ON REPETITIONS IN FREQUENCY BLOCKS OF A GENERALIZED FIBONACCI SEQUENCE
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Abstract. The Fibonacci sequence \( U_0 = 1, U_1 = 5 \) and \( U_n = 3 \cdot U_{n-1} + U_{n-2} \) for \( n \geq 2 \) yields a purely periodic sequence \( \{ U_n \} = \{ U_n \pmod{m} \} \) with an integer \( m \geq 2 \). Consider any shortest full period of \( \{ U_n \} \) and form the number block \( B_m \in \mathbb{N}^m \) to consist of the frequency values of the residue \( d \) when \( d \) runs through the complete residue system modulo \( m \). The purpose of this paper is to show that such frequency blocks can nearly always be produced by repetition of some multiple of their first few elements a certain number of times. Theorems 1 and 2 contain our main results where we show when this repetition does occur, what elements will be repeated, what is the repetition number and how to calculate the value of the multiple factor.

Let \( U_0 = 1, U_1 = 5 \) and define the recurrence \( \{ U_n \} = U(3, 1) \) to satisfy the relation \( U_n = 3 \cdot U_{n-1} + U_{n-2} \) for \( n \geq 2 \). For an integer \( m \geq 2 \), let \( \{ \bar{U}_n \} \) denote the sequence \( \{ U_n \} \) considered modulo \( m \). It is known that \( \{ U_n \} \) is purely periodic [7], that is, there exists a positive integer \( r \) such that \( \bar{U}_{n+r} = \bar{U}_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Define \( h(m) \) to be the length of a shortest period of \( \{ U_n \} \), and \( S(m) \) to be the set of residue frequencies within any full period of \( \{ U_n \} \), as well as \( A(m, d) \) to denote the number of times the residue \( d \) appears in a full period of \( \{ U_n \} \) ([7], [8]). Hence, for a fixed \( m \), the range of \( A(m, d) \) is the set \( S(m) \), that means \( \{ A(m, d) : 0 \leq d < m \} = S(m) \).

We say \( \{ U_n \} \) is uniformly distributed modulo \( m \) if all residues modulo \( m \) occur with the same frequency in any full period. In this case, the length of any period will be a multiple of \( m \); moreover, \( \|S(m)\| = 1 \) and \( A(m, d) \) is a constant function [5].

For a fixed \( m \geq 2 \), form a number block \( B_m \in \mathbb{N}^m \) to consist of the frequency values of the residue \( d \) when \( d \) runs through the complete residue system modulo \( m \). This number block, \( B_m \), will be called the frequency block modulo \( m \), which has properties like \( (qB_m)^r = q(B_m)^r \) and \( (B_m)^r = (B_m)^s \) with \( q, r, s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( (B_m)^r =: (B_m, \ldots, B_m) \) taking \( B_m \) in the brackets \( r \) times. In Table 1 there are some examples for \( B_m \) together with the period length \( h(m) \).

All but the first few examples show a certain kind of repetition in the frequency block, that means such frequency blocks can be produced by repetition of their first few elements a whole number of times. For a given \( m \), this repetition is possible only in the case for which there exists an integer \( 1 < c < m \) such that \( c \mid m \) and \( h(c) \mid h(m) \). Moreover, the first few repeating elements of \( B_m \) are the elements of \( B_c \) or some multiple of them. Letting \( 0 \leq x < c, 0 \leq y < m \) and \( y \equiv x \pmod{c} \),
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\[ B_2 = (1, 2) \]
\[ B_3 = (0, 1, 1) \]
\[ B_4 = (1, 3, 1, 1) \]
\[ B_5 = (4, 2, 2, 2) \]
\[ B_9 = (B_3)^3 \]
\[ B_{11} = (0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1) \]
\[ B_{15} = (0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0) \]
\[ B_{18} = (0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1) \]
\[ B_{26} = 4(B_2)^3 \]
\[ B_{27} = (B_3)^9 \]
\[ B_{32} = (2, 3, 8, 1, 0, 3, 0, 1, 2, 3, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 1, 2, 3, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 1) \]
\[ B_{39} = 2(B_3)^3 \]
\[ B_{52} = 2(B_2)^3 \]
\[ B_{54} = (B_{18})^3 \]
\[ B_{64} = (B_{32})^2 \]
\[ B_{65} = (B_5)^3 \]
\[ B_{75} = (B_3)^5 \]
\[ B_{81} = (B_3)^{27} \]
\[ B_{121} = (B_{11})^{11} \]
\[ B_{125} = (B_5)^{25} \]

