

A FORMULA TO CALCULATE THE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF A COMPACT LINEAR OPERATOR

FERNANDO GARIBAY BONALES

and

RIGOBERTO VERA MENDOZA

Escuela de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas
Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo
Edificio B. Ciudad Universitaria
Morelia, Michoacán 58060, MEXICO

(Received April 3, 1995 and in revised form September 21, 1995)

ABSTRACT. There is a formula (Gelfand's formula) to find the spectral radius of a linear operator defined on a Banach space. That formula does not apply even in normed spaces which are not complete. In this paper we show a formula to find the spectral radius of any linear and compact operator T defined on a complete topological vector space, locally convex. We also show an easy way to find a non-trivial T -invariant closed subspace in terms of Minkowski functional.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Compact linear operator, spectral radius, locally convex topological linear space, invariant subspace, nets, ultimately bounded nets.

1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 46A03, 47B07.

1. INTRODUCTION

In all that follows E stands for a linear space of infinite dimension over the field \mathbb{C} of the complex numbers. $E[t]$ will denote a complete topological vector space, locally convex, with topology t and $T : E \rightarrow E$ will be a linear map. Finally, $v(t)$ will be the filter of all balanced, convex and closed t -neighborhoods of zero (in E).

DEFINITION 1. The linear operator $T : E[t] \rightarrow E[t]$ is said to be a bounded (compact) operator, if there is a neighborhood $U \in v(t)$ such that $T(U)$ is a bounded (relatively compact) set

REMARK 2. It is easy to show that any compact operator is a bounded operator and any bounded operator is continuous.

DEFINITION 3. For a topological vector space $X[\theta]$ and a linear operator $S : X[\theta] \rightarrow X[\theta]$ we define the resolvent of S as

$$\rho_\theta(S) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid \xi I - S : X[\theta] \rightarrow X[\theta] \text{ is bijective and has a continuous inverse}\}.$$

The spectrum of S is defined by $\sigma_\theta(S) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_\theta(S)$ (the set theoretic complement) and the spectral radius is $sr_\theta(S) = \sup\{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \in \sigma_\theta(S)\}$.

DEFINITION 4. A net $\{x_\alpha\}_J \subset E[t]$ is said to be t -ultimately bounded (t -ub) if given any $V \in v(t)$ there is a positive real number r and an index $\alpha_0 \in J$, both depending on V , such that $x_\alpha \in rV \forall \alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Let us denote by Γ the set of all t -ub nets in $E[t]$.

REMARK 5. Any bounded net is a t -ub net. For more details about t -ub nets we refer the reader to DeVito [2]

From now on $T : E[t] \rightarrow E[t]$ will denote a compact operator and $U \in v(t)$ will be the zero-neighborhood such that $T(U)$ is a t -relatively compact set. P_U will stand for the Minkowski functional generated by U (see Cotlar [1]), which is a seminorm on E . Let us denote by $E[P_U]$ the linear space E with the topology given by the seminorm P_U .

DEFINITION 6 (Γ_t -convergence). Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\xi \neq 0$. We will say that $\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n \xrightarrow{\Gamma_t} 0$ ($T^n = T \circ T \circ \dots \circ T$ n times) if given both $V \in v(t)$ and $\{x_\alpha\}_J \in \Gamma$ there exist $\alpha_0 \in J$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n(x_\alpha) \in V \forall \alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and $\forall n \geq n_0$.

DEFINITION 7 $\gamma_t(T) = \inf \left\{ |\xi| \mid \frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n \xrightarrow{\Gamma_t} 0 \right\}$.

