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1 Introduction

Asymptotic boundary value problems (b.v.p.) for higher-order differential equations and inclusions are important for many applications. For instance, they occur in the problems dealing with radially symmetric solutions of elliptic equations, semiconductor circuits and soil mechanics, fluid dynamics or in the boundary layer theory (see, e.g., [1, 2, 15], and the references therein).

Furthermore, it is well known (see, e.g., [5]) that the \( n \)-th order asymptotic control problems

\[
\begin{align*}
x^{(n)}(t) &= f\left(t, x(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), u\right), \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \quad u \in U, \\
x &\in S,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( S \) is a suitable constraint (e.g., asymptotic boundary conditions) and \( u \in U \) are control parameters such that \( u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^k \), for all \( t \geq t_0 \), can be converted into the equivalent multivalued problems

\[
\begin{align*}
x^{(n)}(t) &\in F\left(t, x(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t)\right), \\
x &\in S,
\end{align*}
\]

\(^\text{a}\)Corresponding author. Email: jan.andres@upol.cz
where the multivalued mapping $F$, representing the right-hand side (r.h.s.), is defined by

$$F(t, x, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}) := \{f(t, x, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}, u)\}_{u \in U}.$$  

Although boundary value problems for higher-order (mainly, the second-order) vector systems have been already intensively studied since the 70's (see e.g. [19, 21, 22, 30, 33]), there are only several papers devoted to noncompact (possibly infinite) intervals (see e.g. [4, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 23, 24, 26–29, 31, 32], and the references therein). In these papers, various fixed point theorems, topological degree theory, shooting methods, upper and lower solution technique, etc., have been applied for the solvability of given problems. In the majority of mentioned papers, the second-order problems were considered and/or the right-hand sides of systems under consideration were single-valued, often even continuous.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the $n$-th order problem (1.1) on non-compact intervals with the right-hand sides governed by upper-Carathéodory multivalued mappings. Besides the existence of solutions, also their localization in a given set will be studied. Following the ideas in [3–5, 16–18], our approach is not sequential as traditionally, but direct. This means to consider the solutions as fixed points of the associated operators in Fréchet spaces. In this way, however, the bound sets technique like e.g. in [6] cannot be applied jointly with the degree arguments, because bounded subsets of nonnormable Fréchet spaces are, according to the Kolmogorov theorem, equal to their boundaries. On the other hand, a bigger variety of asymptotic boundary value problems can be so taken into account.

The paper is organized as follows. Firstly, the basic properties of multivalued mappings which are employed in the sequel are recalled. On this basis, we formulate the general continuation principle for the $n$-th order asymptotic boundary value problems with multivalued right-hand sides in a rather abstract way. Then this principle is applied in order to obtain the existence and localization of solutions. Finally, two illustrative examples are supplied.

## 2 Preliminaries

We start this section with some standard definitions and notations. At first, we recall some geometric notions of particular subsets of metric spaces and the notions of retracts. If $(X, d)$ is an arbitrary metric space and $A \subset X$ its subset, we shall mean by Int $A$, $\overline{A}$ and $\partial A$ the interior, the closure and the boundary of $A$, respectively. For a subset $A \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the set $N_\varepsilon(A) = \{x \in X | \exists a \in A : d(x, a) < \varepsilon\}$, i.e. $N_\varepsilon(A)$ is an open neighbourhood of the set $A$ in $X$. A subset $A \subset X$ is called a retract of $X$ if there exists a retraction $r : X \to A$, i.e. a continuous function satisfying $r(x) = x$, for every $x \in A$. Similarly, $A$ is called a neighbourhood retract of $X$ if there exists an open subset $U \subset X$ such that $A \subset U$ and $A$ is a retract of $U$.

Let $X$, $Y$ be two metric spaces. We say that $X$ is an absolute retract (AR-space) if, for each $Y$ and every closed $A \subset Y$, each continuous mapping $f : A \to X$ is extendable over $Y$. If $f$ is extendable over some neighborhood of $A$, then $X$ is an absolute neighborhood retract (ANR-space). Let us note that $X$ is an ANR-space if and only if it is a retract of an open subset of a normed space and that $X$ is an AR-space if and only if it is a retract of some normed space.

We say that a nonempty subset $A \subset X$ is contractible, provided there exist $x_0 \in A$ and a homotopy $h : A \times [0, 1] \to A$ such that $h(x, 0) = x$ and $h(x, 1) = x_0$, for every $x \in A$. A nonempty subset $A \subset X$ is called an $R_0$-set if there exists a decreasing sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of compact AR-spaces such that

$$A = \cap\{A_n ; n = 1, 2, \ldots\}.$$
Note that any $R_0$-set is nonempty, compact and connected.

The following hierarchies hold for nonempty subsets of a metric space:

$$\text{compact + convex} \subseteq \text{compact AR} \subseteq \text{compact + contractible} \subseteq R_0\text{-set},$$

and all the above inclusions are proper.

A nonempty, compact subset $A$ of a metric space $X$ is called $\infty$-proximally connected if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any map $g: \partial \triangle^n \to N_\delta(A)$, there exists a map $\tilde{g}: \triangle^n \to N_\varepsilon(A)$ such that $g(x) = \tilde{g}(x)$, for every $x \in \partial \triangle^n$, where $\partial \triangle^n := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid |x| = 1 \}$ and $\triangle^n := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid |x| \leq 1 \}$. On ANR-spaces, the notions of $\infty$-proximally connected sets and $R_0$-sets coincide. For more details about the above subsets of metric spaces, see, e.g., [5,12,20].

