

# INSCRIBING CLOSED NON- $\sigma$ -LOWER POROUS SETS INTO SUSLIN NON- $\sigma$ -LOWER POROUS SETS

LUDEK ZAJICEK AND MIROSLAV ZELENY

*Received 28 April 2004*

The main aim of this paper is to prove that every non- $\sigma$ -lower porous Suslin set in a topologically complete metric space contains a closed non- $\sigma$ -lower porous subset. In fact, we prove a general result of this type on “abstract porosities.” This general theorem is also applied to ball small sets in Hilbert spaces and to  $\sigma$ -cone-supported sets in separable Banach spaces.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the work done in [9]. We are interested in the following question within the context of  $\sigma$ -ideals of  $\sigma$ -porous type.

Let  $X$  be a metric space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $S \subset X$  be a Suslin set with  $S \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Does there exist a closed set  $F \subset S$  which is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ ?

The answer is positive provided that  $X$  is locally compact and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets, where  $\mathbf{P}$  is a porosity-like relation satisfying some additional conditions (see the definitions below, and for the precise statement, see [9]). In the case of the  $\sigma$ -ideal of ordinary (i.e., upper)  $\sigma$ -porous sets, which satisfies the assumptions of the above-mentioned theorem in any locally compact metric space, even more is true:  $X$  can be any topologically complete metric space (see [8]). The proofs are not easy; they use either some amount of descriptive set theory (see [9]) or a quite complicated construction (see [8]).

In this paper, we deal with  $\sigma$ -ideals of  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets again, but these  $\sigma$ -ideals are supposed to be generated by closed  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets, that is, every  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous set is covered by countably many closed  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets. Note that this property does not hold for ordinary  $\sigma$ -porous sets but does hold for  $\sigma$ -lower porous sets. Although we will also work in nonseparable spaces, it turns out that the situation is much simpler than in [9]. Under a simple additional condition on the porosity-like relation  $\mathbf{P}$ , we prove that every such  $\sigma$ -ideal has the property that every non- $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous Suslin subset of a topologically complete metric space  $X$  contains a closed non- $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous subset. As the main tool, we use a nonseparable version of Solecki’s theorem proved in [2].

The general result will be applied to the  $\sigma$ -ideals of  $\sigma$ -lower porous sets, of  $\sigma$ -cone-supported sets, and of ball small sets.

### 2. The general result

We start with notations and definitions. Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. Then the open ball with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$  is denoted by  $B(x, r)$ . We will use the following terminology from [7, 9]. We say that  $\mathbf{R}$  is a *point-set relation on  $X$*  if it is a relation between points of  $X$  and subsets of  $X$ . Thus a point-set relation  $\mathbf{R}$  is a subset of  $X \times 2^X$ . The symbol  $\mathbf{R}(x, A)$ , where  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset X$ , means that  $(x, A) \in \mathbf{R}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{R}$  holds for the pair  $(x, A)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be a point-set relation on  $X$ . If  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset X$ , then  $\mathbf{R}(A, B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall a \in A : \mathbf{R}(a, B)$ . The point-set relation  $\neg\mathbf{R}$  on  $X$  is defined by  $(\neg\mathbf{R})(x, A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \neg(\mathbf{R}(x, A))$ .

We consider the following properties of a point-set relation  $\mathbf{R}$  on  $X$ .

- (A1) If  $A \subset B \subset X$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $\mathbf{R}(x, B)$ , then  $\mathbf{R}(x, A)$ .
- (A2)  $\mathbf{R}(x, A)$  if and only if there is  $r > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{R}(x, A \cap B(x, r))$ .
- (A3)  $\mathbf{R}(x, A)$  if and only if  $\mathbf{R}(x, \overline{A})$ .

We say that a point-set relation  $\mathbf{P}$  on  $X$  is a *porosity-like relation* if  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfies the “axioms” (A1)–(A3).

Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a porosity-like relation on  $X$ . We say that  $A \subset X$  is

- (i)  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous at  $x \in X$  if  $\mathbf{P}(x, A)$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous if  $\mathbf{P}(x, A)$  for every  $x \in A$ ,
- (iii)  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous if  $A$  is a countable union of  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets.

If  $\mathbf{P}$  is a porosity-like relation on  $X$  and  $A \subset X$ , then the set of all points of  $A$ , at which  $A$  is not  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous, is denoted by  $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, A)$ .

