

**ON THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR SINGULAR
 INTEGRAL EQUATIONS WITH POSITIVE INDEX**

S. M. AMER

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science
 Zagazig University
 Egypt

(Received March 18, 1994 and in revised form November 28, 1994)

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to investigating a class of nonlinear singular integral equations with a positive index on a simple closed smooth Jordan curve by the collocation method. Sufficient conditions are given for the convergence of this method in Holder space.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Singular integral equations, collocation method, index of integral equation.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 45G05, 45L10, 65R20.

1. INTRODUCTION.

There is a large literature on nonlinear singular integral equations with Hilbert and Cauchy kernel and on related nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems for analytic functions, cf. the monograph by Pogorzelski [9] and the other by Guseinov A. I. and Mukhtarov Kh. Sh. [5].

As it is well known, linear singular integral equations of Cauchy type have important applications in hydrodynamics and in the theory of elasticity. Also nonlinear singular integral equations of Cauchy type and related nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems are encountered in various problems of continuum mechanics. Many important boundary value problems for partial differential equations of elliptic type can be transformed into the generalized Riemann-Hilbert-Poincare problem, cf. Vekua [11] and Mikhlin et al. [6].

Now, Consider a simple closed smooth Jordan curve γ with equation $t = t(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq \ell$, where s -arc coordinate accounts from fixed point and ℓ -length of the curve. Denote by D^+ and D^- the interior and exterior of γ respectively and let the origin be $0 \in D^+$. Denote by γ_0 the unit circle with center at the origin and let γ_0^+ and γ_0^- be the interior and exterior of γ_0 respectively. Consider the conformal mappings $C(w)$ from γ_0^- onto D^- such that $C(\infty) = \infty$, $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} C(w) w^{-1} > 0$ and $A(w)$ from γ_0^+ onto D^+ such that $A(\infty) = 0$.

Consider the following nonlinear singular integral equation (NSIE)

$$(P(y))(t) = \Psi(t, y(t), Bk(t, \cdot, y(\cdot))) = f(t), \quad t \in \gamma \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Psi(t, u, v)$, $k(t, \tau, u)$ and $f(t)$ are continuous functions on the domains

$$D = \{(t, u, v) ; t \in \gamma, u, v \in (-\infty, \infty)\},$$

$$D_1 = \{(t, \tau, u); t, \tau \in \gamma, u \in (-\infty, \infty)\}$$

and on γ respectively; The singular integral

$$Bk(t, \tau, y(\tau)) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{k(t, \tau, y(\tau))}{\tau - t} d\tau$$

is a Cauchy principle value and $y(t)$ is unknown function.

REMARK. The integral Equation (1.1) is equivalent to the following Riemann-Hilbert problem Find a holomorphic function $w(z) = u(z) + i v(z)$, $z = x + i y$, in γ_0^+ which is continuous in $\gamma_0^+ + \gamma$ and satisfies the boundary condition $\Psi(t, u(t), v(t)) = f(t)$ on γ , (cf. Pogorzelski [9], Wolfersdorf [12])

DEFINITION 1.1. We denote by $\Phi(0, \frac{\ell}{2}]$ to be the set of all continuous monotonic increasing functions defined on $(0, \frac{\ell}{2}]$ such that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\delta) = 0$ and $\varphi(\delta)\delta^{-1}$ is nondecreasing function

Consider the Holder space

$$H_\varphi(\gamma) = \left\{ y(t); t \in \gamma : \omega(y, \delta) = \max_{\substack{|t_1 - t_2| < \delta \\ \delta > 0}} |y(t_1) - y(t_2)| = O(\varphi(\delta)) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|y\|_\varphi = \|y\|_{C(\gamma)} + \sup_\delta \frac{\omega(y, \delta)}{\varphi(\delta)}$$

where

$$\|y\|_{C(\gamma)} = \max_{t \in \gamma} |y(t)| \text{ and } \varphi(\delta) \text{ belongs to } \Phi(0, \frac{\ell}{2}].$$

We denote by $H_{\varphi,1,1}(D)$ and $H_{\varphi,\varphi_1,1}(D_1)$ to be the spaces of all functions $\Psi(t, u, v)$ and $k(t, \tau, u)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

$$|\Psi(t_1, u_1, v_1) - \Psi(t_2, u_2, v_2)| \leq c_1 \{ \varphi(|t_1 - t_2|) + |u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2| \} \tag{1.2}$$

$$|k(t_1, \tau_1, u_1) - k(t_2, \tau_2, u_2)| \leq c_2 \{ \varphi(|t_1 - t_2|) + \varphi(|\tau_1 - \tau_2|) + |u_1 - u_2| \} \tag{1.3}$$

respectively, where $(t_1, u_1, v_1) \in D, (t_2, u_2, v_2) \in D, (t_1, \tau_1, v_1) \in D_1, t_1, \tau_1 \in \gamma, c_i$ are constants, $(i=1,2)$, and $\varphi, \varphi_1 \in \Phi(0, \frac{\ell}{2}]$.

