

GENERALIZED DERIVATIVES OF AN ARBITRARY ORDER
AND THE BOUNDARY PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENTIATED
POISSON INTEGRALS FOR THE HALF-SPACE \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} ($k > 1$)

S. TOPURIA

Abstract. The notions of a generalized differential and a generalized spherical derivative of an arbitrary order are introduced for a function of several variables and Fatou type theorems are proved on the boundary properties of partial derivatives of an arbitrary order of the Poisson integral for the half-space, when the integral density has a generalized differential or a generalized spherical derivative.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 31B25

Key words and phrases: Generalized differential, generalized spherical derivative, generalized mixed derivative, Poisson integral, half-space

This work continues the author's papers [1–3], in which the notions of the generalized partial derivative and the generalized Laplace operator were introduced for a function of several variables and Fatou type theorems were proved concerning the boundary behavior of partial derivatives of any order of Poisson integral on a half-space; the examples were given showing that the results obtained cannot be improved.

1. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

In addition to the notation from [1–3], the following notation is used below:

$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s)$, where α_i and β_i are non-negative integer numbers, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_s$, $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_s!$;

if $B = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} \subset M = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $1 \leq s \leq k$ ($i_l < i_r$ for $l < r$), then $\bar{x}_B = (x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and $\bar{x}_B^\alpha = x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_s}^{\alpha_s}$;

$m(\alpha)$ is the number of elements of a set $A \subset M$;

$$D^{(\alpha)} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\alpha_2} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}\right)^{\alpha_s};$$

$\tilde{L}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is the set of functions $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ such that

$$\frac{f(x)}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \in L(\mathbb{R}^k); \quad \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} = \{(x, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} : x \in \mathbb{R}^k, x_{k+1} > 0\};$$

$$\Delta_x = \Delta = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \text{ and } \Delta^r = \Delta(\Delta^{r-1}), \text{ where } \Delta^1 = \Delta, r = 2, 3, \dots;$$

$U(f; x, x_{k+1})$ is the Poisson integral of the function $f(x)$ in the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} , i.e.,

$$U(f; x, x_{k+1}) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} P(t - x, x_{k+1}) f(t) dt,$$

$$P(t - x, x_{k+1}) = \frac{x_{k+1}}{(|t - x|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}}.$$

In what follows it will always be assumed that the considered functions belong to $\tilde{L}(R^k)$. The following generalized derivatives of the function $f(x)$ will be considered:

1. Let $A \subset M$; $B \subset M$. If there exist functions $a_\beta(\bar{x}_B)$ ($m(\beta) = m(A)$, $|\beta| \leq r - 1$; if $B = \emptyset$, then $a_\beta(\bar{x}_B) = a_\beta = \text{const}$) and numbers a_α ($m(\alpha) = m(A)$, $|\alpha| = r$) are such that there are limits $\lim_{\bar{x}_B \rightarrow \bar{x}_B^0} a_\beta(\bar{x}_B) = a_\beta$ and, in the neighborhood of the point x^0 , we have the representation

$$f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 + t_A) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{|\beta|=i} \frac{a_\beta(\bar{x}_B)}{\beta!} \bar{t}_A^\beta + \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \frac{a_\alpha}{\alpha!} \bar{t}_A^\alpha + \varepsilon(\bar{t}_A, \bar{x}_B) \frac{|\bar{t}_A|^r}{r!},$$

where

$$\lim_{\substack{|\bar{t}_A| \rightarrow 0 \\ \bar{x}_B \rightarrow \bar{x}_B^0}} \varepsilon(\bar{t}_A, \bar{x}_B) = 0,$$

then the value $r! \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \frac{a_\alpha}{\alpha!} \bar{t}_A^\alpha$ is called a generalized differential of order r of the function $f(x)$ at the point x^0 with respect to variables whose indices form the set A . This differential is denoted by

$$d_{A(B)}^{(r)} f(x^0)$$

$$\left(d_{A(\emptyset)}^{(r)} f(x^0) = d_A^{(r)} f(x^0), \quad d_M^{(r)} f(x^0) = d^{(r)} f(x^0); \right.$$

$$\left. d_{A(M)}^{(r)} f(x^0) = \bar{d}_A^{(r)} f(x^0), \quad \bar{d}_M^{(r)} f(x^0) = \bar{d}^{(r)} f(x^0) \right),$$

