

**SOME LIMIT THEOREMS FOR RATIOS  
 OF TRANSITION PROBABILITIES**

**RITA CHATTOPADHYAY**

Department of Mathematics  
 Eastern Michigan University  
 Ypsilanti, MI 48197

(Received October 8, 1986)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, infinite dimensional forward convergent stochastic chains have been considered in the framework of [1]. The main result of this paper deals with the observation that the total flow of probability from the C-states to the T-states is very small compared to that from the T-states to the C-states, if the chain is observed for a sufficiently long time. Some examples have been given to justify the assumptions involved.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Infinite stochastic matrices, convergence, basis, C-states, T-States.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 60J.

**1. INTRODUCTION.**

Let  $(P_n)$  be a sequence of finite or countably infinite stochastic matrices. Then  $(P_n)$  is called a convergent chain iff for each  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{k,n} = Q_k$  exists and is a stochastic matrix. Here  $P_{k,n} = P_{k+1} P_{k+2} \dots P_n$  for  $k < n$ . It has been proved in [1] that for such a chain there exists a unique partition  $\{T, C_1, C_2, \dots\}$  of the state space  $S$  such that for any limit point  $Q$  of the  $Q_k$ 's the following are true:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ij} &= 0, \text{ for all } i \text{ if } j \in T \\ &= 0, \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ belong to different } C\text{-classes} \\ &= Q_{kj}, \text{ if } i, j, k \text{ belong to the same } C\text{-classes.} \end{aligned}$$

One of the problems, here, is to identify the T-states and the C-states for infinite convergent chains. Also, the following theorem is known (see [2]) for finite chains.

**THEOREM 1.1.** Let  $(P_n)$  be a finite convergent chain with basis  $\{T, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_p\}$ . Let  $L \in T$ . Then for each positive integer  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{TL}^C}{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{LL}^C} = 0$$

where  $(p_n)_{AB} = \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} (p_n)_{ij}$ .

The above theorem and some other results have been extended to the infinite-dimensional case with some modifications. Some examples have been discussed to justify the assumptions involved.

**2. PRELIMINARIES.**

**CONDITION (U).** A convergent, forward stochastic chain  $(P_n)$  is said to satisfy condition (U) if for each  $j$  in each C-class in the basis,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \in T} |(Q_k)_{ij} - Q_{ij}| = 0$$

This condition yielded a number of finite space like results for infinite chains in [1]. However, the next few examples demonstrate that Theorem 1.1 fails to go over directly to the infinite state space, even for infinite chains which satisfy condition (U).

**EXAMPLES.** (a) For a convergent, infinite chain with basis  $\{T, C_1, C_2, \dots\}$  and any  $L \subset T$  the ratio

$$\frac{\sum_{q=k}^m (p_q)_{TL^c}}{\sum_{q=k}^m (p_q)_{LL^c}}$$

for  $k \geq 1$ , may not go to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . For, if

$$P_n = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2^2 & \dots \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2^2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2^2 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \end{array} \right] \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}} \right\} \text{first } n \text{ rows}$$

then it has been shown in [1], that for each  $k$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{k,n} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2^2 \dots \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2^2 \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array} \right]$$

The basis of this chain is of course  $\{T = \{1\}, C = \{2,3,\dots\}\}$ . But for all  $k < m$ ,

$$\frac{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{T^c, \{1\}}}{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{\{1\}, T^c}} = \infty$$

It can be seen that this chain satisfies a condition stronger than (U) because  $\|Q_k - Q\| = 0$  for all  $k$ .

(b) This example is given to show that the previous limit may be finite but not zero.