Table 1

| D1 : \( A(2^k c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( k \geq 1 \) and \( c \in \{32, 96, 160, 288, 480\} \). |
| D2 : \( A(3^k c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( k \geq 1 \) and \( c \in \{3, 18, 21, 33, 36, 45, 51, 57, 69, 72, 87, 90, 93, 11, 123, 126, 144, 147, 159, 180, 198, 201, 219, 231, 237, 252, 288, 291, 303, 306, 315, 321, 327\} \). |
| D3 : \( A(5^k c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( k \geq 1 \) and \( c \in \{2, 10, 15, 20, 30, 35, 40, 45, 55, 60, 70, 80, 85, 90, 105, 110, 115, 120, 140, 145, 155, 160, 165, 170, 180, 185\} \). |
| D4 : \( A(11^k c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( k \geq 1 \) and \( c \in \{11, 22, 33, 44, 55, 66, 77, 88\} \). |
| D5 : \( A(15c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( c = 45 \). |
| D6 : \( A(6c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( c = 288 \). |
| D7 : \( A(10c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( c = 160 \). |
| D8 : \( A(33c, y) = A(c, x) \) for \( c = 33 \). |

Table 2

this fact can be expressed by \( A(m, y) = q \cdot A(c, x) \) for some positive integer \( q \). A similar result in connection with the uniform distribution was found in [4] for two-term recurrence sequences. The considered sequence \( \{U_n\} \) is uniformly distributed modulo \( 13^k \) for \( k \geq 1 \) (see [1]). Thus, the above examples show that the repetition in the frequency blocks does not occur exclusively in connection with the uniform distribution.

To search for repetition possibilities in the frequency blocks of the sequence \( \{U_n\} \), we made a computer run for moduli \( m \leq 1000 \). However, we did not consider moduli \( m \) with \( 13 \mid m \) because we wanted to investigate the repetition possibilities that had no direct connections with the uniform distribution.

Making use of the above-mentioned notation \( A(m, y) = q \cdot A(c, x) \) with \( 0 \leq x < c, 0 \leq y < m, y \equiv x \pmod{c} \), and \( 1 \leq q \in \mathbb{N} \), we discovered the facts listed in Table 2. Now it is natural to ask how the above discoveries could be proved. We will give
Lemma 1. The sequence \( \{U_n\} \) is purely periodic mod 3\(^r\) with the exact period length \( h(3^r) = 2 \) for \( r = 1 \) and \( h(3^r) = 2 \cdot 3^{r-1} \) for \( r > 1 \). Let \( w \) be a fixed integer with \( 0 \leq w < h(3^r) \). If \( U_w \) leaves the remainder \( x \mod 3^r \) (\( 0 \leq j < 3^r \)), then the numbers \( U_{w+j} h(3^r) \) leave the remainders \( x+i \cdot 3^r \)(\( 0 \leq i < 3^r \)) mod 3\(^{r+1}\) in a certain ordering.

Proof. The fact that \( \{U_n\} \) is purely periodic mod 3\(^r\) with period length \( h(3^r) = 2 \) if \( r = 1 \) and \( h(3^r) = 2 \cdot 3^{r-1} \) if \( r > 1 \) follows by arguments similar to those given by Wall in Theorems 1, 4, 5, 10 and 12 of [9]. The remainder of Lemma 1 follows from results in the preprint “Bounds for Frequencies of Residues in Second Order Recurrences Modulo \( p^n \)” by Lawrence Somer.

□

Lemma 2. For \( 2 \leq c \in \mathbb{N} \), \( (c, 3) = 1 \) and \( 1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( q = \frac{h(3^{k+1} c)}{3 h(3^k c)} \). Then \( q = \frac{1}{3} \) if \( 3^k \mid h(c) \), and \( q = 1 \) if \( 3^{k-1} \parallel h(c) \).

Proof. Since \( (c, 3) = 1 \), we have \( q = \frac{[h(3^k c), h(c)]}{3 [h(3^k c), h(c)]} \). The case \( k = 1 \) yields

\[
q = \frac{[h(9), h(c)]}{3 [h(9), h(c)]} = \frac{[6, h(c)]}{3 [2, h(c)]} = \frac{(2, h(c))}{(6, h(c))} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 3 \mid h(c) \\ 1 & \text{if } 3 \nmid h(c). \end{cases}
\]

In the case \( k > 1 \), we have by Lemma 1 that

\[
q = \frac{[3^k h(3), h(c)]}{3 [3^{k-1} h(3), h(c)]} = \frac{[2 \cdot 3^k, h(c)]}{3 [2 \cdot 3^{k-1}, h(c)]} = \frac{(2 \cdot 3^{k-1}, h(c))}{(2 \cdot 3^k, h(c))} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 3^k \mid h(c) \\ 1 & \text{if } 3^{k-1} \parallel h(c). \end{cases}
\]

□

For some \( 1 \leq z \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( v_3(z) \) denote the exact power of 3 such that \( 3^{v_3(z)} \mid z \) but \( 3^{v_3(z)+1} \nmid z \).

