

## ON COMPLEX $L_1$ -PREDUAL SPACES

MRINAL KANTI DAS

Department of Mathematics  
University of Nairobi  
P.O. Box 30197, Nairobi, Kenya.

(Received November 12, 1985 and in revised form April 30, 1986)

ABSTRACT - This paper contains some characterisations of complex  $L_1$ -predual spaces which are being known as Lindenstrauss spaces after [1]. The dual unit balls of such spaces now-a-days called  $L$ -balls have been characterised by many authors including Lazar & Lindenstrauss [2], Lazar [3], [4], Lau [5] and others when the spaces are real. But their complex versions far from being trivial follow-ups seem to be much complicated and in reality sometimes need ingenuity to be formulated even. This paper contains some complex versions of Lau's results [5] embodied in Theorem 3.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: *Boundary measures, Choquet ordering, Haar measure, Lindenstrauss space, Simplex.*

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 46 B 99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

The purpose of this paper is to furnish some characterisations of complex  $L_1$ -predual spaces which are being known as Lindenstrauss spaces after [1]. The dual unit balls of such spaces now-a-days called  $L$ -balls have been characterised by many authors including Lazar & Lindenstrauss [2], Lazar [3], [4], Lau [5] and others when the spaces are real. But their complex versions far from being trivial follow-ups seem to be much complicated and in reality sometimes need ingenuity to be formulated even. This paper contains some complex versions of Lau's results [5] embodied in Theorem 3.

### 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

For a compact convex subset  $K$  of a locally convex Hausdorff space  $E$ ,  $\partial_e K$  stands for the set of its extreme points;  $M(K)$  for the Banach space (with total variation as norm) of complex regular Borel measures on  $K$ ;  $M_1(K)$  for the set of members of  $M(K)$  with norm  $\leq 1$ ;  $C(K)$ ,  $A(K)$ ,  $P(K)$  for the space of all real-valued continuous functions, continuous affine functions, continuous convex functions on  $K$  respectively.

For bounded real-valued functions  $f$  on  $K$ , the upper envelope is denoted by  $\hat{f}$  and the lower envelope by  $\check{f}$ . A measure  $\mu$  is said to be a boundary measure if  $|\mu|$  is maximal in the ordering of Choquet; in fact  $\mu$  is a boundary measure iff  $\mu(\hat{f}-f)=0$  for all  $f \in C(K)$  [6; p.129]. We shall also write  $\Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z|=1\}$ .

If  $V$  is a complex Banach space, the dual unit ball  $K=(V^*)_1$  is convex and compact in the  $w^*$ -topology. We define the map  $\text{hom } f$  as  $(\text{hom } f)(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\alpha} f(\alpha x) d\alpha$  for semi-continuous function  $f$  on  $K$ , where  $d\alpha$  is the unit Haar measure on  $\Gamma$ . Clearly  $\text{hom } f$  is  $\Gamma$ -homogeneous, i.e.  $(\text{hom } f)(\beta x) = \beta(\text{hom } f)(x)$  for  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . One can easily show that  $\text{hom}$  restricted to  $C(K)$  are norm-decreasing projections of  $C(K)$  onto the space of  $\Gamma$ -homogeneous

continuous functions on  $K$ . The adjoint projection  $\text{hom}$  defined as  $\text{hom } \mu = \mu \circ \text{hom}$  is also a norm decreasing  $w^*$ -continuous projection of  $M(K)$  onto a linear subspace  $M_{\text{hom}}(K)$  of  $M(K)$ . We can write  $(\text{hom } f)(x) = S_1 f(x) + i T f(x)$

$$\text{where } S_1 f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos \theta f(xe^{i\theta}) d\theta, T f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin \theta f(xe^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

$$\text{If we write } (Sf)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \theta f(xe^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

(which multiplied by  $\pi$  gives what Roy [7] has defined as  $f_+$ ), then

$$2(S_1 f)(x) = \text{odd } Sf(x). \quad (2.1)$$

Throughout the paper we shall write  $A_O(K)$  for the set of all continuous  $\Gamma$ -homogeneous affine functions on  $K=(V^*)_1$ .