(for $B = \emptyset$ similar definitions see in [4], p. 313 and [5]). a_α is called a generalized mixed derivative of the function $f(x)$ of order $r = |\alpha|$ at the point x^0 with respect to variables whose indices form the set A . This derivative is denoted by

$$D_{A(B)}^{(\alpha)} f(x^0)$$

$$\left(D_{A(\emptyset)}^{(\alpha)} f(x^0) = D_A^{(\alpha)} f(x^0), \quad D_M^{(\alpha)} f(x^0) = D^{(\alpha)} f(x^0); \right.$$

$$\left. D_{A(M)}^{(\alpha)} f(x^0) = \bar{D}_A^{(\alpha)} f(x^0), \quad \bar{D}_M^{(\alpha)} f(x^0) = \bar{D}^{(\alpha)} f(x^0) \right).$$

2. Let r be an even number. If there exist functions $b_\beta(\bar{x}_B)$ ($|\beta|$ is even, $m(\beta) = m(A)$, $|\beta| \leq r - 2$; if $B = \emptyset$, then $b_\beta(\bar{x}_B) = b_\beta = \text{const}$) and numbers b_α ($|\alpha| = r$) such that there are limits $\lim_{\bar{x}_B \rightarrow \bar{x}_B^0} b_\beta(\bar{x}_B) = b_\beta$ and, in the

neighborhood of the point x^0 we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left[f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 + t_A) + f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 - t_A) \right] &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r-2}{2}} \sum_{|\beta|=2i} \frac{1}{\beta!} b_\beta(\bar{x}_B) \bar{t}_A^\beta \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} b_\alpha \bar{t}_A^\alpha + \varepsilon(\bar{t}_A, \bar{x}_B) \frac{|\bar{t}_A|^r}{r!}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lim_{\substack{|\bar{t}_A| \rightarrow 0 \\ \bar{x}_B \rightarrow \bar{x}_B^0}} \varepsilon(\bar{t}_A, \bar{x}_B) = 0,$$

then $r! \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \frac{b_\alpha}{\alpha!} \bar{t}_A^\alpha$ is called a generalized symmetric differential of order r of the function $f(x)$ at the point x^0 with respect to variables whose indices form the set A . This differential is denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{A(B)}^{*(r)} f(x^0) \\ &\left(d_{A(\emptyset)}^{*(r)} f(x^0) = d_A^{*(r)} f(x^0), \quad d_M^{*(r)} f(x^0) = d^{*(r)} f(x^0); \right. \\ &\left. d_{A(M)}^{*(r)} f(x^0) = \bar{d}_A^{*(r)} f(x^0), \quad \bar{d}_M^{*(r)} f(x^0) = \bar{d}^{*(r)} f(x^0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For odd r the definition is as above with the only difference that the sum $f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 + t_A) + f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 - t_A)$ is to be replaced by the difference $f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 + t_A) - f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 - t_A)$ (for $B = \emptyset$ the definitions are similar; see [4], p. 315 and [5]).

b_α is called a generalized mixed symmetric derivative of the function $f(x)$ of order $r = |\alpha|$ at the point x^0 with respect to variables whose indices form the set A . This derivative is denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{A(B)}^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0) \\ &\left(D_{A(\emptyset)}^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0) = D_A^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0), \quad D_M^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0) = D^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0); \right. \\ &\left. D_{A(M)}^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0) = \bar{D}_A^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0), \quad \bar{D}_M^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0) = \bar{D}^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