Our problems under consideration naturally lead to the notion of a Fréchet space. Let us recall that by a Fréchet space, we understand a complete (metrizable) locally convex vector space. Its topology can be generated by a countable family of seminorms or by a metric (see e.g. [5, Chapter I.1]). If a Fréchet space is normable, then it becomes a Banach space. Fréchet spaces considered below will be as follows:

- the space $C(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$ of continuous functions $x: J \to \mathbb{R}^k$ with the family of seminorms $p_i(q): C(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by
  $$p_i(q) := \max_{t \in K_i} |q(t)|,$$
  where $\{K_i\}$ is a sequence of compact subintervals of $J$ such that
  $$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i = J,$$
  $$K_i \subset K_{i+1}, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.1)$$
- the space $C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$ of functions $x: J \to \mathbb{R}^k$ having continuous $(n-1)$-st derivatives endowed with the system of seminorms $p_i^{n-1}(q): C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by
  $$p_i^{n-1}(q) := \max_{t \in K_i} |q(t)| + \max_{t \in K_i} |\dot{q}(t)| + \cdots + \max_{t \in K_i} |q^{(n-1)}(t)|,$$
  where $\{K_i\}$ is a sequence of compact subintervals of $J$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2),
- the space $AC^{n-1}_{\text{loc}}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$ of functions $x: J \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with locally absolutely continuous $(n-1)$-st derivatives endowed with the family of seminorms $p_i^{n-1}_{\text{loc}}(q): AC^{n-1}_{\text{loc}}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by
  $$p_i^{n-1}_{\text{loc}}(q) := \max_{t \in K_i} |q(t)| + \max_{t \in K_i} |\dot{q}(t)| + \cdots + \max_{t \in K_i} |q^{(n-1)}(t)| + \int_{K_i} |q^{(n)}(t)| dt,$$
  where $\{K_i\}$ is a sequence of compact subintervals of $J$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2).

The topologies in Fréchet spaces mentioned above can be generated by the metrics

$$d(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \cdot \frac{p_i(x - y)}{1 + p_i(x - y)},$$
or
\[ d^{n-1}(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \cdot \frac{p_i^{n-1}(x - y)}{1 + p_i^{n-1}(x - y)} \]
or
\[ d^{n-1}_{\text{loc}}(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \cdot \frac{p_i^{n-1}(x - y)}{1 + p_i^{n-1}_{\text{loc}}(x - y)} \]
respectively.

Let \( J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be compact. By \( H^{n,1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \), we will denote the Banach space of all \( C^{n-1} \) functions \( x: J \to \mathbb{R}^k \) with absolutely continuous \((n-1)\)-st derivative.

In the sequel, we also need the following definitions and notions from the multivalued theory.

Let \( X, Y \) be two metric spaces. We say that a multivalued map \( F: X \to Y \) is called \textit{upper semicontinuous} (shortly written u.s.c.) if, for every \( x \in X \), a nonempty subset \( F(x) \) of \( Y \) is given. We associate with \( F \) its graph \( \Gamma_F \), i.e. the subset of \( X \times Y \) defined by
\[ \Gamma_F := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in F(x)\} \]

If \( X \cap Y \neq \emptyset \) and \( F: X \to Y \), then a point \( x \in X \) is called a \textit{fixed point} of \( F \), provided \( x \in F(x) \). The set of all fixed points of \( F \) is denoted by \( \text{Fix}(F) \), i.e.
\[ \text{Fix}(F) := \{x \in X \mid x \in F(x)\} \]

A multivalued mapping \( F: X \to Y \) is called \textit{upper semicontinuous} (shortly written u.s.c.) if, for each open set \( U \subset Y \), the set \( \{x \in X \mid F(x) \subset U\} \) is open in \( X \).

The connections between upper semicontinuous mappings and mappings with closed graphs are summarized in the following propositions (see, e.g., [5, 20]).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( X, Y \) be metric spaces and \( F: X \to Y \) be a multivalued mapping with the closed graph \( \Gamma_F \) such that \( F(X) \subset K \), where \( K \) is a compact set. Then \( F \) is u.s.c.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( X, Y \) be metric spaces and \( F: X \to Y \) be an u.s.c. multivalued mapping with closed values, then \( \Gamma_F \) is a closed subset of \( X \times Y \).

A multivalued mapping \( F: X \to Y \) is called \textit{compact} if the set \( F(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} F(x) \) is contained in a compact subset of \( Y \) and it is called \textit{closed} if the set \( F(B) \) is closed in \( Y \), for every closed subset \( B \) of \( X \).

We say that a multivalued mapping \( F: X \to Y \) is an \( R_{\delta} \)-mapping if it is a u.s.c. mapping with \( R_{\delta} \)-values.

We say that a multivalued map \( \varphi: X \to Y \) is a \textit{J-mapping} (written, \( \varphi \in J(X, Y) \)) if it is a u.s.c. mapping and \( \varphi(x) \) is \( \infty \)-proximally connected, for every \( x \in X \). If the space \( Y \) is a neighbourhood retract of a Fréchet space (i.e. an ANR-space), then \( \varphi \in J(X, Y) \), provided \( \varphi \) is an \( R_{\delta} \)-mapping, as already pointed out (cf. [5, 20]).

Let \( Y \) be a separable metric space and \( (\Omega, U, \nu) \) be a \textit{measurable space}, i.e. a nonempty set \( \Omega \) equipped with a \( \sigma \)-algebra \( U \) of its subsets and a countably additive measure \( \nu \) on \( U \). A multivalued mapping \( F: \Omega \to Y \) is called \textit{measurable} if \( \{\omega \in \Omega \mid F(\omega) \subset V\} \in U \), for each open set \( V \subset Y \).

We say that mapping \( F: J \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n \), where \( J \subset \mathbb{R} \), is an \textit{upper-Carathéodory mapping} if the map \( F(\cdot, x): J \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is measurable on every compact subinterval of \( J \), for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^m \), the map \( F(t, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is u.s.c., for almost all (a.a.) \( t \in J \), and the set \( F(t, x) \) is compact and convex, for all \((t, x) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^m \).

We recall now some results which are employed in the sequel.
Proposition 2.3 (cf., e.g., [7]). Let $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an upper-Carathéodory mapping satisfying $|y| \leq r(t) (1 + |x|)$, for every $(t, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and every $y \in F(t, x)$, where $r : [a, b] \to [0, \infty)$ is an integrable function. Then the composition $F_n$ admits, for every $q \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$, a single-valued measurable selection.