If  $a_k = 2^{4(k-1)}$ ,  $k \geq 1$  with  $a_k \leq n < a_{k+1}$ , let

$$(P_{3n-2})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1/2^j & \text{if } i = 0, j \geq 1 \\ 1-1/2^k & \text{if } 1 \leq i = j \leq k \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \geq k+1 \\ 1/2^k & \text{if } j = n \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq k \end{cases}$$

$$(P_{3n-1})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i = j \leq k \\ 1 & \text{if } j = n, i > k \end{cases}$$

$$(P_{3n})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = n, j = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \neq n \end{cases}$$

Here, the state space is  $\{0,1,2,\dots\}$ . It has been shown in [1] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{k,n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2^2 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2^2 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

for all  $k$  and  $\|Q_k - Q\| = 0$ . Here,  $T = \{0\}$ ,  $C = \{1,2,\dots\}$ . But

$$\frac{\sum_{n=3k+1}^{3m} (P_n)_{T^c, \{0\}}}{\sum_{n=3k+1}^{3m} (P_n)_{\{0\}, T^c}} = \frac{m-k}{m-k} = 1, \text{ for } k < m$$

so that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{T^c, \{0\}}}{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{\{0\}, T^c}} = 1, \text{ for all } k$$

so that the limiting value of the above ratio is not zero.

**3. MAIN RESULTS.**

**LEMMA 3.1.** Let  $(P_n)$  be any chain (finite or infinite). Also, let

$T = \{j \mid \lim (p_{m,n})_{ij} = 0, \text{ for all } m \text{ and for all } i\}$   
 and assume that  $Q_k = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} P_{k,l}$  are stochastic matrices. Then we have

$$\sum_n (P_n)_{LL}^c = \infty, \text{ where } (P_n)_{LL}^c = \sum_{\substack{i \in L \\ j \in L^c}} (P_n)_{ij} \text{ and } L \cap T = \emptyset.$$

**PROOF.** Suppose that the lemma is false. Then there exists  $L \cap T = \emptyset$  such that  $\sum_n (P_n)_{LL}^c < \infty$ . Then for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k(\epsilon)$  such that for all  $k \geq k(\epsilon)$ ,

$$\sum_{n \geq k} (P_n)_{LL}^c < \epsilon.$$

For any  $t \in L, (P_{k,n})_{tL}^c = (P_{k+1} P_{k+1,n})_{tL}^c$

$$= \sum_{i \in S} (P_{k+1})_{ti} (P_{k+1,n})_{iL}^c$$

$$= \sum_{i \in L} (P_{k+1})_{ti} (P_{k+1,n})_{iL}^c + \sum_{i \in L^c} (P_{k+1})_{ti} (P_{k+1,n})_{iL}^c$$

$$\leq \max_{i \in L} (P_{k+1,n})_{iL}^c + (P_{k+1})_{LL}^c.$$

Therefore,  $\max_{t \in L} (P_{k,n})_{tL}^c \leq \max_{t \in L} (P_{k+1,n})_{tL}^c + (P_{k+1})_{LL}^c.$

Repeating the procedure,

$$\max_{t \in L} (P_{k,n})_{tL}^c \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (P_{k+j})_{LL}^c$$

Therefore, for  $k \geq k(\epsilon)$  and  $t \in L,$

$$\sum_{j \in L^c} (Q_k)_{tj} < \epsilon$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the supposition is false and the result follows.

**REMARK 3.2.** If  $\pi_{k_0}$  is an infinite-dimensional initial probability row-vector and  $(P_n)$  a sequence of infinite stochastic matrices, then let  $\pi_m = \pi_{k_0} P_{k_0,m}$ . If  $\pi_{k_0}$  has all positive entries, then for each  $j \in T$ , choosing  $k_0$  sufficiently large it follows that for a convergent chain,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_n(j) > 0$ . Also, for  $m < n$  and  $i \in S,$

$$\pi_{n+1}(i) - \pi_n(i) = \sum_{j \neq i} \pi_n(j) (P_{n+1})_{ji} - \pi_n(i) [1 - (P_{n+1})_{ii}] \tag{3.1}$$

$$\pi_n(i) - \pi_m(i) = \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \pi_k(j) (P_{k+1})_{ji} - \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \pi_k(i) [1 - (P_{k+1})_{ii}] \tag{3.2}$$

The above identities are use to get the following results:

**THEOREM 3.3.** Let  $(P_n)$  be a convergent chain with basis  $\{T, C_1, C_2, \dots\}$ .

(A) Suppose that  $t \in T$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - (P_n)_{tt}] = \infty$
- (ii) For  $j \in T$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(P_n)_{jt}}{1 - (P_n)_{tt}} = 0$

(B) Suppose that there is a state  $t \in S$  such that

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - (P_n)_{tt}] = \infty$
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(P_n)_{jt}}{1 - (P_n)_{tt}} = 0$ , uniformly for  $j \neq t$ .

Then  $t \in T$ .

(C) Suppose that there is only one T-state such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(P_n)_{jt}}{1 - (P_n)_{tt}} = A_j \quad (0 \leq A_j < \infty) \quad \text{for each } j \neq t.$$

Then  $t \in T$  iff  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - (P_n)_{tt}] = \infty$  and  $A_j = 0$  for each  $j \neq t$ .

**PROOF.** (A) Part (i) follows since  $(P_{k,n})_{tt} \geq (P_{k+1})_{tt} (P_{k+2})_{tt} \dots (P_n)_{tt}$

So that  $0 = (Q_k)_{tt} \geq \prod_{m=k+1}^{\infty} (P_{k+m})_{tt}$

which means that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - (P_n)_{tt}] = \infty$ .

For part (ii), suppose that it is false for some  $j_0 \in T$ . Then there exists  $\beta > 0$  and a positive integer  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$(P_n)_{j_0 t} \geq \beta [1 - (P_n)_{tt}].$$

Then from equation (3.2) for  $n > m > N$ , we have

$$\pi_n(t) - \pi_m(t) \geq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} [\beta \pi_k(j_0) - \pi_k(t)] [1 - (P_{k+1})_{tt}]$$

which is impossible because of part (i) and because the left side goes to zero as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  but  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_k(j_0) > 0$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_k(t) = 0$ . Therefore the supposition is false and part (ii) is proved

(B) Suppose  $t \in T$ . Then there exists  $n_0$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies

$$\pi_n(t) > 2\beta > 0.$$

By (1),

$$2\beta [1 - (P_{n+1})_{tt}] \leq \sum_{j \neq t} \pi_n(j)(P_{n+1})_{jt} + \pi_n(t) - \pi_{n+1}(t) \leq \max_{j \neq t} (P_{n+1})_{jt} + \pi_n(t) - \pi_{n+1}(t).$$

By condition (ii), there exists  $N > n_0$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies

$$\max_{j \neq t} (P_{n+1})_{jt} < \beta [1 - (P_n)_{tt}]$$

then we have from above, for  $n > N$ ,

$$\beta [1 - (P_{n+1})_{tt}] \leq \pi_n(t) - \pi_{n+1}(t)$$

which means that  $\beta \sum_{n=N+1}^m [1 - (P_{n+1})_{tt}] \leq \pi_{N+1}(t) - \pi_{m+1}(t) < 2$  which contradicts (i).

(C) This part follows immediately from parts (A) and (B).

**THEOREM 3.4.** Let  $(P_n)$  be a convergent infinite chain with  $\{T, C_1, C_2, \dots\}$  as its basis. Then for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{BA}}{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{AA^c}} = 0$$

where  $A$  (finite set)  $T, B$  (finite set)  $T^c$ . Moreover, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\inf_{t \in T} \sum_{i \notin C_s} (Q_n)_{ti}] > 0$$

then the above result holds for any  $A \subset T$  and finite  $B \subset C_s$ .

