ON THE SPECTRUM OF THE P-LAPLACIAN OPERATOR FOR NEUMANN EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS WITH WEIGHTS

SIHAM EL HABIB, NAJIB TSOULI

Abstract. This paper is devoted to study the spectrum for a Neumann eigenvalue problem involving the p-Laplacian operator with weight in a bounded domain.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 1$, $1 < p < +\infty$ and $m \in L^r(\Omega)$, with $r = r(N, p)$ satisfying the conditions

\begin{align*}
  r > N/p & \quad \text{if} \quad 1 < p < N \\
  r = 1 & \quad \text{if} \quad p > N \\
  r > p & \quad \text{if} \quad p = N
\end{align*}

(1.1)

We assume that $\text{meas}(\Omega^+) \neq 0$, where $\Omega^+ = \{x \in \Omega / m(x) > 0\}$. We consider the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

\begin{align*}
  -\Delta_p u &= \lambda m(x)|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in} \ \Omega, \\
  \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \quad \text{on} \ \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}

(1.2)

where $\Delta_p u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the p-Laplacian operator.

The goal of this work is to prove the existence of a sequence of non trivial eigenvalues for the problem (1.2), and we prove the simplicity, isolation and monotonicity with respect to the weight of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ defined by

\begin{align*}
  \lambda_1 &= \inf \{ ||u||^p_{W^1,p} \ : \ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \ \text{and} \ \int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^p \, dx = 1 \}.
\end{align*}

(1.3)

The semilinear elliptic problems has been treated by many authors; see, e.g. [3, 11, 12, 16] and the references therein. In the case of bounded weight: Anane [1] with Dirichlet boundary conditions and Dakkak [8] with Neumann boundary conditions, proved the existence, simplicity and isolation of the first eigenvalue. Cuesta [7] (for the p-Laplacian) and in Touzani [17] (for the $A_p$-Laplacian: $A_p u = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij} (x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \partial_{x_j}$), $|\xi|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij} (x) \xi_i \xi_j$ studied the above properties
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in the case of Dirichlet problem with weight in $L^r(\Omega)$, with $r$ satisfying (1.1). Cuesta [7] showed these results for any $r$ satisfying the conditions (1.1), by using Harnack’s inequality and Picone’s identity. However, in [17], the isolation was established with some appropriate condition on $r$ ($r > Np'$) in order to use the regularity results established by Di Benedetto [9]. We will try to adapt these results to the case of Neumann boundary conditions.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some definitions and results that will be used later. In section 3, we prove that the problem (1.2) has a sequence of eigenvalues, by using a perturbation of the initial problem ([7]), and then by applying the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory ([4, 5]) to the perturbed problem. In section 4, we show simplicity, isolation and monotonicity of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1$.

2. Preliminaries

Note by $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the Sobolev space with norm $\| \cdot \|_{1,p} = (\| \cdot \|_p^p + \| \nabla(\cdot) \|_p^{p-1})^{1/p}$, where $\| \cdot \|_p$ is the $L^p$-norm.

We say that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.2) if there exists $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^{p-2}u \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

(2.1)

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). Let $v > 0$ and $u \geq 0$ be two continuous functions in $\Omega$, differentiable a.e. and

$$L(u, v) = |\nabla u|^p + (p - 1)\frac{u^p}{v^p}|\nabla v|^p - p\frac{v^p - 1}{v^p - 1}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v \nabla u, $$

$$R(u, v) = |\nabla u|^p - |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla \left(\frac{u^p}{v^p - 1}\right) \nabla v.$$ 

Then we have

(i) $L(u, v) = R(u, v)$

(ii) $L(u, v) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$

(iii) $L(u, v) = 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = kv$.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda$ then

(i) $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$

(ii) $u$ is locally Hölder continuous; i.e., there exists $\alpha = \alpha(p, N, \| \lambda m \|_r)$ in $]0, 1[$ such that for each $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, there exists $C = C(p, N, \| \lambda m \|_s, \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial \Omega))$ such that

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C\| u \|_\infty |x - y|^\alpha \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega'.$$

The proof of (i) can be found in [10] propositions 1.2 and 1.3, and of (ii) in [14] theorem 8.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a nonnegative weak solution of (1.2), then either $u \equiv 0$ or $u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

The proof is a direct consequence of Harnack’s inequality (see [15] theorem 5, 6, 9).
3. Existence of solutions

In this section, we establish the existence of solutions by using a perturbation of problem (1.2) in order to use the general theory of nonlinear eigenvalue problems. So, let us consider the perturbed problem

\[-\Delta_p u + \varepsilon |u|^{p-2} u = \lambda m(x)|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,\]
\[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega,\]

(3.1)

where \(\varepsilon\) is enough small \((0 < \varepsilon < 1)\), \(\lambda > 0\). We first show that problem (3.1) has at least one sequence of eigenvalues, and deduce the solutions of problem (1.2) when \(\varepsilon\) tends to 0.