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [8, Theorem 0.3.4]). Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval. Assume that the sequence of absolutely continuous functions $x_n : J \to \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) the set $\{x_n(t) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded, for every $t \in J$,

(ii) there exists a function $\alpha : J \to \mathbb{R}$, integrable in the sense of Lebesgue, such that

$$|x_n(t)| \leq \alpha(t), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in J \text{ and all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then there exists a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ (for the sake of simplicity denoted as the sequence) convergent to an absolutely continuous function $x : J \to \mathbb{R}^k$ in the following sense:

(iii) $\{x_n\}$ converges uniformly to $x$,

(iv) $\dot{x}_n$ converges weakly in $L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$ to $\dot{x}$.

Lemma 2.5 (cf. [34]). Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval, $E_1, E_2$ be Banach spaces and $F : [a, b] \times E_1 \to E_2$ be a multivalued mapping satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $F(\cdot, x)$ has a strongly measurable selection, for every $x \in E_1$, i.e. there exists a sequence of step multivalued maps $F_n(\cdot, x) : [a, b] \to E_2$ such that $d_H(F_n(\omega, x), F(\omega, x)) \to 0$, for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$, as $n \to \infty$, for every $x \in E_1$,

(ii) $F(t, \cdot)$ is u.s.c., for a.a. $t \in [a, b]$,

(iii) the set $F(t, x)$ is compact and convex, for all $(t, x) \in [a, b] \times E_1$.

Assume in addition that, for every nonempty, bounded set $\Omega \subset E_1$, there exists $v = v(\Omega) \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $|F(t, x)| \leq v(t)$, for a.a. $t \in [a, b]$ and every $x \in \Omega$.

Let us define the Nemytskii operator $N_F : C([a, b], E_1) \to L^1([a, b], E_2)$ in the following way:

$$N_F(x) := \{f \in L^1([a, b], E_2) \mid f(t) \in F(t, x(t)), \text{ a.e. on } [a, b]\},$$

for every $x \in C([a, b], E_1)$. Then, if sequences $\{x_i\} \subset C([a, b], E_1)$ and $\{f_i\} \subset L^1([a, b], E_2)$, $f_i \in N_F(x_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are such that $x_i \to x$ in $C([a, b], E_1)$ and $f_i \to f$ weakly in $L^1([a, b], E_2)$, then $f \in N_F(x)$.

For more details concerning multivalued theory see e.g., [8,9,20,25].

In order to develop the continuation principle for the $n$-th order asymptotic problems, the following important arguments will be also needed (cf. [4,5,16–18]). Let us assume that $X$ is a retract of a Fréchet space $E$ (by which $X$ is an AR-space; cf. [12]) and $D$ is an open subset of $X$ (by which $D$ is an ANR-space; cf. [12]). Let $G \subset J(D, E)$ be locally compact, let $\text{Fix}(G)$ be compact and let the following condition hold:

$$\forall x \in \text{Fix}(G) \exists \text{ a set } U_x, \text{ open in } D, x \in U_x, \text{ such that } G(U_x) \subset X. \quad (2.3)$$

The class of locally compact $J$-mappings from $D$ to $E$ with a compact fixed point set and satisfying (2.3) will be denoted by $J_A(D, E)$.

We say that $G_1, G_2 \in J_A(D, E)$ are homotopic in $J_A(D, E)$ if
1. there exists a homotopy \( H \in J(D \times [0,1], E) \) such that \( H(\cdot,0) = G_1 \) and \( H(\cdot,1) = G_2 \),
2. for every \( x \in D \), there exists an open neighbourhood \( V_x \) of \( x \) in \( D \) such that \( H|_{V_x \times [0,1]} \) is a compact mapping,
3. for every \( x \in D \) and every \( t \in [0,1] \), the following condition holds:
\[
\text{If } x \in H(x, t), \text{ then there exists a set } U_x \text{ open in } D, \ x \in U_x, \text{ such that } H(U_x \times [0,1]) \subset X. \tag{2.4}
\]

Remark 2.6. Note that condition (2.4) is equivalent to the following one:
\[
\text{If } \{ x_j \}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset D \text{ converges to } x \in H(x, t), \text{ for some } t \in [0,1],
\text{ then } H(\{ x_j \} \times [0,1]) \subset X, \text{ for } j \text{ sufficiently large.}
\]

Remark 2.7 (see e.g. [3]). If \( E = X \) is a Banach space, then condition (2.4) can be replaced by
\[
\text{Fix}(H) \cap \partial D = \emptyset,
\]
for all \( t \in [0,1] \), where \( \text{Fix}(H) := \{ x \in D \mid x \in H(x, t) \} \).

The following proposition, which will be applied below for obtaining the existence of a solution of the studied b.v.p., follows immediately from the results in [4, 5].

Proposition 2.8. Let \( X \) be a retract of a Fréchet space \( E \), \( D \) be an open subset of \( X \) and \( H \) be a homotopy in \( JA(D, E) \) such that
\[
(i) \ H(\cdot,0)(D) \subset X,
(ii) \text{ there exists } H_0 \in J(X) \text{ such that } H_0|_D = H(\cdot,0), \text{ } H_0 \text{ is compact and}
\text{Fix}(H_0) \cap (X \setminus D) = \emptyset.
\]
Then there exists \( x \in D \) such that \( x \in H(x,1) \).

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.8, it is possible to obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.9. Let \( X \) be a retract of a Fréchet space \( E \), \( H \) be a homotopy in \( JA(X, E) \) such that \( H(x,0) \subset X \), for every \( x \in X \), and let \( H(\cdot,0) \) be compact. Then \( H(\cdot,1) \) has a fixed point.

3 Continuation principle

In this section, we consider the \( n \)-th order boundary value problem in the following form
\[
x^{(n)}(t) \in F \left( t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t) \right), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in J,
\]
where \( J \) is a given real (possibly noncompact) interval, \( F : J \times \mathbb{R}^{kn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \) is a multivalued upper-Carathéodory mapping and \( S \subset AC^{n-1}_{loc}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \).

By a solution of problem (3.1), we mean a function \( x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \) belonging to \( AC^{n-1}_{loc}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \) and satisfying (3.1), for almost all \( t \in J \).