3. Now let us introduce the notion of a spherical Laplace Ω -operator. Assume that $\Omega(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $|t| = 1$ is a spherical harmonic, i.e., the restriction of a harmonic homogeneous operator $Q(x) \not\equiv 0$ of order ν , $\nu = 0, 1, \dots$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, to the unit sphere. Let the function $f(x)$ be given in the neighborhood of the point x^0 and integrable on the spheres $|x - x^0| = \rho$ for all sufficiently small $\rho > 0$. Let B be any subset of the set M . If there exist functions $a_i(\bar{x}_B)$ (if $B = \emptyset$, then $a_i(\bar{x}_B) = a_i = \text{const}$), $i = \overline{0, r-1}$, given in the neighborhood of the point x^0 , and a number a_r such that there exist limits $\lim_{\bar{x}_B \rightarrow \bar{x}_B^0} a_i(\bar{x}_B) = a_i$, and, in the neighborhood of x^0 , we have limits

$$\frac{1}{|S_\rho|} \int_{S_\rho(x_B + x_{B'}^0)} f(t) \Omega\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) dS(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{2\pi k/2} \int_S f(x_B + x_{B'}^0 + \rho t)\Omega(t)d\omega(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i(\bar{x}_B)\rho^{\nu+2i} + [a_r + \varepsilon(\rho, \bar{x}_B)]\rho^{\nu+2r}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{\substack{\rho \rightarrow 0 \\ \bar{x}_B \rightarrow \bar{x}_B^0}} \varepsilon(\rho, \bar{x}_B) = 0$, $dS(t)$ is an element of the $(k - 1)$ -dimensional area of the sphere S_ρ ($d\omega(t)$ is an element of the $(k - 1)$ -dimensional area of the sphere $|t| = 1$),

$$a_r = \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{r!2^{\nu+2r}\Gamma(k/2 + \nu + r)}A_r,$$

then the number A_r is called a spherical Laplace Ω -operator of order r of the function $f(x)$ at the point x^0 and denoted by $\Omega\bar{\Delta}_{x_B}^r f(x^0)$. For $B = \emptyset$ we will write $\Omega\bar{\Delta}_{x_B}^r f(x^0) = \Omega\bar{\Delta}^r f(x^0)$. If $B = M$, then it is assumed that $\Omega\bar{\Delta}_{x_M}^r f(x_0) = \Omega\bar{\Delta}_{x^0}^r f(x^0)$ (for $k = 2$ and $\Omega(t) \equiv 1$ the derivatives $\Omega\bar{\Delta}^r f(x_0)$ were considered in [6], while for $k = 2$ and $\Omega(t) = t_1 + t_2$ in [7], for $k = 2$ and $\Omega(t) = t_1 t_2$ in [8], and, in the general case, where $B = \emptyset$, in [9]).

We know (see [9], p. 222) that if the function $f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, has all partial derivatives of order $\nu + 2r + 1$ in the neighborhood of the point x^0 , then

$$\Omega\bar{\Delta}^r f(x_0) = Q(\text{grad})\Delta^r f(x^0), \tag{1}$$

where the operator $Q(\text{grad})$ is obtained by replacing the coordinates x_i of the point x in the polynomial $Q(x)$ by operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. From (1), in particular, it follows that

$$\Omega\bar{\Delta}^r \left[\frac{1}{(|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \right] = Q(t) \frac{\mathcal{I}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})}{(|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+4r+2\nu+1}{2}}}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{I}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2r$ of $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})$.

The generalized derivatives discussed above are used when studying the boundary properties of differentiated Poisson integrals in the space \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} .

2. FORMULATIONS OF THE RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let $A \subset M$, $B \subset M$ and $B' \subset A$. If $f(x)$ has a generalized differential $d_{A(B)}^{(r)} f(x^0)$ of order r at the point x^0 , then*

$$\lim_{(x, x_{k+1}) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}}_{x_{A \setminus B}} (x^0, 0)} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} = D_{A(B)}^{(\alpha)} f(x^0)$$

for any α ($|\alpha| = r$; $m(\alpha) = m(A)$).

Theorem 2. *Let $A \subset M$, $B \subset M$ and $B' \subset A$. If $f(x)$ has a generalized symmetric differential $d_{A(B)}^{*(r)}f(x^0)$ of order r , then*

$$\lim_{(x_B+x_{B'}^0, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow (x^0, 0)} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x_B + x_{B'}^0, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} = D_{A(B)}^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0)$$

for any α ($|\alpha| = r$; $m(\alpha) = m(A)$).

Theorem 3. *If at the point x^0 there exists a symmetric differential $\bar{d}_A^{*(r)}f(x^0)$ of order r , then*

$$\lim_{(x, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow (x^0, 0)} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha} = \bar{D}_A^{*(\alpha)} f(x^0)$$

for any α ($|\alpha| = r$; $m(\alpha) = m(A)$).

