

ON THE H-PROPERTY OF SOME BANACH SEQUENCE SPACES

SUTHEP SUANTAI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define a generalized Cesàro sequence space $\text{ces}(p)$ and consider it equipped with the Luxemburg norm under which it is a Banach space, and we show that the space $\text{ces}(p)$ possesses property (H) and property (G), and it is rotund, where $p = (p_k)$ is a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

For a Banach space X , we denote by $S(X)$ and $B(X)$ the unit sphere and unit ball of X , respectively. A point $x_0 \in S(X)$ is called

- a) an *extreme point* if for every $x, y \in S(X)$ the equality $2x_0 = x + y$ implies $x = y$;
- b) an *H-point* if for any sequence (x_n) in X such that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the weak convergence of (x_n) to x_0 (write $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0$) implies that $\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- c) a *denting point* if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $x_0 \notin \overline{\text{conv}}\{B(X) \setminus (x_0 + \epsilon B(X))\}$.

A Banach space X is said to be *rotund* (R), if every point of $S(X)$ is an extreme point.

A Banach space X is said to possess property (H) (property (G)) provided every point of $S(X)$ is H-point (denting point).

For these geometric notions and their role in mathematics we refer to the monographs [1], [2], [6] and [13]. Some of them were studied for Orlicz spaces in [3], [7], [8], [9] and [114].

Let us denote by l^0 the space of all real sequences. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Cesàro sequence space (ces_p) , for short) is defined by

$$\text{ces}_p = \left\{ x \in l^0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^p < \infty \right\}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E30, 46E40, 46B20.

Key words and phrases: H-property, property (G), Cesàro sequence spaces, Luxemburg norm.

Received November 13, 2001.

equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

This space was introduced by J. S. Shue [16]. It is useful in the theory of matrix operator and others (see [10] and [12]). Some geometric properties of the Cesàro sequence space ces_p were studied by many mathematicians. It is known that ces_p is LUR and posses property (H) (see [12]). Y. A. Cui and H. Hudzik [14] proved that ces_p has the Banach-Saks of type p if $p > 1$, and it was shown in [5] that ces_p has property (β) .

Now, let $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The Nakano sequence space $l(p)$ is defined by

$$l(p) = \{x \in l^0 : \sigma(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\},$$

where $\sigma(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x(i)|^{p_i}$. We consider the space $l(p)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sigma\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

under which it is a Banach space. If $p = (p_k)$ is bounded, we have

$$l(p) = \left\{ x \in l^0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x(i)|^{p_i} < \infty \right\}.$$

Several geometric properties of $l(p)$ were studied in [1] and [4].

The Cesàro sequence space $\text{ces}(p)$ is defined by

$$\text{ces}(p) = \{x \in l^0 : \varrho(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\},$$

where $\varrho(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^{p_n}$. We consider the space $\text{ces}(p)$ equipped with the so-called Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

under which it is a Banach space. If $p = (p_k)$ is bounded, then we have

$$\text{ces}(p) = \left\{ x = x(i) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^{p_n} < \infty \right\}.$$

W. Sanhan [15] proved that $\text{ces}(p)$ is nonsquare when $p_k > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper, we show that the Cesàro sequence space $\text{ces}(p)$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm is rotund (R) and posses property (H) and property (G) when $p = (p_k)$ is bounded with $p_k > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Throughout this paper we assume that $p = (p_k)$ is bounded with $p_k > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $M = \sup_k p_k$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with giving some basic properties of modular on the space $\text{ces}(p)$.

Proposition 2.1. *The functional ϱ on the Cesàro sequence space $\text{ces}(p)$ is a convex modular.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\varrho(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ and $\varrho(\alpha x) = \varrho(x)$ for all scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$. If $x, y \in \text{ces}(p)$ and $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, by the convexity of the function $t \rightarrow |t|^{p_k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(\alpha x + \beta y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha x(i) + \beta y(i)| \right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |y(i)| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |y(i)| \right)^{p_k} \\ &= \alpha \varrho(x) + \beta \varrho(y). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2. *For $x \in \text{ces}(p)$, the modular ϱ on $\text{ces}(p)$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) if $0 < a < 1$, then $a^M \varrho\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \leq \varrho(x)$ and $\varrho(ax) \leq a \varrho(x)$,
- (ii) if $a \geq 1$, then $\varrho(x) \leq a^M \varrho\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)$,
- (iii) if $a \geq 1$, then $\varrho(x) \leq a \varrho(x) \leq \varrho(ax)$.