Corollary 1. For \( 2 \leq c \in \mathbb{N} \), \( (c, 3) = 1 \) and \( 1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N} \), \( q = \frac{h(3^{k+1} c)}{3 h(3^k c)} \) is an integer if and only if \( v_3(h(c)) \leq k - 1 \). In this case, the only possible value for \( q \) is \( q = 1 \).

Corollary 2. For \( 2 \leq c = 3^{r_1} \cdot s \in \mathbb{N} \), \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), \( 1 \leq s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( (s, 3) = 1 \), we have:

\[
r = 0 \implies h(3c) = \begin{cases} 2h(c) & \text{if } s \leq 2, \\ h(c) & \text{if } s > 2. \end{cases}
\]

\[
r = 1 \implies h(3c) = \begin{cases} 3h(c) & \text{if } s = 1 \text{ or } s > 2 \text{ and } 3 \nmid h(s), \\ h(c) & \text{if } s > 2 \text{ and } 3 \mid h(s). \end{cases}
\]

\[
r > 1 \implies h(3c) = \begin{cases} 3h(c) & \text{if } s \leq 2 \text{ or } s > 2 \text{ and } 3^{r-1} \parallel h(s), \\ h(c) & \text{if } s > 2 \text{ and } 3^r \mid h(s). \end{cases}
\]

Hence, the value of \( q = \frac{h(3c)}{3 h(c)} \) with \( c = 3^{r_1} \cdot s \), \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), \( 1 \leq s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( (s, 3) = 1 \) cannot be an integer if \( r = 0 \), or if \( r = 1 \) and \( s \geq 2 \) and \( 3 \nmid h(s) \), or if \( r > 1 \) and \( s > 2 \) and \( 3^r \parallel h(s) \). These cases can be omitted from here on.

Corollary 3. For \( 2 < c = 3^r s \in \mathbb{N} \), \( 1 \leq s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( (s, 3) = 1 \), \( q = \frac{h(3c)}{3 h(c)} \) is an integer if and only if \( r \geq 1 \) and \( v_3(h(s)) \leq r - 1 \). Now suppose that \( q \) is an integer, then \( q = 1 \) for all possible values of \( s \).

Lemma 3. If \( m \mid M \), then \( h(m) \mid h(M) \).
Proof. Since \( \{U_n\} \) is purely periodic, we have
\[
U_{n+h(m)} \equiv U_n \pmod{m} \text{ and } U_{n+h(M)} \equiv U_n \pmod{M},
\]
moreover \( U_{n+h(M)} \equiv U_n \pmod{m} \) because \( m \mid M \). Assume \( h(M) = q \cdot h(m) + r \) with \( q \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( 0 \leq r < h(m) \). Hence, \( U_{n+r} \equiv U_n \pmod{m} \), which contradicts to the definition of \( h(m) \). Thus, \( r = 0 \) and \( h(m) \mid h(M) \) is true. \( \square \)

Lemma 4. \( h(m) \) is even for all \( m > 2 \).

Proof. Let \( h(0,1,m) \) denote the period length of the sequence \( \{V_n\} \) with \( V_0 = 0 \), \( V_1 = 1 \) and \( V_n = 3 \cdot V_{n-1} + V_{n-2} \) for \( n \geq 2 \). Then \( h(0,1,m) \) is even for all \( m > 2 \) (see Corollary 3 in [2]); moreover for \( A = 3, B = -1, a = 1 \) and \( b = 5 \), we have \( E = b^2 - abA + a^2B = 9 \) and therefore \( h(m) = h(0,1,m) \) for all \( 3 \nmid m \) (see Theorem 11 in [2]). Thus, \( h(m) \) is even for all \( m > 2 \) with \( 3 \nmid m \). On the other hand, if \( 3 \mid m > 2 \), then \( h(3) = 2 \mid h(m) \), that is, \( h(m) \) is even again. \( \square \)

Theorem 1. For \( 2 < c = 3^r s \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq r, s \in \mathbb{N}, (s,3) = 1, v_3(h(s)) \leq r - 1 \) and \( q = \frac{h(3^r) \cdot h(c)}{h(3^r) \cdot h(c)} \), we have \( B_{3c} = q(B_c)^3 \).

Proof. Case 1. \( r = 1 \). Now \( c = 3s, (s,3) = 1, \) and \( v_3(h(s)) \leq 0 \Rightarrow 3 \nmid h(s) \). If \( s = 1 \), then \( q = \frac{h(3) \cdot h(c)}{h(3) \cdot h(c)} = 1 \). Thus, \( B_{3^1} = (B_3)^3 \) can be checked by computation. If \( s > 1 \), then \( q = \frac{h(3c) \cdot h(c)}{h(3c) \cdot h(c)} = 1 \). Thus, we need to prove that \( B_{3^2} = (B_{3^2})^3 \).