The proof of our result is based on the following nonseparable version (see [2, Corollary 3.6 and Remark 3.7]) of Solecki’s theorem (see [3]). We need the following definitions to formulate it.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a system of subsets of a metric space  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *weakly locally determined* if  $A \subset X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  whenever for each  $x \in X$  there exists a, not necessarily open, neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $U \cap A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of closed subsets of a metric space  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *hereditary* if for all sets  $F_1, F_2$  with  $F_1 \subset F_2, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1** (see [2]). *Let  $X$  be a topologically complete metric space. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a hereditary weakly locally determined system of closed sets. Then each Suslin subset of  $X$  is either covered by countably many elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  or else contains a  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  set  $H$  such that  $H \cap G$  cannot be covered by countably many elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , whenever  $G$  is open and  $G \cap H \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a metric space and let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a porosity-like relation on  $X$ . It is said that  $\mathbf{P}$  has property  $(\star)$  if the following condition is satisfied.

- $(\star)$  If  $H \subset X, x \in H'$ , and  $H$  is not  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous at  $x$ , then there exists  $J \subset H$  such that  $J' = \{x\}$  and  $J$  is not  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous at  $x$ .

The symbol  $H'$  stands for the set of all points of accumulation of  $H$ .

Now we can formulate our abstract theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a topologically complete metric space and let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a porosity-like relation on  $X$  such that  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfies  $(\star)$ , and each  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous set is covered by countably many closed  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets. If  $S \subset X$  is a Suslin non- $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous set, then there exists a closed non- $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous set  $F \subset S$ .*

The next lemma immediately follows by a Baire category argument.

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  be as in Theorem 2.3. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed nonempty set such that  $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, F)$  is dense in  $F$ . Then  $F$  is not  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous.*

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* We denote the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The system of all closed  $\mathbf{P}$ -porous sets is clearly hereditary and weakly locally determined by (A1) and (A2). According to Proposition 2.1, we may and do assume that  $S$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  set and  $S \cap G \notin \mathcal{F}$  for every open  $G \subset X$  intersecting  $S$ . If there is  $x \in S \setminus S'$ , then  $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{F}$ . In this case,  $F := \{x\}$  can serve as the set for which we are looking. From now on, we assume that  $S \subset S'$ . Let  $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n$ , where  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a decreasing sequence of open sets. We will construct a sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of closed sets and a decreasing sequence  $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of open sets such that  $F_0 = \emptyset$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

- (a)  $\emptyset \neq F_n \subset \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, S)$ ,
- (b)  $F'_n = F_{n-1}$ ,
- (c)  $F_n \subset H_n \subset \overline{H_n} \subset G_n$ ,
- (d)  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(F_{n-1}, F_n)$ .

We proceed by induction over  $n$ . Since  $S \notin \mathcal{F}$ , we can choose  $x \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, S)$ . We put  $F_1 = \{x\}$ . We easily find an open set  $H_1$  such that  $x \in H_1$  and  $\overline{H_1} \subset G_1$ . The sets  $F_1$  and  $H_1$  satisfy (a)–(d) for  $n = 1$ .

Assume that we have constructed  $F_1, \dots, F_m$  and  $H_1, \dots, H_m$  such that (a)–(d) hold for  $n = 1, \dots, m$ . We find an open set  $H_{m+1}$  with  $F_m \subset H_{m+1} \subset \overline{H_{m+1}} \subset G_{m+1} \cap H_m$ . The set  $F_m \setminus F'_m$  is discrete in  $X \setminus F'_m$ , that is, for every  $y \in X \setminus F'_m$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B(y, r) \cap (F_m \setminus F'_m)$  contains at most one point. It is well known and easy to prove that, for each  $z \in F_m \setminus F'_m$ , we can choose  $r_z > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{B} = (B(z, r_z))_{z \in F_m \setminus F'_m}$  is discrete in  $X \setminus F'_m$ , that is, for every  $y \in X \setminus F'_m$ , there exists  $s > 0$  such that, for at most one  $z \in F_m \setminus F'_m$ ,  $B(y, s)$  intersects  $B(z, r_z)$ .