Proof. It is obvious that (iii) is satisfied by the convexity of ϱ . It remains to prove (i) and (ii).

For $0 < a < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^{p_k} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^M \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_k} \\ &= a^M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_k} = a^M \varrho\left(\frac{x}{a}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and it implies by the convexity of ϱ that $\varrho(ax) \leq a \varrho(x)$, hence (i) is satisfied.

Now, suppose that $a \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{P_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^{P_k} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{P_k} \\ &\leq a^M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{P_k} = a^M \varrho\left(\frac{x}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So (ii) is obtained. \square

Next, we give some relationships between the modular ϱ and the Luxemburg norm on $\text{ces}(p)$.

Proposition 2.3. *For any $x \in \text{ces}(p)$, we have*

- (i) if $\|x\| < 1$, then $\varrho(x) \leq \|x\|$,
- (ii) if $\|x\| > 1$, then $\varrho(x) \geq \|x\|$,
- (iii) $\|x\| = 1$ if and only if $\varrho(x) = 1$,
- (iv) $\|x\| < 1$ if and only if $\varrho(x) < 1$,
- (v) $\|x\| > 1$ if and only if $\varrho(x) > 1$,
- (vi) if $0 < a < 1$ and $\|x\| > a$, then $\varrho(x) > a^M$, and
- (vii) if $a \geq 1$ and $\|x\| < a$, then $\varrho(x) < a^M$.

Proof. (i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \|x\|$, so $\|x\| + \varepsilon < 1$. By definition of $\|\cdot\|$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|x\| + \varepsilon > \lambda$ and $\varrho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1$. From Proposition 2.2 (i) and (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(x) &\leq \varrho\left(\frac{(\|x\| + \varepsilon)}{\lambda}x\right) = \varrho\left((\|x\| + \varepsilon)\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \\ &\leq (\|x\| + \varepsilon) \varrho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq \|x\| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\varrho(x) \leq \|x\|$, so (i) is satisfied.

(ii) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\|x\|-1}{\|x\|}$, then $1 < (1-\varepsilon)\|x\| < \|x\|$. By definition of $\|\cdot\|$ and by Proposition 2.2 (i), we have

$$1 < \varrho\left(\frac{x}{(1-\varepsilon)\|x\|}\right) \leq \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)\|x\|} \varrho(x),$$

so $(1-\varepsilon)\|x\| < \varrho(x)$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\|x\|-1}{\|x\|})$. This implies that $\|x\| \leq \varrho(x)$, hence (ii) is obtained.

(iii) Assume that $\|x\| = 1$. By definition of $\|x\|$, we have that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $1 + \varepsilon > \lambda > \|x\|$ and $\varrho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1$. From Proposition 2.2 (ii), we have $\varrho(x) \leq \lambda^M \varrho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq \lambda^M < (1 + \varepsilon)^M$, so $(\varrho(x))^{\frac{1}{M}} < 1 + \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, which implies $\varrho(x) \leq 1$. If $\varrho(x) < 1$, then we can choose $a \in (0, 1)$ such that

$\varrho(x) < a^M < 1$. From Proposition 2.2 (i), we have $\varrho(\frac{x}{a}) \leq \frac{1}{a^M} \varrho(x) < 1$, hence $\|x\| \leq a < 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\varrho(x) = 1$.

On the other hand, assume that $\varrho(x) = 1$. Then $\|x\| \leq 1$. If $\|x\| < 1$, we have by (i) that $\varrho(x) \leq \|x\| < 1$, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore $\|x\| = 1$.

(iv) follows directly from (i) and (iii).

(v) follows from (iii) and (iv).