### 3. Main Results

For real Banach space  $V$ , the following results are recently proved.

Theorem 1. If  $K$  is the dual unit ball of a real Banach space  $V$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $V$  is an  $L_1$ -predual space.
- (ii) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are boundary measures on  $K$  having the same barycentre, then  $\text{odd } \mu_1 = \text{odd } \mu_2$ .
- (iii) For  $f \in P(K)$ ,  $\hat{\text{odd}} f$  is affine.
- (iv) For any  $f \in P(K)$ ,  $\hat{f}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sup\{f(x) + f(-x) : x \in K\} = \sup\{\text{even } f(x) : x \in K\}$ .
- (v) For any l.s.c. concave function  $f$  on  $K$  such that  $\text{even } f \geq 0$ , there exists a continuous affine symmetric function  $a$  on  $K$  such that  $f \geq a$ .
- (vi) If  $f, -h$  are l.s.c. concave functions on  $K$  such that  $h \leq f$  and  $\sup\{\text{even } h(x) : x \in K\} \leq \inf\{\text{even } f(x) : x \in K\}$ , then there exists a continuous affine symmetric function  $a$  on  $K$  such that  $h \leq a \leq f$ .

The equivalence of (i) - (iv) is due to Lazar [4] while that of (i), (v), (vi) is proved by Lau [5].

Many interesting developments are noted when efforts are made to obtain complex analogs of these results (many others not stated here) of real Lindenstrauss spaces. A brilliant step towards this have been made by Effros [8] who has shown that  $\text{odd } \mu$  is to be replaced by  $\text{hom } \mu$  in complex space. Olsen [9] has shown that the hypothesis  $\text{even } f \geq 0$  in (v) is to be replaced by  $\sum f(\zeta_k x) \geq 0$  for  $\zeta_k \in \Gamma$  with  $\sum \zeta_k = 0$ ,  $x \in K$ . Subsequently Roy [7] has tried to give complex analog of (iv), replacing  $\text{odd } \hat{f}$  by  $\text{odd}(Sf)^\wedge$ . His formulation is rather partial. But [9] contains some interesting examples.

Below we give a characterisation of complex  $L_1$ -predual space  $V$  which is a kind of complex analog of Lau's result and is due to Olsen [9].

Theorem 2. If  $K$  is the dual unit ball of a complex Banach space  $V$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $V$  is an  $L_1$ -predual space;
- (ii) For every l.s.c. concave function  $f$  on  $K$  such that  $\sum f(\zeta_k x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $\zeta_k \in \Gamma$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $\sum \zeta_k = 0$ , there is an  $a \in A_O(K)$  such that  $\text{re } a(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ .

We give in Theorem 3, some complex analogs of Lau's result. However to start with, we furnish a Lemma below:

Lemma 1. If  $\mu$  be a non-zero positive measure on a compact convex subset  $K$  of a locally convex Hausdorff space  $E$ , then for all u.s.c. convex function  $f$  on  $K$

$$f(x) \leq \mu(K)^{-1} \int f(y) d\mu \quad \text{where } r(\mu) = x.$$

Proof: By a well-known result [10; I.2.2.], the stated inequality holds for  $f \in P(K)$ . Now applying Mokobodzki ([10; I.5.1]) that for every u.s.c. convex function  $f$ , there is a descending net  $\{f_\alpha : f_\alpha \in P(K)\}$  which converges to  $f$ , we get the desired result.