In the works of Gorlov [4] and Musaev [7], the collocation method is used to find an approximate solution for some classes of NSIE in the Holder space $H_\alpha(\gamma)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$).

In the works of Saleh et al. [10], the NSIE (1.1) with positive index ($\chi > 0$) is solved by the Newton Kantorovich method in the subspace:

$$\Omega_\varphi(\gamma) = \left\{ y \in H_\varphi(\gamma); \int_\gamma \tau^{m-1} y(\tau) d\tau = 0, m = \overline{1, \chi} \right\}$$

of the Holder space $H_\varphi(\gamma)$.

In the present paper we shall study the application of collocation method to the solution of NSIE (1.1) with a positive index in Holder space $H_\varphi(\gamma)$.

For this purpose we have to introduce the following:

LEMMA 1.2.(see [10]) Let the functions $\Psi(t,u,v)$ and $k(t,\tau,u)$ belong to $H_{\varphi,1,1}(D)$ and $H_{\varphi,\varphi_1,1}(D_1)$ respectively, then the operator $P(y)$ has Frechet derivative at any point $y \in H_\varphi(\gamma)$ and its derivative has the form :

$$(P'(y)h)(t) = \Psi'_u(t, y(t), Bk(t, \cdot, y(\cdot)))h(t) + \Psi'_v(t, y(t), Bk(t, \cdot, y(\cdot)))B(k'_u(t, \cdot, y(\cdot))) h(t) \tag{1.4}$$

moreover it satisfies Lipschitz condition :

$$\|P'(y_1) - P'(y_2)\|_\varphi \leq M \|y_1 - y_2\|_\varphi$$

in the sphere $S(y_0, r) = \|y - y_0\|_\varphi \leq r$, where M is a constant.

The derivative $P'(y)$ in (1.4) can be written in the form :

$$L_o h = a(y, t)h(t) + \frac{b(y, t)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{h(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau + \frac{c(y, t)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma H(t, \tau, y(\tau))h(\tau) d\tau = f(t) \tag{1.5}$$

where;

$$a(y, t) = \Psi'_u(t, y_0(t), Bk(t, \cdot, y_0(\cdot))),$$

$$b(y, t) = \Psi'_v(t, y_0(t), Bk(t, \cdot, y_0(\cdot))) k'_u(t, t, y_0(t)) ,$$

$$c(y, t) = \Psi'_v(t, y_o(t), Bk(t, \dots, y_o(\dots)))$$

and

$$H(t, \tau, y(\tau)) = \frac{k'_u(t, \tau, y_o(\tau)) - k'_u(t, t, y_o(t))}{\tau - t}$$

for some initial value $y_o \in H_\varphi(\gamma)$, where, the function Ψ'_u denotes to the partial derivative of the function $\Psi(t, u, v)$ with respect to u

From conditions (1.2), (1.3) and Muskhelishvili [8], see also [5], the following lemma is valid

LEMMA 1.3. Let $k(t, \tau, u) \in H_{\varphi, \varphi_1, l}(D_1)$ and $h(t) \in H_\varphi(\gamma)$ then the function

$$\theta(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{k(t, \tau, u(\tau)) - k(t, t, u(t))}{\tau - t} h(\tau) d\tau$$

belongs to the space $H_\varphi(\gamma)$ and the following inequality is true

$$\|\theta\|_\varphi \leq c_3 \|h\|_\varphi$$

where c_3 is a positive constant independent of $h(t)$.