(vi) Suppose $0 < a < 1$ and $\|x\| > a$. Then $\|\frac{x}{a}\| > 1$. By (v), we have $\varrho(\frac{x}{a}) > 1$. Hence, by Proposition 2.2 (i), we obtain that $\varrho(x) \geq a^M \varrho(\frac{x}{a}) > a^M$.

(vii) Suppose $a \geq 1$ and $\|x\| < a$. Then $\|\frac{x}{a}\| < 1$. By (iv), we have $\varrho(\frac{x}{a}) < 1$. If $a = 1$, it is obvious that $\varrho(x) < 1 = a^M$. If $a > 1$, then, by Proposition 2.2 (ii), we obtain that $\varrho(x) \leq a^M \varrho(\frac{x}{a}) < a^M$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let (x_n) be a sequence in $\text{ces}(p)$.*

(i) *If $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

(ii) *If $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 - \epsilon < \|x_n\| < 1 + \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. By Proposition 2.3 (vi) and (vii), we have $(1 - \epsilon)^M < \varrho(x_n) < (1 + \epsilon)^M$ for all $n \geq N$, which implies that $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) Suppose $\|x_n\| \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is an $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that $\|x_{n_k}\| > \epsilon$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 2.3 (vi), we have $\varrho(x_{n_k}) > \epsilon^M$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\varrho(x_n) \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Next, we shall show that $\text{ces}(p)$ has the property (H). To do this, we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $x \in \text{ces}(p)$ and $(x_n) \subseteq \text{ces}(p)$. If $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow \varrho(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\varrho(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)|)^{pk} < \infty$, there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{pk} < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^{M+1}}.$$

Since $\varrho(x_n) - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} (\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i)|)^{pk} \rightarrow \varrho(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} (\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)|)^{pk}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \varrho(x_n) - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i)| \right)^{pk} < \varrho(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{pk} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^M}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$, and

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i) - x(i)| \right)^{pk} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

It follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho(x_n - x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i) - x(i)| \right)^{p_k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i) - x(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i) - x(i)| \right)^{p_k} \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 2^M \left(\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} \right) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 2^M \left(\varrho(x_n) - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_n(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} \right) \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 2^M \left(\varrho(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^M} + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} \right) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 2^M \left(\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^M} + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} \right) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 2^M \left(2 \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^M} \right) \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This show that $\varrho(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by Proposition 2.4 (ii), we have $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.6. *The space $\text{ces}(p)$ has the property (H).*

Proof. Let $x \in S(\text{ces}(p))$ and $(x_n) \subseteq \text{ces}(p)$ such that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From Proposition 2.3 (iii), we have $\varrho(x) = 1$, so it follows from Proposition 2.4 (i) that $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow \varrho(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the mapping $p_i : \text{ces}(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $p_i(y) = y(i)$, is a continuous linear functional on $\text{ces}(p)$, it follows that $x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we obtain by Lemma 2.5 that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem 2.7. *The space $\text{ces}(p)$ is rotund.*

Proof. Let $x \in S(\text{ces}(p))$ and $y, z \in B(\text{ces}(p))$ with $x = \frac{y+z}{2}$. By Proposition 2.3 and the convexity of ϱ we have

$$1 = \varrho(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varrho(y) + \varrho(z)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1) = 1,$$

so that $\varrho(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\varrho(y) + \varrho(z)) = 1$. This implies that

$$(2.4) \quad \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{y(i) + z(i)}{2} \right| \right)^{p_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |y(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |z(i)| \right)^{p_k}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We shall show that $y(i) = z(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

From (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad |x(1)|^{p_1} = \left| \frac{y(1) + z(1)}{2} \right|^{p_1} = \frac{1}{2} (|y(1)|^{p_1} + |z(1)|^{p_1}).$$

Since the mapping $t \rightarrow |t|^{p_1}$ is strictly convex, it implies by (2.5) that $y(1) = z(1)$.