Our main result is

Theorem 3. If  $K$  is the dual unit ball of a complex Banach space  $V$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $V$  is an  $L_1$ -predual space;
- (ii) If  $f$  is a l.s.c. concave function on  $K$  with even  $Sf(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in K$ , then there exists an  $a \in A_0(K)$  such that  $re a \leq f$  on  $K$ ;
- (iii) If  $f, -h$  are l.s.c. concave functions on  $K$  such that  $h \leq f$  and even  $Sh(x) \leq 0 \leq$  even  $Sf(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ ; then there exists an  $a \in A_0(K)$  such that  $h \leq re a \leq f$  on  $K$ .
- (iv) If  $f, -h$  are l.s.c. concave functions on  $K$  such that  $h \leq f$  and  $\sup \{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k h(\zeta_k x) ; x \in K, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha_k, \sum \alpha_k = 1, \zeta_k \in \Gamma, \sum \alpha_k \zeta_k = 0 \} \leq 0 \leq \inf \{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k f(\zeta_k x) : x \in K, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha_k, \sum \alpha_k = 1, \zeta_k \in \Gamma, \sum \alpha_k \zeta_k = 0 \}$ ; then there is an  $a \in A_0(K)$  such that  $h \leq re a \leq f$  on  $K$ ;
- (v) If  $g$  is an u.s.c. convex function on  $K$ , then  $\hat{g}(0) \leq \sup \{ \sum \alpha_k g(\zeta_k x) : x \in K, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha_k, \sum \alpha_k = 1, \zeta_k \in \Gamma, \sum \alpha_k \zeta_k = 0 \}$ .

Proof . (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii).

We shall, in fact, show that (ii) is implied by Theorem 2 (ii). So let  $f$  be l.s.c. concave on  $K$  such that even  $Sf(x) \geq 0$ . We define

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left[ \cos \theta f(xe^{i\theta}) \right] d\theta$$

Then  $F(x) = 2 Sf(x)$ . Clearly  $F$  is l.s.c. concave. Let  $\zeta_k \in \Gamma$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be such that  $\sum \zeta_k = 0$ . Now note that  $Sf(x) = S_1 f(x) +$  even  $Sf(x)$  and that  $\sum \text{Hom } f(\zeta_k x) = 0$ . Thus  $\sum F(\zeta_k x) = 2 \sum Sf(\zeta_k x) = 2 \sum S_1 f(\zeta_k x) + 2 \sum \text{even } Sf(\zeta_k x) = 2 \sum \text{even } Sf(\zeta_k x)$  which is  $\geq 0$  by hypothesis. Consequently by Theorem 2 (ii), there is a function  $b \in A_0(K)$  such that  $re b \leq F$  on  $K$ .

We consider the measure  $\mu = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \theta \varepsilon(xe^{i\theta}) d\theta$

where  $\varepsilon(y)$  is the Dirac measure at  $y$ . By [6: p. 115],  $r(\mu) = x$ . Also  $\mu(K) = \frac{4}{\pi}$ . On applying Lemma 1, we have  $2F(x) \leq \mu(K)f(x)$  i.e.  $F(x) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} f(x)$ . Putting  $a = \frac{\pi b}{2}$ , we have  $re a \leq f$  on  $K$ .

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $f, -h$  be l.s.c. concave functions on  $K$  such that  $h \leq f$  and even  $S h(x) \leq 0 \leq$  even  $S f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

We first show that  $h(x) + h(-x) \leq 0 \leq f(y) + f(-y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ . Let us establish the last inequality, since the first one can be done similarly. To do so we take the measure

$$\mu = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \theta \epsilon(xe^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

and find as before by [6, p. 115] that  $r(\mu) = x, \mu(K) = \frac{4}{\pi}$ .

Now apply Lemma 1 to get  $f(y) + f(-y) \geq 0$  from even  $S f(y) \geq 0$ .

Now we define  $F(x) = f(x) \wedge (-h)(-x)$ .

Then  $F$  is l.s.c. concave. Moreover by the hypothesis and the inequalities just proved, we have  $F(x) + F(-x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in K$  so that even  $S F(x) \geq 0$ .

By (ii) then we have an  $a \in A_0(K)$  such that  $re a \leq F$ . This  $a$  is, in fact, the function with the desired property.

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (iv). Let  $f, -h$  be two l.s.c. concave functions on  $K$ , which satisfy the conditions given in the hypothesis of (iv). Then clearly even  $(Sh)(x)$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \theta [h(xe^{i\theta}) + h(-xe^{i\theta})] d\theta \leq 0$$

Similarly even  $(Sf)(y) \geq 0$  for all  $y \in K$ .

So by (iii) there is an  $a \in A_0(K)$  such that  $h \leq re a \leq f$  on  $K$ .