Theorem 4. *Let $B \subset M$. If at the point x^0 there exists a finite derivative $\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{x_B}^r f(x^0)$, then*

$$\lim_{(x_B+x_{B'}^0, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow (x^0, 0)} \Omega \bar{\Delta}^r U(f; x_B + x_{B'}^0, x_{k+1}) = \Omega \bar{\Delta}_{x_B}^r f(x^0).$$

Corollary 1. *If at the point x^0 there exists a finite derivative $\Omega \bar{\Delta}^r f(x^0)$, then*

$$\lim_{x_{k+1} \rightarrow 0^+} \Omega \bar{\Delta}^r U(f; x^0, x_{k+1}) = \Omega \bar{\Delta}^r f(x^0).$$

Corollary 2. *If at the point x^0 there exists a finite derivative $\Omega \bar{\Delta}_x^r f(x^0)$, then*

$$\lim_{(x, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow (x^0, 0)} \Omega \bar{\Delta}^r U(f; x, x_{k+1}) = \Omega \bar{\Delta}_x^r f(x^0).$$

Remark 1. An analogue of Theorem 4 is true if a more general spherical Laplace Q -operator is used instead of the spherical Laplace Ω -operator (with respect to the ball). The notion of a spherical Laplace Q -operator was introduced in [10], where it is shown that if the integrable function has a spherical Laplace Ω -operator of order r , then it also has a spherical Laplace Q -operator of order r with the same value. The converse statement, generally speaking, does not hold.

Remark 2. For the examples illustrating that the above theorems do not hold for a modified type of a generalized derivative see [1–3].

3. AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

Lemma 1. For any $(x, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1}$, $r \in N$, $A \subset M$, $D \subset M$ and α ($|\alpha| = r$, $m(\alpha) = m(A)$) the following statements are true:

- 1) $\mathcal{I}_\beta^{(\alpha)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m(A)}} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} \bar{t}_A^\beta d\bar{t}_A = 0$, where $m(\beta) = m(\alpha)$, $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$, $\beta \neq \alpha$;
- 2) $\mathcal{I}_r^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} \frac{\bar{t}_A^\alpha}{\alpha!} dt = 1$;
- 3) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |t|^r dt < C$,¹
- 4) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} \right| |\bar{t}_D|^r dt < C$ for $\frac{x_{k+1}}{|\bar{x}_D|} \geq C > 0$;
- 5) $\sup_{|t| > \delta > 0} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| (|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} |t|^\nu < C x_{k+1}$, $\nu = \overline{0, r}$.

Proof. Relation 1) is proved by induction. One can easily prove the equality $\mathcal{I}_\beta^{(\alpha)} = 0$ when $r = 2$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_j = 1$, $i \neq j$, $|\beta| \leq 2$ and $\beta \neq \alpha$. Let us assume that for any α ($m(\alpha) = m(A)$) and β ($m(\alpha) = m(\beta)$, $|\beta| \leq \alpha$, $\beta \neq \alpha$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\beta^{(\alpha)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m(A)}} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} \bar{t}_A^\beta d\bar{t}_A \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m(A)-1}} \bar{t}_{A \setminus \nu}^\delta d\bar{t}_{A \setminus \nu} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} t_\nu^s dt_\nu = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, |\beta|\}$, $|\delta| = |\beta| - s$, and show that

$$\mathcal{I}_\beta^{(\gamma)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m(A)}} \frac{\partial^{r+1} P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\gamma} \bar{t}_A^\beta d\bar{t}_A = 0$$

holds, where $|\gamma| = |\alpha| + 1$, $|\beta| \leq \gamma$ and $\beta \neq \gamma$).

