

A METHOD OF SOLVING $y^{(k)} - f(x)y = 0$

* P.J. O'HARA, R. OSTEEN, R.S. RODRIGUEZ

Department of Mathematics
 University of Central Florida
 Orlando, FL 32816

(Received June 4, 1991)

ABSTRACT. An alternative method is shown for solving the differential equation $y^{(k)} - f(x)y = 0$ by means of series. Also included is a result for a sequence of functions $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which gives conditions under which $\lim_n \left(\frac{d^k}{dx^k} S_n(x) \right) = \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\lim_n S_n(x) \right)$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Differential equations, sequence of functions.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 40A30.

1. Introduction

Consider the differential equation $y'' - f(x)y = 0$ for $a < x < b$ where f is a given function continuous on $a \leq x \leq b$. If f is analytic then the method of power series may be used to solve for y . However, for more general f , heuristics suggest that one "iterate" to a solution by finding a sequence of functions $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ that satisfy $f_n''(x) = f_{n-1}(x)f(x)$. Then possibly $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ is a solution. Under suitable hypothesis this is indeed the case. The results can be generalized to the differential equation $y^{(k)} - f(x)y = 0$ as shown in Theorem A. The proof depends on an interesting result, Theorem 1, which gives conditions that insure that the limit of the k^{th} derivative is the k^{th} derivative of the limit. Theorem 1 generalizes the usual result found in Advanced Calculus books for differentiating the limit of a sequence of functions. We also include two examples that illustrate the method of solution when $k = 2$.

2. Statement of Theorems

Theorem A. Suppose f is continuous on $[a, b]$, $c \in [a, b]$, and k is a natural number. Define the sequence of functions $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$f_0(x) = a_0^{(0)} + a_1^{(0)}x + \dots + a_{k-1}^{(0)}x^{k-1} \neq 0,$$

$$f_n(x) = \int_c^x \int_c^{u_{k-1}} \dots \int_c^{u_1} f_{n-1}(u) \cdot f(u) du du_1 \dots du_{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j^{(n)} x^j, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $a_0^{(n)}, a_1^{(n)}, \dots, a_{k-1}^{(n)}$, are constants, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (Note $f_n(x)$ is any k^{th} antiderivative of $f_{n-1}(x)f(x)$.)

If the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to some function $S(x)$ then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n^{(j)}(x)$ converges uniformly to $S^{(j)}(x)$ for $a \leq x \leq b$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and $S^{(k)}(x) = S(x) \cdot f(x)$ on $[a, b]$.

Remark: All derivatives at the endpoints a and b are necessarily one sided.

As mentioned, the proof of Theorem A depends on the following interesting result for sequences of differentiable functions:

Theorem 1. Suppose (i) $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of real functions defined on an interval $[a, b]$ and k is a natural number;

(ii) $S_n'(x), S_n''(x), \dots, S_n^{(k)}(x)$ exist at each $x \in [a, b], n = 1, 2, \dots$

(iii) $\{S_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$;

(iv) either there is a $c \in [a, b]$ such that each of $\{S_n(c)\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{S_n'(c)\}_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \{S_n^{(k-1)}(c)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converge or there are distinct points c_1, \dots, c_k such that each of $\{S_n(c_1)\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{S_n(c_2)\}_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \{S_n(c_k)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converge.

Then

each of the sequences $\{S_n^{(j)}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to differentiable functions, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, and

$$\frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d^j}{dx^j} S_n(x) \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

3. Discussion and Proofs

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need some preliminary results. First is a standard result from Advanced Calculus.

Theorem 0. Suppose that $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of real functions differentiable on an interval $a \leq x \leq b$ and such that

(i) $\{S_n'(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$;

(ii) $\{S_n(c)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges for some $c \in [a, b]$.

Then $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to a function $S(x)$, and $\frac{d}{dx} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) \right) = S'(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx} S_n(x) \right), a \leq x \leq b$.