THEOREM 1.4. (see [10]) Let the conditions of Lemma 1.2 be satisfied, $y_o \in H_\varphi(\gamma)$ and $\|L_o^{-1}\|_\varphi \leq \nu_2$

and $\|L_o^{-1}P(y_o)\|_\varphi \leq \mu_2$ then, if $h_2 = \nu_2 M \mu_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $r \geq r_2^o = \mu_2(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2h_2})h_2^{-1}$, the Equation (1.1)

has a unique solution y^* in the sphere $S(y_o, r_2^o)$ to which the modified Newton's method converges with the rate of convergence determined as follows:

$$\|y_n - y^*\|_\varphi \leq \frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2h_2})^n}{\sqrt{1 - 2h_2}} \mu_2$$

2. COLLOCATION METHOD.

Now, we seek an approximate solution of Equation (1.1) in $\Omega_\varphi(\gamma)$ in the form :

$$y_{n,\chi}(\eta, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-\chi} \eta_k t^k + \sum_{k=-n}^{-\chi-1} \eta_k t^k, \quad n > \chi \tag{2.1}$$

where the coefficients η_k are defined from the system of nonlinear algebraic equations (SNAE) :

$$\Psi(t_j, y_{n,\chi}(\eta, t_j), Bk(t_j, \dots, y_{n,\chi}(\eta, \dots))) = f(t_j) \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$t_j = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2(n-\chi)+1} j\right), \quad j = \overline{0, 2(n-\chi)}.$$

Consider the $(2(n-\chi)+1)$ - dimensional spaces $E_\varphi^{(1)}$ and $E_\varphi^{(2)}$ with norms

$$\|\eta\|_\varphi^{(1)} = \|y_{n,\chi}(\eta, \dots)\|_\varphi,$$

and

$$\|u\|_\varphi^{(2)} = \max |u_j| + \sup_{j \neq k} \frac{|u_j - u_k|}{\varphi(|t_j - t_k|)},$$

respectively, $j = \overline{0, 2(n-\chi)}$, where

$$\eta = (\eta_{-n}, \dots, \eta_{-\chi-1}, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-\chi}) \in E_\varphi^{(1)}$$

$$u = (u_0, \dots, u_{2(n-\chi)}) \in E_\varphi^{(2)}.$$

Introducing the operator

$$P_n(\eta) = (p_{-n+\chi, n}(\eta), \dots, p_{n-\chi, n}(\eta)): E_\varphi^{(1)} \rightarrow E_\varphi^{(2)}$$

where

$$P_{j,n}(\eta) = \Psi(t_j, y_{n,\chi}(\eta, t_j), Bk(t_j, \dots, y_{n,\chi}(\eta, \dots))), \quad j = -n + \chi, \dots, n - \chi$$

we can rewrite the SNAE (2.2) in the operator form

$$P_n(\eta) = f \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$f = f(t_j), \quad j = \overline{0, 2(n-\chi)}$$

Consider, now the coordinates of the vector $\eta^{(0)} = (\eta_{-n}^{(0)}, \dots, \eta_{-\chi-1}^{(0)}, \eta_0^{(0)}, \dots, \eta_{n-\chi}^{(0)})$ from $E_\varphi^{(1)}$ these are the

Fourier coefficients of the function $y_o \in \Omega_\varphi(\gamma)$ that is

$$\eta_j^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma y_o(A(w)) w^{-j-1} dw, \quad j = \overline{0, n-\chi}$$

and

$$(2.4)$$

$$\eta_j^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma y_o(C(w)) w^{-j-1} dw, \quad j = \overline{-n, -\chi-1}.$$

Moreover the function $y_o \in \Omega_\varphi(\gamma)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.4 Analogous to Lemma 1.2, the following lemma is valid.

LEMMA 2.1. Let the conditions of Lemma 1.2 be satisfied, then the operator P_n is differentiable in the sense of Frechet at the arbitrary point

$$x = (\eta_{-n}, \dots, \eta_{-\chi-1}, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-\chi}) \in E_\varphi^{(1)}$$

moreover,

$$P'_n(x)h = (P'_{-n,n}(x)h, \dots, P'_{-\chi-1,n}(x)h, P'_{o,n}(x)h, \dots, P'_{n-\chi,n}(x)h)$$

where $h = (h_{-n}, \dots, h_{-\chi-1}, h_0, \dots, h_{n-\chi}) \in E_\varphi^{(1)}$ and

$$P'_{j,n}(x)h = \Psi'_u(t_j, y_{n,\chi}(x, t_j), Bk(t_j, \dots, y_{n,\chi}(x, \cdot)))y_{n,\chi}(h, t_j) +$$

$$\Psi'_v(t_j, y_{n,\chi}(x, t_j), Bk(t_j, \dots, y_{n,\chi}(x, \cdot)))B(k'_u(t_j, \dots, y_{n,\chi}(x, \cdot)))y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau), \quad j = \overline{0, 2(n-\chi)}$$