Let \(X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)\), \(G_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla u\|^p + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \|u\|^p\), and \(F(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega m(x)|u|^p \, dx\). It is well known that \(F\) and \(G_\varepsilon\) are differentiable \([8]\). The problem (3.1) is equivalent to the problem \(G_\varepsilon'(u) = \lambda F'(u)\). Let us consider the functional \(\Phi_\varepsilon : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}\) defined by \(\Phi_\varepsilon(v) = (G_\varepsilon(v))^2 - F(v)\).

**Lemma 3.1.** The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions associated to the problem (3.1) are entirely determined by a non trivial critical values of \(\Phi_\varepsilon\).

**Proof.** Let \(u \neq 0\) be a critical point of \(\Phi_\varepsilon\) associated with a critical value \(c_\varepsilon\), then \(\Phi_\varepsilon(u) = c_\varepsilon\) and \(\Phi_\varepsilon'(u) = 0\), i.e. \(c_\varepsilon = -(G_\varepsilon(u))^2 < 0\) and \(\langle \Phi_\varepsilon'(u), v \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-c_\varepsilon}} \langle F'(u), v \rangle\) for any \(v \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)\). Thus we deduce that \(\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-c_\varepsilon}}\) is a positive eigenvalue of (3.1) and \(u\) is its associated eigenfunction.

Conversely, let \((u \neq 0, \lambda)\) be a solution of (3.1), then for every \(\beta \in \mathbb{R}^*, \beta u\) is also an eigenfunction associated to \(\lambda\). In particular for \(\beta = \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-c_\varepsilon}}\right)^\frac{1}{p}\), \(v = (2\lambda G_\varepsilon(u))^{-\frac{1}{2p}} u\) is an eigenfunction associated to \(\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-c_\varepsilon}}\), thus \(v\) is a critical point associated to the critical value \(c_\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{4\lambda^2}\).

Let us now consider the sequence

\[c_{n,\varepsilon} = \inf_{K \in A_n} \sup_{v \in K} \Phi_\varepsilon(v),\]

(3.2)

where \(A_n = \{ K \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega) : K \text{ is compact symmetric and } \gamma(K) \geq n \}, \ n \geq 1\).

**Theorem 3.2.** The values \(c_{n,\varepsilon}\) defined by (3.2) are the critical values of \(\Phi_\varepsilon\), moreover \(c_{n,\varepsilon} < 0\) for \(n \geq 1\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} c_{n,\varepsilon} = 0\).

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is based on the fundamental theorem of multiplicity \([8]\) and the approximation of Sobolev imbedding by operators of finite rank.

We first show that for all \(n \geq 1\), \(c_{n,\varepsilon}\) is a critical value of \(\Phi_\varepsilon\) and \(c_{n,\varepsilon} < 0\).

Since \(\phi_\varepsilon\) is even and is \(C^1\) on \(W^{1,p}(\Omega)\), then the result follows from the fundamental theorem of multiplicity if \(\Phi_\varepsilon\) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \(\Phi_\varepsilon\) is bounded below
(ii) \(\Phi_\varepsilon\) verify the Palais Smale condition (PS).
(iii) for all \(n \geq 1\), there exists a compact symmetric subset \(K\) such that \(\gamma(K) = n\) and \(\sup_{v \in K} \{\Phi_\varepsilon(v)\} < 0\).

Let us verify assertion (i): Let us take \(\varepsilon\) fixed \((0 < \varepsilon < 1)\) and let \(u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\), then by Hölder’s inequality and the Sobolev imbeddings; there exist \(k_1 > 0\), \(k_2 > 0\)
and $k_3 > 0$ such that:

$$
\int_{\Omega} m|u|^p dx \leq \begin{cases} 
  k_1 \|m\|_p \|u\|_{1,p}^p & \text{if } 1 < p < N \\
  k_2 \|m\|_p \|u\|_{1,N}^N & \text{if } p = N \\
  k_3 \|m\|_p \|u\|_{1,p}^p & \text{if } p > N 
\end{cases}
$$

In addition, note that $\|u\|_{1,p, \varepsilon} = (\|\nabla u\|_p + \varepsilon \|u\|_p^p)^\frac{1}{p}$ defines a norm on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ equivalent to the usual norm on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. It is easy to see that there exists $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$ and $c_3 > 0$ such that for $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, we have the following inequalities:

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \begin{cases} 
  \frac{c_1}{p^2} \|u\|_{1,p}^p (\varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{1,p}^p - p \|m\|_r) & \text{if } 1 < p < N \\
  \frac{c_2}{p^2} \|u\|_{1,N}^N (\varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{1,N}^N - p \|m\|_r) & \text{if } p = N \\
  \frac{c_3}{p^2} \|u\|_{1,p}^p (\varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{1,p}^p - p \|m\|_r) & \text{if } p > N 
\end{cases}
$$

From where by treating each case one has: $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded below and $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(u) \to +\infty$ as $\|u\|_{1,p} \to +\infty$.

(ii) Let us now show that $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ verify the palais Smale condition: Let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(u_n))_n$ is bounded and $\Phi'(u_n) \to 0$ in $(W^{1,p}(\Omega))'$. Since $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ is coercive then $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, thus there exists a subsequence still denoted $(u_n)_n$ such that $u_n$ converges to $u$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ and weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Suppose that $\|u_n\|_{1,p}$ converges to $\alpha \geq 0$. We distinguish two cases:

**Case 1:** $\alpha = 0$. Since $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\|u_n\|_{1,p} \to 0$ then $u_n \to 0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, consequently, the condition (PS) is satisfied.

**Case 2:** $\alpha > 0$. For $n \geq 1$ we have:

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}'(u_n) = 2G_{\varepsilon}(u_n)G_{\varepsilon}'(u_n) - F'(u_n)
$$

then

$$
G_{\varepsilon}'(u_n) = \frac{1}{2G_{\varepsilon}(u_n)} (\Phi_{\varepsilon}'(u_n) + F'(u_n))
$$

i.e.,

$$
\frac{p}{2} (\Phi_{\varepsilon}'(u_n) + m|u_n|^{p-2}u_n)/(\|\nabla u_n\|_p + \varepsilon \|u_n\|_p^p) = G_{\varepsilon}'(u_n).
$$

Since $u \to m|u_n|^{p-2}u$ is strongly continuous, $\|u_n\|_{1,p} \to \alpha > 0$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon}'(u_n) \to 0$, then the expression

$$
\bar{u}_n = \frac{p}{2} (\Phi_{\varepsilon}'(u_n) + m|u_n|^{p-2}u_n)/(\|\nabla u_n\|_p + \varepsilon \|u_n\|_p^p)
$$

converges strongly in $(W^{1,p}(\Omega))'$. However, $G_{\varepsilon}'$ is continuous, thus $u_n = (G_{\varepsilon}')^{-1}\bar{u}_n$ converges strongly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, from where the (PS) condition holds.

(iii) For all $n \geq 1$, there exists a compact symmetric subset $K$ such that

$$
\gamma(K) = n \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{u \in K} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(u) < 0.
$$

Indeed, since $\text{meas}(\Omega^+) \neq 0$, then there exists a family of balls $(B_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in $\Omega$ such that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and $\text{meas}(\Omega^+ \cap B_i) \neq 0$. By approximating the characteristic function $\chi_{\Omega^+ \cap B_i}$ by $C^\infty(\Omega)$ functions in $L^p$, there exists a sequence $(u_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \subset C^\infty(B_i)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} m|u_i|^p dx > 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We normalize $u_i$ in order to have $F(u_i) = 1$. Let $X_n$ be the subset generated by $(u_i)_i$. For all $u \in X_n$, we have $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$, and $F(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^p$. Then $u \to (F(u))^\frac{1}{p}$ define one norm on $X_n$; moreover, since $\varepsilon$ is fixed it follows that $(\|\nabla u\|_p + \varepsilon \|u\|_p^p)^{1/p}$ is
also a norm on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. However, the dimension of $X_n$ is finite, then there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$cF(u) \leq G_\varepsilon(u) \leq \frac{1}{c}F(u) \quad \forall u \in X_n.$$ 

Let $K$ be defined as

$$K = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \frac{c^2}{3} \leq F(u) \leq \frac{c^2}{2}\}$$

It is clear that $K_1 = K \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$ and $\sup_{u \in K_1} \Phi_\varepsilon(u) \leq -\frac{c^2}{12} < 0$. Since $X_n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$, one can identify $K_1$ to a crown $K'_1$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $S^{n-1} \subset K'_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ where $S^{n-1}$ is the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\gamma(K_1) = n$ and the result follows.

For the proof of $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_{n,\varepsilon} = 0$, we use an approximation of Sobolev imbeddings by operators of finite rank; see for example [17].

**Remark 3.3.** It is clear that the sequence $(\lambda_{n,\varepsilon})_n$ defined by the formula

$$\lambda_{n,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-c_{n,\varepsilon}}}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ is a sequence of positive eigenvalues of (3.1) which satisfy

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \lambda_{n,\varepsilon} = +\infty.$$ 

Next, we show that problem (1.2) has a sequence of eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_n$ which is the limit of the sequence $(\lambda_{n,\varepsilon})_n$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

**Remark 3.4.** We write $\lambda_{n,\varepsilon}$ as $\lambda_{n,\varepsilon} = \inf_{K \in \Gamma_n} \sup_{u \in K} G_\varepsilon(u)$, where

$$\Gamma_n = \{K \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : K \text{ is compact, symmetric } \gamma(K) \geq n, \int m|u|^p = 1\}.$$ 

For more details see [3]. Put

$$G(u) = \frac{1}{p}||\nabla u||_p^p \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n = \inf_{K \in A_n} \sup_{u \in K} G(u).$$

**Lemma 3.5.** The following assertions hold:

(i) $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lambda_{n,\varepsilon} = \lambda_n$

(ii) $\lambda_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$.

**Proof.** (i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$, from Remark 3.4 we have $\lambda_{n,\varepsilon} \geq \lambda_n$. Let $\alpha > 0$ such that $\lambda_n < \alpha$. Then there exists $K = K(\alpha) \in A_n$ such that $\lambda_n \leq \sup_{u \in K} G(u) < \alpha$. Set $\delta = \sup_{u \in K} ||u||_p$. Then

$$\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n,\varepsilon} \leq \sup_{u \in K} G_\varepsilon(u) \leq \sup_{u \in K} G(u) + \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{p}.$$ 

For $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n} \to 0$, there exists $k(\alpha)$ such that for every $k \geq k(\alpha)$, we obtain

$$\sup_{u \in K} G(u) + \frac{\delta}{kp} \leq \alpha. \quad \text{Thus } \lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n,\varepsilon} \leq \alpha \text{ for all } k \geq k(\alpha). \quad \text{From where we obtain the desired result.}$$

(ii) From (3.2), we have for all $m, m' \in L'(\Omega)$ such that $m' \geq m$; $c_{n,\varepsilon}(m) \leq c_{n,\varepsilon}(m')$, thus $\lambda_{n,\varepsilon}(m) \geq \lambda_{n,\varepsilon}(m')$. When $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain $\lambda_n(m') \leq \lambda_n(m)$. Let $\delta > 0$, and set

$$m'(x) = \begin{cases} m(x) & \text{if } m(x) \geq \delta \\ \delta & \text{if } m(x) < \delta \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\lambda_{n,\varepsilon}(m') \leq \lambda_n(m') + \varepsilon/\delta$. Since $(\lambda_{n,\varepsilon}(m'))_n \not\to \infty$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n(m') = +\infty$. The result follows.
Corollary 3.6. \((\lambda_n)_n\) is a sequence of positives eigenvalues associated to the Neumann problem (1.2).

Proof. Let \(n \in \mathbb{N}^*\) fixed and \(\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}^*\). There exists a sequence \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}\) of eigenfunctions associated with \((\lambda_{n,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}\) which verify \(G_k(u_k) + \|u_k\|_p^p = 1\) then \((u_k)_k\) is bounded in \(W^{1,p}(\Omega)\). Hence for a subsequence \((u_k)_k\), \((u_k)_k\) converges strongly in \(L^p(\Omega)\), and weakly in \(W^{1,p}(\Omega)\) towards a limit \(u_k \rightarrow u\). Indeed, set \(J(u) = |u|^{p-2}u\). The operator \(G' + J\) is of \(S^+\) type and \(G' + J : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{1,p}(\Omega))'\) is an homeomorphism (see \([13]\)), then \((u_k)_k\) converges strongly to \(u\). However, \(G'(u_k) + \frac{1}{k}|u_k|^{p-2}u_k = \lambda_{n,k}G'(u_k)\) and \(G'\) is strongly continuous on \(W^{1,p}(\Omega)\), thus \(G'(u) = \lambda_nF'(u)\) and \(G(u) + \|u\|_p^p = 1\). Consequently, \((u, \lambda_n)\) is a solution of (1.2).

4. ON THE FIRST EIGENVALUE

In this section, we are going to prove some properties of the first eigenvalue \(\lambda_1\) of problem (1.2) defined by (1.3). We refer in this section to the work of Cuesta [7]; so we prove that \(\lambda_1\) is simple, isolated and strictly monotone with respect to the weight.

Proposition 4.1. \(\lambda_1\) is an eigenvalue of problem (1.2). Moreover \(\lambda_1 > 0\) if and only if \(m\) changes its sign on \(\Omega\) and \(\int_{\Omega}m(x)dx < 0\).

The proof of the above proposition is a straight application of [15, Theorem 1.2].

Proposition 4.2. The eigenfunctions associated with \(\lambda_1 > 0\) are either positive or negative in \(\Omega\).

Proof. Let \(u\) be an eigenfunction associated to \(\lambda_1\). Without loss of generality, we can suppose that \(u \in M = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega}m|u|^p = 1\}\). Then, the infimum in (1.3) is achieved at one \(u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\). It’s easy to prove that \(\|\nabla u\|_p = \|\nabla u\|_p\) and \(|u| \in M\), it follows then that \(|u|\) is an eigenfunction associated to \(\lambda_1\), and therefore, from Proposition 2.3 the result holds.

Proposition 4.3. Any eigenfunction associated with a positive eigenvalue \(\lambda \neq \lambda_1\) changes its sign.

Proof. For the proof, we use the Picone’s identity (see Theorem 2.1). Indeed, let \((v, \lambda), (u, \lambda_1)\) be two positive solutions of (1.2) and suppose that \(u > 0\). Suppose also that \(v \geq 0\) (the case \(v \leq 0\) is proved similarly). By Theorem 2.1 we have

\[
\int_{\Omega}L(u, v + \varepsilon)dx = \int_{\Omega}R(u, v + \varepsilon)dx \geq 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.
\]

However,

\[
\int_{\Omega}R(u, v + \varepsilon)dx = \int_{\Omega}(|\nabla u|^p - |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla(\frac{u^p}{(v + \varepsilon)^{p-1}})\nabla v|)dx.
\]

By taking \(\frac{u^p}{(v + \varepsilon)^{p-1}}\) as a test function in the formula \(\langle -\Delta \varphi, v \rangle = \lambda \langle m|v|^{p-2}v, \varphi \rangle\), we obtain

\[
\int_{\Omega} \lambda_1 mu^p - \lambda mu^{p-1}\frac{u^p}{(v + \varepsilon)^{p-1}})dx \geq 0
\]

i.e.,

\[
\int_{\Omega}mu^p(\lambda_1 - \lambda \frac{v^{p-1}}{(v + \varepsilon)^{p-1}})dx \geq 0.
\]
Let $\varepsilon$ go to 0, we obtain $\int_{\Omega} m u^p (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \, dx \geq 0$ which is impossible because $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and $\int_{\Omega} m u^p \, dx > 0$. Hence, $v$ changes its sign on $\Omega$.

**Proposition 4.4** (Simplicity). $\lambda_1$ is simple in the sense that if $(u, \lambda_1)$ and $(v, \lambda_1)$ are two solutions associated to the problem $\text{(1.2)}$, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = \alpha v$.

The proof of the above proposition is the same as in the preceding proposition. We use the assertion (iii) of Theorem 2.1 (see preliminaries section).

Now, we establish the isolation of the first eigenvalue. We use for this the same method as in [2, 7, 17]. We will show first the following estimates:

**Proposition 4.5.** For $m \in L^r(\Omega)$ with $r$ satisfying the conditions $\text{(1.1)}$, the following estimates hold:

$$\min(\text{meas}(\Omega^+), \text{meas}(\Omega^-)) \geq (\lambda C_p \|m\|_r)^{\frac{r}{r^*}}$$

with

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{rN}{N - rp} & \text{if } 1 < p < N \\ \frac{1}{r - N} & \text{if } p = N \\ \frac{N}{N - p} & \text{if } p > N \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

From (4.3) it follows that

$$\|\nabla u^+\|_p^p \leq \lambda C_p \|m\|_r \|u^+\|_{1,p}^{N-1} \|u^+\|_s^{N-1} \text{meas}(\Omega^+)^{N-1} \text{meas}(\Omega^-)^N.$$
On the other hand, while $s > 1$, by the Sobolev imbedding $W^{1,N} \hookrightarrow L^s(\Omega)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|u^+\|_s \leq C\|\nabla u^+\|_N$. However, it results
$$\|\nabla u^+\|_N^N \leq AC^N\|m\|_r\|\nabla u^+\|_N^N (\text{meas}(\Omega^+))^{(1-N)\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)}.$$ Finally, we obtain $\text{meas}(\Omega^+) \geq \left(\frac{\lambda C^N\|m\|_p}{\chi}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}$.

**Case 3:** $p > N$. In this case $r = 1$. From (4.3), we have
$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u^+|^p dx \leq \lambda\|m\|_1\|u^+\|_{p\infty},$$
and by Morrey’s lemma, there exists $C > 0$ depending on $p$ and $N$ such that
$$\|u^+\|_{p \infty} \leq C(\text{meas}(\Omega^+))^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\|\nabla u^+\|_p.$$ Thus, $\text{meas}(\Omega^+) \geq \left(\frac{\lambda C^p\|m\|_1}{\chi}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}$.

In conclusion, in the three cases we obtain the desired estimate for $u^+$. By proceeding in the same way for $u^-$, from (4.3) (for $u^-$), we deduce the same estimates for $u^-$. From where the proposition 4.5 holds.

The following proposition is a consequence of the previous proposition.

**Proposition 4.6** (Isolation). $\lambda_1(m)$ is isolated, that is, there exists $\beta > \lambda_1$ such that if $\lambda \in [0, \beta[$ then $\lambda = \lambda_1$ or $\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue associated to (1.2).

**Proof.** Let $u$ be an eigenfunction associated with $\lambda \in [0, \beta[$. Then $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx = \lambda \int_\Omega m(x)|u|^p$. Since $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \lambda_1 \int_\Omega m(x)|u|^p$, it follows that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda$; thus $\lambda_1$ is isolated on the left. Assume now by contradiction that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues of (1.2) $(\lambda_n)_n$ decreasing to $\lambda_1$, thus one has a sequence of eigenfunctions $u_n$ associated with $\lambda_n$. We can suppose that $\|\nabla u_n\| = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $(u_n)$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so there exists a subsequence (still denoted $(u_n)$) such that $u_n$ converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ to a limit $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, we have
$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx = \lambda_1$$
then by the definition of $\lambda_1$, we conclude that $\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx = \lambda_1$ and $u$ is an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_1$. It follows then by Proposition 4.4 that either $u > 0$ or $u < 0$. Suppose that $u > 0$ (the other case is analogous). By Egorov and Lusin theorem, we obtain for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\varepsilon > 0$ such that
$$\text{meas}(\{x \in \Omega, u_n(x) > 0\}) \geq \text{meas}(\Omega) - \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_\varepsilon.$$ Then for $\varepsilon$ suitably chosen by the estimates (4.1) (for more details see [2, p. 24]), we obtain a contradiction with the estimates (4.1) related to $\text{meas}(\Omega^-)$. 

The last proposition in this paper deals with the monotonicity of $\lambda_1$ with respect to the weight.

**Proposition 4.7.** $\lambda_1$ verify the monotonicity and the monotonicity strict with respect to the weight, i.e. if $m' \leq m$ then $\lambda_1(m) \leq \lambda_1(m')$, moreover if $m' \leq m$ and $\text{meas}(\{x \in \Omega : m'(x) < m(x)\}) \neq 0$ then $\lambda_1(m) < \lambda_1(m')$.

**Proof.** Let $u > 0$ be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_1(m')$. By $\lambda_1(m')$ definition we have
$$0 < \frac{1}{(\lambda_1(m'))} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx = \int_\Omega m'u^p dx \leq \int_\Omega m^p dx.$$
Since 
\[ \lambda_1(m) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\| \nabla u \|_p^p}{u} : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_\Omega mu^p dx = 1 \right\} \]
and 
\[ \frac{u}{\left( \int_\Omega mu^p dx \right)^{1/p}} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ verifies } \int_\Omega m\left( \frac{u^p}{\int_\Omega mu^p dx} \right) dx = 1 \]
it follows that 
\[ \lambda_1(m) \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx = \lambda_1(m') \]
and from where it follows that \( \lambda_1(m) \leq \lambda_1(m') \). The equality holds if and only if 
\[ \int_\Omega mu^p dx = \int_\Omega m'u^p dx. \]
However, \( u > 0 \) thus \( m \equiv m' \), which is a contradiction with \( \text{meas}(\{ x \in \Omega / m'(x) < m(x) \}) \neq 0 \).
\[ \square \]
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