Indeed, applying the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} t_\nu^s dt_\nu \\ &= (-1)^q \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_{A \setminus \nu}^\alpha \partial t_\nu^q} t_\nu^s dt_\nu \end{aligned}$$

¹Here and in what follows C denotes the absolute positive constants which, generally speaking, can take different values in different relations.

$$= \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{r+1} P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_{A \setminus \nu}^{\alpha'} \partial x_{\nu}^{q+1}} t_{\nu}^{s+1} dt_{\nu}.$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m(A)-1}} \bar{t}_{A \setminus \nu}^{\delta} d\bar{t}_{A \setminus \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{r+1} P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_{A \setminus \nu}^{\alpha'} \partial x_{\nu}^{q+1}} t_{\nu}^{s+1} dt_{\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m(A)}} \frac{\partial^{r+1} P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^{\gamma}} \bar{t}_A^{\beta} d\bar{t}_A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{I}_{\beta}^{(\gamma)} = 0$ for $|\beta| > 0$ and also for $|\beta| = 0$.

Relation 2) is also proved by induction. For $r = 2$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_j = 1$, $i \neq j$, the equality $\mathcal{I}_2^{(\alpha)} = 1$ is obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2^{(\alpha)} &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})(k+1)(k+3)x_{k+1}}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{(t_i - x_i)(t_j - x_j)t_i t_j}{[|t-x|^2 + x_{k+1}^2]^{\frac{k+5}{2}}} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})(k+1)(k+3)x_{k+1}}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{t_i^2 t_j^2 dt}{(|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+5}{2}}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that the equality

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^n P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{\bar{t}_A^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} dt = 1$$

is fulfilled for any $r = n$ and any $|\alpha| = r$.

Now we can show that

$$\mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{(\gamma)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^{n+1} P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^{\gamma}} \cdot \frac{\bar{t}_A^{\gamma}}{\gamma!} dt = 1$$

holds for $|\gamma| = |\alpha| + 1$.

Indeed, applying the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \mathcal{I}_n^{(\alpha)} &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k-1}} \frac{\bar{t}_{A \setminus \nu}^{\alpha'}}{\alpha!} d\bar{t}_{A \setminus \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^n P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^{\alpha}} \cdot t_{\nu}^s dt_{\nu} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} (-1)^{2(s+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^{n+1} P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^{\gamma}} \cdot \frac{\bar{t}_A^{\gamma}}{\gamma!} dt = \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{(\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us prove that statement 3) is valid. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |t|^r \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^{\alpha}} &= |t|^r x_{k+1} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \bar{t}_A^{\alpha}} \left[\frac{1}{(|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \right] \\ &= |t|^r x_{k+1} \frac{\mathcal{I}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})}{(|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+2r+1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{I}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r of $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})$.

Passing to the spherical coordinates, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |t|^r dt < cx_{k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{T(\rho, x_{k+1}) \rho^{r+k-1}}{(\rho^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+2r+1}{2}}} d\rho,$$

where $T(\rho, x_{k+1}) > 0$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r of (ρ, x_{k+1}) .

Applying the substitution of $\rho = x_{k+1}\rho_1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |t|^r dt < C \sum_{\nu=0}^r \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_1^{k+r+\nu-1} d\rho_1}{(1 + \rho_1^2)^{\frac{k+2r+1}{2}}} = O(1).$$

Statement 4) follows from 3) and from the fact that

$$|\bar{t}_D + \bar{x}_D|^r \leq 2^r (|\bar{t}_D|^r + |\bar{x}_D|^r).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t - x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |\bar{t}_D|^r dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |\bar{t}_D + \bar{x}_D|^r dt \leq 2^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |t|^r dt \\ &+ 2^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |\bar{x}_D|^r dt \leq C + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |\bar{x}_D|^r dt. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the spherical coordinates, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |\bar{x}_D|^r dt \leq Cx_{k+1} |\bar{x}_D|^r \int_0^\infty \frac{T(\rho, x_{k+1}) \rho^{k-1} d\rho}{(\rho^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+2r+1}{2}}},$$

where $T(\rho, x_{k+1}) > 0$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r of (ρ, x_{k+1}) .

Applying the substitution of $\rho = x_{k+1}\rho_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &\leq C |\bar{x}_D|^r x_{k+1}^{r+k+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^r \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_1^{k+\nu-1} d\rho_1}{x_{k+1}^{k+2r+1} (1 + \rho_1^2)^{\frac{k+2r+1}{2}}} \\ &= C \left(\frac{|\bar{x}_D|}{x_{k+1}} \right)^r \sum_{\nu=0}^r \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_1^{k+\nu-1} d\rho_1}{1 + \rho_1^2} < C \end{aligned}$$

for $\frac{x_{k+1}}{|\bar{x}_D|} \geq C > 0$.