The derivative $P'_n(x)$ satisfies Lipschitz condition in the sphere $S_{\eta^{(0)}}(r')$ of the space $E_\varphi^{(1)}$:

$$\|P'_n(x_1) - P'_n(x_2)\|_{E_\varphi^{(1)}} \leq M' \|x_1 - x_2\|_{E_\varphi^{(1)}}$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in S_{\eta^{(0)}}(r')$ and M' is a constant depends on $r', \eta^{(0)}$ and the function Ψ .

We shall show that the system of linear algebraic equations (SLAE):

$$P'_n(\eta^{(0)})h = g \tag{2.5}$$

has the unique solution $h \in E_\varphi^{(1)}$ for an arbitrary $g = (g_o, \dots, g_{2(n-\chi)}) \in F_\varphi^{(2)}$.

For this aim, we consider the SALE:

$$a(y_o, t_j)y_{n,\chi}(h, t_j) + \frac{b(y_o, t_j)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau)}{\tau - t_j} d\tau +$$

$$+ \frac{c(y_o, t_j)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma H(t_j, \tau, y_o)y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau) d\tau = g(t_j), \quad j = \overline{0, 2(n-\chi)} \tag{2.6}$$

corresponding to (by collocation method) the singular integral equation

$$a(y_o, t)y(t) + \frac{b(y_o, t)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau + \frac{c(y_o, t)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma H(t, \tau, y_o)y(\tau) d\tau = g(t) \tag{2.7}$$

According to the collocation method, we seek an approximate solution of Equation (1.5) in $\Omega_\varphi(\gamma)$ in the form :

$$h_{n,\chi}(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n-\chi} \beta_k t^k, \quad t \in \gamma$$

where the coefficients β_k are defined from the SLAE :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a(y, t_j) + b(y, t_j)) \sum_{k=0}^{n-\chi} \beta_k t_j^k + (a(y, t_j) - b(y, t_j)) \sum_{k=-n}^{-\chi-1} \beta_k t_j^k + \\
 & + \frac{c(y, t_j)}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} H(t_j, \tau, y(\tau)) \sum_{k=-n}^{n-\chi} \beta_k \tau^k d\tau = f(t_j), \quad j=0, 2(n-\chi) \quad (2.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $a(y,t)$, $b(y,t)$, and $f(t)$ (by all arguments) belong to $H_{\varphi}(\gamma)$, $a^2(y,t) - b^2(y,t) \neq 0$ on γ the index $\chi = \text{ind}(a(y, t) + b(y, t)) > 0$ and the operator $P'(\gamma)$ has a linear inverse in $H_{\varphi}(\gamma)$, then for all $n \geq \max(n_0, \chi)$,

$$n_0 = \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : e_n = d_1 \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n-\chi} \right) \ln(n-\chi) < 1 \},$$

the system (2.8) has the unique solution $\{\beta_k^*\}_{k=-n}^{n-\chi}$ and the approximate solution

$$h_{n,\chi}^* = \sum_{k=-n}^{n-\chi} \beta_k^* t^k, \quad t \in \gamma.$$

of Equation (1.5) converges to its exact solution h^* , moreover

$$\|h^*(t) - h_{n,\chi}^*(t)\|_{\varphi} \leq d_2 \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n-\chi} \right) \ln(n-\chi).$$

Here and below d_1, d_2, \dots are constants do not depend on n .

PROOF. From Gakhov [3] and [5, 8] we shall write equation (1.5) in $\Omega_{\varphi}(\gamma)$ in th form.

$$Lh = Eh + Gh = q \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Eh)(t) &= \psi^-(t)h^+(t) - \psi^+t^{\chi}h^-(t), \\
 (Gh)(t) &= \frac{Q(t)c(y,t)}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} H(t,\tau,y(\tau))h(\tau)d\tau, \\
 Q(t) &= \frac{\psi^-(t)}{a(y,t)+b(y,t)}, \quad q(t) = Q(t)f(t), \quad (2.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi^+(t)(a(y,t)+b(y,t)) = \psi^-(t)t^{-\chi}(a(y,t)-b(y,t)),$$

$$\psi(z) = \exp(\Gamma(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \ln \left[\tau^{-\chi} \frac{a(y,\tau) - b(y,\tau)}{a(y,\tau) + b(y,\tau)} \right] \frac{d\tau}{\tau - z}.$$