Now assume that $y(i) = z(i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1$. Then $y(i) = z(i) = x(i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1$. From (2.4), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{y(i) + z(i)}{2} \right| \right)^{p_k} &= \left(\frac{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |y(i)| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |z(i)|}{2} \right)^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |y(i)| \right)^{p_k} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |z(i)| \right)^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

By convexity of the mapping $t \rightarrow |t|^{p_k}$, it implies that $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |y(i)| = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |z(i)|$. Since $y(i) = z(i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1$, we get that

$$(2.7) \quad |y(k)| = |z(k)|.$$

If $y(k) = 0$, then we have $z(k) = y(k) = 0$. Suppose that $y(k) \neq 0$. Then $z(k) \neq 0$. If $y(k)z(k) < 0$, it follows from (2.7) that $y(k) + z(k) = 0$. This implies by (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |x(i)| \right)^{p_k} = \left(\frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |x(i)| + |y(k)| \right) \right)^{p_k},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we have $y(k)z(k) > 0$. This implies by (2.5) that $y(k) = z(k)$. Thus, we have by induction that $y(i) = z(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so $y = z$. \square

Bor-Luh Lin, Pei-Kee Lin and S. L. Troyanski proved (cf. Theorem iii [11]) that element x in a bounded closed convex set K of a Banach space is a denting point of K iff x is an H -point of K and x is an extreme point of K . Combining this result with our results (Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *The space $\text{ces}(p)$ has the property (G).*

For $1 < r < \infty$, let $p = (p_k)$ with $p_k = r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have that $\text{ces}_r = \text{ces}(p)$, so the following results are obtained directly from Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 2.8, respectively.

Corollary 2.9. *For $1 < r < \infty$, the Cesàro sequence space ces_r has the property (H).*

Corollary 2.10. *For $1 < r < \infty$, the Cesàro sequence space ces_r is rotund.*

Corollary 2.11. *For $1 < r < \infty$, the Cesàro sequence space ces_r has the property (G).*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the Thailand Research Fund for the financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, S. T., *Geometry of Orlicz spaces*, *Dissertationes Math.*, 1996, pp. 356.
- [2] Cui, Y. A. and Hudzik, H., *On the Banach-Saks and weak Banach-Saks properties of some Banach sequence spaces*, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **65** (1999), 179–187.
- [3] Cui, Y. A., Hudzik, H. and Meng, C., *On some local geometry of Orlicz sequence spaces equipped the Luxemburg norms*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **80** (1-2) (1998), 143–154.
- [4] Cui, Y. A., Hudzik, H. and Plicienik, R., *Banach-Saks property in some Banach sequence spaces*, *Annales Math. Polonici* **65** (1997), 193–202.
- [5] Cui, Y. A. and Meng, C., *Banach-Saks property and property (β) in Cesàro sequence spaces*, *SEA. Bull. Math.* **24** (2000), 201–210.
- [6] Diestel, J., *Geometry of Banach Spaces - Selected Topics*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [7] Grzaslewicz, R., Hudzik, H. and Kurc, W., *Extreme and exposed points in Orlicz spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* **44** (1992), 505–515.
- [8] Hudzik, H., *Orlicz spaces without strongly extreme points and without H-points*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **35** (1992), 1–5.
- [9] Hudzik, H. and Pallaschke, D., *On some convexity properties of Orlicz sequence spaces*, *Math. Nachr.* **186** (1997), 167–185.
- [10] Lee, P. Y., *Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Math. Chronicle, New Zealand* **13** (1984), 29–45.
- [11] Lin, B. L., Lin, P. K. and Troyanski, S. L., *Characterization of denting points*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (1988), 526–528.
- [12] Liu, Y. Q., Wu, B. E. and Lee, Y. P., *Method of sequence spaces*, Guangdong of Science and Technology Press (1996 (in Chinese)).
- [13] Musielak, J., *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1034, Springer-Verlag, (1983).
- [14] Plucienik, R., Wang, T. F. and Zhang, Y. L., *H-points and Denting Points in Orlicz Spaces*, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **33** (1993), 135–151.
- [15] Sanhan, W., *On geometric properties of some Banach sequence spaces*, Thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Mathematics, Chiang Mai University, 2000.
- [16] Shue, J. S., *Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Tamkang J. Math.* **1** (1970), 143–150.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
 CHIANG MAI UNIVERSITY, CHIANG MAI 50200, THAILAND
E-mail: scmti005@chiangmai.ac.th