Therefore statement 4) is proved.

Statement 5) follows from the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| (|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} |t|^\nu$$

$$< x_{k+1} \frac{|\mathcal{I}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})|}{(|t|^2 + x_{k+1}^2)^{r-\frac{\nu}{2}}}, \quad \nu = \overline{0, r},$$

since $\mathcal{I}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r of $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, x_{k+1})$. \square

By virtue of equality (2) our next lemma is proved similarly to Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *For any integer numbers $\nu \geq 0$, $r \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ the following statements are valid:*

- 1) $\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho\theta}^r P}{\Omega(\frac{t}{|t|})} \right)_{x=0} \rho^{\nu+k+2i-1} d\rho = 0, \quad i = \overline{0, r-1},^2$
- 2) $\frac{2\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} r! 2^{\nu+2r} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + \nu + r)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho\theta}^r P}{\Omega(\frac{t}{|t|})} \right)_{x=0} \rho^{\nu+k+2r-1} d\rho = 1;$
- 3) $\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho\theta}^r P}{\Omega(\frac{t}{|t|})} \right)_{x=0} \left| \rho^{\nu+k+2r-1} d\rho < C; \right.$
- 4) $\sup_{\rho \geq \delta > 0} \left| \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho\theta}^r P}{\Omega(\frac{t}{|t|})} \right)_{x=0} \right| \rho^{k+1} < C x_{k+1};$
- 5) $\int_\delta^\infty \left| \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho\theta}^r P}{\Omega(\frac{t}{|t|})} \right)_{x=0} \right| \rho^{\nu+k+2i-1} d\rho < C x_{k+1}$ holds for any $\delta > 0, i = \overline{0, r}$.

4. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $x^0 = 0$. By virtue of statements 1) and 2) of Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 U(f; x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_{A \cap B}, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t+x_{A \cap B}) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_{A \cap B}, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} |\bar{t}_A|^r \left[f(t+x_{A \cap B}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{|\beta|=i} \frac{a_\beta(\bar{x}_{A \cap B}, \bar{t}_{A'})}{\beta!} \bar{t}_A^\beta - \sum_{|\beta|=r} \frac{a_\beta \bar{t}_A^\beta}{\beta!} \right] \frac{dt}{|\bar{t}_A|^r} \\ &\quad + D_{A(B)}^{(\alpha)} f(0) = C \left(\int_{V_\delta} + \int_{CV_\delta} \right) + D_{A(B)}^{(\alpha)} f(0) \end{aligned}$$

² $\Delta_{\rho\theta}$ is Laplace operator in terms of spherical coordinates $(\rho, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \dots, \vartheta_{k-2}, \varphi)$.

$$= C(\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2) + D_{A(B)}^{(\alpha)} f(0),$$

where V_δ is the ball with center at the point 0 and radius δ . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| f(t + x_{A \cap B}) - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{|\beta|=i} \frac{a_\beta(\bar{x}_{A \cap B}, \bar{t}_{A'})}{\beta!} \bar{t}_A^\beta - \sum_{|\beta|=r} \frac{a_\beta \bar{t}_A^\beta}{\beta!} \frac{1}{|\bar{t}_A|^r} \right| < \varepsilon$$

for $|t + x_{A \cap B}| < \delta$.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_1| &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{V_\delta} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t - x + x_{A \cap B}, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |\bar{t}_A|^r dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i \in A \cap B} t_i^2 + \sum_{i \in B'} (t_i + x_i)^2} \right)^r dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i \in A \cap B} t_i^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i \in B'} (t_i + x_i)^2} \right)^r dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| \left[\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i \in A \cap B} t_i^2} \right)^r + \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B'} (t_i + x_i)^2} \right)^r \right] dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |t|^r dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| |(t+x)_{B'}|^r dt, \right) \end{aligned}$$