Moreover, E is linear and G is completely continuous from $\Omega_{\varphi}(\gamma)$ into $H_{\varphi}(\gamma)$. Denote by $X_{n,\chi} = \{h_{n,\chi}^+ = h_{n,\chi}^+ - h_{n,\chi}^-\}$ to be the $(2(n-\chi)+1)$ -dimensional subspace of the space $\Omega_{\varphi}(\gamma)$ where

$$h_{n,\chi}^+ = \sum_{k=0}^{n-\chi} \beta_k t^k, \quad h_{n,\chi}^- = -\sum_{k=-n}^{-\chi-1} \beta_k t^k$$

Let $P_{n,\chi}$ be the projection operator into the set of interpolation polynomials of degree $n-\chi$ with respect to the collocation points $t_j, j=0, 2(n-\chi)$, then the system (2.8) can be written in $X_{n,\chi}$ as a linear operator

$$L_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} = E_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} + G_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} = q_{n,\chi} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$E_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} = P_{n,\chi} E h_{n,\chi}, \quad G_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} = P_{n,\chi} G h_{n,\chi} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{n,\chi} = P_{n,\chi} q.$$

Now, we determine the difference: $Lh_{n,\chi} - L_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} \in X_{n,\chi}$ in $H_{\varphi}(\gamma)$ from (2.9)-(2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Lh_{n,\chi} - L_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} &= (\psi^-h_{n,\chi}^+ - \psi^+t^{\chi}h_{n,\chi}^-) - P_{n,\chi} (\psi^-h_{n,\chi}^+ - \psi^+t^{\chi}h_{n,\chi}^-) \\
 &+ (Gh_{n,\chi} - G_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\psi^- - \psi_{n,\chi}^-)h_{n,\chi}^+ - (\psi^+ - \psi_{n,\chi}^+)t^\chi h_{n,\chi}^- + \psi_{n,\chi}^- h_{n,\chi}^+ - \\
 &\quad - \psi_{n,\chi}^+ t^\chi h_{n,\chi}^- - P_{n,\chi} (\psi^- h_{n,\chi}^+ - \psi^+ t^\chi h_{n,\chi}^-) + \\
 &\quad + (Gh_{n,\chi} - G_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi}) \tag{2.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi_{n,\chi} = \psi_{n,\chi}^+ - \psi_{n,\chi}^- \quad , \quad (\psi_{n,\chi}^+(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-\chi} \alpha_k t^k, \quad \psi_{n,\chi}^-(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^{-\chi-1} \alpha_k t^k)$$

is the polynomial of best uniform approximation to the function $\psi = \psi^+ - \psi^-$ with degree not exceeding $n - \chi$ and $\psi_{n,\chi}^- h_{n,\chi}^+ - \psi_{n,\chi}^+ t^\chi h_{n,\chi}^-$ is a polynomial of degree not exceeding $n - \chi$. From (2.12) we have :

$$Lh_{n,\chi} - L_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi} = (I - P_{n,\chi})[(\psi^- - \psi_{n,\chi}^-)h_{n,\chi}^+ - (\psi^+ - \psi_{n,\chi}^+)t^\chi h_{n,\chi}^-] + (Gh_{n,\chi} - G_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi}). \tag{2.13}$$

From [3.8]:

$$\|h_{n,\chi}^\pm\|_\varphi \leq d_1 \|h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi$$

and as was mentioned by Dzyedyk [1] and Gabdulkaev [2] we obtain

$$\|(\psi^- - \psi_{n,\chi}^-)h_{n,\chi}^+ - (\psi^+ - \psi_{n,\chi}^+)t^\chi h_{n,\chi}^-\|_\varphi \leq d_2 \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n-\chi}\right) \|h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi.$$

Then taking into accounts that $\|P_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi \leq d_3 \ln(n - \chi)$, we obtain :

$$\|(I - P_{n,\chi})[(\psi^- - \psi_{n,\chi}^-)h_{n,\chi}^+ - (\psi^+ - \psi_{n,\chi}^+)t^\chi h_{n,\chi}^-]\|_\varphi \leq (d_4 \ln(n - \chi))\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n-\chi}\right) \|h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi. \tag{2.14}$$