**PROOF.** If  $\pi_n(A) = \sum_{t \in A} \pi_n(t)$  and  $m < n$ , then

$$\pi_n(A) - \pi_m(A) = \sum_{t \in A} \sum_{j \neq t} \sum_{q=m}^{n-1} \pi_q(j)(P_{q+1})_{jt}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{t \in A} \sum_{q=m}^{n-1} \pi_q(j) \sum_{j \neq t} (P_{q+1})_{tj} \\
 \geq & \sum_{q=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in B} \pi_q(j) (P_{q+1})_{jA} - \sum_{q=m}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in A} \pi_q(t) (P_{q+1})_{tA^c}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, for all  $t \in A$  (finite) and  $j \in B$  (finite) and  $q$  sufficiently large,

$$\pi_q(t) < \epsilon \epsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_q(j) > \epsilon_1.$$

Therefore, for  $m$  sufficiently large and  $m < n$ ,

$$\pi_n(A) - \pi_m(A) > \epsilon_1 \sum_{q=m}^{n-1} (P_{q+1})_{BA} - \epsilon \epsilon_1 \sum_{q=m}^{n-1} (P_{q+1})_{AA^c} \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $(m_r)$  be any given sequence of positive integers. Then there exists  $(p_r)$   $(m_r)$  such that for each  $r \geq k$ , there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{q=k}^r (P_q)_{BA} \leq \epsilon/2 \sum_{q=k}^{p_r} (P_q)_{AA^c} \tag{3.4}$$

since  $\sum_q (P_q)_{AA^c} = \infty$  and  $\sum_{q=r}^{p_r} (P_q)_{AA^c} > \beta > 0$ . (3.5)

But  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{q=r}^{p_r} (P_q)_{BA}}{\sum_{q=r}^{p_r} (P_q)_{AA^c}} = 0$  from equation (3.3). Hence from (3.4) and (3.5),

for sufficiently large  $r$ , we have

$$\sum_{q=k}^{p_r} (P_q)_{BA} < \epsilon \sum_{q=k}^{p_r} (P_q)_{AA^c}$$

and the first part of the theorem follows.

We refer the reader to a result obtained in [2] for the proof of the second part. It has been proved in [2] that for all  $i \in C_s$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t \in A} (P_n)_{it} < \infty.$$

Using the above result, the proof of the second part easily follows.

**EXAMPLE 3.5.** We now give an example to show that in Theorem 3.4, the condition that the flow in the denominator is from  $A$  to  $A^c$ , is necessary.

$$\text{Let } P_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/n & 1-1/n & 0 & \dots\dots \\ 1/n & 1-1/n & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots \end{bmatrix}$$

By Bernstein's theorem, the products are weakly ergodic. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{k,n+1})_{i1} &= \sum_s (P_{k,n})_{is} (P_{n+1})_{s1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} (P_{k,n})_{i2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{k,n+1})_{i2} &= \sum_s (P_{k,n})_{is} (P_{n+1})_{s2} \\ &= 1 - (P_{k,n})_{i1} + \frac{1}{n+1} (P_{k,n})_{i1} - \frac{1}{n+1} (P_{k,n})_{i2} \\ &\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, the chain is strongly ergodic with  $T^c = \{2\}$ . But if  $A = \{1\}$ ,  $B = \{2\}$

$$\text{then } \frac{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{21}}{\sum_{n=k}^m (P_n)_{12}} = 1, \text{ for all } k \leq m.$$

Hence, the assertion is true.

#### REFERENCES

1. MUKHERJEA, A. and NAKASSIS, A., Convergence of Non-homogeneous Stochastic Chains With Countable States, J. Multivariate Analysis Vol. 16, No. 1 (1985), 85-117.
2. MUKHERJEA, A., NAKASSIS, A. and ISSAACSON, D., Determination of the Basis of a Non-homogeneous Markov Chain, Statistics and Decision 2 (1984), 363-375.
3. MUKHERJEA, A., A New Result on the Convergence of Non-homogeneous Stochastic Chains, Transactions of the A.M.S. Vol. 262, No. 22 (1980), 505-520.
4. ISAACSON, D.L. and MADSEN, R.W., Markov Chains: Theory and Applications, J. Wiley, New York.