For our main result, the following proposition is pivotal.
Proposition 3.1. Let $H : J \times \mathbb{R}^{2kn} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be an upper-Caratheodory mapping and let

$$S = \left\{ x \in AC_{loc}^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \mid l(x, \dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}) = 0 \right\},$$

where $l : C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \times C^{n-2}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \cdots C(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathbb{R}^{2kn}$ is a linear bounded operator. Assume that

(i) there exists a subset $Q$ of $C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$ such that, for any $q \in Q$, the set $\Sigma(q)$ of all solutions of the boundary value problem

$$x^{(n)}(t) \in H(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), q(t), \dot{q}(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t)), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in J,$$

$$x \in S$$

is nonempty,

(ii) there exist $t^* \in J$ and a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|x(t^*)| \leq M, \ |\dot{x}(t^*)| \leq M, \ldots, |x^{(n-1)}(t^*)| \leq M,$$

for all $x \in \Sigma(Q)$,

(iii) there exists a nonnegative, locally integrable function $a : J \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left| H \left( t, x(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), q(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t) \right) \right| \leq a(t) \left( 1 + |x(t)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(t)| \right),$$

a.e. in $J$, for any $(q, x) \in \Gamma_\Sigma$.

Then $\Sigma(Q)$ is a relatively compact subset of $C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$. Moreover, the multivalued operator $\Sigma : Q \to S$ is u.s.c. with compact values if the following condition is satisfied:

(iv) for each sequence $\{q_m, x_m\} \subset \Gamma_\Sigma$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \left( q_m, q_m^{(n-1)}, x_m, \dot{x}_m, \ldots, x_m^{(n-1)} \right) \right\} \to \left( q, q, \ldots, q^{(n-1)}, x, \dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)} \right),$$

where $q \in Q$, it holds that $x \in S$.

Proof. From the well-known Arzelà–Ascoli lemma, it follows that the set $\Sigma(Q)$ is relatively compact in $C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$ if and only if it is bounded and functions in $\Sigma(Q)$ and their derivatives are equicontinuous. Let us prove at first the boundedness of $\Sigma(Q)$. For this purpose, let $[a, b] \subset J$ be an arbitrary compact interval such that $t^* \in [a, b]$. Since

$$x^{(n-1)}(t) = x^{(n-1)}(t^*) + \int_{t^*}^t x^{(n)}(s) \, ds, \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [a, b],$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = \dot{x}(t^*) + \int_{t^*}^t \dot{x}(s) \, ds, \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [a, b],$$

$$x(t) = x(t^*) + \int_{t^*}^t x(s) \, ds, \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [a, b],$$

it holds, according to conditions (ii) and (iii), that

$$|x(t)| + |\dot{x}(t)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(t)|$$

$$\leq |x(t^*)| + |\dot{x}(t^*)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(t^*)| + \int_{t^*}^t |x(s)| + |\dot{x}(s)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(s)| \, ds$$

$$\leq nM + \int_a^b |\dot{x}(s)| + |\dot{x}(s)| + \cdots + a(s)(1 + |x(s)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(s)|) \, ds$$

$$\leq nM + \int_a^b a(s) \, ds + \int_a^b (1 + a(s))(|x(s)| + |\dot{x}(s)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(s)|) \, ds.$$
Therefore, by Gronwall’s lemma,
\[ |x(t)| + |\dot{x}(t)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq \left( nM + \int_a^b \alpha(s) \, ds \right) e^{\int_a^t (1+\alpha(s)) \, ds}, \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [a,b]. \tag{3.2} \]
Since \([a,b] \subset J\) is arbitrary, it follows immediately from estimate (3.2) that \(\Sigma(Q)\) is bounded in each seminorm, and so also bounded in \(C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)\).

Now, let us check the equicontinuity of \(x, \dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\), for each \(x \in \Sigma(Q)\). Let \(q \in Q, x \in \Sigma(q)\) and \(t_1, t_2 \in J\) be arbitrary. Then, we obtain that
\[ |x(t_1) - x(t_2)| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \dot{x}(\tau) \, d\tau \right| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( nM + \int_a^b \alpha(s) \, ds \right) e^{\int_a^\tau (1+\alpha(s)) \, ds} \, d\tau \right|. \tag{3.3} \]
Analogously, we can get, for each \(k \in \{1, \ldots, n-2\}\), that
\[ |x^{(k)}(t_1) - x^{(k)}(t_2)| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} x^{(k+1)}(\tau) \, d\tau \right| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( nM + \int_a^b \alpha(s) \, ds \right) e^{\int_a^\tau (1+\alpha(s)) \, ds} \, d\tau \right|. \tag{3.4} \]
Moreover,
\[ |x^{(n-1)}(t_1) - x^{(n-1)}(t_2)| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |H(t, x(\tau), x^{(n-1)}(\tau), q(\tau), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(\tau))| \, d\tau \right| \\
\leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha(\tau) \left( 1 + |x(\tau)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(\tau)| \right) \, d\tau \right| \\
\leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha(\tau) \left( 1 + \left( nM + \int_a^b \alpha(s) \, ds \right) e^{\int_a^\tau (1+\alpha(s)) \, ds} \right) \, d\tau \right|. \tag{3.5} \]

The estimates (3.3)–(3.5) ensure the equicontinuity of \(x, \dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\). Thus, it is proven that \(\Sigma(Q)\) is relatively compact.

Let us still show that the graph of the operator \(\Sigma\) is closed. Let \(\{(q_m, x_m)\} \subset \Gamma_\Sigma\) be such that
\[ \left\{ (q_m, q_m, \ldots, q_m^{(n-1)}, x_m, \dot{x}_m, \ldots, x_m^{(n-1)}) \right\} \to (q, \dot{q}, \ldots, q^{(n-1)}, x, \dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}) , \]
where \(q \in Q\), and let \([a,b] \subset J\) be an arbitrary compact interval such that \(t^* \subset [a,b]\).