Let $s_{n,\chi}(t)$ be the polynomial of best uniform approximation to the function

$$s(t) = Q(t) \frac{c(y, t)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma H(t, \tau, y(\tau)) h_{n,\chi}(\tau) d\tau,$$

then we have

$$\|s - s_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi \leq d_5 \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n-\chi}\right) \|h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi$$

and for arbitrary $h_{n,\chi} \in X_{n,\chi}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Gh_{n,\chi} - G_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi &= \|s - s_{n,\chi} + P_n(s_{n,\chi} - s)\|_\varphi \\
 &\leq (d_6 \ln(n - \chi))\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n-\chi}\right) \|h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Then from (2.13)-(2.15) we have

$$\|Lh_{n,\chi} - L_{n,\chi} h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi \leq (d_7 \ln(n - \chi))\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n-\chi}\right) \|h_{n,\chi}\|_\varphi. \tag{2.16}$$

Assume that there exists a linear bounded inverse operator $L_o^{-1} : H_\varphi(\gamma) \rightarrow \Omega_\varphi(\gamma)$. Since $L_o h = Q^{-1} L h$, then the operator L has a linear inverse, also from [2] and by virtue of (2.16) (for sufficient large n , $n \geq \max(n_o, \chi)$), the operator L_n has a linear inverse, moreover

$$\|L_n^{-1}\| \leq \|L^{-1}\| (1 - e_n)^{-1} \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$e_n = \|L - L_n\| \|L^{-1}\|.$$

Now, for the right parts of (2.8) and (2.11) we have

$$\|q - q_n\|_\varphi \leq (d_8 \ln(n - \chi))\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n-\chi}\right) \|f\|_\varphi \leq d_9 \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n-\chi}\right) \ln(n - \chi). \tag{2.18}$$

Then by Theorem 1.2 [2], and the inequalities (2.16)-(2.18) for the solution h^* of Equation (1.5) and

the approximate solution $h_{n,\chi}^*$ we obtain

$$\|h^* - h_{n,\chi}^*\|_\varphi \leq d_{10} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n-\chi} \right) \ln(n-\chi)$$

and the theorem is proved

From theorem 2.2 there exists the number

$$n_n = \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \quad e_n = d_{11} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n-\chi} \right) \ln(n-\chi) < 1 \},$$

such that for arbitrary $n \geq \max(n_n, \chi)$ the SLAE (2.6) has the unique solution $h^* = (h_{-n}^*, \dots, h_{-\chi-1}^*, h_0^*, \dots, h_{n-\chi}^*)$

and the following inequality is valid:

$$\|y_{n,\chi}^*(h^*, \cdot) - y^*(\cdot)\|_\varphi \leq d_{12} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n-\chi} \right) \ln(n-\chi)$$

where $y^* \in \Omega_\varphi(\gamma)$ is the unique solution of (2.7). Now, consider the operator

$$L_n(y_0)h = (L_{0,n}(y_0)h, \dots, L_{2(n-\chi),n}(y_0)h)$$

where

$$L_{j,n}(y_0)h = a(y_0, t_j)y_{n,\chi}(h, t_j) + \frac{b(y_0, t_j)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau)}{\tau - t_j} d\tau + \frac{c(y_0, t)}{\pi i} \int_\gamma H(t_j, \tau, y_0)y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau) d\tau, \quad j = \overline{0, 2(n-\chi)}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{j,n}(y_0)h - P'_{j,n}(\eta^{(0)})h| &\leq |a(y_0, t_j) - a(y_{n,\chi}(\eta^{(0)}, t_j), t_j)| |y_{n,\chi}(h, t_j)| + |b(y_0, t_j) - b(y_{n,\chi}(\eta^{(0)}, t_j), t_j)| \times \\ &\times |B y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau)| + |c(y_0, t_j) - c(y_{n,\chi}(\eta^{(0)}, t_j), t_j)| |BH(t_j, \tau, y_0)y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau)| + \\ &+ |B[H(t_j, \tau, y_0(\tau)) - H(t_j, \tau, y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau))]| |y_{n,\chi}(h, \tau)| |c(y_{n,\chi}(\eta^{(0)}, t_j), t_j)|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into accounts that:

$$\|y_0(\cdot) - y_{n,\chi}(\eta^{(0)}, \cdot)\|_{C(\gamma)} \leq d_{13} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n-\chi} \right) \ln(n-\chi)$$

we have

$$\|L_n(y_0) - P'_n(\eta^{(0)})\|_{E_\varphi^{(1)} \rightarrow E_\varphi^{(2)}} \leq d_{14} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n-\chi} \right) \ln(n-\chi). \tag{2.19}$$