Since \( h(3c) = 3h(c) \) and \( h(3s) = h(s) \), we need to show that, for any \( w \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( j \in \{0,1,2\} \), the three values of \( U_{w+jh(s)} \) are pairwise different modulo 9, and hence also modulo 9s. Let \( j_1, j_2 \in \{0,1,2\} \) with \( 1 \leq |j_1 - j_2| < 3 \). For a fixed \( w \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) be the residues of the numbers \( w + j_1h(s) \) and \( w + j_2h(s) \) modulo \( h(9) \), respectively. This means \( 0 \leq |z_1 - z_2| < h(9) = 6 \). The consequence of \( s > 1 \) and \( 3 \nmid h(s) \) is that \( s \geq 7 \); and therefore, \( h(s) \) is even. This yields
\[
2 \leq h(s) \leq h(s) \cdot |j_1 - j_2| = |z_1 - z_2| \neq 0 \pmod{6},
\]
so that \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) are different modulo 6 and, in addition, are not consecutive numbers; whence \( U_{z_1} \pmod{9} \) and \( U_{z_2} \pmod{9} \) also have two different values that can be checked using the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n ) ( U_n \pmod{9} )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>\ldots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( - \pmod{9} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 2. \( r > 1 \) Now \( c = 3^r s, (s,3) = 1, \) and \( v_3(h(s)) \leq r - 1 \Rightarrow q = \frac{h(3^r) \cdot h(c)}{h(3^r) \cdot h(c)} = 1 \). Thus, we must prove that \( B_{3^2} = (B_3)^3 \). We need to show that, for any fixed \( w \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( j \in \{0,1,2\} \), the numbers \( U_{w+jh(c)} \) are pairwise different modulo \( 3c \). Since \( (s,3) = 1 \) and \( v_3(h(s)) \leq r - 1 \), we have
\[
h(c) = h(3^r \cdot s) = [h(3^r), h(s)] = h(3^r) \cdot \frac{h(s)}{h(3^r), h(s)} = h(3^r) \cdot z
\]
with some \( 1 \leq z \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 3 \nmid z \). Hence, for any fixed \( w \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( j \in \{0,1,2\} \), the numbers \( w+jh(c) \) and \( w+jh(3^r) \) are always in the same residue class modulo \( h(3^r) \). Therefore, the numbers \( U_{w+jh(c)} \) and \( U_{w+jh(3^r)} \) are also in the same residue class modulo \( 3^r \). But the numbers \( U_{w+jh(3^r)} \) are pairwise different modulo \( 3^{r+1} \) because of Lemma 1. Thus, the numbers \( U_{w+jh(c)} \) are again pairwise different modulo \( 3^{r+1} \), and thereby also modulo \( 3c \). \( \square \)

Theorem 2. For \( 1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( q = \frac{h(3^k) \cdot h(c)}{h(3^k) \cdot h(c)} \), we have \( B_{3^{k+1}} = q(B_{3^k})^3 \).
Corollary 4. For $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q = \frac{b(3^{k+1})}{3k(3^k-1)}$, we have $B_{3k+1} = (3^k)^3$.

Proof. For all $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is now $q = 1$ to take. Thus,

$$B_{3k+1} = 1 \cdot (B_{3k})^3 = (B_{3k-1})^3 = (B_{3k-2})^3 = \cdots = (B_{3})^{3k} = (B_{3})^{3} = (B_{3})^{3k}.$$  

\[\square\]

Corollary 5. For any $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|S(3^k)\| = \|S(3)\| = 2$.

Thus, we have a complete proof for D2. The proof of D5 can be done using D2 and D3 as follows:

$$B_{15,c} = B_{3,5c} = (B_{5c})^3 = ((B_5)^5)^3 = (B_5)^{15}.$$  

The proofs of the other discoveries can, for the most part, be carried out in a similar manner, so they are left to the interested reader. The only reason for considering the above specific problem was Corollary 2 in [1], where it was proved that the sequences $U(3, 1)$ with $U_0 = 1$ and $U_1 \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ are uniformly distributed modulo $13^k$ for all $k \geq 1$. The reader should consider the related more general sequences $U(p, 1)$ satisfying the recursion relation $U_n = pU_{n-1} + U_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$ with $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = 5$ and $p$ a fixed odd prime. It can be proved by similar methods that $B_{p,k+1} = (B_{p})^{p^k}$ is also valid for these recurrences; here, $B_{p}$ refers to the frequency block defined above. The reader might consider proving this result, and possibly other results similar to those found in this paper. In the meantime, it is advisable to remember the fundamental fact that the recurrences $U(p, 1)$ with $U_0 = 1$ and $U_1 = 5$ are irregular modulo $p$, that is, the vectors $(U_0, U_1)$ and $(U_1, U_2)$ are linearly independent modulo $p$.
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