By condition (iii) and estimate (3.2), the sequences \(\{x_m\}, \{\dot{x}_m\}, \ldots, \{x_m^{(n-1)}\}\) satisfy assumptions of Lemma 2.4. Thus, there exists a subsequence of \(\{x_m\}\), for the sake of simplicity denoted as the sequence, uniformly convergent to \(x\) on \([a,b]\), such that \(\{\dot{x}_m\}, \ldots, \{x_m^{(n-1)}\}\) converges uniformly to \(\dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\) on \([a,b]\) and \(\{x_m^{(n)}\}\) converges weakly to \(x^{(n)}\) in \(L^1([a,b], \mathbb{R}^k)\).

If we set
\[ z_m := (x_m, \dot{x}_m, \ldots, x_m^{(n-1)}) , \]
then \(z_m \to (\dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n)})\) weakly in \(L^1([a,b], \mathbb{R}^k)\). Let us consider the following system
\[ \dot{z}_m(t) \in G \left( t, z_m(t), q_m(t), \ldots, q_m^{(n-1)}(t) \right) , \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [a,b] , \]
where
\[ G \left( t, z_m(t), q_m(t), \ldots, q_m^{(n-1)}(t) \right) = \left( \dot{x}_m, \ldots, \dot{x}_m^{(n)}, H(t, z_m(t), q_m(t), \ldots, q_m^{(n-1)}(t)) \right) . \]

Using Lemma 2.5, for \(f_i := \dot{z}_m, f := (\dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(n)})\), \(x_i := (z, q_m, \ldots, q_m^{(n-1)})\), it follows that
\[ (\dot{x}(t), \ldots, x^{(n)}(t)) \in G \left( t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), q(t), \dot{q}(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t) \right) , \]
for a.a. \( t \in [a, b] \), i.e.
\[
x^{(n)}(t) \in H \left( t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), q(t), \dot{q}(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t) \right), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [a, b].
\]

Since \([a, b] \subset J\) is arbitrary,
\[
x^{(n)}(t) \in H \left( t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), q(t), \dot{q}(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t) \right), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in J.
\]

Condition (iv) implies that \( x \in S \), and therefore \( \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \) is closed. Moreover, it follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 that the operator \( \mathcal{S} \) is u.s.c.

Since \( \mathcal{S} \) is a compact mapping, \( \mathcal{S}(q) \) is, for each \( q \in Q \), a relatively compact set. Moreover, the operator \( \mathcal{S} \) has a closed graph which implies that \( \mathcal{S}(q) \) is, for each \( q \in Q \), closed, and therefore \( \mathcal{S} \) has compact values.

As the main result of this section, we formulate the following theorem in which conditions ensuring the existence of a solution of the boundary value problem (3.1) are presented.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let us consider the boundary value problem (3.1) and let \( H: J \times \mathbb{R}^{2kn} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \) be an upper-Carathéodory map such that
\[
H(t, c_1, \ldots, c_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n, 1) \subset F(t, c_1, \ldots, c_n), \quad \text{for all } (t, c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^{kn}. \tag{3.6}
\]

Assume that

(i) there exists a retract \( Q \) of \( C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k) \) and a closed subset \( S_1 \) of \( S \) such that the associated problem
\[
x^{(n)}(t) \in H \left( t, x(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), q(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t), \lambda \right), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in J,
\]
\[
x \in S_1
\]
is solvable with an \( R_{\mathcal{S}} \)-set of solutions, for each \((q, \lambda) \in Q \times [0, 1]\),

(ii) there exists a nonnegative, locally integrable function \( \alpha: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[
\left| H(t, x(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t), q(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t), \lambda) \right| \leq \alpha(t) \left( 1 + |x(t)| + \cdots + |x^{(n-1)}(t)| \right),
\]
a.e. in \( J \), for any \((q, \lambda, x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \), where \( \mathcal{S} \) denotes the multivalued mapping which assigns to any \((q, \lambda) \in Q \times [0, 1]\) the set of solutions of (3.7),

(iii) \( \mathcal{S}(Q \times \{0\}) \subset Q \),

(iv) there exist \( t^* \in J \) and a constant \( M > 0 \) such that
\[
|x(t^*)| \leq M, \ |\dot{x}(t^*)| \leq M, \ldots, |x^{(n-1)}(t^*)| \leq M,
\]
for any \( x \in \mathcal{S}(Q \times [0, 1]) \),

(v) if \( q_j, q \in Q, \ q_j \rightarrow q, \ q \in \mathcal{S}(q, \lambda), \) then there exists \( j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for every \( j \geq j_0, \ \theta \in [0, 1] \)
and \( x \in \mathcal{S}(q_j, \theta) \), we have \( x \in Q \).

Then problem (3.1) has a solution.
Let us consider the b.v.p. (4.1) and assume that

(i) there exists a nonnegative, locally integrable function \(a: J \to \mathbb{R}\) such that

\[
|F\left(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t)\right)| \leq a(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } J,
\]

for any \(q \in Q\), where \(Q\) is a retract of \(C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)\),

(ii) there exist \(t^* \in J\) and a constant \(M > 0\) such that

\[
|x(t^*)| \leq M, \quad |\dot{x}(t^*)| \leq M, \ldots, |x^{(n-1)}(t^*)| \leq M,
\]

for any \(x \in \mathcal{T}(Q \times [0, 1])\), where \(\mathcal{T}\) denotes the mapping which assigns to each \((q, \lambda) \in Q \times [0, 1]\) the set of solutions of fully linearized problems

\[
x^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(t, q(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t))x^{(n-i)}(t) = \lambda F(t, q(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t)), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in J,
\]

\(x \in S_1\),

Moreover, by the inclusion (3.6) and since \(S_1 \subset S\), the fixed point of \(\mathcal{T}(\cdot, 1)\) is a solution of the original b.v.p. (3.1).

\[\square\]
(iii) $S_1$ is a closed convex subset of $S$.

(iv) $\mathcal{T}(q, \lambda) \neq \emptyset$, for all $(q, \lambda) \in Q \times [0, 1]$, and $\mathcal{T}(Q \times \{0\}) \subset Q$.