Since  $S \cap G \notin \mathcal{F}$  for every open  $G$  intersecting  $S$ , we have that  $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, S)$  is dense in  $S$ . According to this, (A3), and (a), we have  $F_m \subset \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, S))$ . Thus using the condition  $(\star)$  and (A2), we find for every  $z \in F_m \setminus F'_m$  a set  $J_z$  such that  $J_z \subset B(z, r_z) \cap H_{m+1} \cap \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, S)$ ,  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(z, J_z)$ , and  $J'_z = \{z\}$ .

We put  $F_{m+1} = F_m \cup \bigcup \{J_z; z \in F_m \setminus F'_m\}$ . Clearly,  $F_{m+1} \subset \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}, S)$  and  $F_{m+1} \subset H_{m+1}$ . It is easy to see that  $F'_{m+1} = F_m$ ; in particular,  $F_{m+1}$  is closed.

Let  $x \in F_m$ . We distinguish two possibilities. If  $x \in F'_m = F_{m-1}$ , then  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(x, F_m)$  by the induction hypothesis, and so  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(x, F_{m+1})$  by (A1). If  $x \in F_m \setminus F'_m$ , then  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(x, J_x)$  and we also have  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(x, F_{m+1})$ . We get  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(F_m, F_{m+1})$ . Thus the sets  $F_{m+1}$  and  $H_{m+1}$  satisfy (a)–(d) for  $n = m + 1$  and the construction of our sequences is finished.

The desired set  $F$  is defined by  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$ . Using (c) and the monotonicity of the  $H_n$ 's, we get  $F \subset S$ . We have  $(\neg\mathbf{P})(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n, F)$  by (d). The set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$  is dense in  $F$ . Hence  $F \notin \mathcal{F}$ , by Lemma 2.4. □

### 3. Applications

We will apply Theorem 2.3 to the  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\sigma$ -lower porous sets (in a topologically complete metric space) and to two of its subsystems: to the  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\sigma$ -cone-supported sets (in a separable Banach space) and to the  $\sigma$ -ideal of ball small sets (in an arbitrary Hilbert space).

Note that  $\sigma$ -lower porous sets (called frequently simply “ $\sigma$ -porous sets” and sometimes “ $\sigma$ -very porous sets”) were applied in a number of articles on exceptional sets in (sometimes also nonseparable) Banach spaces (cf. [6]). In [6], information on  $\sigma$ -cone-supported and ball small sets can also be found.

To verify condition  $(\star)$  in concrete cases, we will apply the following easy lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a continuous increasing function with  $g(0) = 0$ . Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $H \subset X$ , and  $a \in H'$ . Then there exists  $J \subset H \setminus \{a\}$ , such that  $J' = \{a\}$ , and for each  $x \in H \setminus \{a\}$ , there exists  $x^* \in J$  such that  $g(\rho(x, x^*)) < \min(\rho(x, a), \rho(x^*, a))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M_1 := \{x \in X; 1 \leq \rho(x, a)\}$  and  $M_n := \{x \in X; 1/n \leq \rho(x, a) < 1/(n-1)\}$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . For each natural  $n$ , choose  $\varepsilon_n > 0$  such that  $g(\varepsilon_n) < 1/n$  and in  $H \cap M_n$ , find a maximal  $\varepsilon_n$ -discrete subset  $D_n$  ( $\rho(u, v) \geq \varepsilon_n$  for each  $u, v \in D_n, u \neq v$ ). Put  $J := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ . Clearly,  $J \subset H \setminus \{a\}$  and  $J' = \{a\}$ . Let  $x \in H \setminus \{a\}$  be given. Find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x \in M_n$ . By maximality of  $D_n$ , we can choose  $x^* \in D_n \subset J$  with  $\rho(x, x^*) < \varepsilon_n$ . Consequently,

$$g(\rho(x, x^*)) < g(\varepsilon_n) < \frac{1}{n} \leq \min(\rho(x, a), \rho(x^*, a)). \tag{3.1}$$

□

#### 3.1. $\sigma$ -lower porous sets

Definition 3.2. Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. It is said that  $A \subset X$  is *lower porous at*  $x \in X$  if there exist  $c > 0$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that for every  $r \in (0, r_0)$ , there exists  $y \in B(x, r)$  with  $B(y, cr) \subset B(x, r) \setminus A$ . The corresponding porosity-like relation is denoted by  $\mathbf{P}_1$ , and  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}_1$ -porous sets are called  *$\sigma$ -lower porous*.