For a justification of Theorem 0, see [1], pp. 451-2.

Also required is the following

Lemma. Suppose k is a natural number, $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of polynomials each of degree $\leq k$, and c_1, \dots, c_{k+1} are $k + 1$ distinct numbers. If $\{p_n(c_j)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges for $j = 1, \dots, k + 1$ then $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges

for each $x \in \mathbf{R}$ to a polynomial $h(x)$ where either $h(x) = 0$ or degree of $h(x)$ is $\leq k$, and convergence is uniform on each bounded closed interval in \mathbf{R} . Moreover, $\lim_n p_n^{(v)}(x) = h^{(v)}(x), v = 1, \dots, k, x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof: Let $Q(x) = (x - c_1)(x - c_2) \dots (x - c_{k+1})$. Using the Lagrange Interpolation formula, we have for each n ,

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{p_n(c_j)Q(x)}{Q'(c_j)(x - c_j)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Clearly for each x ,

$$h(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{[\lim_n p_n(c_j)] Q(x)}{Q'(c_j)(x - c_j)}$$

exists and is finite; moreover $h(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$. For each x in some interval $[a, b]$,

$$|p_n(x) - h(x)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \left| \frac{(p_n(c_j) - h(c_j))Q(x)}{Q'(c_j)(x - c_j)} \right| \leq M \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |p_n(c_j) - h(c_j)| \tag{2.2}$$

where $M > 0$ is such that

$$\max_{a \leq x \leq b} \left| \frac{Q(x)}{Q'(c_j)(x - c_j)} \right| \leq M \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1.$$

Uniform convergence follows from inequality (2.2). By first differentiating (2.1) and then passing to the limit with n we obtain $\lim_n p_n^{(v)}(x) = h^{(v)}(x), x \in \mathbf{R}$. ■

We proceed to the

Proof of Theorem 1. We use induction on k . The case $k = 1$ is given by Theorem 0. So assume the theorem holds for $k - 1 \geq 1$ and let $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfy (i)-(iv). If $\{S_n(c)\}_1^\infty, \{S_n'(c)\}_1^\infty, \dots, \{S_n^{(k-1)}(c)\}_1^\infty$, each converge then $\{S_n^{(k-1)}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly by Theorem 0 and hence the conclusion follows from the induction hypothesis and Theorem 0. Next suppose $\{S_n(c_1)\}_1^\infty, \{S_n(c_2)\}_1^\infty, \dots, \{S_n(c_k)\}_1^\infty$ each converge and define

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,1}(x) &= \int_{c_1}^x S_n^{(k)}(u) du = S_n^{(k-1)}(x) - S_n^{(k-1)}(c_1) \\ G_{n,2}(x) &= \int_{c_2}^x G_{n,1}(u) du = S_n^{(k-2)}(x) - S_n^{(k-2)}(c_2) - S_n^{(k-1)}(c_1) \cdot (x - c_2) \\ &\vdots \\ G_{n,k}(x) &= \int_{c_k}^x G_{n,k-1}(u) du = S_n(x) - p_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_n(x) := S_n(x) - \int_{c_1}^x \dots \int_{c_2}^{u_2} \int_{c_1}^{u_1} S_n^{(k)}(u_1) du_1 du_2 \dots du_k = S_n(x) - G_{n,k}(x)$ is a uniquely determined polynomial of degree $\leq k - 1$, each n . Repeated use of Theorem 0 shows $\{G_{n,j}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and in particular $\{G_{n,k}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly. Since $\{S_n(c_j)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges then $\{p_n(c_j)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges, for $j = 1, \dots, k$. By the lemma the sequence of polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly to a polynomial $h(x)$ where either $h(x) \equiv 0$ or degree of $h(x)$ is $\leq k - 1$, and $\{p_n^{(k-1)}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $h^{(k-1)}(x)$. Because $p_n^{(k-1)}(x) = S_n^{(k-1)}(c_1), n = 1, 2, \dots$ then $\{S_n^{(k-1)}(c_1)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges. It follows that $\{S_n^{(k-1)}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly. Also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^{(k-1)}(x) \right)$. Now the induction hypothesis can be used and the conclusion obtained. ■