(v) if $q_j, q \in Q$, $q_j \to q$, $q \in \mathcal{T}(q, \lambda)$, then there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $j \geq j_0$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in \mathcal{T}(q_j, \theta)$, we have $x \in Q$.

Then the b.v.p. (4.1) has a solution in $S_1 \cap Q$.

Proof. Since the associated problems are, for all $(q, \lambda) \in Q \times [0, 1]$, fully linearized, the mapping $F$ has convex values and $S_1$ is convex, the set $\mathcal{T}(q, \lambda)$ is also convex, for all $(q, \lambda) \in Q \times [0, 1]$. Therefore, all assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, and so the problem (4.1) has a solution in $S_1 \cap Q$. □

Making use of the result in [13], dealing with the equivalency of norms in the Banach space $H^{n,1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$, we are able to improve condition (ii) from Theorem 4.1 as follows.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let us consider the b.v.p. (4.1) and let conditions (i), (iii), (iv) from Theorem 4.1 hold. Moreover, instead of condition (ii), let us assume that $\mathcal{T}(Q \times [0, 1])$ is bounded in $C(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$. Then the b.v.p. (4.1) has a solution in $S_1 \cap Q$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{T}(Q \times [0, 1])$ is bounded in $C(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$, there exists a continuous function $m: J \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|x(t)| \leq m(t), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{T}(Q \times [0, 1]) \text{ and all } t \in J.$$

Let us show that, for any compact interval $I \subset J$, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$p_I(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sup_{t \in I} x^{(i)}(t) \leq M, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{T}(Q \times [0, 1]).$$

According to Lemma 2.36 in [13] and the remarks below that lemma, the following two norms in $H^{n,1}(I, \mathbb{R}^k)$

$$\|x\| := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sup_{t \in I} x^{(i)}(t) + \int_I x^{(n)}(t) \, dt$$

and

$$\|x\|_Q := \sup_{t \in I} |x(t)| + \sup_{x \in Q} \int_I x^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(t, q(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t)) x^{(i)}(t) \, dt$$

are equivalent.

It is obvious that $p_I(x) \leq \|x\|$ and, by the above mentioned equivalency of norms, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq c \|x\|_Q \leq c \left( \max_{t \in I} m(t) + \int_I a(t) \, dt \right) \leq M.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{T}(Q \times [0, 1])$ is also bounded in $C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^k)$ which, in particular, ensures the validity of condition (ii) from Theorem 4.1. □

**Remark 4.3.** Let us note that Corollary 4.2 cannot be deduced by a simple transformation of a studied problem to the first-order problem. The obtained result is a vector generalization of Corollary 2.37 in [4] and it also generalizes the vector result for the second-order b.v.p. in [7], where $A_i$ did not depend on $x$. Moreover, a more restrictive condition (ii) was used there.
Observe that condition \((v)\) in Theorem 4.1 hold when \(S_1 \subset Q\), by which Theorem 4.1 can be simplified in the following way, suitable for practical applications.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let us consider the b.v.p. \((4.1)\), where \(J\) is a given real interval, \(F: J \times \mathbb{R}^{kn} \to \mathbb{R}^{k}\) is an upper-Carathéodory mapping and \(S\) is a subset of \(AC^{n-1}_{loc}(J, \mathbb{R}^{k})\).

Assume that

(i) there exists a retract \(Q\) of \(C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}^{k})\) such that \(S \cap Q\) is closed and convex and that the associated problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
x^{(n)}(t) + A_1(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \cdots + A_n(t)x(t) &\in F \left( t, \dot{q}(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t) \right), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in J, \\
x &\in S \cap Q
\end{aligned}
\]
is solvable, for each \(q \in Q\).

(ii) there exists a nonnegative, locally integrable function \(\alpha: J \to \mathbb{R}\) such that
\[
\left| F \left( t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), \ldots, q^{(n-1)}(t) \right) \right| \leq \alpha(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } J,
\]
for any \(q \in Q\),

(iii) there exist \(t^* \in J\) and a constant \(M > 0\) such that
\[
|x(t^*)| \leq M, \quad |\dot{x}(t^*)| \leq M, \ldots, |x^{(n-1)}(t^*)| \leq M,
\]
for any \(x \in \Sigma(Q)\) (or \(\Sigma(Q)\) is bounded in \(C(J, \mathbb{R}^{k})\)).

Then the b.v.p. \((4.1)\) has a solution in \(S \cap Q\).

**Remark 4.5.** Let us note that Corollary 4.4 generalizes the results in [4] and [7] as well as Proposition 2.1 in [18] which was (unlike our result) obtained only as a vector modification of the scalar result in [4].

## 5 Illustrative examples

Let us finally illustrate the application of Corollary 4.4 by two examples. The first one concerns the \(n\)-th order vector target (terminal) problem.

**Example 5.1.** Let us consider the \(n\)-th order target problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
x_1^{(n)}(t) &\in F_1(t, x_1(t), \ldots, x_k(t)), \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, \infty), \\
\vdots \\
x_k^{(n)}(t) &\in F_k(t, x_1(t), \ldots, x_k(t)), \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, \infty),
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \to \infty} x_1(t) &= l_1, \\
\vdots \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} x_k(t) &= l_k,
\end{aligned}
\]

where, for all \(i = 1, \ldots, k\), \(F_i: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \to \mathbb{R}\) are upper-Carathéodory mappings and \(l_i \in \mathbb{R}\). Moreover, let there exist \(K > 0\) such that, for all \(i = 1, \ldots, k\),
\[
\int_0^{\infty} t^{n-1} \cdot \alpha_i(t) \, dt < (n - 1)! \left( K - |l_i| \right),
\]
\[(5.2)\]
where

\[ a_i(t) := \sup_{|x| \leq K, \text{ for all } i=1,\ldots,k} |F_i(t,x_1,\ldots,x_k)|. \]