which by virtue of statements 3) and 4) of Lemma 1 implies

$$|\mathcal{I}_1| < C\varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{x_{k+1}}{|\bar{x}_{B'}|} \geq C > 0. \tag{3}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_2| &\leq \int_{CV_\delta} \left| \frac{\partial^r P(t - x + x_{A \cap B}, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{t}_A^\alpha} \right| \left[|f(t + x_{A \cap B})| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{|\beta|=i} \frac{|a_\beta(\bar{x}_{A \cap B}, \bar{t}_{A'})|}{\beta!} |t|^i + \left(\sum_{|\beta|=r} \frac{|a_\beta|}{\beta!} \right) |t|^r \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying statement 5) of Lemma 1, the latter inequality yields

$$|\mathcal{I}_2| < Cx_{k+1} \quad \text{for} \quad |x| < \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{4}$$

By virtue of (3) and (4) (ε is assumed to be arbitrarily small) we conclude that Theorem 1 is valid. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $x^0 = 0$ and r be an even number. We have

$$\frac{\partial^r U(f; x_B, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t - x + x_{B'}, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_A, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t+x_{A \cap B}) dt.$$

Note that $\frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_{B'}, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha}$ for even r is an even function of variables $\bar{t}_{B'}$ and thus, applying the substitution of first $t_{B'} = -\tau_{B'}$ and then $t = \tau + x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x_B, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_{B'}, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t-2t_{B'}) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_A, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t+x_{A \cap B} - 2t_{B'}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

These equalities give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x_B, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_A, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} \\ &\times \frac{f(t+x_{A \cap B}) + f(t+x_{A \cap B} - 2t_{B'})}{2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of statements 1) and 2) of Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x_B, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x+x_A, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} \cdot |\bar{t}_A|^r \\ &\times \left[\frac{f(t+x_{A \cap B}) + f(t+x_{A \cap B} - 2t_{B'})}{2} - \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r-2}{2}} \sum_{|\beta|=i} \frac{b_\beta(\bar{x}_{A \cap B}, \bar{t}_{A'})}{\beta!} \bar{t}_A^\beta \right. \\ &\left. - \sum_{|\beta|=r} \frac{b_\beta \bar{t}_A^\beta}{\beta!} \right] \frac{dt}{|\bar{t}_A|^r} + D_{A(B)}^{*(\alpha)} f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same arguments as in proving Theorem 1, from the latter equality we obtain the validity of Theorem 2. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $x^0 = 0$ and r be an even number. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t-x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(t+x) dt, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\frac{\partial^r U(f; x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} f(x-t) dt.$$

These equalities yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} \\ &\times \frac{f(x+t) + f(x-t)}{2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of statements 1) and 2) of Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r U(f; x, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\partial^r P(t, x_{k+1})}{\partial \bar{x}_A^\alpha} |\bar{t}_A|^r \\ &\times \left[\frac{f(x+t) + f(x-t)}{2} - \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r-2}{2}} \sum_{|\beta|=i} \frac{b_\beta(x)}{\beta!} \bar{t}_A^\beta \right. \\ &\left. - \sum_{|\beta|=r} \frac{b_\beta}{\beta!} \bar{t}_A^\beta \right] \frac{dt}{|\bar{t}_A|^r} + \bar{D}_A^{*(\alpha)} f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by virtue of statements 3) and 5) of Lemma 1 we conclude that Theorem 3 is valid. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \bar{\Delta}^r U(f; x_B + x_{B'}^0, x_{k+1}) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \Omega \bar{\Delta}^r P(t - x_B - x_{B'}^0, x_{k+1}) f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \Omega \bar{\Delta} P|_{x=0} f(t + x_B + x_{B'}^0) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \overbrace{\int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi}^{k-2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho, \theta}^r P}{\Omega\left(\frac{t}{|\bar{t}|}\right)} \right)_{x=0} \Omega\left(\frac{t}{|\bar{t}|}\right) f(t + x_B + x_{B'}^0) \rho^{k-1} \\ &\times \sin^{k-1} \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{k-2} d\rho d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{k-2} d\varphi \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho, \theta}^r P}{\Omega\left(\frac{t}{|\bar{t}|}\right)} \right)_{x=0} |S_\rho| d\rho \frac{1}{|S_\rho|} \int_{S_\rho(x_B + x_{B'}^0)} \Omega\left(\frac{t}{|\bar{t}|}\right) f(t) ds(t) \\ &= \frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Omega \bar{\Delta}_{\rho, \theta}^r P}{\Omega\left(\frac{t}{|\bar{t}|}\right)} \right)_{x=0} \rho^{k-1} d\rho \frac{1}{|S_\rho|} \int_{S_\rho(x_B + x_{B'}^0)} \Omega\left(\frac{t}{|\bar{t}|}\right) f(t) ds(t). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of statements 1) and 2) of lemma 2 we obtain