(iv)  $\rightarrow$  (v). Suppose that  $g$  is an u.s.c. convex function and let  $g_0 = \sup \{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k g(\zeta_k x) : x \in K; n \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq \alpha_k; \sum \alpha_k = 1, \zeta_k \in \Gamma, \sum \alpha_k \zeta_k = 0 \}$ .

We assume that  $g_0 = 0$ ; there will be no loss of generality in the assumption since  $(g + \alpha)^\wedge(0) = \hat{g}(0) + \alpha$

for positive real number  $\alpha$ .

We define  $F = -\sigma g$  where  $(\sigma g)(x) = g(-x)$ . Clearly  $F$  is l.s.c. concave.

Since  $g + \sigma g \leq 2g_0$  by the definition of  $g_0$ , it follows that  $g \leq F$ . Moreover for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_k \geq 0, \sum \alpha_k = 1, \zeta_k \in \Gamma, \sum \alpha_k \zeta_k = 0, x \in K$ , we have  $\sum \alpha_k F(\zeta_k x) = -\sum \alpha_k g(-\zeta_k x)$

so that  $\inf \{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k F(\zeta_k x) : x \in K, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_k \geq 0, \sum \alpha_k = 1, \zeta_k \in \Gamma, \sum \alpha_k \zeta_k = 0 \} = -g_0 = 0$ .

Thus by (iv), there is an  $h \in A_0(K)$  such that  $g \leq re h \leq F$ . We put  $re h = a \in A(K)$ .

Now since  $g \leq a$ , we have  $\hat{g}(0) \leq a(0)$ . Again  $-\sigma g \geq a - 2g_0 \in A(K)$ , so that

$$a(0) - 2g_0 \leq (-g)(0) = -\hat{g}(0).$$

Thus  $\hat{g}(0) \leq a(0) \leq -\hat{g}(0) + 2g_0$  so that  $\hat{g}(0) \leq g_0$  and the result follows.

(v)  $\rightarrow$  (i) is the same as [7; p. 103]

**Note:** Our result in (v) is sharper than Roy's result [7; Thm 3.3 (iii)] that for  $g \in P(K), \hat{g}(0) \leq \sup \{ \sum \alpha_k g(\zeta_k x) : x \in K, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha_k, \sum \alpha_k = 1, \zeta_k \in \Gamma, \sum \alpha_k \zeta_k = 0 \}$ .

In fact this follows from (v) immediately, since the reverse inequality is evident from the concave character of  $\hat{g}$ .

REMARKS:

In analog with Lazar's selection theorem for Choquet simplex, Lazar & Lindenstrauss [2] formulated a selection theorem for real  $L$ -balls which was followed by a complex version by Olsen [9]. Our results which are chiefly complex analogue of Lau's result [3] seem to resemble Edward's interpolation theorem [10; [II.3-10]] for simplices.

REFERENCE

1. LINDENSTRAUSS, J. : Extension of Compact Operators Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1964).
2. LAZAR, A. & LINDENSTRAUSS, J. : Banach spaces whose Duals are  $L_1$ -spaces and their Representing Matrices I, Acta Math. 126 (1971), 186-193.
3. LAZAR, A. : Space of Affine Continuous Function on Simplex, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (1968), 503-525.
4. LAZAR, A. : The Unit Ball in Conjugate  $L_1$ -spaces, Duke Math. J. 39 (1972), 1-8
5. LAU, Ka-Sing : The Dual Ball of Lindenstrauss Space, Math. Scand. 33, (1973), 323-337.
6. CHOQUET, G. : Lectures on Analysis, vol. II W.A. Benjamin, INC, (1976).
7. ROY, A.K. : Convex Functions on the Dual Ball of a Complex Lindenstrauss space, J. London. Math. Soc. (2) (1979) 529-540.
8. EFFROS, E.G. : On a Class of Complex Banach spaces, Illinois J. Math. 18 (1974), 48-59.
9. OLSEN, G.H. : Edward's separation Theorem for complex Lindenstrauss space with Application to selection and Embedding Theorem, Math. Scand. 38 (1976), 97-105.
10. ALFSEN, E.M. : Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals. (1971); Springer Verlag.