REMARK 8. It is shown by Vera [7] that for a bounded operator T ,

- (a) $\gamma_t(T) < \infty$, and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\gamma_t(T) < |\xi|$, $\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n \xrightarrow{\Gamma_t} 0$
- (b) $sr_t(T) \leq \gamma_t(T)$, where $sr_t(T)$ is the spectral radius of T .
- (c) If $E[t]$ is a Banach space then $\gamma_t(T) = sr_t(T)$

The main theorem (Theorem 28) in this paper states that for a compact linear operator on any complete topological vector space, locally convex; we have $sr_t(T) = \gamma_t(T)$, even when the topology t is not given by a norm. In fact, Remark 8 (c) will be used to prove our result.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now, we will state a well known theorem about compact operators

THEOREM 9 (see Nikol'skij [5]). The spectrum of a compact operator T on an infinite-dimensional linear topological space E consists of zero and no more than a countable set of eigenvalues different from zero. The unique accumulation point of this set, if it is infinite, is zero.

REMARK 10. The topology on E given by the seminorm P_U is coarser than the topology t ($P_U \leq t$).

PROPOSITION 11. $T : E[P_U] \rightarrow E[P_U]$ is a compact operator.

PROOF. Since $T(U)$ is t -relatively compact and $P_U \leq t$, $T(U)$ is also P_U -relatively compact.

DEFINITION 12. $\gamma_{P_U} = \inf \left\{ |\xi| \mid \frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{P_U}} 0 \right\}$. Here, the meaning of $\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{P_U}} 0$ is given by

Definition 6 where the topology P_U is used instead of t .

It is easy to show that Γ_{P_U} -convergence means that given any net $\{x_\alpha\}_J \subset E$ such that for all $\alpha \in J$, $P_U(x_\alpha) \leq r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (these kinds of nets are said to be P_U -bounded nets), then $P_U \left(\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n x_\alpha \right) \rightarrow 0$ as a net in \mathbb{R} whose set of indices is $\mathbb{N} \times J$.

PROPOSITION 13 $\gamma_{P_U}(T) = \gamma_t(T)$.

PROOF. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\gamma_{P_U}(T) < |\xi|$, let $V \in v(t)$ and $\{x_\alpha\}_J \in \Gamma$ be given. Since $\frac{1}{\xi} T(U)$ is a bounded set, there is a positive real number r_1 such that $\frac{1}{r_1 \xi} T(U) \subset V$. DeVito [2] shows that $\{x_\alpha\}_J \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \{r_1 x_\alpha\}_J \in \Gamma$. This implies that there exist both $\alpha_0 \in J$ and $r_2 > 0$ such that $r_1 x_\alpha \in r_2 U \forall \alpha \geq \alpha_0$. This means that $P_U(r_1 x_\alpha) \leq r_2$, hence the net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0}$ is a P_U -bounded net. Therefore, $\exists \alpha_1 \in J$, $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_U \left(\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n(x_\alpha) \right) < 1 \forall \alpha \geq \alpha_1, n \geq n_1$, that is, $\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n(x_\alpha) \in U$ for those indices. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\xi^{n+1}} T^{n+1} x_\alpha = \frac{1}{r_1 \xi} T \left(\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n r_1 x_\alpha \right) \in \frac{1}{r_1 \xi} T(U) \subset V \forall \alpha \geq \alpha_1, n \geq n_1.$$

This implies $\frac{1}{\xi^n} T^n \xrightarrow{\Gamma_t} 0$. Then $\gamma_t(T) \leq |\xi|$ and $\gamma_{P_U}(T) \leq \gamma_t(T)$

On the other hand, set $\gamma_t(T) < |\xi|$ and let $\{x_\alpha\}_J$ be a P_U -bounded net, that is, $x_\alpha \in rU$ for all α and some $r > 0$. Then $\{\frac{1}{\xi}Tx_\alpha\}_J \subset \frac{r}{\xi}T(U)$ which is a t -bounded set. Therefore, $\{\frac{1}{\xi}Tx_\alpha\}_J \in \Gamma$. Hence, because of $\frac{1}{\xi^n}T^n \xrightarrow{\Gamma_t} 0$, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha_0 \in J$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\xi^{n+1}}T^{n+1}x_\alpha = \frac{1}{\xi^n}T^n\left(\frac{1}{\xi}Tx_\alpha\right) \in \epsilon U \forall \alpha \geq \alpha_0, n \geq n_0,$$

that is, $P_U\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{n+1}}T^{n+1}x_\alpha\right) \leq \epsilon$ for those indices. From here $\frac{1}{\xi^n}T^n x_\alpha$ is P_U -convergent to 0, therefore, $\gamma_{P_U}(T) \leq |\xi|$. This implies that $\gamma_t(T) \leq \gamma_{P_U}(T)$.