We are now able to give the

Proof of Theorem A. Apply Theorem 1 to the sequence of real functions

$$S_n(x) = f_0(x) + f_1(x) + \dots + f_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that each $S_n(x)$ has k derivatives and

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{(k)}(x) &= (f_0(x) + f_1(x) + \dots + f_{n-1}(x)) \cdot f(x) \\ &= S_{n-1}(x) \cdot f(x) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to $S(x)$. Hence $\{S_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly to $S(x) \cdot f(x)$. By Theorem 1, we obtain that the sequence of functions $\{S_n^{(j)}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly and $\frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left(\lim_n S_n(x) \right) = \lim_n \left(\frac{d^j}{dx^j} S_n(x) \right)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus for $a \leq x \leq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(k)}(x) &= \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\lim_n S_n(x) \right) = \lim_n \left(\frac{d^k}{dx^k} S_n(x) \right) \\ &= \lim_n (S_{n-1}(x) \cdot f(x)) = S(x)f(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem A. ■

4. Examples and Remarks

We give some applications of Theorem A.

Example 1: Consider $y'' - (Ax^k)y = 0, a \leq x \leq b$, where A, k are constants, $k \geq 0$, and $f(x) = Ax^k$ is continuous and bounded by M on $[a, b]$. We may assume $c = 0 \in [a, b]$ and $|a| \leq |b|$. Let $f_0(x) = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$ let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \frac{Ax^{k+2}}{(k+1)(k+2)}, \quad f_2(x) = \frac{A^2x^{2k+4}}{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)(2k+4)}, \dots \\ f_n(x) &= \frac{A^n x^{nk+2n}}{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)(2k+4)\dots(nk+2n-1)(nk+2n)}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_n''(x) = f_{n-1}(x) \cdot f(x)$ and $|f_n(x)| \leq \frac{M^n |x|^{2n}}{(2n)!} \leq \frac{M^n b^{2n}}{(2n)!}$ for $a \leq x \leq b, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The series $\sum \frac{M^n b^{2n}}{(2n)!}$ converges by the ratio test so $\sum_0^\infty f_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to a function $S(x)$ by the Weierstrass M -test. Now let $g_0(x) = x$ and for $n \geq 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= \frac{Ax^{k+3}}{(k+2)(k+3)}, \quad g_2(x) = \frac{A^2x^{2k+5}}{(k+2)(k+3)(2k+4)(2k+5)}, \dots \\ g_n(x) &= \frac{A^n x^{nk+2n+1}}{(k+2)(k+3)(2k+4)(2k+5)\dots(nk+2n)(nk+2n+1)}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

As before $g_n''(x) = g_{n-1}(x) \cdot f(x)$ and $|g_n(x)| \leq \frac{M^n |b|^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}, a \leq x \leq b, n = 1, 2, \dots$ so that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty g_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to a function $T(x)$. By Theorem 1, $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are solutions to $y'' - Ax^k y = 0$. Since

the Wronskian of $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ is $W(x) = S(x)T'(x) - T(x) \cdot S'(x)$ and $W(0) \neq 0$ then $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are linearly independent on $[a, b]$, see e.g. [2], pp. 111-113. It follows that the general solution is $C_1S(x) + C_2T(x)$ for constants C_1, C_2 . In particular if $k = 0$ and $A > 0$ then

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{A} x)^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \cosh(\sqrt{A} x) \quad \text{and} \quad T(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{A} x)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{A} x)}{\sqrt{A}}$$

and if $k = 0$ and $A < 0$ then

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\sqrt{|A|} x)^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \cos(\sqrt{|A|} x) \quad \text{and} \quad T(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|A|}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\sqrt{|A|} x)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{|A|} x)}{\sqrt{|A|}}$$

These solutions are the same as those obtained by elementary methods.

