

**ON THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL CR-SUBMANIFOLDS  
OF THE SIX-DIMENSIONAL SPHERE**

**M.A. BASHIR**

Mathematics Department  
College of Science  
King Saud University  
P.O. Box 2455  
Riyadh 11451 Saudi Arabia

(Received December 13, 1989 and in revised form March 27, 1990)

**ABSTRACT.** We show that the six-dimensional sphere does not admit three-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifolds.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Totally umbilical submanifolds, totally real submanifolds, CR-submanifolds, almost complex structure.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 53C40; 53C55.

1. **INTRODUCTION.** The six-dimensional unit sphere  $S^6(1)$  has a nearly Kaehler structure  $J$  constructed in a natural way by making use of Cayley division algebra [3]. It is because of this nearly Kaehler, non-Kaehler structure, that  $S^6(1)$  has drawn the attention. In particular, almost complex submanifolds, CR-submanifolds and totally real submanifolds of  $S^6(1)$  have been considered by A. Gray [4], K. Sekigawa and N. Ejiri [2]. For three-dimensional totally real submanifolds of  $S^6(1)$  of constant curvature, N. Ejiri proved the following [2].

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of constant curvature  $c$  in  $S^6(1)$ . Then  $c = 1$  (totally geodesic) or  $c = \frac{1}{16}$  (minimal).

In this paper we consider 3-dimensional CR-submanifolds of  $S^6(1)$ . We prove the following result:

**THEOREM 2.** There are no 3-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifolds in  $S^6(1)$ .

2. **PRELIMINARIES.**

Let  $C_+$  be the set of all purely imaginary Cayley numbers. The  $C_+$  can be viewed as a 7-dimensional linear subspace  $\mathbb{R}^7$  of  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . Consider the unit hypersphere which is centered at the origin

$$S^6(1) = \{x \in C_+ \mid \langle x, x \rangle = 1\}.$$

The tangent space  $T_x S^6$  of  $S^6(1)$  at a point  $x$  may be identified with the affine subspace of  $C_+$  which is orthogonal to  $x$ . On  $S^6(1)$  define a (1,1)-tensor field  $J$  by putting

$$J_x U = x \times U,$$

where the above product is defined as in [3] for  $x \in S^6(1)$  and  $U \in T_x S^6$ .

The above tensor field  $J$  determines an almost complex structure (i.e.,  $J^2 = -Id$ ) on  $S^6(1)$ . The compact simple lie group of automorphisms  $G_2$  acts transitively on  $S^6(1)$  and preserves both  $J$  and the standard metric on  $S^6(1)$ , [3].

Now let  $G$  be the (2,1)-tensor field on  $S^6(1)$  defined by

$$G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $S^6(1)$  and  $X, Y \in T_x S^6$ .

Since  $\bar{\nabla}_X J$  is skew-symmetric with respect to the Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $S^6(1)$ , it follows that  $G$  has the following property

$$g(G(X, Y), Z) + g(G(X, Z), Y) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(S^6)$ .

A submanifold  $M$  of  $\dim(2p + q)$  in  $S^6(1)$  is called a CR-submanifold if there exists a pair of orthogonal complementary distributions  $D$  and  $\bar{D}$  such that  $JD = D$  and  $J\bar{D} \subset \nu$ , where  $\nu$  is the normal bundle of  $M$  and  $\dim D = 2p$ ,  $\dim \bar{D} = q$ [1]. Thus the normal bundle  $\nu$  splits as  $\nu = J\bar{D} \oplus \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is invariant sub-bundle of  $\nu$  under  $J$ .

A CR-submanifold is said to be proper if neither  $D = \{0\}$  nor  $\bar{D} = \{0\}$ .

We denote by  $\nabla, \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}$  the Riemannian connections on  $M, S^6$  and the normal bundle respectively. They are related by Gauss formula and Weingarten formula:

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \bar{\nabla}_X N \quad N \in \nu \quad (2.3)$$

where  $h(X, Y)$  and  $A_N X$  are the second fundamental forms which are related by

$$g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y) \quad (2.4)$$

$X$  and  $Y$  are vector fields on  $M$ .

Now a CR-submanifold is said to be totally umbilical if  $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$  where  $H = \frac{1}{n}$  (trace  $h$ ) is the mean curvature vector. If  $M$  is a totally umbilical CR-submanifold, then equations (2) and (3) become

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)H \quad (2.5)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -g(H, N)X + \bar{\nabla}_X N \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $R$  be the curvature tensor associated with  $\nabla$ . Then the equation of Gauss is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y; Z, W) &= g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\ &+ g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) - g(h(Y, Z), h(X, W)) \end{aligned}$$

It is known that for  $X, Y$  in  $D$ ,  $G(X, Y) = 0$ , and  $G(W, W) = 0$  for all  $W \in \mathfrak{X}(S^6)$ .

### 3. 3-DIMENSIONAL CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF $S^6(1)$ :

Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifold of  $S^6(1)$ . Since  $M$  is proper,  $D \neq \{0\}$  and  $\bar{D} \neq \{0\}$ . Then since  $\dim M = 3$ , we have  $\dim D = 2$  and  $\dim \bar{D} = 1$ .

We have the following:

LEMMA 1. If  $M$  is a 3-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifold of  $S^6(1)$ , then  $H \in J\bar{D}$ .