It is a well known and an easy fact that the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{F}_1$  of all  $\sigma$ -lower porous sets is generated by closed  $\mathbf{P}_1$ -porous sets (see, e.g., [6, Proposition 2.5]). The proof of the following lemma is also easy.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $X$  be a metric space. Then  $\mathbf{P}_1$  has property  $(\star)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in N(\mathbf{P}_1, H) \cap H'$ . Put  $g(h) := \sqrt{h}$  (then  $h = o(g(h)), h \rightarrow 0+$ ) and find  $J \subset H$  by Lemma 3.1. Then  $J' = \{x\}$ . We will prove  $(\neg \mathbf{P}_1)(x, J)$ .

Suppose on the contrary that  $J$  is lower porous at  $x$ . Then there exist  $c > 0$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that for each  $0 < r < r_0$ , there exists  $y \in X$  with  $B(y, cr) \subset B(x, r) \setminus J$ . We can clearly choose  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $g(h) > 2h/c$  for each  $0 < h < r_1$ . Put  $\tilde{r} := \min(r_0, r_1)$ ,  $\tilde{c} := c/2$ , and consider an arbitrary  $0 < r < \tilde{r}$ . Choose  $y \in X$  such that  $B(y, cr) \subset B(x, r) \setminus J$ . To obtain a contradiction with  $x \in N(\mathbf{P}_1, H)$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$B(y, \tilde{c}r) \cap H = \emptyset. \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose that it is not the case and choose  $z \in B(y, \tilde{c}r) \cap H$ . By the choice of  $J$ , we can find  $z^* \in J$  such that  $g(\rho(z, z^*)) < \rho(z, x) < r < r_1$ . Since  $\tilde{c} < c$ , we have  $z \neq z^*$  and the definition of  $r_1$  gives  $g(\rho(z, z^*)) > 2\rho(z, z^*)/c$ . Consequently,  $\rho(z, z^*) < cr/2$ , which implies that  $z^* \in B(y, cr) \cap J$ . This is a contradiction which proves (3.2).  $\square$

Theorem 2.3 thus implies the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a topologically complete metric space and let  $S \subset X$  be a Suslin set which is not  $\sigma$ -lower porous. Then there exists a closed  $F \subset S$  which is not  $\sigma$ -lower porous.*

*Remark 3.5.* We say that  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$  is *lower symmetrically porous at  $x \in \mathbf{R}$*  if there exist  $r_0 > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that for each  $0 < r < r_0$ , there exist  $h > 0$  and  $t \geq 0$  such that  $h/r > c$ ,  $t + h \leq r$ ,  $(x + t, x + t + h) \cap A = \emptyset$ , and  $(x - t - h, x - t) \cap A = \emptyset$ . The notions of a *lower symmetrically porous set* and a  *$\sigma$ -lower symmetrically porous set* are defined in the obvious way.

Proceeding quite similarly as above, we can easily obtain that *each analytic set  $S \subset \mathbf{R}$  which is not  $\sigma$ -lower symmetrically porous contains a closed set which is not  $\sigma$ -lower symmetrically porous.*

### 3.2. Cone-supported sets

*Definition 3.6.* If  $X$  is a Banach space,  $v \in X$ ,  $\|v\| = 1$ , and  $0 < c < 1$ , then define the cone  $A(v, c) := \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot B(v, c)$ . Define the (clearly porosity-like) point-set relation  $\mathbf{P}_s$  as follows:  $\mathbf{P}_s(x, M)$  if there exist  $r > 0$  and a cone  $A(v, c)$  such that  $M \cap (x + A(v, c)) \cap B(x, r) = \emptyset$ . Sets which are  $\mathbf{P}_s$ -porous ( $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}_s$ -porous) are called *cone supported* ( $\sigma$ -*cone supported*).

If  $X$  is separable, it is easy to prove (see [4, Lemma 1], cf. [6]) that  $M \subset X$  is  $\sigma$ -cone supported (i.e.,  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}_s$ -porous) if and only if  $M$  can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces. Since each Lipschitz hypersurface is clearly a closed  $\mathbf{P}_s$ -porous set, every  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}_s$ -porous set is covered by countably many closed  $\mathbf{P}_s$ -porous sets.

**LEMMA 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $\mathbf{P}_s$  has property  $(\star)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in N(\mathbf{P}_s, H) \cap H'$ . Put  $g(h) := \sqrt{h}$  and find  $J \subset H$  by Lemma 3.1. Then  $J' = \{x\}$ . We will prove  $(\neg \mathbf{P}_s)(x, J)$ . We can and will suppose that  $x = 0$ .