DEFINITION 14 $N = \{x \in E \mid P_U(x) = 0\}$.

REMARK 15. Since U is a balanced, convex and closed set, for any real $0 \leq r < 1$, $\{x \in E \mid P_U(x) \leq r\} \subset U$. Then $N \subset U$. Since t is not given by a norm, it follows from a Theorem of Kolmogoroff (Theorem 1.39 in Rudin [6]) that $\{0\} \neq N$. On the other hand, if $T \neq 0$ then $N \neq E$.

The following theorem is a generalization of a theorem of Lomonosov [4] about non-trivial invariant subspaces.

THEOREM 16. N is a closed linear subspace of E and $T(x) = 0$ for all $x \in N$. In particular, N is a T -invariant subspace.

PROOF. The first assertion follows from the fact that $P_U(\xi x + y) \leq |\xi|P_U(x) + P_U(y)$. For the second claim let us take $x \in N$, then $mx \in N$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$, hence, $\{mT(x)\}_{m=1,2,3,\dots} \subset T(N) \subset T(U)$. Since the latter set is bounded, given $V \in \mathcal{V}(t)$ there is a positive real number r such that $\{mT(x)\} \subset rV \Rightarrow T(x) \in \frac{r}{m}V \subset V$ when $m > r$, since V is an arbitrary neighborhood of zero, then $T(x) = 0$.

DEFINITION 17. Let E/N be the quotient linear space and let \widehat{P}_U be defined by $\widehat{P}_U(x + N) = P_U(x)$.

Definition 14 tells us that \widehat{P}_U is well defined. It is easy to show that \widehat{P}_U is a norm in E/N . We will denote by $(E/N)[\widehat{P}_U]$ the vector space E/N with the topology given by the norm \widehat{P}_U .

DEFINITION 18. Let $\widehat{T} : E/N \rightarrow E/N$ be defined by $\widehat{T}(x + N) = T(x) + N$.

By Theorem 16 \widehat{T} is a well defined map.

PROPOSITION 19. $\widehat{T} : (E/N)[\widehat{P}_U] \rightarrow (E/N)[\widehat{P}_U]$ is a compact operator.

PROOF. U/N is an open set in $(E/N)[\widehat{P}_U]$ and $\widehat{T}(U/N) = (T(U) + N)/N \subset (\overline{T(U)} + N)/N$ the latter set is \widehat{P}_U -compact because the canonical projection from $E[P_U]$ onto $(E/N)[\widehat{P}_U]$ is a continuous map.

REMARK 20. By Remark 2, a compact operator is continuous, hence \widehat{T} is continuous.

PROPOSITION 21 $\gamma_{\widehat{P}_U}(\widehat{T}) = \gamma_{P_U}(T)$.

PROOF. The proof follows immediately from the definition of \widehat{P}_U .

PROPOSITION 22. $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if it is an eigenvalue of \widehat{T} .

PROOF. Let $\xi \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C} be an eigenvalue of \widehat{T} . Hence there exists $x + N \neq N$ such that $\widehat{T}(x + N) = \xi(x + N) \Rightarrow T(x) + N = (\xi x) + N \Rightarrow \xi x - T(x) \in N \Rightarrow \exists y \in N$ such that $(\xi I - T)(x) = \xi y$. By Theorem 16 $T(y) = 0$, hence $T(x - y) = T(x) = \xi(x - y)$ where $x - y \neq 0$ because $x \notin N$. Therefore ξ is an eigenvalue of T .

The other contention is trivial.