PROOF. For  $X, Y \neq 0$  in  $D$  we use equation (2.5) and the equation  $J\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \bar{\nabla}_X JY$  to get

$$J \nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)JH = \nabla_X JY + g(X, JY)H. \tag{3.1}$$

Taking inner product in (3.1) with  $N \in \mu$  we have

$$g(X, Y)g(JH, N) = g(X, JY)g(H, N) \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, if we let  $Y = JX$  in (3.2) we get

$$\|X\|g(H, N) = 0$$

From which it follows that  $H \in J\bar{D}^\perp$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $M$  is a 3-dimensional totally umbilical CR-submanifold of  $S^6(1)$ , the  $\|H\|$  is constant.

PROOF. Using (2.7) and the equation  $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$  we get

$$R(X, Y; Z, W) = (1 + \|H\|^2) \{g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)\} \tag{3.3}$$

Then since  $\dim M = 3$ , we invoke Schur's theorem to conclude that  $(1 + \|H\|^2)$  is constant. Thus  $\|H\|$  is constant.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

In this section let  $\{X, JX, Z\}$  denote an orthonormal frame field for the 3-dimensional totally umbilical CR-submanifold  $M$  of  $S^6(1)$ . The unit vector fields  $X, JX$  are in  $D$  and the unit vector field  $Z$  is in  $\bar{D}$ . Since  $M$  is totally umbilical, the equation  $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$  implies that

$$h(X, JX) = h(X, Z) = h(JX, Z) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$h(X, X) = h(JX, JX) = h(Z, Z) = H$$

We know from the previous Lemma that  $H \in J\bar{D}^\perp$ . Since  $\dim J\bar{D}^\perp = 1$ , then one can write  $H = \alpha JZ$  for some smooth function  $\alpha$  on  $M$ . Therefore

$$h(X, X) = h(JX, JX) = h(Z, Z) = \alpha JZ$$

Using equation (2.4) with  $N = JZ$  we get

$$A_{JZ}X = \alpha X, \quad A_{JZ}JX = \alpha JX, \quad A_{JZ}Z = \alpha Z \tag{4.2}$$

So the frame field  $\{X, JX, Z\}$  diagonalizes  $A$ . Now in  $S^6(1)$  we have equation (2.1) i.e.  $g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, Z) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Z, Y) = 0$  for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(S^6)$ . Since for  $X, Y \in D$   $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0$ , then using this equation with  $Y = JX$  for our orthonormal frame field  $\{X, JX, Z\}$  in  $M$ , we get

$$g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)Z, JX) = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

Using equation (2.5), (4.3) and (2.6) with the fact that  $H \in J\bar{D}^\perp$  and  $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Z = \bar{\nabla}_X JZ - J\bar{\nabla}_X Z$  we get

$$g(\nabla_X Z, X) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

Again using equation (2.5) and (2.6) in equation (2.1) with  $Y = X$ , we get

$$g(\nabla_X Z, JX) = \alpha \tag{4.5}$$

Also using equation (2.1) and  $(\bar{\nabla}_{JX}J)Z = \bar{\nabla}_{JX}JZ - J\bar{\nabla}_{JX}Z$  we get

$$g(\nabla_{JX}Z, X) = -\alpha \quad (4.6)$$

Switching the role of  $X$  and  $Y$  in equation (2.1) and letting  $Y = JX$  we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{JX}Z, JX) = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

Now using the equation  $g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)X, JZ) = 0$  and  $g(\bar{\nabla}_{JX}J)x, z) = 0$  we get

$$g(\nabla_X X, Z) = 0, \quad g(\nabla_{JX}JX, Z) = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

From the equation  $(\bar{\nabla}_Z J)Z = 0$ , using equation (4.1) and (4.2) and the fact that  $\nabla_Z Z \in D$ , we get

$$\nabla_Z Z = 0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z JZ = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

Using equations (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and the first part of equation (4.9) we can write the local equations for the frame field  $\{X, JX, Z\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Z &= \alpha JX, & \nabla_{JX} Z &= -\alpha X, & \nabla_Z Z &= 0 \\ \nabla_X X &= aJX, & \nabla_{JX} X &= -bJX + \alpha Z, & \nabla_Z X &= cJX \\ \nabla_X JX &= -aX - \alpha Z, & \nabla_{JX} JX &= bX, & \nabla_Z JX &= -cX \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

for some smooth functions  $a, b$  and  $c$ .

The curvature tensor  $R$  is given by

$$R(X, Y; Z, W) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, W \rangle$$

Then using this equation with the help of equations (4.10) we get  $R(X, Z, Z, X) = \alpha^2$ ,  $\alpha = \|H\|$ . But from equation (3.3) we know that  $R(X, Z, Z, X) = -(1 + \alpha^2)$ . This is a contradiction and hence  $S^6(1)$  cannot admit a 3-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifolds.

#### REFERENCES

1. BEJANCU, A., CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69(1978), 135-142.
2. EJIRI, N., Totally real submanifolds in a 6-sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83(1981), 759-763.
3. FUKAMI, T. and ISHIHARA, S., Almost Hermitian structure on  $S^6$ , Tohoku Math. J. 7(1955), 151-156.
4. GRAY, A., Almost complex submanifolds of six sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20(1969), 277-279.
5. SEKIGAWA, K., Almost complex submanifolds of a 6-dimensional sphere, Kodai Math. J., 6(1983), 174-185.