a symmetric semifundamental system. The next theorem shows that every semicontinuous group is point semiuniformizable.

**THEOREM 8.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a symmetric semifundamental system for a semicontinuous group  $(G, \tau)$ . Define  $L_0 = \{(x, y) \mid x \in yU\}$  [ $R_0 = \{(x, y) \mid x \in Uy\}$ ] Then  $L = \{L_U \mid U \in \mathcal{S}\}$  [ $R = \{R_U \mid U \in \mathcal{S}\}$ ] is a base for a point semiuniformity which induces the original topology  $\tau$ . The point semiuniformity generated by  $L$  [ $R$ ] is called the left [right] point semiuniformity of  $(G, \tau)$  and is the unique point semiuniformity for  $G$  that generates  $\tau$  and has a base of left [right] invariant sets.

**PROOF.** Clearly,  $L$  is a filter base that satisfies the first two properties of a base for a point semiuniformity.

Let  $L_U \in L$  and  $(x, y) \in L_U$ . Then  $y^{-1}x \in U$ . By definition of semifundamental system, we can find  $W \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $Wy^{-1}x \subseteq U$ . Let  $(x, z) \in L_W \circ (x, y)$ . Then  $(y, z) \in L_W$  which implies that  $z^{-1}y \in W$ . Therefore,  $z^{-1}yy^{-1}x \in Wy^{-1}x \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $z^{-1}x \in U$  or equivalently,  $(x, z) \in L_U$ .

Let  $L_U \in L$  and  $(x, y) \in L_U$ . Then  $y^{-1}x \in U$ . By definition of semifundamental system, we can find  $W \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $Wy^{-1}x \subseteq U$ . Also, there exists  $V \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $y^{-1}xV(y^{-1}x)^{-1} \subseteq W$ . So then  $y^{-1}xV \subseteq Wy^{-1}x \subseteq U$ . Let  $(z, y) \in (x, y) \circ L_V$ . Then  $(z, x) \in L_V$  which implies that  $x^{-1}z \in V$ . Therefore,  $y^{-1}xx^{-1}z \in y^{-1}xV \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $y^{-1}z \in U$ , or equivalently,  $(z, y) \in L_U$ .

To show that this left point semiuniformity generates the topology  $\tau$ , let  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $x \in G$ . Then  $L_U[x] = \{y \mid (x, y) \in L_U\} = \{y \mid x \in yU\} = \{y \mid y \in xU\} = xU$ . ■

**THEOREM 9.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semifundamental system for a group  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$ . If the left or right point semiuniformity is a uniformity, then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a fundamental system.

**PROOF.** Assuming that  $\mathcal{S}$  generates a base for the left uniformity  $L$ , then picking  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  implies that  $L_U \in L$  and so, by definition of  $L$ ,  $\exists V \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $L_V \circ L_V \subseteq L_U$ . If  $x \in V \cdot V$  then  $x = a \cdot b$  where  $a \in V$  and  $b \in V$ . Clearly,  $x \in a \cdot V$  and  $a \in e \cdot V$ . Therefore,  $(x, a) \in L_V$  and  $(a, e) \in L_V$ . Combining the above yields  $(x, e) \in L_V \circ L_V \subseteq L_U$ . Thus,  $x \in e \cdot U = U$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

1. WEIL, A. "Sur les espaces a structure uniforme et sur la topologie generale," *Actualites Scientific and Industr.*, no. 551, (1937).
2. PAGE, W. Topological Uniform Structures, Wiley-Interscience Publishers, 1978.
3. CLAY, E., CLARK, B., SCHNEIDER, V. "Semicontinuous Groups and Separation Properties," *Internat. J. Math & Math. Sci.*, vol. 15 no. 3 (1992), 621-623.
4. BOURBAKI, N. General Topology, parts 1 and 2, Addison-Wesley publishing Company, 1966.
5. FUCHS, L. Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. 1, Academic Press, 1970.