Then it is possible to apply Corollary 4.4 and obtain that the target problem (5.1) has a solution \( x = (x_1,\ldots,x_k) \) satisfying \(|x_i(t)| \leq K\), for all \( i = 1,\ldots,k \) and all \( t \in [0,\infty) \). More concretely, let us define the set \( Q \) of candidate solutions as

\[ Q := \left\{ (q_1,\ldots,q_k) \in C^{n-1}([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^k) \mid |q_i(t)| \leq K, \text{ for all } t \in [0,\infty) \text{ and all } i = 1,\ldots,k \right\}, \]

and let us consider the family of fully linearized associated problems

\[
\begin{aligned}
&x_1^{(n)}(t) \in F_1(t,q_1(t),\ldots,q_k(t)), \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0,\infty), \\
&\vdots \\
&x_k^{(n)}(t) \in F_k(t,q_1(t),\ldots,q_k(t)), \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0,\infty), \\
&\lim_{t \to \infty} x_1(t) = l_1, \\
&\vdots \\
&\lim_{t \to \infty} x_k(t) = l_k.
\end{aligned}
\]

(5.3)

At first, let us verify condition (i) from Corollary 4.4. If \( q = (q_1,\ldots,q_k) \in Q \) is arbitrary, then \( F_i(t,q(t)) \) admits, for all \( i = 1,\ldots,k \), according to Proposition 2.3, a single-valued selection \( f_{q_i}(t) \), measurable on every compact subinterval of \([0,\infty)\). The corresponding problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
&x_1^{(n)}(t) = f_{q,1}(t), \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0,\infty), \\
&\vdots \\
&x_k^{(n)}(t) = f_{q,k}(t), \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0,\infty), \\
&\lim_{t \to \infty} x_1(t) = l_1, \\
&\vdots \\
&\lim_{t \to \infty} x_k(t) = l_k.
\end{aligned}
\]

(5.4)

has a solution \( x = (x_1,\ldots,x_k) \) such that

\[ x_i(t) = l_i + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{n-1} \cdot f_{q,i}(s) \, ds, \text{ for all } i = 1,\ldots,k \text{ and a.a. } t \in [0,\infty). \]

This solution belongs to \( Q \), according to (5.2), and so the assumption (i) from Corollary 4.4 is satisfied.

The validity of assumption (ii) from Corollary 4.4 follows immediately from the properties of functions \( a_i \) and the definition of the set \( Q \). Moreover, all solutions of (5.3) belong, for arbitrary \( q \in Q \), to the closed, bounded subset of \( C^{n-1}([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^k) \), namely

\[
\{ (x_1,\ldots,x_k) \in C^{n-1}([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^k) \mid |x_i(t)| \leq |l_i| + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty s^{n-1} \cdot a_i(s) \, ds; \\
|x_i(t)| \leq t^{n-1} \cdot a_i(t), \ldots, |x_i^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq \frac{d^{n-2}}{dt^{n-2}} \left( t^{n-1} \cdot a_i(t) \right), \quad i = 1,\ldots,k, \quad t \in [0,\infty) \}. \]

In order to verify assumption (iii) from Corollary 4.4, let us observe that it follows from the boundary conditions \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x_1(t) = l_1, \ldots, \lim_{t \to \infty} x_k(t) = l_k \) that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \dot{x}_i(t) = 0, \ldots, \)
\[ \lim_{t \to -\infty} x_i^{(n-1)}(t) = 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, k. \]
Therefore, there exist \( t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \in [0, \infty) \) such that, for all \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \) and \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), it holds that \( |x_j^{(i)}(t)| \leq K \), for all \( t \geq t_j \). Therefore, assumption (iii) holds with \( t^* = \max \{t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}\} \) and \( M = \sqrt{K} \).

Summing up, all assumptions of previous corollary are satisfied, by which the target problem (5.1) admits a solution in \( Q \).

As the second illustrative example, let us study the \( n \)-th order multivalued Sturm–Liouville b.v.p.

**Example 5.2.** Let us consider the \( n \)-th order Sturm–Liouville b.v.p. on the half-line

\[
-\frac{d}{dt} \left( x^{(n-1)}(t) \right) - a(t) x^{(n-1)}(t) = F(t, x(t)), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [0, \infty),
\]

\[
x^{(i)}(0) = A_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-3,
\]

\[
x^{(n-2)}(0) = B,
\]

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(n-1)}(t) = C,
\]

where \( F : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is an upper-Carathéodory mapping, \( a > 0, A_i, B, C \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n-3 \). Moreover, let there exist \( M > 0 \) such that, for all \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \),

\[
\int_0^\infty a(t) \, dt < \frac{M - L_i}{K_i},
\]

where

\[
a(t) := \sup_{|x| \leq M} |F(t, x)|,
\]

\[
K_i := \frac{a}{(n-1-i)!} \left( n - 2 - i \right)^{\frac{n-1-i}{(n-2-i)!}} + \frac{n-1-i}{(n-2-i)!},
\]

\[
L_i := \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \frac{|A_k|(n-1-k)}{(k-i)!(n-1-i)!} \left( \frac{k-i}{n-1-k} \right)^{\frac{k-i}{n-2-i}} + \frac{|aC+B|}{(n-3-i)!} \left( \frac{1}{n-2-i} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1-i}} + \frac{|C|}{(n-1-i)!},
\]

In order to show that under these conditions problem (5.5) admits at least one solution, let us consider, instead of \( C^{n-1}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \), the Banach space \( (X, \| \cdot \|) \), where

\[
X := \left\{ x \in C^{n-1}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \mid \lim_{t \to -\infty} \frac{x^{(i)}(t)}{1+|t|^{n-1-i}} \text{ exists, for all } i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \right\}
\]

and

\[
\|x\| := \max\{\|x\|_0, \|x\|_1, \ldots, \|x\|_{n-1}\}
\]

with

\[
\|x\|_i := \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \left| \frac{x^{(i)}(t)}{1+|t|^{n-1-i}} \right|, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1.
\]

Let us define the closed convex set \( Q \) of candidate solutions by

\[
Q := \{ q \in X \mid |q(t)| \leq M, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty) \}.
\]
and let us consider the fully linearized associated problems
\[
\begin{aligned}
-x^{(n)}(t) &\in F(t, q(t)), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [0, \infty), \\
x^{(i)}(0) &= A_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 3, \\
x^{(n-2)}(0) - ax^{(n-1)}(0) &= B, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(n-1)}(t) &= C.
\end{aligned}
\] (5.7)

Moreover, let us define the solution mapping \( \Xi: Q \to C^{n-1}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \) which assigns to each \( q \in Q \), the set of solutions of (5.7). At first, let us verify that \( \Xi \) maps \( Q \) into the space \( X \). Let \( q \in Q \) be arbitrary. Then, according to Proposition 2.3, \( F(\cdot, q(\cdot)) \) admits a single-valued selection \( f_q(\cdot) \), measurable on every compact subinterval of \([0, \infty).\) It follows from the computations made in [26] that the corresponding problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
-x^{(n)}(t) &= f_q(t), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [0, \infty), \\
x^{(i)}(0) &= A_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 3, \\
x^{(n-2)}(0) - ax^{(n-1)}(0) &= B, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(n-1)}(t) &= C
\end{aligned}
\] (5.8)

has a unique solution \( x \) given by
\[
x(t) = l(t) + \int_0^\infty G(t, s) f_q(s) \, ds, \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [0, \infty),
\]
where
\[
l(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} A_k t^k + \frac{aC + B}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} + \frac{C}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1}
\]
and
\[
G(t, s) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{a}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)! (n-2-k)!} s^{k+1} t^{n-2-k}, & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty; \\
\frac{a}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1}, & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s < \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

By the direct computation, we obtain that, for all \( i = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \), and a.a. \( t \in [0, \infty) \),
\[
x^{(i)}(t) = \sum_{k=i}^{n-3} A_k t^{k-i} + \frac{aC + B}{(n-2-i)!} t^{n-2-i} + \frac{Ct^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} + \int_0^\infty g_i(t, s) f_q(s) \, ds,
\]
where
\[
g_i(t, s) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{a}{(n-2-i)!} t^{n-2-i} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2-i} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)! (n-2-k-i)!} s^{k+1} t^{n-2-k-i}, & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty; \\
\frac{a}{(n-2-i)!} t^{n-2-i} + \frac{1}{(n-1-i)!} t^{n-1-i}, & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s < \infty,
\end{cases}
\]
and so
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x^{(i)}(t)}{1 + t^{n-1-i}} = \frac{C}{(n-1-i)!} + \int_0^\infty f_q(s) \frac{s}{(n-1-i)!} \, ds < \infty.
\]

This implies that \( \Xi(Q) \subset X \).
Let us still verify that $\mathcal{T}(Q) \subset Q$. For all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \left| \frac{t^k}{1 + t^l} \right| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{t^k}{1 + t^l} \left( \frac{k}{l} \right)^{\frac{k}{l}}, & \text{for } k < l, \\
1, & \text{for } k = l, \\
\infty, & \text{for } k > l,
\end{array} \right.
\]
and so, for $s \leq t$, and all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$,
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \left| \frac{g_i(t,s)}{1 + t^{n-1-i}} \right| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{a t^{n-2-i}}{(n-2-i)! (1 + t^{n-1-i})} + \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{t^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)! (1 + t^{n-1-i})}
\]
\[
\leq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{at^{n-2-i}}{(n-2-i)! (1 + t^{n-1-i})} + \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{t^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)! (1 + t^{n-1-i})} = \frac{a}{(n-1-i)! (n-2-i)!} + \frac{1}{(n-1-i)!} \leq K_i.
\]
For $t > s$, and all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$,
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \left| \frac{g_i(t,s)}{1 + t^{n-1-i}} \right| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{at^{n-2-i}}{(n-2-i)! (1 + t^{n-1-i})} + \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{t^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)! (1 + t^{n-1-i})}
\]
\[
= \frac{a}{(n-1-i)! (n-2-i)!} + \frac{1}{(n-1-i)!} \leq K_i.
\]
Therefore, for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$,
\[
\|x\|_i \leq \sum_{k=i}^{n-3} \frac{|A_k|}{(k-i)!} \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{t^{k-i}}{1 + t^{n-1-i}} + \frac{|aC + B|}{(n-2-i)!} \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{t^{n-2-i}}{1 + t^{n-1-i}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{|C|}{(n-1-i)!} \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{t^{n-1-i}}{1 + t^{n-1-i}} + \int_0^\infty \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \left| \frac{g_i(t,s)}{1 + t^{n-1-i}} \right| \alpha(s) \, ds \leq L_i + K_i \int_0^\infty \alpha(t) \, dt,
\]
and so $x \in Q$, according to (5.6). Therefore, the assumption (i) from Corollary 4.4 is satisfied.

The validity of assumption (ii) from Corollary 4.4 follows immediately from the properties of mapping $F$ and the definition of the set $Q$. Moreover, all solutions of (5.8) belong, for arbitrary $q \in Q$, to the closed, bounded subset of $X$, namely
\[
\left\{ x \in X \mid \|x\|_i \leq L_i + K_i \int_0^\infty \alpha(t) \, dt, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \right\},
\]
which implies that $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is bounded in $X$. Therefore, assumption (iii) from Corollary 4.4 is satisfied as well.

Summing up, all assumptions of Corollary 4.4 are satisfied, by which the Sturm–Liouville problem (5.5) admits a solution in $Q$.

**Remark 5.3.** Let us note that, instead of (5.5), we can consider a more general Sturm–Liouville problem with the r.h.s. also depending on the derivatives
\[
\begin{align*}
-x^{(n)}(t) &\in F(t, x(t), x'(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t)), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [0, \infty), \\
x^{(i)}(0) &= A_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 3, \\
x^{(n-2)}(0) - ax^{(n-1)}(0) &= B, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(n-1)}(t) &= C,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( F: [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is an upper-Carathéodory mapping, \( a > 0 \), \( A_i, B, C \in \mathbb{R} \), \( i = 0, \ldots, n - 3 \).

In this case, the same conclusion holds, provided condition (5.6) is satisfied with

\[
\alpha(t) := \sup_{|x| \leq M, (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n} |F(t, x, y_1, \ldots, y_n)|.
\]
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