Example 2: Consider $y'' - Ae^{kx}y = 0, -a < x < a$, where A, k are constants, $a > 0, k \neq 0$ and $f(x) = Ae^{kx}$.

Let $f_0(x) = 1, \quad f_1(x) = \frac{A}{k^2}e^{kx}, \quad f_2(x) = \frac{A^2e^{2kx}}{(k^2)(2k)^2}, \dots$

$$f_n(x) = \frac{A^n e^{nkx}}{[(k)(2k)\dots(nk)]^2}, \dots$$

Then $f_n''(x) = f_{n-1}(x)f(x)$ and $|f_n(x)| \leq \frac{(|A|e^{k|a|})^n}{[(k^n)(n!)]^2}$ for $|x| \leq a, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|A|e^{k|a|})^n}{[(k^n)(n!)]^2}$ converges by

the Ratio Test so $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^n e^{nkx}}{[(k^n)(n!)]^2}$ converges uniformly on $[-a, a]$ to a function $S(x)$. Now let $c = 0, g_0(x) = x,$

$$g_1(x) = \frac{Ae^{kx}}{k^2} \left(x - \frac{2}{k} \right), \quad g_2(x) = \frac{A^2e^{2kx}}{(k^2)(2k)^2} \left\{ x - \frac{2}{k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\}, \dots$$

$$g_n(x) = \frac{A^n e^{nkx}}{[(k^n)(n!)]^2} \left[x - \frac{2}{k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right], \dots$$

Then $g_n''(x) = g_{n-1}(x) \cdot f(x)$ and $|g_n(x)| \leq \frac{(|A|e^{k|a|})^n}{[(k^n)(n!)]^2} \left[|a| + \frac{2}{k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] =: b_n$ for $|x| \leq a, n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Since $\sum b_n$ converges by the Ratio Test then $\left(x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) \right)$ converges uniformly on $[-a, a]$ to some $T(x)$.

To see that $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are linearly independent let $x = x(u) = k^{-1} \ln u \Leftrightarrow \dot{u} = e^{kx}$. Then

$$S(x(u)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^n u^n}{(k^n \cdot n!)^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T(x(u)) &= \frac{\ln u}{k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^n u^n}{(k^n \cdot n!)^2} \left[\frac{\ln u}{k} - \frac{2}{k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{\ln u}{k} \right) \cdot S(x(u)) - \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{A^n u^n}{(k^n \cdot n!)^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note $S(x(u))$ has a Maclaurin Series but $T(x(u))$ does not; hence $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are linearly independent.

Thus $C_1S(x) + C_2T(x), C_1, C_2$ constants, is the general solution to

$$y'' - Ae^{kx}y = 0, \quad -a \leq x \leq a.$$

We conclude with some remarks. Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 0 and is of interest by itself. It is possible to improve Theorem 1 by generalizing hypothesis (iv) e.g., to include in (iv) a third alternative as follows: c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} are distinct points and each of $\{S_n(c_1)\}_1^\infty$, ..., $\{S_n(c_{k-1})\}_1^\infty$ and $\{S_n'(c_1)\}_1^\infty$ converge. It may be possible to generalize Theorem A to differential equations that include intermediate derivatives, e.g., $y'' + g(x)y' + f(x)y = 0$, $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ continuous on $[a, b]$; such a generalization would require an improvement of Theorem 1. As seen in the examples, linearly independent solutions to the differential equation are obtained by using linearly independent functions for $f_0(x)$. Finally, we note that difficulties in the application of Theorem A may occur when finding the k^{th} antiderivative of $f_{n-1}(x)f(x)$, and thus this method of solution may be impractical for such cases.

*Note: The first author is deceased.

References

1. OLMSTED, J. M. H. Advanced Calculus, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1961.
2. CODDINGTON, E. A. An Introduction to Ordinary Differential Equations, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1961.