Suppose on the contrary that  $\mathbf{P}_s(0, J)$ . Then there exist  $v \in X$ , with  $\|v\| = 1$ ,  $1 > c > 0$ , and  $r > 0$  such that  $J \cap A(v, c) \cap B(0, r) = \emptyset$ . We can suppose that  $r < c/4$ . To obtain a contradiction with  $0 \in N(\mathbf{P}_s, H)$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$H \cap A\left(v, \frac{c}{2}\right) \cap B\left(0, \frac{r}{2}\right) = \emptyset. \tag{3.3}$$

Suppose that this is not the case and choose  $z \in H \cap A(v, c/2) \cap B(0, r/2)$ . By the choice of  $J$ , we can find  $z^* \in J$  such that  $\|z - z^*\| \leq \|z\|^2 < \min(r/2, c/4 \cdot \|z\|)$ . Thus clearly  $z^* \in B(0, r)$ . Choose  $\lambda > 0$  with  $\|\lambda z - v\| < c/2$ . Then

$$\|\lambda z^* - v\| \leq \frac{c}{2} + \lambda \|z - z^*\| \leq \frac{c}{2} + \|\lambda z\| \cdot \frac{c}{4} < \frac{c}{2} + \left(1 + \frac{c}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{c}{4} < c, \tag{3.4}$$

and thus  $z^* \in A(v, c) \cap B(0, r)$ . This is a contradiction which proves (3.3).  $\square$

Theorem 2.3 thus implies the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and let  $S \subset X$  be an analytic set which cannot be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces. Then there exists a closed set  $F \subset S$  which cannot be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces.*

### 3.3. Ball small sets

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $r > 0$ . It is said that  $A \subset X$  is  *$r$ -ball porous* at a point  $x \in A$  if for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$ , there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $\|x - y\| = r$  and  $B(y, r - \varepsilon) \cap A = \emptyset$ . A set  $A \subset X$  is called  *$r$ -ball porous* if it is  $r$ -ball porous at each  $x \in A$ . It is said that  $A \subset X$  is *ball small* if it can be written in the form  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where each  $A_n$  is  $r_n$ -ball porous for some  $r_n > 0$ .

Using the obvious fact that  $B(z, \|z - x\| - \varepsilon) \subset B(y, \rho - \varepsilon)$  whenever  $\|y - x\| = \rho > 0$ ,  $z$  lies on the segment  $xy$ , and  $\|z - x\| > \varepsilon > 0$ , it is easy to verify the following facts.

- (i) If  $A$  is  $r$ -ball porous at  $a$  and  $0 < r^* < r$ , then  $A$  is  $r^*$ -ball porous at  $a$ .
- (ii) If  $A$  is  $r$ -ball porous, then  $\bar{A}$  is  $r/2$ -ball porous.

For  $A \subset X$  and  $x \in X$ , we will write  $\mathbf{P}_b(x, A)$  if  $A$  is  $r$ -ball porous at  $x$  for some  $r > 0$ .

Using (i), it is easy to see that  $\mathbf{P}_b$  is a porosity-like relation on  $X$  and that the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{F}_b$  of all ball small sets coincides with the system of all  $\sigma$ - $\mathbf{P}_b$ -porous sets.

By (ii), we easily obtain that  $\mathcal{F}_b$  is generated by closed  $\mathbf{P}_b$ -porous sets.

The proof of the following lemma is not difficult but slightly technical.

**LEMMA 3.10.** *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space. Then  $\mathbf{P}_b$  has property  $(\star)$ .*

*Proof (Sketch).* First, observe that an elementary (two-dimensional) computation gives the following fact.

- (F) If  $b, v, x, x^*$  are points of  $X$ ,  $\|v\| = 1$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1/10$ ,  $x \in B(b + \rho/2 \cdot v, \rho/2)$ , and  $\|x^* - x\| \leq 4\|b - x\|^2$ , then  $x^* \in B(b + \rho v, \rho)$ .

