

ON THE RANGES OF DISCRETE EXPONENTIALS

FLORIN CARAGIU and MIHAI CARAGIU

Received 3 December 2003

Let $a > 1$ be a fixed integer. We prove that there is no first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in one free variable X , written in the language of rings, such that for any prime p with $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ the set of all elements in the finite prime field F_p satisfying ϕ coincides with the range of the discrete exponential function $t \mapsto a^t \pmod{p}$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11T30, 11U09.

1. Introduction. Let $\phi(X)$ be a formula in one free variable X , written in the first-order language of rings. Then for every ring R with identity, $\phi(X)$ defines a subset of R consisting of all elements of R satisfying $\phi(X)$. For example, the formula $(\exists Y)(X = Y^2)$ will define in every ring R the set of perfect squares in R (for an introduction to the basic concepts arising in model theory of first-order languages, we refer to [5]).

The value sets (ranges) of polynomials over finite fields have been studied by various authors, and many interesting results have been proved (see [3, pages 379–381]). Note that if $f(X)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients, the formula $(\exists Y)(X = f(Y))$ will define in every finite field F_q the value set of the function from F_q to F_q induced by f . The value sets of the discrete exponentials are no less interesting. For example, if $a > 1$ is an integer that is not a square, Artin's conjecture for primitive roots [4] implies that the range of the function $t \mapsto a^t \pmod{p}$ has $p - 1$ elements for infinitely many primes p . In the present note, we investigate the ranges of exponential functions

$$\exp_a : Z \rightarrow F_p, \quad \exp_a(t) = a^t \pmod{p}, \quad (1.1)$$

from the point of view of definability. Note that the range of $\exp_a : Z \rightarrow F_p$ coincides with $\langle a \rangle$, the cyclic subgroup of F_p^* generated by a (modulo p). Our main result will be the following.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $a > 1$ be a fixed integer. Then there is no formula $\phi(X)$ in one free variable X , written in the first-order language of rings, such that for any prime p with $\gcd(a, p) = 1$, the set of all elements in the finite prime field F_p satisfying ϕ coincides with the range of the discrete exponential $\exp_a : Z \rightarrow F_p$.*

Here is a brief outline of the proof. We will first prove a result (Theorem 2.1) concerning the existence of primes with respect to which a fixed integer $a > 1$ has sufficiently small orders. This, in conjunction with a seminal result of Chatzidakis et al. [1] on definable subsets over finite fields, will lead to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Small orders modulo p . In what follows, we will prove that there exist infinitely many primes with respect to which a given integer $a > 1$ has “small order.” More precisely, the following result holds true.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $a > 1$ be an integer. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist infinitely many primes q such that $\text{ord}_q(a)$, the order of a modulo q , satisfies*

$$\text{ord}_q(a) < q\varepsilon. \tag{2.1}$$

PROOF. Let k be an integer satisfying

$$\frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon, \tag{2.2}$$

and let p be a prime satisfying

$$p > a, \tag{2.3}$$

$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)!}. \tag{2.4}$$

Due to Dirichlet’s theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions [2], there are infinitely many primes p satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). We select a prime q with the property

$$q \mid 1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{p-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Note that both p and q are necessarily odd. Since from (2.5) it follows that

$$a^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \tag{2.6}$$

the order $\text{ord}_q(a)$ can be either 1 or p . We will rule out the possibility $\text{ord}_q(a) = 1$. Indeed, if $\text{ord}_q(a) = 1$, then

$$q \mid a - 1. \tag{2.7}$$

On the other hand, $1 + X + X^2 + \dots + X^{p-1} = (X - 1)Q(X) + p$ with $Q(X)$ a polynomial with integer coefficients, and therefore

$$1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{p-1} = (a - 1)Q(a) + p. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.5), (2.7), and (2.8) it follows $q \mid p$ and, since p, q are primes, $q = p$. This, together with (2.7), leads us to $p \mid a - 1$, and therefore $a > p$, which contradicts assumption (2.3). This leaves us with

$$\text{ord}_q(a) = p. \tag{2.9}$$

From (2.9) and from $a^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ it follows that $p \mid q - 1$, so that

$$q = tp + 1 \tag{2.10}$$

for some positive integer t . We will show that $t > k$, so that

$$q > kp + 1. \tag{2.11}$$

Indeed, we assume, for contradiction, that $t \leq k$. From (2.4), we get $p = (k + 1)!s + 1$ for some positive integer s . Then

$$q = tp + 1 = t((k + 1)!s + 1) + 1 = t(k + 1)!s + (t + 1). \tag{2.12}$$

Note that $t + 1$ is, under the assumption $t \leq k$, a divisor of $(k + 1)!$. Then, from (2.12), q will be a multiple of $t + 1$, a contradiction, since $2 \leq t + 1 < q$. Thus, (2.11) holds true and, consequently, since $1/k < \varepsilon$, we get

$$\frac{\text{ord}_q(a)}{q} = \frac{p}{q} < \frac{p}{kp + 1} < \frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon, \tag{2.13}$$

which implies

$$\liminf \frac{\text{ord}_q(a)}{q} = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where the infimum is taken over all primes $q > a$. This completes the proof of [Theorem 2.1](#). □

3. Proof of the main result. We now proceed to the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). We will use the following result which is a corollary of the main theorem in [[1](#), page 108].

THEOREM 3.1. *If $\phi(X)$ is a formula in the first-order language of rings, then there are constants $A, C > 0$, such that for every finite field K , either $|\phi(K)| \leq A$ or $|\phi(K)| \geq C|K|$, where $\phi(K)$ is the set of elements of K satisfying ϕ .*

We are now ready to proceed to the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). Assume, for contradiction, that for some integer $a > 1$ there exists a first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in the language of rings such that for every prime $p \nmid a$, we have

$$\phi(F_p) = \exp_a(F_p). \tag{3.1}$$

From (3.1) we get

$$|\phi(F_p)| = \text{ord}_p(a) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $p \nmid a$. Clearly,

$$\text{ord}_p(a) > \log_a(p) \tag{3.3}$$

for all $p \nmid a$. From (3.2), (3.3), and [Theorem 3.1](#), it follows that for every large enough prime p , we have

$$\text{ord}_p(a) \geq Cp. \tag{3.4}$$

Clearly, (3.4) is in contradiction to [Theorem 2.1](#) proved above, which implies that

$$\liminf \frac{\text{ord}_p(a)}{p} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

REMARK 3.2. From [Theorem 1.1](#), it follows as an immediate corollary that, if $a > 1$ is a fixed integer, then there is no first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in the first-order language of rings, such that for any prime p , the set of all elements in F_p satisfying ϕ is $\{a^t \bmod p \mid t \geq 1\}$. Indeed, assuming such a formula exists, it would define in any F_p with $\text{gcd}(a, p) = 1$ the range of the discrete exponential $\exp_a : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F_p$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for the helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Chatzidakis, L. van den Dries, and A. Macintyre, *Definable sets over finite fields*, *J. reine angew. Math.* **427** (1992), 107–135.
- [2] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [3] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] M. R. Murty, *Artin's conjecture for primitive roots*, *Math. Intelligencer* **10** (1988), no. 4, 59–67.
- [5] P. Rothmaler, *Introduction to Model Theory*, Algebra, Logic and Applications, vol. 15, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 2000.

Florin Caragiu: Department of Mathematics II, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Splaiul Independentei 313, 77206 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: f_caragiu@k.ro

Mihai Caragiu: Department of Mathematics, Ohio Northern University, Ada, OH 45810, USA

E-mail address: m-caragiu1@onu.edu

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk