

Range Symmetric Matrices in Minkowski Space

A.R. MEENAKSHI

Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar 608 002, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract. The concept of range symmetric matrix is introduced in Minkowski space m . Equivalent conditions for a matrix to be range symmetric are determined. The existence of the Minkowski inverse of a range symmetric matrix in m is discussed.

1. Introduction

We shall index the components of a complex vector in C^n from 0 to $n-1$, that is, $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1})$. Let G be the Minkowski metric tensor defined by $Gu = (u_0, -u_1, -u_2, \dots, -u_{n-1})$. Clearly the Minkowski metric matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $G^2 = I_n$. In [4], Minkowski inner product on C^n is defined by $(u, v) = \langle u, Gv \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the conventional Hilbert space inner product. A space with Minkowski inner product is called a Minkowski space. With respect to the Minkowski inner product, the adjoint of a matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is given by $A^+ = GA^*G$, where A^* is the usual Hermitian adjoint. Naturally, we call a matrix m -symmetric in Minkowski space if $A^+ = A$ and m -orthogonal if $A^+A = I$. As in unitary space m -orthogonal matrices form a group. For $A \in C^{m \times n}$, let us define the following:

Definition 1. A^g is said to be a generalized inverse (g inverse) of A , if

$$AA^gA = A \tag{1.1}$$

Definition 2. A^r is said to be a reflexive g inverse of A if

$$AA^rA = A \text{ and } A^rAA^r = A^r \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 3. A^n is a right (left) normalized g inverse of A if

$$AA^nA = A; \ A^nAA^n = A^n \text{ and } AA^n \text{ is } \mathfrak{m}\text{-symmetric (} A^nA \text{ is } \mathfrak{m}\text{-symmetric).} \quad (1.3)$$

Definition 4. A^M is the Minkowski inverse of A , if

$$AA^MA = A; \ A^MAA^M = A^M; \ AA^M \text{ and } A^MA \text{ are } \mathfrak{m}\text{-symmetric.} \quad (1.4)$$

It is well known [5] that, for $A \in C^{m \times n}$, solutions exist for equations (1.1) and (1.2). In unitary space, for $A \in C^{m \times n}$, since $rk(A) = rk(AA^*) = rk(A^*A)$ ($rk(A)$ denotes the rank of A), solutions exist for equation (1.3) and unique solution exists for equation (1.4) which is called the Moore penrose inverse of A [3]. However this fails in Minkowski space \mathfrak{m} , since $rk(A) \neq rk(A^+A) \neq rk(AA^+)$. For $A \in C^{m \times n}$, let A^* , A^+ , $R(A)$ and $N(A)$ denote the hermitian adjoint, Minkowski adjoint, range space and null space of A respectively. In [3] we have determined necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Minkowski inverse and normalized generalized inverse of a complex matrix. A matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is said to be range symmetric in unitary space (or) equivalently A is said to be EP if $N(A) = N(A^*)$ [p.163, 1]. In this paper we define the concept of a range symmetric matrix in \mathfrak{m} . The existence of the Minkowski inverse of a range symmetric matrix in \mathfrak{m} is also discussed. In the sequel, we shall make use of the following result obtained in [3].

Lemma 1.1. For $A \in C^{n \times n}$, the Minkowski inverse A^M exists $\Leftrightarrow rk A = rk AA^+ = rk A^+A$. If A^M exists, then it is unique.

2. Range symmetric matrices in \mathfrak{m}

In this section, we shall define a range symmetric matrix in \mathfrak{m} , analogous to that of an EP matrix (or) equivalently a range symmetric matrix in the unitary space. We present equivalent characterizations of a range symmetric matrix in \mathfrak{m} .

Definition 2.1. $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} if and only if $N(A) = N(A^+)$.

Theorem 2.2. For $A \in C^{n \times n}$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m}
- (2) GA is EP
- (3) AG is EP
- (4) $N(A^*) = N(AG)$
- (5) $R(A) = R(A^+)$
- (6) $A^+ = HA = AK$ for some nonsingular matrices H and K
- (7) $R(A^*) = R(GA)$
- (8) $C_n = R(A^*) \oplus N(A)$
- (9) $C_n = R(A) \oplus N(A^*)$

Proof. The proof for the equivalence of (1), (2) and (3) runs as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \text{ is range symmetric in } \mathfrak{m} &\Leftrightarrow N(A) = N(A^+) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow N(GA) = N(A^*G) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow N(GA) = N((GA)^*) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow GA \text{ is EP} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow G(GA)G^* \text{ is EP} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow AG \text{ is EP}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) hold.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (4) :

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \text{ is range symmetric in } \mathfrak{m} &\Leftrightarrow N(A) = N(A^+) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow N(A) = N(GA^*G) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow N(A) = N(A^*G) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow A^*G = A^*GA^s A \text{ (by [1, p.21])} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow A^* = A^*GA^s AG \\
 &\Leftrightarrow A^* = A^*(AG)^s (AG) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow N(A^*) = N(AG)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equivalence of (1) and (4) is proved.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \Leftrightarrow (5): \\
AG \text{ is } EP &\Leftrightarrow R(AG) = R(AG)^* \\
&\Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(GA^*) \\
&\Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(GA^*G) \\
&\Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(A^+)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the equivalence of (3) and (5) is proved.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \Leftrightarrow (6): \\
GA \text{ is } EP \Leftrightarrow (GA)^* = (GA)K \text{ for a nonsingular } n \times n \text{ matrix } K \\
\text{(by [1, p.166])}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow A^*G = GAK \\
&\Leftrightarrow GA^*G = AK \\
&\Leftrightarrow A^+ = AK \\
&\Leftrightarrow A = (AK)^+ = K^+A^+ \quad (\text{by using } (A^+)^+ = A) \\
&\Leftrightarrow A^+ = (K^+)^{-1}A \\
&\Leftrightarrow A^+ = HA \text{ where } H = (K^+)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the equivalence of (2) and (6) is proved.

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \Leftrightarrow (7) \\
R(A) = R(A^+) &\Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(GA^*G) \\
&\Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(GA^*) \\
&\Leftrightarrow GA^* = AA^s GA^* \\
&\Leftrightarrow A^* = GAA^s GA^* \\
&\Leftrightarrow A^* = (GA)(GA)^s A^* \\
&\Leftrightarrow R(A^*) = R(GA)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the equivalence of (5) and (7) is proved.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \Leftrightarrow (8): \\
GA \text{ is } EP \Leftrightarrow C_n &= R(GA) \oplus N(GA) \\
&= R((GA)^*) \oplus N(A) \\
&= R(A^*G) \oplus N(A) \\
&= R(A^*) \oplus N(A)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the equivalence of (2) and (8) is proved.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (9):

$$\begin{aligned} AG \text{ is } EP \Leftrightarrow C_n &= R(AG) \oplus N(AG) \\ &= R(AG) \oplus N((AG)^*) \\ &= R(A) \oplus N(A)^* \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equivalence of (3) and (9) is proved. Hence the Theorem.

It is well known that in unitary space a complex normal matrix is *EP*. However an m -normal matrix (A is m -normal if $AA^+ = A^+A$) need not be range symmetric in m .

Example 2.3.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is } EP; \quad A^+ = GA^*G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } N(A) \neq N(A^+).$$

Hence A is not range symmetric in m . However A is m -normal.

Theorem 2.4. *If $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is m -normal and $rk A = rk AA^+$, then A is range symmetric in m .*

Proof. Since A is m -normal, $AA^+ = A^+A$. $rk A = rk AA^+ = rk A^+A = rk A^+$. Hence $N(A) = N(A^+A) = N(AA^+) = N(A^+)$. Thus A is range symmetric in m .

The relation between *EP* matrices and range symmetric matrices in m are discussed in the following:

Theorem 2.5. *For $A \in C^{n \times n}$ any two of the following conditions imply the other one:*

- (1) A is *EP*
- (2) A is range symmetric in m
- (3) $R(A) = R(GA)$

Proof. First we prove that whenever (1) holds, then (2) and (3) are equivalent. Suppose (1) holds, then by definition $R(A) = R(A^*)$. Now by Theorem 2.2, A is range symmetric in $m \Leftrightarrow R(A^*) = R(GA)$. Thus A is range symmetric in $m \Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(GA)$. This completes the proof of [(1) and (2)] \Rightarrow (3) and [(1) and (3)] \Rightarrow (2). Now let us prove [(2) and (3)] \Rightarrow (1). Since A is range symmetric in m , by Theorem 2.2, GA is *EP*. Hence $R(GA) = R((GA)^*) = R(A^*G) = R(A^*)$. By (3), $R(A) = R(GA)$ from which it follows that $R(A) = R(A^*)$. Hence A is *EP*. Thus (1) holds.

We note that, for $A \in C^{n \times n}$, there exist unique matrices P and Q which are m -symmetric such that $A = P + iQ$ where $P = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^+)$ and $Q = \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^+)$. In the following Theorem, an equivalent condition for a matrix A to be range symmetric in m is obtained in terms of P , the symmetric part of A in m .

Theorem 2.6. For $A \in C^{n \times n}$, A is range symmetric in $m \Leftrightarrow N(A) \subseteq N(P)$ where P is the symmetric part of A in m .

Proof. If A is range symmetric in m then $N(A) = N(A^+)$. For $x \in N(A)$, $Ax = 0$ and $A^+x = 0$. Hence $Px = 0$. Thus $N(A) \subseteq N(P)$. Conversely, if $N(A) \subseteq N(P)$, then $Ax = 0 \Rightarrow Px = 0$ and hence $Qx = 0$. Therefore $N(A) \subseteq N(Q)$. Thus $N(A) \subseteq N(P) \cap N(Q)$. Since both P and Q are m -symmetric, they are range symmetric in m .

$$N(P) = N(P^+) = N(GP^*G) = N(P^*G)$$

$$\text{and } N(Q) = N(Q^+) = N(GQ^*G) = N(Q^*G).$$

$$\text{Now, } N(A) \subseteq N(P) \cap N(Q) = N(P^*G) \cap N(Q^*G) \subseteq N[(P^* - iQ^*)G] = N(A^*G)$$

and $rkA = rkA^* = rkA^*G$. Therefore $N(A) = N(A^*G) = N(GA^*G) = N(A^+)$. Thus A is range symmetric in m .

We shall discuss the existence of the Minkowski inverse of a range symmetric matrix in m . First, we shall prove certain lemmas, to simplify the proof of the main result.

Lemma 2.7. For $A \in C^{m \times n}$, if A^M exists, then $R(A^M) = R(A^+)$.

Proof. If A^M exists, then $A^M A = (A^M A)^+ = A^+(A^M A)^+(A^M)^+$ and $A^M = A^M A A^M = A^+(A^M)^+ A^M \Rightarrow R(A^M) \subseteq R(A^+)$ further $rk(A^M) = rk A = rk A^+$. Thus $R(A^M) = R(A^+)$.

Lemma 2.8. For $A \in C^{m \times n}$, if A^M exists, then in Minkowski space, AA^M is the projection on $R(A)$ and $A^M A$ is the projection on $R(A^M)$.

Proof. $x \in R(A) \Leftrightarrow x = Ay = AA^M Ay = AA^M x$. By Definition 4, AA^M being m -symmetric idempotent is the projection on $R(A)$. Similarly, $x \in R(A^M) \Leftrightarrow x = A^M AA^M y = A^M Ax$ and $A^M A$ is m -symmetric idempotent. Hence, $A^M A$ is the projection on $R(A^M)$.

Theorem 2.9. For $A \in C^{n \times n}$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} and $rk(A) = rk(A^2)$
- (2) A^M exists and A^M is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} .
- (3) There exists a symmetric idempotent E such that $AE = EA$ and $R(A) = R(E)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since, $rk(A) = rk(A^2)$ and A is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} , by using Theorem 2.2, we have, $rk(A^+A) = rk(HA^2) = rk(A^2) = rk(A^2) = rkA$ and $rk(AA^+) = rk(A^2K) = rk(A^2) = rkA$. Thus $rkA = rkAA^+ = rkA^+A$ and by Lemma 1.1, it follows that A^M exists. By Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.2, $R(A^M) = R(A^+) = R(A) = R((A^+)^+) = R((A^M)^+)$. Hence A^M is range symmetric. Thus (2) holds.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Since A^M exists, by Lemma 2.7, $R(A^M) = R(A^+)$ by Theorem 2.2, A^M is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} implies that $R(A^M) = R((A^M)^+)$. Hence, $R(A^+) = R((A^M)^+)$. By Lemma 2.8, it follows that $A^+(A^+)^M = (A^M)^+A^+$, hence $(A^MA)^+ = (AA^M)^+$. By Definition 4, $A^MA = AA^M = E$ is \mathfrak{m} -symmetric idempotent and $AE = EA = A$; hence $R(A) \subseteq R(E)$ and $rk(E) = rk(AA^M) = rkA$, which implies $R(A) = R(E)$. Thus (3) holds.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Since, E is \mathfrak{m} -symmetric idempotent $E^+ = E = E^2$, by Lemma 1.1, E^M exists and $E^M = E$. $R(A) = R(E)$ implies E is the projection on $R(A)$. For all reflexive g -inverses A^r of A , $AA^r = EE^M = E$ (p.52, [1]). Since E is \mathfrak{m} -symmetric idempotent, AA^r is \mathfrak{m} -symmetric and by Definition 3, A^n exists and $AA^n = EE^M = E$ which implies $EA = A$. By hypothesis $AE = EA = A$. Therefore $AA^n = A^nA = E$. Thus both AA^n and A^nA are \mathfrak{m} -symmetric, by Definition 4, A^M exists and $E = AA^M = A^MA$. By taking Minkowski adjoint on $AE = EA = A$ we get $EA^+ = A^+E = A^+$. $R(A^+) \subseteq R(E) = R(A)$ and $rkA^+ = rkA$. Therefore $R(A) = R(A^+)$. By Theorem 2.2, A is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} . $rk(AA^+) \geq rkA(A^+(A^M)^+) = rkA(A^MA)^+ rkAE = rkA \geq rkAA^+$. Thus $rk(A) = rk(AA^+) = rk(A^2K) = rk(A^2)$. Thus (1) holds. Hence the Theorem.

Remark 2.10. An analogue of Theorem 2.9 for matrices over an arbitrary field has been proved in our earlier work [2].

Corollary 2.11. *Let $A \in C^{n \times n}$ be range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} . Then A^M exists $\Leftrightarrow rk A = rk A^2$.*

Proof. Since A is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} and $rk A = rk A^2$, the existence of A^M follows from Theorem 2.9. Conversely, if A is range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} and A^M exists, then by Lemma 1.1, $rk A = rk AA^+ = rk A^+A$ and by Theorem 2.2, $A^+ = AH$. Hence $rk A = rk AAH = rk A^2$.

Remark 2.12. The condition on A to be range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} is essential in the above Corollary 2.11 can be seen by the matrix A in Example 2.3. A is not range symmetric in \mathfrak{m} and $rk A = rk A^2$. Since $AA^+ = A^+A$ is the null matrix, by Lemma 1.1, A^M does not exist. Hence the Corollary fails.

References

1. A.B. Israel and T.N.E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses, Theory and Applications*, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1974.
2. A.R. Meenakshi, On *EPr* matrices with entries from an arbitrary field, *J. Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **9** (1980), 159-164.
3. A.R. Meenakshi, Generalized inverses of matrices in Minkowski space, *Proc. Nat. Seminar. Alg. Appln.* (2000), 1-14.
4. M. Renardy, Singular value decomposition in Minkowski space, *Lin. Alg. Appln.* **236** (1996), 53-58.
5. R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **51** (1955), 406-413.