$$\Omega \bar{\Delta}^r U(f; x_B + x_{B'}^0, x_{k+1}) - \Omega \bar{\Delta}_{x_B}^r f(x^0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Omega\bar{\Delta}_{\rho,\theta}^r P}{\Omega\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right)}\right)_{x=0} \rho^{k-1} \\
 &\times \left\{ \frac{r!2^{\nu+2r}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \nu + r\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\rho^{\nu+2r}} \left[\frac{1}{|S_\rho|} \int_{S_\rho(x_B+x_{B'}^0)} \Omega\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) f(t) ds(t) \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i(\bar{x}_B)\rho^{\nu+2i} \right] - \Omega\bar{\Delta}_{x_B}^r f(x^0) \right\} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\rho^{\nu+2r} d\rho}{r!2^{\nu+2r}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \nu + r\right)} \\
 &= \frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}r!2^{\nu+2r}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \nu + r\right)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Omega\bar{\Delta}_{\rho,\theta}^r P}{\Omega\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right)}\right)_{x=0} \\
 &\times \left\{ \frac{r!2^{\nu+2r}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \nu + r\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\rho^{\nu+2r}} \left[\frac{1}{|S_\rho|} \int_{S_\rho(x_B+x_{B'}^0)} \Omega\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) f(t) ds(t) \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i(\bar{x}_B)\rho^{\nu+2i} \right] - \Omega\bar{\Delta}_{x_B}^r f(x^0) \right\} \rho^{\nu+2r+k-1} d\rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

By statements 3), 4) and 5) of Lemma 2 this equality implies that Theorem 4 is valid. \square

The results presented in this paper are partly announced in [11, 12].

REFERENCES

1. S. Topuria, Boundary properties of first order partial derivatives of the Poisson integral for the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} ($k > 1$). *Georgian Math. J.* **4**(1997), No. 6, 585–600.
2. S. Topuria, Boundary properties of second order partial derivatives of the Poisson integral for the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} ($k > 1$). *Georgian Math. J.* **5**(1998), No. 4, 385–400.
3. S. Topuria, Generalized derivatives and boundary properties of the derivatives of the Poisson integral for the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} ($k > 1$). (Russian) *Anal. Math.* **25:1**(1999).
4. E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. (Russian translation) *Mir, Moscow*, 1973; *English Original: Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ*, 1970.
5. R. L. Wheeden, Derivatives of Fourier series and integrals. *Stud. Math.* **XXVIII**(1966), 69–80.
6. V. L. Shapiro, Circular summability C of double trigonometric series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76**(1954), No. 2, 223–233.
7. M. J. Kohn, Summability \mathbb{R}_r for double series. *Pacific J. Math.* **69**(1977), No. 2, 433–448.

8. M. J. Kohn, On formal integration of double trigonometric series. *Illinois J. Math.* **22**(1978), No. 1, 81–89.
9. M. J. Kohn, On differentiation of multiple trigonometric series. *Illinois J. Math.* **25**(1981), No. 2, 221–232.
10. A. D'yachkov, On the summability of differentiated multiple Fourier series by Bochner–Riesz means. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **36**(1984), No. 4, 493–507.
11. S. Topuria, Generalized total differentials of many variable functions and boundary value problems. *Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci.* **156**(1997), No. 1, 22–26.
12. S. Topuria, Generalized spherical derivatives and boundary value problems. *Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci.* **156**(1997), No. 1, 81–85.

(Received 23.09.1998)

Author's address:

Department of Mathematics (No. 63)
Georgian Technical University
77, M. Kostava St., Tbilisi 380093
Georgia