DEFINITION 23. $(\widetilde{E}/N)[\widetilde{P}_U]$ will denote the completion (as normed space) of $(E/N)[\widehat{P}_U]$ and \widetilde{T} will denote the natural extension of \widehat{T} to $(\widetilde{E}/N)[\widetilde{P}_U]$.

REMARK 24. $(\widetilde{E}/N)[\widetilde{P}_U]$ is a Banach space (see Cotlar [1]) Besides, since \widehat{T} is a compact operator, $\widetilde{T} : (\widetilde{E}/N)[\widetilde{P}_U] \rightarrow (\widetilde{E}/N)[\widetilde{P}_U]$ is a compact operator (see Kreyszig [3]). The spectrum $\sigma_{\widetilde{P}_U}$ (see definition 3) is described in Theorem 9.

THEOREM 25. $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is an eigenvalue of \widehat{T} if and only if it is an eigenvalue of \widetilde{T} .

PROOF. Let $q \in \widetilde{E/N}$, $q \neq 0$ be such that $\widehat{T}(q) = \xi q$. Let $\{z_n + N\} \subset E/N$ be such that it converges to q . Hence $\{z_n + N\}$ is a $\widehat{P_U}$ -bounded set and therefore, because \widehat{T} is a compact operator, $\{\widehat{T}(z_n + N)\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality let us suppose that $\widehat{T}(z_n + N) \rightarrow z + N \in E/N$, then $\xi q = \widehat{T}(q) = z + N$ which implies that $q = \frac{1}{\xi}(z + N) \in E/N$, hence ξ is an eigenvalue of \widehat{T} .

The proof of the second part of this theorem is trivial since \widehat{T} is a restriction of \widehat{T} .

PROPOSITION 26. $sr_t(T) = sr_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) = sr_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T})$.

PROOF. From Theorem 9, Proposition 22 and Theorem 25 we get

$$\sigma_t(T) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) \setminus \{0\}$$

and from these equalities the proposition follows.

LEMMA 27. $\gamma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) \leq \gamma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T})$

The lemma follows from the definitions of $\gamma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T})$ and $\gamma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T})$ and the fact that \widehat{T} is the restriction of \widehat{T} to E/N .

THEOREM 28. $\gamma_t(T) = sr_t(T)$.

PROOF. By Remark 8(b), it suffices to show that $sr_t(T) \geq \gamma_t(T)$. Also by Remark 8(c)

$$\gamma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) = sr_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) \tag{2.1}$$

because $(\widetilde{E/N})[\widehat{P_U}]$ is a Banach space. From Proposition 13 and Proposition 21 we obtain

$$\gamma_t(T) = \gamma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) \tag{2.2}$$

from Lemma 27 and Equation (2.1) it follows that

$$\gamma_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) \leq sr_{\widehat{P_U}}(\widehat{T}) \tag{2.3}$$

from Equation (2.2), Equation (2.3) and Proposition 26 we finally get

$$sr_t(T) \geq \gamma_t(T).$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This work was supported in part by the Coordinación de la Investigación Científica de la Universidad Michoacana.

REFERENCES

- [1] COTLAR, M., *Nociones de Espacios Normados*, EUDEBA, Ediciones Previas, Argentina, 1977.
- [2] DeVITO, C.L., On Alaoglu's Theorem, Bornological Spaces and the Mackey-Ulam Theorem, *Math. Ann.* **192** (1972), 83-89.
- [3] KREYSZIG, E., *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.
- [4] LOMONOSOV, V.I., On Invariant Subspaces of Families of Operator Commuting with a Completely Continuous Operator, *Functional Analysis and Applications*, **7**(3) (1973), 55-56
- [5] NIKOL'SKIJ, N.K., *Functional Analysis I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 19, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992
- [6] RUDIN, W., *Functional Analysis*, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [7] VERA, R., *Linear Operators on Locally Convex Topological Vector Spaces*, Ph.D Thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, 1994

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mssklai@cityu.edu.hk