Since for arbitrary $n \geq (n_n, \chi)$, there exists the bounded linear inverse operator $L_n^{-1}: E_\varphi^{(2)} \rightarrow E_\varphi^{(1)}$, then from (2.19) by Banach theorem it follows that there exists $n_1 \geq \max(n_n, \chi)$ such that for arbitrary $n \geq n_1$, the linear operator $P'_{j,n}$ has bounded inverse, that is the SLAE (2.5) has the unique solution $h^* \in E_\varphi^{(1)}$ for arbitrary right side $g = g(t_j) \in E_\varphi^{(2)}, j = \overline{0, 2(n-\chi)}$.

Thus the following theorem is proved.

THEOREM 2.3. Let the coordinates of the vector $\eta^{(0)} = (\eta_{-n}^{(0)}, \dots, \eta_{-\chi-1}^{(0)}, \eta_0^{(0)}, \dots, \eta_{n-\chi}^{(0)})$ be the Fourier coefficients of the function $y_0 \in \Omega_\varphi(\gamma)$ and the conditions of Theorem 1.4 are satisfied and for $n \geq n_1$,

$$\| [P'_n(\eta^{(0)})]^{-1} \|_\varphi \leq \nu'_2 \text{ and } \| [P'_n(\eta^{(0)})]^{-1} P_n(\eta^{(0)}) \|_\varphi \leq \mu'_2$$

then, if $h'_2 = \nu'_2 M' \mu'_2 < 1/2$ and $r' \geq r'_2 = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 2h'_2}) \mu'_2 / h'_2$ the SNAE (2.3) has the unique solution $\eta^* = (\eta_{-n}^*, \dots, \eta_{-\chi-1}^*, \eta_0^*, \dots, \eta_{n-\chi}^*)$ in the sphere $(\eta^{(0)}, r'_2)$ to which the following iteration

process converges

$$\eta^{(m+1)} = \eta^{(m)} - [P'_n(\eta^{(0)})]^{-1} P_n(\eta^{(m)}), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

moreover the following inequality is true

$$\| \eta^{(m)} - \eta^* \|_\varphi \leq (1 - 2h'_2)^{-1/2} (1 - \sqrt{1 - 2h'_2})^m \mu'_2.$$

REFERENCES

1. **DZYEDYK, V.K.** Introduction to the Theory of Uniform Approximation of Functions by Polynomials, (in Russian), Nauka Moscow, 1977
2. **GABDULKHAEV, B.G.** Direct method for the solution of some functional equations, Izv Vyss. Ucebn. Zaved Mat. Vol. 114, No. 11 (1971), P. 33-44.
3. **Gakhov, F.D.** Boundary Value Problems; Pergamon Press Ltd, 1966.
4. **Gorlov, V.E.** On the approximate solution of nonlinear Singular integral equations, Izv Vyss. Ucebn. Zaved Mat. Vol 167 No. 4 (1976), P. 122- 125.
5. **GUSEINOV, A.I. and MUKHTAROV, KH.SH.** Introduction to the Theory of Nonlinear Singular Integral Equations, Nauka Moscow, (in Russian) 1980.
6. **MIKHLIN, S.G. and PROSSDORF, S.** Singular Integral Operators Akademik-Verlag Berlin, 1986.
7. **MUSAEV, B.I.**; On approximate solution of the Singular integral equations; Aka. Nauk. Az. SSR. Insitiute of Physics Preprint No. 17 (1986).
8. **MUSKHELISHVILI, N.I.** Singular Integral Equations, Noordhoff Groningen 1968.
9. **POGORZELSKI, W.** Integral Equations and Their Applications, Oxford, Pergman Press, Warsam: PWN-POL. Scient. Publ., 1966.
10. **SALEH, M. H. and AMER, S. M.** On a class of nonlinear singular integral equations with Cauchy kernel, AMSE Review Vol. 22 No. 1, (1992) P. 15-26.
11. **VEKUA, I.N.** On a Riemann boundary value problem, Trudy Tbilis. Mat. Inst. 11 (1942) 111-116.
12. **WOLFERSDORF, L.V.** A class of nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems for holomorphic function Math. Nachr. 116 (1984) 89-107.