Now let  $H \subset X$  and  $a \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{P}_b, H) \cap H'$ . Put  $g(h) := \sqrt{h}$  and find  $J \subset H$  by Lemma 3.1. Then  $J' = \{a\}$ . We will prove  $(\neg \mathbf{P}_b)(a, J)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $J$  is  $r$ -ball porous at  $a$  for some  $r > 0$ . By (i), we can suppose that  $r < 1/10$ . Then for each  $0 < \varepsilon < r/4$ , there exists  $v \in X$  with  $\|v\| = 1$  such that  $B(a + rv, r - \varepsilon) \cap J = \emptyset$ . It is sufficient to prove that

$$B\left(a + \frac{r}{2} \cdot v, \frac{r}{2} - 2\varepsilon\right) \cap H = \emptyset. \tag{3.5}$$

Then  $H$  is  $r/2$ -ball porous at  $a$ , a contradiction.

To prove (3.5), suppose on the contrary that there exists  $x \in B(a + r/2 \cdot v, r/2 - 2\varepsilon) \cap H$ . By the choice of  $J$ , there exists  $x^* \in J$  such that  $\|x - x^*\| < \|x - a\|^2$ . Denote  $b := a + 2\varepsilon v$  and distinguish two cases.

If  $\|x - b\| < 2\varepsilon$ , then  $\|x - a\| < 4\varepsilon$  and therefore  $\|x - x^*\| < 16\varepsilon^2 < \varepsilon$  (since  $\varepsilon < r/4 < 1/40$ ). Consequently,  $x^* \in B(a + r/2 \cdot v, r/2 - \varepsilon) \subset B(a + rv, r - \varepsilon)$ , a contradiction.

If  $\|x - b\| \geq 2\varepsilon$ , then  $\|x - a\| \leq 2\varepsilon + \|x - b\| \leq 2\|x - b\|$  and thus  $\|x - x^*\| \leq 4\|x - b\|^2$ . Put  $\rho := r - 4\varepsilon$ . Since  $x \in B(b + \rho/2 \cdot v, \rho/2) = B(a + r/2 \cdot v, r/2 - 2\varepsilon)$ , fact (F) implies

that

$$x^* \in B(b + \rho v, \rho) = B(a + (r - 2\varepsilon)v, r - 4\varepsilon) \subset B(a + rv, r - \varepsilon), \quad (3.6)$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.11.** *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and let  $S \subset X$  be a Suslin set which is not ball small. Then there exists a closed set  $F \subset S$  which is not ball small.*

Finally, note that Theorem 2.3 can be easily applied also to the system of  $\sigma$ -cone porous sets in an arbitrary Banach space (by a cone porous set, we mean a set which is  $\alpha$ -cone porous for some  $\alpha > 0$ ; see [5] for the definition and [1] for some properties of  $\alpha$ -cone porous sets in Hilbert spaces). On the other hand, it seems that Theorem 2.3 can be applied neither to the (more interesting) related system of cone small sets (cf. [6]) nor to the system of  $\sigma$ -cone supported sets in nonseparable Banach spaces.

### Acknowledgment

This research is supported by the Grants MSM 113200007 and GAČR 201/03/0931.

### References

- [1] P. Holický, *Local and global  $\sigma$ -cone porosity*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. **34** (1993), no. 2, 51–57.
- [2] P. Holický, L. Zajíček, and M. Zelený, *A remark on a theorem of Solecki*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **46** (2005), no. 1, 43–54.
- [3] S. Solecki, *Covering analytic sets by families of closed sets*, J. Symbolic Logic **59** (1994), no. 3, 1022–1031.
- [4] L. Zajíček, *On the points of multivaluedness of metric projections in separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **19** (1978), no. 3, 513–523.
- [5] ———, *Smallness of sets of nondifferentiability of convex functions in non-separable Banach spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **41(116)** (1991), no. 2, 288–296.
- [6] ———, *On  $\sigma$ -porous sets in abstract spaces (a partial survey)*, submitted to Abstr. Appl. Anal., <http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/kma-preprints/>.
- [7] L. Zajíček and M. Zelený, *On the complexity of some  $\sigma$ -ideals of  $\sigma$ -P-porous sets*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **44** (2003), no. 3, 531–554.
- [8] M. Zelený and J. Pelant, *The structure of the  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\sigma$ -porous sets*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **45** (2004), no. 1, 37–72.
- [9] M. Zelený and L. Zajíček, *Inscribing compact non- $\sigma$ -porous sets into analytic non- $\sigma$ -porous sets*, Fund. Math. **185** (2005), no. 1, 19–39.

Luděk Zajíček: Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic

*E-mail address:* zajicek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

Miroslav Zelený: Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic

*E-mail address:* zeleny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz